IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

SMART RF INC., *Plaintiff,* v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC, *Defendant.*	Civil Action No. 2:24-cv-00195 Jury Trial Demanded **CONSOLIDATED LEAD CASE**
SMART RF INC., *Plaintiff,* v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS, *Defendant.*	Civil Action No. 2:24-cv-00196 Jury Trial Demanded
SMART RF INC., *Plaintiff,* v. T-MOBILE US, INC., T-MOBILE USA, INC., SPRINT LLC, SPRINT SOLUTIONS LLC, AND SPRINT SPECTRUM LLC, *Defendants.*	Civil Action No. 2:24-cv-00197 Jury Trial Demanded

EXPERT REPORT OF VIJAY MADISETTI CONCERNING AT&T MOBILITY LLC'S INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 7,035,345; 8,078,561; 8,767,857; 9,641,204; AND 10,958,296



Dr. Vijay Madisetti

[redacted]

VII. ASSERTED CLAIMS

158. The following table sets forth the Asserted Claims of the Asserted Patents:

Asserted Patent	Asserted Claims
U.S. Patent No. 7,035,345	1, 2, 5, 11
U.S. Patent No. 8,078,561	1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 15
U.S. Patent No. 8,767,857	1-14
U.S. Patent No. 9,641,204	1, 12, 14
U.S. Patent No. 10,958,296	1, 10

159. As discussed above, I have been asked to opine on whether Defendants infringed claims 1, 2, 5, and 11 of the '345 patent; claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, and 15 of the '561 patent; claims 1-14 of the '857 patent; claims 1, 12, and 14 of the '204 patent; and claims 1 and 10 of the '296 patent.

[redacted]