

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

REVVO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

TIRE STICKERS LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2025-00631
Patent 11,124,027

PETITIONER'S OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner Revvo Technologies, Inc. submits the following objections to evidence served by Patent Owner on November 18, 2025 with its Patent Owner's Response ("Response"). This notice is timely filed within five business days of service of the evidence. Notwithstanding these objections, Petitioner expressly reserves the right to rely on any evidence submitted by Patent Owner, including on the ground that such evidence constitutes a party admission.

II. OBJECTIONS

A. Exhibit 2025

Patent Owner offered new Exhibit 2025 in support of its Response. This exhibit is the Declaration of Keith Ferry. Petitioner objects to at least paragraphs 4-7 and 9 of this exhibit under FRE 901 (lacks foundation), 402 (lacks relevance), 403 (misleading, confusing, unfair prejudice), 702 (improper expert testimony), 801/802 (inadmissible hearsay), and 106 (incomplete). Petitioner further objects to paragraph 9 of this exhibit because it includes a URL link that has not separately been included as an exhibit.

B. Exhibit 2026

Patent Owner offered new Exhibit 2026 in support of its Response, which Patent Owner contends is a copy of an email exchange between Keith Ferry and

Timothy Donley. Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2026 under FRE 901 (lack of authentication), 402 (lack of relevance), 403 (misleading, confusing, unfair prejudice), and 106 (incomplete).

C. Exhibits 2029-2035 and 2040

Patent Owner offered new Exhibits 2029-2035 and 2040 in support of its Response. These exhibits are webpage printouts. Petitioner objects to each exhibit under FRE 901 (lack of authentication), 402 (lack of relevance), 801/802 (inadmissible hearsay), and 106 (incomplete).

D. Exhibit 2036

Patent Owner offered new Exhibit 2036 in support of its Response. This exhibit is the Declaration of Thomas Bielicki. Petitioner objects to at least paragraphs 7-10 under FRE 901 (lacks foundation), 402 (lacks relevance), 403 (misleading, confusing, unfair prejudice), 702 (improper expert testimony), 801/802 (inadmissible hearsay), and 106 (incomplete).

E. Exhibit 2039

Patent Owner offered new Exhibit 2039 in support of its Response. This exhibit appears to be a technical paper. Petitioner objects to this exhibit under FRE 901 (lack of authentication), 402 (lack of relevance), and 403 (misleading, confusing, unfair prejudice).

Petitioner's Objection to Evidence
IPR2025-00631
U.S. Patent No. 11,124,027 B2

G. Exhibits 2041 and 2042

Patent Owner offered new Exhibits 2041 and 2042 in support of its Response. These exhibits appear to be manuals. Petitioner objects to each exhibit under FRE 901 (lack of authentication), 402 (lack of relevance), and 403 (misleading, confusing, unfair prejudice).

Dated: November 25, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

COOLEY LLP
ATTN: Patent Group
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20004
Tel: (650) 843-5001
Fax: (650) 849-7400

By: /Orion Armon/
Orion Armon
Reg. No. 65,421
Counsel for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), that a complete copy of the attached **PETITIONER'S OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE** and related documents were served on November 25, 2025, upon the parties via electronic mail:

Christopher D. Bright (cbright@swlaw.com)
Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.
Plaza Tower
600 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Andrew S. Flior (aflior@swlaw.com)
Lauren C. Tittle (ltittle@swlaw.com)
Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.
600 Anton Blvd, Suite 1400
Costa Mesa, California 92626-7689

Christopher Franich (cfranich@swlaw.com)
Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.
Executive Center Del Mar
12230 El Camino Real, Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92130

Dated: November 25, 2025

/Orion Armon/
Orion Armon
Reg. No. 65,421
COOLEY LLP
1299 Pennsylvania Ave. NW,
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20004
Tel: (650) 843-5001