

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Google LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

Withrow Networks Inc.,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2025-00775
U.S. Patent No. 10,771,849

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, Patent Owner Withrow Networks Inc. (“Withrow”) respectfully requests oral argument in this proceeding on all of the instituted grounds of review of U.S. Patent No. 10,771,849 (“the ‘849 Patent”). According to the Scheduling Order, the Oral Hearing in this IPR proceeding is scheduled for June 30, 2026. *See* Paper 13 at 12. Withrow respectfully requests that the oral hearing be held in-person at USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia. Withrow respectfully requests that each side be given 60 minutes at the Oral Hearing and the issues below or, to the extent it is greater, the amount of time granted to Petitioner Google LLC. (“Google”).

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), and without intending to waive any issue not specifically identified, Withrow requests the ability to present arguments on the following issues related to this IPR proceeding:

1. The grounds on which the IPRs were instituted, including the issues and evidence raised in the parties’ briefing and supporting declarations and exhibits, including but not limited to:

a. Whether claims 1-9 would have been obvious over Carmel (Ex. 1003) in view of Mattavelli (Ex. 1004) (Ground 1);

b. Whether claims 1-9 would have been obvious over Carmel (Ex. 1003), in view of Wang (Ex. 1007) (Ground 2);

c. Whether claims 1-9 would have been obvious over Carmel (Ex. 1003), in view of Wang (Ex. 1007) and Wolters (Ex. 1041) (Ground 3);

d. Whether claims 1-9 would have been obvious over Carmel (Ex. 1003), in view of Wang (Ex. 1007), Wolters (Ex. 1041), Guo (Ex. 1051), Yu (Ex. 1061) and Forecast (Ex. 1016) (Ground 4);

e. Whether claims 1-9 would have been obvious over Carmel (Ex. 1003), in view of Mattavelli (Ex. 1004), Guo (Ex. 1051), Yu (Ex. 1061) and Forecast (Ex. 1016) (Ground 5);

2. Any issues raised in the parties' papers and the Board's institution decisions, including but not limited to claim construction;

3. Any issues raised by Google in any request(s) for oral argument;

4. Rebuttal to Google's presentation on all matters;

5. Any procedural and/or evidentiary issues raised by the parties;

6. Any issues raised by the parties in any filings contemporaneous or subsequent to this Request, including any motions to exclude;

7. Any additional issues the parties raise or propose to raise at or before the oral argument; and

8. Any additional issues on which the Board seeks information or clarification.

Withrow also respectfully requests the ability to use audio visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits at the hearing, including the use of a projector to connect a laptop for a PowerPoint presentation.

Dated: May 12, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Roger Thompson

Roger Thompson (Reg. No. 29,594)

rthompson@villegascefo.com

Damir Cefo (Reg. No. 51,538)

dcefo@villegascefo.com

VILLEGAS & CEFO, LLP

1350 Avenue of the Americas, Floor 2

New York, New York 10019

P: 646-844-0679

Kyle Deighan (Reg. No. 75,525)

kdeighan@calfee.com

Mark McDougall (Reg. No. 62,670)

mmcdougall@calfee.com

CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP

The Calfee Building

1405 East Sixth Street

Cleveland, Ohio 44114

P: 216-622-8200

F: 216-241-0816

*Attorneys for Patent Owner Withrow
Networks Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing **PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT** was served on the date below via email to the following counsel of record for Petitioner:

Eamonn Gardner: egardner@cooley.com
Reuben Chen: rchen@cooley.com
Joseph Van Tassel: jvantassel@cooley.com
COOLEY LLP
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Suite 700
Washington D.C. 20004 Tel: (858) 550-6086
Fax: (720) 566-4099

Dated: May 12, 2026

/s/ Roger Thompson
*Attorney for Patent Owner Withrow
Networks Inc.*