

From: [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
To: [Lindner, David](#); [Director Discretionary Decision](#)
Cc: [andy.ehmke.ipr@haynesboone.com](#); [david.mcombs.ipr@haynesboone.com](#); [david.odell.ipr@haynesboone.com](#); [Gregory Huh IPR](#); [Gurka, Jon](#); [Rey Barcelo](#)
Subject: RE: IPR2025-00794 and -00795: Intel v. Advanced Cluster Systems - PO Request for Authorization to File Opposition to Petitioner's Requests for Rehearing
Date: Thursday, September 18, 2025 5:44:01 PM

Patent Owner is authorized to file a 3-page reply in IPR2025-00794 and IPR2025-00795 due no later than Tuesday, September 23, 2025, limited to addressing the argument raised below.

From: Lindner, David <DLindner@crowell.com>
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2025 3:55 PM
To: Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>
Cc: andy.ehmke.ipr@haynesboone.com; david.mcombs.ipr@haynesboone.com; david.odell.ipr@haynesboone.com; Gregory Huh IPR <gregory.huh.ipr@haynesboone.com>; Gurka, Jon <JGurka@crowell.com>; Rey Barcelo <rey@bhiplaw.com>
Subject: IPR2025-00794 and -00795: Intel v. Advanced Cluster Systems - PO Request for Authorization to File Opposition to Petitioner's Requests for Rehearing

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Honorable Acting Director and the Honorable Board,

Patent Owner, Advanced Cluster Systems, Inc., respectfully requests authorization to file a short opposition brief in each of IPR2025-00794 and -00795 in response to Petitioner's September 12, 2025, Requests for Rehearing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d) (IPR2025-00794, Paper 14; IPR2025-00795, Paper 14).

Good cause exists for Patent Owner to file an opposition in order to provide the Acting Director with a complete view of the relevant facts and law. Counsel for the parties have conferred and Petitioner has provided the below position.

Respectfully,
-David Lindner
Counsel for Advanced Cluster Systems, Inc.

Petitioner's Position:

Petitioner opposes Patent Owner's request because Patent Owner has failed to articulate sufficient good cause to justify additional briefing in these proceedings.

David P. Lindner
dlindner@crowell.com

+1.312.222.8123 direct

Crowell & Moring LLP
455 North Cityfront Plaza Drive
Suite 3600
Chicago, IL 60611

Crowell

Collaboration Powers Success

crowell.com

This message may contain privileged and confidential information. IF IT WAS SENT TO YOU BY MISTAKE, DO NOT READ IT. Instead, please notify the sender (or postmaster@crowell.com) by reply e-mail, and delete this e-mail. Unauthorized dissemination, forwarding or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited.