

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DATABRICKS, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

BYTEWEAVR, LLC.,
Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2025-00715
U.S. Patent No. 7,949,752

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
PROCEEDINGS**

UPDATED PETITIONER’S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,949,752 (“’752 patent”)
1002	File History of ’752 Patent
1003	Declaration of Darrell Long, Ph.D.
1004	U.S. Patent No. 6,029,175 (“Chow”)
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,603,031 (“White”)
1006	RESERVED
1007	Complaint in <i>Byteweavr, LLC v. Databricks, Inc.</i> , No. 2:24-cv-00162-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.)
1008	F. Cheong, <i>Internet Agents</i> (1996)
1009	D.B. Lange, M. Oshima, G. Karjoth, K. Kosaka, “Aglets: Programming mobile agents in Java” (March 1997)
1010	S. Fünfroeken, “How to Integrate Mobile Agents into Web Servers” (June 1997)
1011	P. Dömel, A. Lingnau, O. Drobnik, “Mobile Agent Interaction in Heterogeneous Environments” (April 1997)
1012	Guideware Agent System for Java - Customer Support, October 17, 1997 Web Archive
1013	Guideware Agent System for Java – Agent Facts, October 17, 1997 Web Archive
1014	Guideware Agent System for Java – Sample Agents, October 17, 1997 Web Archive
1015	Y. Aridor, M. Oshima, “Infrastructure for Mobile Agents: Requirements and Design” (September 1998)
1016	ACM Transactions on Computer Systems (TOCS) 2.1 (1984): 39-59
1017	IPR2020-00470, Paper 1 (Petition)
1018	IPR2020-00470, Paper 7 (Patent Owner Preliminary Response)
1019	IPR2020-00470, Paper 13 (Institution Decision)

Exhibit	Description
1020	IPR2020-00470, Paper 18 (Patent Owner Response)
1021	Claim Construction Order, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075 (W.D. Tex) June 10, 2020, Dkt. 92.
1022	Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement and Exhibits thereto in <i>Byteweavr, LLC v. Databricks, Inc.</i> , No. 2:24-cv-00162-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.) (dkt. entry 55)
1023	U.S. Patent No. 6,839,733
1024	U.S. Patent No. 6,163,794
1025	As-Filed Application of U.S. Patent No. 6,163,794
1026	Report and Recommendation, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et al. v. HCC Insurance Holdings, Inc. et al.</i> , No. 6:15-CV-660 (E.D. Tex)
1027	Docket Control Order in <i>Byteweavr, LLC v. Databricks, Inc.</i> , No. 2:24-cv-00162-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.)
1028	U.S. Patent No. 7,043,532 (“Humbleman”)
1029	U.S. Patent No. 6,247,056 (“Chou”)
1030	U.S. Patent No. 5,740,231 (“Cohn”)
1031	IETF RFC 1808 (June 1995)
1032	IETF RFC 1738
1033	archived 1/13/91 comp.sources.d newsgroup post
1034	Docket in <i>Byteweavr, LLC v. Databricks, Inc.</i> , No. 2:24-cv-00162-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.) (retrieved March 13, 2025)
1035	Confidential Settlement Agreement Exhibit
1036	Order Dismissing Case with Prejudice, <i>Byteweavr, LLC v. Databricks, Inc.</i> , No. 2:24-cv-00162-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.) (dkt. entry 73)

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.71(a) 42.72 and the Board’s authorization by email dated June 30, 2025, Petitioner Databricks, Inc. (“Petitioner”) and Patent Owner ByteWeavr, LLC (“Patent Owner”) move to terminate the instant *Inter Partes* Review concerning U.S. Patent No. 7,494,752 (“the ’752 Patent”) and thereby terminate IPR2025-00715. Termination of the instant proceeding will preserve the resources of the Board and the parties.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On March 8, 2024, Patent Owner asserted the ’752 Patent against Petitioner in the action entitled *ByteWeavr, LLC v. Databricks, Inc.*, No. 2:24-cv-00162 (E.D. Tex., Mar. 8, 2024) (the “Litigation”). In response, Petitioners filed the instant petition for *inter partes* review challenging claims 24-25 of the ’752 Patent, which was accorded a filing date of March 13, 2025. *Databricks, Inc. v. ByteWeavr, LLC*, IPR2025-00715, Paper 3 (P.T.A.B. April 15, 2025).

Petitioner and Patent Owner have reached an agreement (the “Settlement Agreement”) to resolve their disputes. On June 18, 2025, the District Court granted the Parties’ joint motion to dismiss, thereby terminating the Litigation. Ex. 1036.

Additionally, Petitioners have simultaneously filed similarly unopposed motions to terminate in *Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2025-00714 of U.S. Patent No.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), the Settlement Agreement is in writing, and a true and correct copy is included in Ex. 1035 (hereinafter “Settlement Agreement Exhibit”). The Settlement Agreement Exhibit is being filed electronically with access to “Board and Parties Only.” A “*Joint Request to File Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74*” is being filed concurrently with this Joint Motion to Terminate, to treat the Settlement Agreement Exhibit as business confidential information and to keep it separate from the files of the involved patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

III. ARGUMENT

Good cause exists to grant Petitioners’ Motion pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.71(a) and 42.72. IPR2025-00715 remains at the earliest procedural stage: Patent Owner has not submitted a POPR, and the Board has not reached a decision on institution. Notably, Patent Owner does not oppose this Motion to withdraw the Petition.

As the Board has recognized, early termination—particularly when unopposed—promotes efficiency and substantially conserves the Board’s and parties’ resources. *Reolink Digital Technology Co., Ltd. v. KT Imaging USA, LLD*,

IPR2024-01154, Paper 6, 2 (P.T.A.B. Sep. 23, 2024) (“determin[ing] that it is appropriate to grant Petitioner’s Motion to withdraw the Petitions and terminate the proceedings” where “Patent Owner ... does not oppose the withdrawal of the Petition” and “proceeding[s] are in their preliminary stages, and no decisions on whether to institute *inter partes* review have been made.”); *see also DirectTV, LLC v. Entropic Communications, LLC*, IPR2024-01063, Paper 8, 2-3 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 17, 2024).

Further, termination of the instant IPR is appropriate because all pending disputes between the parties concerning the ’752 Patent have been resolved in the district court litigation, and the concurrent litigation has been dismissed. Ex. 1036. Under such circumstances, withdrawal serves the interests of the parties and the Board and furthers the objectives of 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b) in “secur[ing] the just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution of every proceeding.”

IV. CONCLUSION

For at least these reasons, Petitioners respectfully request that the Board grant this Motion to Terminate the above-captioned IPR and thereby terminate the instant IPR proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Robin A. Snader
Robin A. Snader, Reg. No. 66,085
Erise IP, P.A.

Motion to Terminate IPR Proceedings

7015 College Boulevard, Suite 700

Overland Park, KS 66211

robin.snader@eriseip.com

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER

/s/ Terry A. Saad

Terry A. Saad Reg. No. 62,492

Bragalone Olejko Saad PC

901 Main Street, Suite 3800

Dallas, TX 75202

tsaad@bosfirm.com

COUNSEL FOR PATENT OWNER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the above-captioned “JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE *INTER PARTES* REVIEW PROCEEDINGS” was served in its entirety on July 15, 2025, by filing this document through the Patent Trial and Appeal Case Tracking System as well as delivering a copy via electronic mail upon the following attorneys of record for the Patent Owner:

Terry A. Saad (tsaad@bosfirm.com)
Marcus Benavides (mbenavides@bosfirm.com)
Vivek Ramachandran (vramachandran@bosfirm.com)

By: /s/ Robin A. Snader
Robin A. Snader, Reg. No. 66,085

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER