

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Axon Enterprise, Inc., Dedrone Holdings, Inc., and Skydio, Inc.

Petitioners,

v.

Airspace Systems, Inc.

Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00629
Patent 10,514,711

**PETITIONERS' OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S EVIDENCE
SUBMITTED WITH PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE AND
CONTINGENT-IN-PART AND NON-CONTINGENT IN-PART MOTION
TO AMEND**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioners Axon Enterprise, Inc., Dedrone Holdings, Inc., and Skydio, Inc. (“Petitioners”) object to the following exhibits filed by Patent Owner Airspace Systems, Inc. (“Patent Owner”) with its Patent Owner’s Response (Paper No. 20) and Contingent-In-Part and Non-Contingent-In-Part Motion to Amend (Paper No. 21).

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Objection(s)</u>
EX2020 – “Streaming, Definition of Streaming by Merriam-Webster (2016)”	F.R.E. 801/802/901: Petitioner objects to EX2020 for containing hearsay and for lacking authenticity. For example, Patent Owner has neither authenticated this exhibit, nor laid the foundation for any hearsay exception. Petitioner further objects to this exhibit because Patent Owner has not shown that it is an original document or authentic duplicate.
EX2021 – “Streaming definition and meaning, Collins English Dictionary (2018)”	F.R.E. 801/802/901: Petitioner objects to EX2021 for containing hearsay and for lacking authenticity. For example, Patent Owner has neither authenticated this exhibit, nor laid the foundation for any hearsay exception. Petitioner further objects to this exhibit because Patent Owner has not shown that it is an original document or authentic duplicate.
EX2022 – “R. Wang et al., ‘An Effective Image Denoising Method for UAV Images via Improved Generative Adversarial Networks,’ Sensors vol. 18(7):1985 (2018), doi:10.3390/s18071985.”	F.R.E. 801/802/901: Petitioner objects to EX2022 for containing hearsay and for lacking authenticity. For example, Patent Owner has neither authenticated this exhibit, nor laid the foundation for any hearsay exception. Petitioner further objects to this exhibit because Patent Owner has not shown that it is an original document or authentic duplicate.

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Objection(s)</u>
EX2023 – “A. Ahmad et al., ‘Noise and Restoration of UAV Remote Sensing Images.’ International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, vol. 11, no. 12 (2020) doi:10.14569/ijacsa.2020.0111222”	F.R.E. 801/802/901: Petitioner objects to EX2023 for containing hearsay and for lacking authenticity. For example, Patent Owner has neither authenticated this exhibit, nor laid the foundation for any hearsay exception. Petitioner further objects to this exhibit because Patent Owner has not shown that it is an original document or authentic duplicate.

Dated: December 30, 2025

/Chad C. Walters/

Chad C. Walters

Reg. No. 48,022

Lead Counsel for Petitioners

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), I certify that on this 30th day of December, 2025, **Petitioners’ Objections To Patent Owner’s Evidence Submitted With Patent Owner’s Response And Contingent-In-Part And Non-Contingent In-Part Motion To Amend** was served by email on the following counsel for Patent Owner:

swadekar@princetonobel.com

mvella@princetonobel.com

jhall@princetonobel.com

airspacedrone@princetonobel.com

December 30, 2025
Date

/Chad C. Walters/
Chad C. Walters
Reg. No. 48,022

Lead Counsel for Petitioners