

From: [Trials](#)
To: [Weil, Jason](#); [Trials](#)
Cc: [Maslowski, Steven](#); [Pearson, Matthew](#); [Elsby, Rachel](#); [Eldora L. Ellison](#); [BMS-IPR603](#); [PTAB Scott McKeown](#); [PTAB Oona Johnstone](#); [Loughran, Chelsea A.](#); [Steenburg, Charles](#)
Subject: RE: Case No. IPR2025-00603 - Request for authorization to file a response
Date: Thursday, August 28, 2025 4:15:29 PM

Counsel: Patent Owner is authorized to file a three-page Reply to Paper 11 (Petitioner's Response to Patent Owner's Supplemental Pre-Institution Brief) within five business days of this email. Petitioner is authorized to file a three-page Sur-Reply within five business days of any Reply. No new evidence may accompany either brief. In these papers, each party shall identify and discuss all Board decisions, of which they are aware, in which a panel of the Board entered adverse judgment against a patent owner, prior to entry of a decision to institute, based on a disclaimer of all pending claims. No conference call is necessary.

Regards,

Andrew Kellogg,
Deputy Chief Clerk, Trials
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
USPTO
andrew.kellogg@uspto.gov
(571) 272-5366

From: Weil, Jason <jweil@akingump.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2025 4:36 PM
To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: Maslowski, Steven <smaslowski@AKINGUMP.com>; Pearson, Matthew <mpearson@AKINGUMP.com>; Elsby, Rachel <relsby@akingump.com>; Eldora L. Ellison <eellison@sternekessler.com>; BMS-IPR603 <BMS-IPR603@akingump.com>; PTAB Scott McKeown <smckeown-ptab@wolfgreenfield.com>; PTAB Oona Johnstone <ojohnstone-ptab@wolfgreenfield.com>; Loughran, Chelsea A. <chelsea.loughran@wolfgreenfield.com>; Steenburg, Charles <charles.steenburg@wolfgreenfield.com>
Subject: Case No. IPR2025-00603 - Request for authorization to file a response

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

IPR2025-00603

To the Board:

Patent Owner requests an opportunity to file a 3-page response to Paper No. 11 to clarify the record.

Petitioner opposes. As explained in the Board's August 20th email to the parties, Patent Owner included improper argumentation in correspondence with the Board. That argumentation was different from that previewed to Petitioner and in fact, responded to Petitioner's opposition argument. No further rebuttal should be authorized.

Regards,
Jason Weil
Counsel for Patent Owner

Jason Weil

Akin

1735 Market Street | 12th Floor | Philadelphia, PA 19103-7501 | USA | Direct: [+1 215.965.1328](tel:+12159651328)

jweil@akingump.com | akingump.com | [Bio](#)

Pronouns: he/him/his ([What's this?](#))