

**From:** [Director Discretionary Decision](#)  
**To:** "Sagdeo, Parth"; [Trials](#); [Director PTABDecision Review](#)  
**Cc:** [rak\\_revelhmi@raklaw.com](mailto:rak_revelhmi@raklaw.com); [Neil Rubin](#); [Reza Mirzaie](#); [Kristopher Davis](#); [Peter Tong](#); [Nintendo-Resonant\\_OHS](#); [Lang, Jason](#)  
**Subject:** RE: IPR2025-00680 – Request for teleconference  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 30, 2025 12:08:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Petitioner may file the District Court's stay order as an exhibit. No additional briefing is authorized at this time.

---

**From:** Sagdeo, Parth <psagdeo@orrick.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 29, 2025 4:52 PM  
**To:** Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>; Director\_PTABDecision\_Review <Director\_PTABDecision\_Review@uspto.gov>  
**Cc:** rak\_revelhmi@raklaw.com; Neil Rubin <nrubin@raklaw.com>; Reza Mirzaie <rmirzaie@raklaw.com>; Kristopher Davis <kdavis@raklaw.com>; Peter Tong <ptong@raklaw.com>; Nintendo-Resonant\_OHS <Nintendo-Resonant\_OHS@orrick.com>; Lang, Jason <jlang@orrick.com>  
**Subject:** IPR2025-00680 – Request for teleconference

**CAUTION:** This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Your Honors,

Yesterday, July 28, the district court stayed the litigation parallel to IPR2025-00680 pending *inter partes* review on the challenged patent. *Resonant Sys., Inc. v. Nintendo Co., Ltd.*, Case No. 2:25-CV-90-JRG, Dkt. 57 (E.D. Tex. July 28, 2025). In light of the impact of the stay on the Director's discretionary-denial decision, and the standard briefing on that issue having concluded, Petitioners Nintendo Co., Ltd. and Nintendo of America, Inc. respectfully request a short conference call to seek leave to: (1) supplement the record with the District Court's stay order; and (2) file a two-page supplemental brief with the Director and the Board to address the effect of the district court's stay on the discretionary-denial factors. Petitioners are available at the Director and the Board's convenience.

The parties have conferred on this issue and Patent Owner Resonant Systems, Inc. submits the following response:

Resonant believes that further briefing is unnecessary, however to the extent that Nintendo is permitted to file a supplemental brief on these issues, Resonant asks that it be permitted a brief of equal length to respond to Nintendo's brief and to address the fact that oral argument has already been held in the IPR to which Nintendo seeks joinder.

Petitioners do not oppose Resonant's request but, to the extent it is granted, Petitioners request permission to also address the fact that oral argument has already been held in the IPR to which Petitioners seek joinder.

Respectfully,

Parth Sagdeo  
Counsel for Petitioners

**Parth Sagdeo**

Senior Associate

Orrick

Silicon Valley

psagdeo@orrick.com



---

**NOTICE TO RECIPIENT** | This e-mail is meant for only the intended recipient of the transmission, and may be a communication privileged by law. If you received this e-mail in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately of the error by return e-mail and please delete this message from your system. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

For more information about Orrick, please visit <http://www.orrick.com>.

In the course of our business relationship, we may collect, store and transfer information about you. Please see our privacy policy at <https://www.orrick.com/Privacy-Policy> to learn about how we use this information.