

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE
FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UIPATH, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

RULE 14 LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00623
Patent 10,108,679 B2

Before COKE MORGAN STEWART, *Acting Under Secretary of
Commerce for Intellectual Property and Acting Director of the United States
Patent and Trademark Office.*

DECISION
Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review

Rule 14 LLC (“Patent Owner”) filed a request for discretionary denial (Paper 6, “DD Req.”) in the above-captioned case, and UiPath, Inc. (“Petitioner”) filed an opposition (Paper 7, “DD Opp.”).

After considering the parties’ arguments and the record, and in view of all relevant considerations, discretionary denial of institution is appropriate in this proceeding. This determination is based on the totality of the evidence and arguments the parties have presented.

In particular, the challenged patent is the subject of a parallel proceeding in district court between Petitioner and Patent Owner. In that proceeding, the district court has found that the claims of the challenged patent are indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112(f). *See* Exs. 2001, 2002. Because the challenged claims have already been found to be indefinite, it is not an efficient use of Board resources to review them for patentability under other grounds. *See Hulu, LLC v. Piranha Media Distribution LLC*, IPR2024-01252, Paper 27 (Director April 17, 2025) (informative).

Although certain arguments are highlighted above, the determination to exercise discretion to deny institution is based on a holistic assessment of all of the evidence and arguments presented. Accordingly, the Petition is denied under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is:

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s request for discretionary denial is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition is *denied*, and no trial is instituted.

IPR2025-00623
Patent 10,108,679 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Michael Snyder
Ryan O'Donnell
Daniel Golub
VOLPE KOENIG
msnyder@vklaw.com
rodonnell@vklaw.com
dgolub@vklaw.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Michael Matulewicz-Crowley
Christine Lehman
Peter Park
REICHMAN JORGENSEN LEHMAN & FELDBERG LLP
mmatulewicz-crowley@reichmanjorgensen.com
clehman@reichmanjorgensen.com
ppark@reichmanjorgensen.com