

From: [Trials](#)
To: perry.clegg@johnsonmartinlaw.com; mnelles@nelleslawfi.com; david.johnson@johnsonmartinlaw.com; mj@johnsondalal.com
Cc: [Trials](#)
Subject: IPR2025-00747 - Order on Motion for Extension of Time to File Patent Owner Preliminary Response
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 5:14:22 PM

Subject: IPR2025-00747 - Order on Motion for Extension of Time to File Patent Owner Preliminary Response

Re: *Decent Espresso Int'l Ltd. v. Duvall Espresso IP Enforcement, LLC*, IPR2025-00747

Before: Linda E. Horner and Eric C. Jeschke, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

We received today, on the Preliminary Response due date, Patent Owner's unopposed motion for a 6~~2~~day extension of time to file its Patent Owner Preliminary Response. Paper 9.

Patent Owner filed this motion without seeking prior authorization from the Board. Patent Owner's counsel is admonished that "Generally, a motion will not be entered without prior Board authorization. 37 C.F.R. § 42.20(b). Exceptions include motions where it is impractical for a party to seek prior Board authorization, and motions for which authorization is automatically granted. Motions where it is not practical to seek prior Board authorization include motions to seal and motions filed with a petition, such as motions to waive word count or page limits." Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (Nov. 2019) at 37 (available at <https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/tpgnov.pdf?MURL=TrialsPracticeGuideConsolidated>). In light of the exigency of the request, we will allow entry of this motion in this instance. In the future, should Patent Owner wish to file a motion, it must seek authorization by email or in a conference call with the panel, prior to filing.

Given that the motion is unopposed, and after reviewing the facts presented in the motion and finding adequate "good cause" for a brief extension, we grant the requested 6~~2~~day extension.

It is ordered that any Patent Owner Preliminary Response must be filed **no later than July 21, 2025**.