

From: [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
To: [James Wilson](#); [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
Cc: [Winston-Microsoft-Dialect-IPR](#); [Goswami, TD](#); [Border, Scott](#); [Wayne Helge](#); [Elliot B. Robinson](#)
Subject: RE: IPR2025-00655, -656, -657, -658, and -659: Request for Authorization
Date: Monday, July 21, 2025 9:10:34 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Patent Owner is authorized to file a 3-page reply in IPR2025-00655, -656, -657, -689, and -659, due no later than Wednesday, July 23, 2025, limited to addressing the evidence raised in Petitioner's opposition to Patent Owners request for discretionary denial.

From: James Wilson <jwilson@bdiplaw.com>
Sent: Friday, July 18, 2025 1:27 PM
To: Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>
Cc: Winston-Microsoft-Dialect-IPR <Winston-Microsoft-Dialect-IPR@winston.com>; Goswami, TD <TDGoswami@winston.com>; Border, Scott <SBorder@winston.com>; James Wilson <jwilson@bdiplaw.com>; Wayne Helge <whelge@bdiplaw.com>; Elliot B. Robinson <erobinson@bdiplaw.com>
Subject: IPR2025-00655, -656, -657, -658, and -659: Request for Authorization

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Honorable Director,

Patent Owner requests authorization to file a 3-page reply in support of its requests for discretionary denial in IPR2025-00655, -656, -657, -658, and -659. On July 16, 2025, Petitioner filed oppositions to Patent Owner's requests for discretionary denial in each proceeding. Each opposition was accompanied by new evidence, and some of that evidence was presented under seal. Patent Owner seeks to provide a short reply, within three days after receiving authorization, to provide the Director with its perspective regarding Petitioner's evidence and associated argument.

Additionally, Patent Owner requested that Petitioner withdraw its argument concerning the timeliness of the requests in each IPR given that June 15, 2025, was a Sunday (see 35 U.S.C. § 21(b)), and Petitioner agreed to withdraw its timeliness argument.

The parties have conferred. Petitioner opposes Patent Owner's request to file a reply in support of the requests for discretionary denial.

Respectfully submitted,

/James T. Wilson/

Counsel for Patent Owner



James T. Wilson

Partner

Registered Patent Attorney

Bunsow De Mory LLP

+1-571-222-1022

277 South Washington Street

Suite 210, #1088

Alexandria, VA 22314

www.bdiplaw.com | jwilson@bdiplaw.com

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This email, and any attachments thereto, is intended for use only by the named recipient(s) above and may contain confidential information, legally privileged information and attorney-client work product. The unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this e-mail message, including attachments, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by email, telephone or fax, and permanently delete the original and any copies of any email and printout thereof. Thank you.