

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MILESTONE ENTERTAINMENT, LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-00708 Patent 8,529,336 B2
IPR2025-00709 Patent 10,650,635 B2
IPR2025-00710 Patent 10,825,294 B2
IPR2025-00712 Patent 11,393,279 B2¹

Before SCOTT A. DANIELS, JAMES A. TARTAL, and
SCOTT C. MOORE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

TARTAL, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Modifying Hearing Order
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.5, 42.70

¹ This Order addresses similar requests filed in each of the above-captioned proceedings and does not indicate that these proceedings have been joined. We issue one Order to be filed in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this caption without express permission of the Board.

IPR2025-00708 Patent 8,529,336 B2
IPR2025-00709 Patent 10,650,635 B2
IPR2025-00710 Patent 10,825,294 B2
IPR2025-00712 Patent 11,393,279 B2

The Board issued a hearing order on May 20, 2026, setting oral arguments in these cases for Tuesday, June 23, 2026. *See, e.g.*, IPR2025-00708, Paper 27 (“Hearing Order”).² The Hearing Order required demonstratives to be served on opposing counsel at least seven (7) business days before the hearing date and filed no later than two business days before the hearing. *Id.* at 3. It provided, however, that the parties may stipulate to an alternative schedule for serving and filing demonstratives, and request that the Board modify the schedule for filing and serving demonstratives at least seven (7) business days before the hearing date. *Id.* at 3 n.6.

The parties notified the Board on June 3, 2026, that they have stipulated to a new deadline to exchange oral hearing demonstratives to five (5) business days before the hearing date (June 15, 2026), and requested that the Board modify the schedule to accommodate this new deadline. Paper 29, 1. The parties do not seek to change the deadline for filing the demonstratives. *Id.*

Given that the parties have agreed to an alternative schedule for serving demonstratives as provided for in our Hearing Order, we grant their request to modify the Hearing Order to accommodate the new deadline for serving oral hearing demonstratives on opposing counsel.

² Similar papers were filed in each of these proceedings. For convenience, we cite only to the papers filed in IPR2025-00708.

IPR2025-00708 Patent 8,529,336 B2
IPR2025-00709 Patent 10,650,635 B2
IPR2025-00710 Patent 10,825,294 B2
IPR2025-00712 Patent 11,393,279 B2

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the schedule set forth in the Hearing Order is modified to require that demonstratives shall be served on opposing counsel at least five (5) business days before the hearing date.

IPR2025-00708 Patent 8,529,336 B2
IPR2025-00709 Patent 10,650,635 B2
IPR2025-00710 Patent 10,825,294 B2
IPR2025-00712 Patent 11,393,279 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Lisa Nguyen
Naveen Modi
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
lisanguyen@paulhastings.com
naveenmodi@paulhastings.com
PH-Activision-Milestone@paulhastings.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

John Pierce
Matthew D. Powers
William P. Nelson
TENSEGRITY LAW GROUP LLP
john.pierce@tensegritylawgroup.com
matthew.powers@tensegritylawgroup.com
william.nelson@tensegritylawgroup.com