

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

YEALINK (USA) NETWORK TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD., and
YEALINK NETWORK TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD,
Petitioner,

v.

BARCO N.V.
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00597 (Patent 11,966,346 B2)
IPR2025-00598 (Patent 11,966,347 B2)¹

Before MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, DAVID C. McKONE, and
FREDERICK C. LANEY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McKONE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motions to Seal
37 C.F.R. § 42.54

¹ We issue one Order to be entered in each proceeding. The combined caption is for administrative convenience only and does not indicate a joined case. The parties are not authorized to use this caption without express permission of the Board.

IPR2025-00597 (Patent 11,966,346 B2)

IPR2025-00598 (Patent 11,966,347 B2)

Patent Owner filed Motions to Seal its oral argument demonstratives (Exhibit 2034 in both matters). IPR2025-00597, Paper 39; IPR2025-00598, Paper 40. Petitioner does not oppose these motions. Patent Owner has filed public redacted versions of the Exhibits. We have previously entered a protective order in these proceedings. Paper 22; Ex. 2032.²

A party may move to seal confidential information including, *inter alia*, sensitive commercial information. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.54. It is the movant's burden to show good cause for sealing such information, and we balance the party's asserted need for confidentiality with the strong public interest in open proceedings. *See Argentum Pharms. LLC v. Alcon Research, Ltd.*, IPR2017-01053, Paper 27 at 4 (PTAB Jan. 19, 2018) (informative).

We grant Patent Owner's Motions to Seal. Patent Owner provides a sufficient explanation for sealing the Exhibits. Paper 39, 2–4. The Exhibits contain confidential business information related to Patent Owner's and a third party's financial information, such as business practices and licensing terms. *Id.* at 2–3.

After reviewing the record, we are persuaded that good cause exists for sealing the Exhibits in each of the above-listed proceedings. Our review of the sealed version of the Exhibits in each of the above-listed proceedings persuades us that Patent Owner appropriately has limited the redactions in

² We cite to the papers filed in IPR2025-00597, with the understanding that substantially identical papers are filed in IPR2025-00598.

IPR2025-00597 (Patent 11,966,346 B2)

IPR2025-00598 (Patent 11,966,347 B2)

the public versions to portions that reflect the asserted confidential information.

We remind the parties that confidential information that is subject to a protective order ordinarily becomes public forty-five (45) days after final judgment in a trial. *See* the Patent Trial and Appeal Board’s Consolidated Trial Practice Guide³ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), 21–22. There is an expectation that information will be made public when the existence of the information is identified in a final written decision following a trial. *See id.* After final judgment in a trial, a party may file a motion to expunge confidential information from the record prior to the information becoming public in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.56.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motions to Seal (IPR2025-00597, Paper 39; IPR2025-000598, Paper 40) are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the confidential versions of Exhibit 2034 in both IPR2025-00597 and IPR2025-00598 shall remain sealed and designated as “Board and Parties Only” until further notice.

³ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2025-00597 (Patent 11,966,346 B2)

IPR2025-00598 (Patent 11,966,347 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Stephen Yang

Kevin Greenleaf

Timothy Bickham

Forrest Gothia

DENTONS US LLP

stephen.yang@dentons.com

kevin.greenleaf@dentons.com

timothy.bickham@dentons.com

forrest.gothia@dentons.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Christopher Centurelli

Erik Halverson

Joshua Andrews

K&L GATES LLP

chris.centurelli@klgates.com

erik.halverson@klgates.com

joshua.andrews@klgates.com