

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KAHOOT! AS
Petitioner

v.

INTERSTELLAR INC.
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2025-00696
U.S. Patent No. 10,339,825

**PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION OF
ANNA BROOK UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(C)**

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Description
2001	Declaration of Anna Brook

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) and the authorization granted by the Board in Paper Number 5, dated April 16, 2025 (the “Notice”), Patent Owner Interstellar Inc. (“Patent Owner”) respectfully requests that the Board admit Anna Brook of CM Law PLLC to appear *pro hac vice* in this proceeding as back-up counsel.

II. GOVERNING RULES

37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) provides:

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

The Notice states that a party is authorized to file a motion for *pro hac vice* recognition of back-up counsel. The motion must contain a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration in which the individual seeking *pro hac vice* recognition attests to certain matters.

The statement of facts that follows shows there is good cause for the Board to recognize Ms. Brook as back-up counsel *pro hac vice* during this proceeding. This Motion is also accompanied by the required Declaration of Ms. Brook (Exhibit 2001).

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Patent Owner respectfully submits that the following facts, supported by the Declaration of Anna Brook (Ex. 2001), establish good cause for Ms. Brook's admission *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

1. The undersigned Lead Counsel for the Patent Owner is a registered practitioner.
2. Ms. Brook is a partner at the same firm as Patent Owner's lead counsel, CM Law PLLC. (Ex. 2001, ¶ 1).
3. Ms. Brook has been practicing in the field of intellectual property law, and particularly patent litigation, for 18 years. (Ex. 2001, ¶ 2).
4. Ms. Brook is a member in good standing of the Bar of the States of New York and New Jersey. She is admitted to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey; and has also been admitted *pro hac vice* in a number of other Federal District Courts. (Ex. 2001, ¶ 3).
5. Ms. Brook has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. (Ex. 2001, ¶ 4).

6. Ms. Brook has never had an application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body denied. (Ex. 2001, ¶ 5).
7. Ms. Brook has never had a sanctions or contempt citation imposed upon her by any court or administrative body. (Ex. 2001, ¶ 6).
8. Ms. Brook has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in 37 CFR part 42. (Ex. 2001, ¶ 7).
9. Ms. Brook has read and will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 CFR 11.101 et seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 CFR 11.19(a). (Ex. 2001, ¶ 8).
10. Ms. Brook has not applied to appear *pro hac vice* before the Office in any other proceeding the last three years. (Ex. 2001, ¶ 9).
11. Ms. Brook is an experienced patent litigation attorney and is familiar with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. She has read the patent at issue, its file history, and the Petition and cited prior art in this proceeding. She also represents the Patent Owner in district court litigation against Petitioner asserting the patent at issue (*Interstellar Inc. v. Kahoot! EDU, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 1:24-cv-00727 (W.D.Tex.)). (Ex. 2001, ¶ 10).

IV. ANALYSIS

This motion and Ms. Brook's accompanying declaration meet all of the Board's requirements. Specifically, and as set forth above, the Patent Owner's Lead Counsel in this proceeding is a registered practitioner. There is good cause to admit Ms. Brook *pro hac vice* in this proceeding. Ms. Brook is an experienced patent litigation attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue. In her declaration, Ms. Brook makes the attestations set forth in the Notice.

V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Patent Owner respectfully requests the Board to admit Ms. Brook *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Dated: June 4, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael P. Dunnam/

Michael P. Dunnam (Reg. No. 32,611)

CM Law PLLC

13101 Preston Road, Ste. 110-1520

Dallas, Texas 75240

Tel. 267-449-3930

Fax. 972-637-9757

Email: mdunnam@cm.law

Attorneys for Patent Owner Interstellar Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on June 4, 2025, the foregoing Patent Owner's Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission of Anna Brook Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(C) and accompanying exhibit was served via email upon counsel of record for Petitioner as follows:

Rudolph Y. Kim (Reg. No. 44,831)
PH-Kahoot-Interstellar-IPR@paulhastings.com

Chetan Bansal (Reg. No. 81,590)
PH-Kahoot-Interstellar-IPR@paulhastings.com

Maksim Mints (Reg. No. 78,507)
PH-Kahoot-Interstellar-IPR@paulhastings.com

By: /Michael P. Dunnam/
Michael P. Dunnam (Reg. No. 32,611)