

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–450 and 731–TA–1122 (Third Review)]

Laminated Woven Sacks From China

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on laminated woven sacks from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on July 1, 2024 (89 FR 54522) and determined on October 4, 2024, that it would conduct expedited reviews (89 FR 88060, November 6, 2024).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on February 13, 2025. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5589 (February 2025), entitled *Laminated Woven Sacks from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–450 and 731–TA–1422 (Third Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 13, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–02827 Filed 2–19–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1370]

Certain Power Converter Modules and Computing Systems Containing the Same; Notice of the Commission’s Final Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Issuance of a Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Orders; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has found a violation of section 337 in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission has determined to issue: (1) a limited exclusion (“LEO”) prohibiting the unlicensed entry of infringing power converter modules and computing systems containing the same that are manufactured by or on behalf of, or imported by or on behalf of, the respondents; and (2) cease and desist orders (“CDOs”) against certain respondents. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joelle P. Justus, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2593. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 17, 2023, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based on a complaint filed by Vicor Corporation (“Vicor”) of Andover, Massachusetts. *See* 88 FR 56050–51 (Aug. 17, 2023). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges a violation of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain power converter modules and computing systems containing the same by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,166,481; 9,516,761; and 10,199,950. *See id.* The notice of investigation names the following respondents: Delta Electronics, Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan; Delta Electronics (Americas) Ltd. of Fremont, California; Delta Electronics (USA) Inc. of Plano, Texas; Cynotec Co., Ltd. of Hsinchu, Taiwan; Quanta Computer Inc. and Quanta Cloud Technology Inc., both of Taoyuan City, Taiwan; Quanta Cloud Technology USA LLC of San Jose, California; Quanta Computer USA Inc. of Fremont, California; Hon Hai Precision Industry Co. Ltd. (d/b/a,

Foxconn Technology Group) of Taipei City, Taiwan; Foxconn Industrial internet Co. Ltd. of Shenzhen, China; FII USA Inc. (a/k/a Foxconn Industrial, internet USA Inc.) of Milwaukee, Wisconsin; Ingrasys Technology Inc. of Taoyuan City, Taiwan; and Ingrasys Technology USA Inc. of Fremont, California (collectively, “Respondents”). *See id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also a party to the investigation. *See id.*

On January 25, 2024, the Commission partially terminated the investigation as to respondents Delta Electronics (USA) Inc., Quanta Cloud Technology Inc., and Quanta Cloud Technology USA LLC based on withdrawal of the complaint as to those respondents. *See* Order No. 16 (Dec. 22, 2023), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Jan. 25, 2024).

On January 26, 2024, the Commission amended the complaint and notice of investigation to add DET Logistics (USA) Corporation of Fremont, California as a respondent. *See* Order No. 18 (Jan. 2, 2024), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Jan. 26, 2024).

On March 22, 2024, the ALJ granted in part Respondents’ motion for summary determination of no infringement of any patent under the doctrine of equivalents. *See* Order No. 37. The Commission determined not to review the partial grant of summary determination. *See* Comm’n Notice (Apr. 23, 2024).

On September 27, 2024, the ALJ issued the Final ID finding a violation of section 337. The Final ID finds, *inter alia*: (1) as to the ‘481 patent, the accused power converter modules manufactured by or on behalf of Cynotec (“Cynotec Products”) infringe asserted claim 1 but that the accused power converter modules manufactured by or on behalf of Delta (“Delta Products”) and certain asserted redesign products do not infringe claim 1, asserted claim 1 is not invalid, and certain asserted domestic industry products practice asserted claim 1; (2) as to the ‘761 patent, the accused Delta Products infringe asserted claims 1–7, claims 1–3 and 7 are invalid as anticipated, claims 4–6 are not invalid for obviousness or indefiniteness, and the asserted domestic industry products practice claims 1–7; (3) as to the ‘950 patent, the accused Delta and Cynotec Products do not infringe asserted claims 9, 13, 14, and 33–38, the asserted claims are not invalid for obviousness, and the domestic industry products do not practice any asserted claim; (4) Respondents do not have a license to practice the asserted patents; and (5) Vicor has satisfied the domestic industry requirement of section 337

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

with respect to each of the asserted patents.

The ALJ also issued a Recommended Determination on remedy and bonding ("RD"). The RD recommends that, if the Commission finds a violation, it should issue a limited exclusion order. The RD also recommends the issuance of cease and desist orders as to all Respondents. The RD further recommended that the Commission set a bond of zero percent (0%) as to the Cynotec Products and various bond amounts as to the other infringing products imported during the period of Presidential review.

On October 29, 2024, Vicor and respondent FII USA submitted public interest comments pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4) (19 CFR 210.50(a)(4)). No submissions were filed in response to the Commission's **Federal Register** notice seeking submissions on the public interest. See 89 FR 80604–05 (Oct. 3, 2024).

On October 11, 2024, Vicor filed a petition for review of the Final ID's findings concerning: (1) as to the '481 patent, no infringement by the Delta accused products and certain aspects of the Final ID's validity analysis; (2) as to the '761 patent, that certain claims are invalid as anticipated and certain subsidiary aspect of the Final ID's remaining validity analysis; (3) as to the '950 patent, no infringement, that the domestic industry products do not practice any asserted claim, and certain aspects of the Final ID's economic prong analysis; and (4) as to all patents, that Vicor has not shown the secondary indicia of non-obviousness of copying. Also on October 11, 2024, Respondents filed a petition for review of the Final ID's findings concerning: (1) as to the '481 patent, that claim 1 is not invalid as obvious; (2) as to the '761 patent, that the accused products infringe the asserted claims and claims 4–6 are not invalid as obvious; (3) as to the '950 patent, that the asserted claims are not invalid as obvious; (4) certain of the ALJ's pre-hearing orders; and (5) that Vicor has satisfied the economic prong as to each Asserted Patent. On October 21, 2024, OUII filed a combined response to the petitions. On October 22, 2024, Vicor and Respondents each filed responses to the other party's petition.

On December 4, 2024, the Commission determined to review the Final ID in part. 89 FR 99278–80 (Dec. 10, 2024). Specifically, the Commission determined to review the Final ID's findings regarding: (1) as to the '481 patent, whether the accused Delta Products infringe claim 1 and whether Vicor has demonstrated commercial success to overcome a finding of prima

facie obviousness; (2) as to the '761 patent, whether the accused Delta Products infringe asserted claims 1–7 and whether the asserted claims are valid; (3) as to the '950 patent, whether the accused Delta and Cynotec Products and redesign products infringe asserted claims 9, 13, 14, and 33–36 and whether Vicor showed the domestic industry products practice any asserted claim; (4) whether Vicor has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement as to all of the asserted patents; and (5) the license defense asserted by respondents FII USA, Inc., Ingrasys Technology, Inc., and Ingrasys Technology USA Inc. The Commission determined not to review the remainder of the Final ID's findings. *Id.* at 99278. The Commission requested briefing from the parties on certain issues under review, and from the parties, interested government agencies, and other interested persons on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. *Id.* at 99279–80.

On January 7, 2025, Vicor and OUII filed their written submissions on the issues under review and on remedy, public interest, and bonding. On January 8, 2025, the Chair granted Respondents' request to file out of time their written submission on the issues under review and on remedy, public interest, and bonding. On January 15, 2025, the parties filed their reply submissions. The Commission did not receive comments on the public interest from non-parties.

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the Final ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined to find a violation of section 337 as to the '481 and '761 patents and to find no violation as to the '950 patent. As set forth in the simultaneously-issued Commission opinion, as to the issues on review, the Commission finds as follows:

- *As to the '481 patent:* affirm the Final ID's finding that the accused Delta Products do not infringe claim 1 and take no position regarding whether Vicor has demonstrated commercial success as a secondary consideration of non-obviousness.

- *As to the '761 patent:* affirm the Final ID's finding that the accused Delta Products infringe claims 1–7; reverse the Final ID's finding that claims 1–3 and 7 are invalid as anticipated and/or obvious; affirm in part and take no position in part regarding Vicor's purported secondary considerations of non-obviousness; and otherwise affirm the Final ID's finding that the asserted claims are not invalid.

- *As to the '950 patent:* affirm the Final ID's finding that the accused Delta and Cynotec Products and the asserted redesign products do not infringe claims 9, 13, 14, and 33–36; and affirm the Final ID's finding that Vicor has failed to show the domestic industry products practice at least one asserted claim.

- Reverse the Final ID and find FII USA, Inc. and Ingrasys Technology, Inc. have a license to the '761 patent.

- Affirm with modified reasoning the Final ID's finding that Vicor has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement as to the '481 and '761 patents and take no position regarding whether Vicor satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement as to the '950 patent.

The Commission otherwise affirms the findings and analysis of the Final ID that are not inconsistent with the Commission's opinion.

The Commission has determined that the appropriate form of relief is an LEO prohibiting the unlicensed entry of infringing power converter modules and computing systems containing the same manufactured by or on behalf of Respondents or any of their affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, or other related business entities, or their successors or assigns. The Commission has also determined to issue CDOs to respondents Delta Electronics (Americas) Ltd., FII USA Inc., Ingrasys Technology USA Inc., Quanta Computer Inc., and Quanta Computer USA Inc.

The Commission has further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in subsections (d)(1) and (f)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1)) do not preclude issuance of the above-referenced remedial orders. Additionally, the Commission has determined to impose a bond of zero percent (0%) as to Cynotec Products, and various bond amounts as to the other infringing products imported during the period of Presidential review (19 U.S.C. 1337(j)).

The investigation is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on February 13, 2025.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 13, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–02831 Filed 2–19–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Humanities

Meeting of Humanities Panel

AGENCY: National Endowment for the Humanities; National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH) will hold thirty-four meetings, by video conference, of the Humanities Panel, a federal advisory committee, during March 2025. The purpose of the meetings is for panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendation of applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965.

DATES: See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for meeting dates. The meetings will open at 8:30 a.m. and will adjourn by 5:00 p.m. on the dates specified below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Voyatzis, Committee Management Officer, 400 7th Street SW, Room 4060, Washington, DC 20506; (202) 606–8322; evoyatzis@neh.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. 10), notice is hereby given of the following meetings:

1. Date: March 6, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topic of Continuing State Partners, for the National Digital Newspaper grant program, submitted to the Division of Preservation and Access.

2. Date: March 12, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topics of Libraries, Archives, and Special Collections, for the Sustaining Cultural Heritage Collections grant program, submitted to the Division of Preservation and Access.

3. Date: March 13, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topics of Libraries, Archives, and Special Collections, for the Sustaining Cultural Heritage Collections grant program, submitted to the Division of Preservation and Access.

4. Date: March 17, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topic of Convening, for the Collaborative Research grant program, submitted to the Division of Research Programs.

5. Date: March 17, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topic of Planning International Collaboration, for the Collaborative Research grant program, submitted to the Division of Research Programs.

6. Date: March 18, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topic of Planning International Collaboration, for the Collaborative Research grant program, submitted to the Division of Research Programs.

7. Date: March 19, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topics of Manuscript Preparation and Scholarly Digital Projects: Archaeology and Anthropology, for the Collaborative Research grant program, submitted to the Division of Research Programs.

8. Date: March 19, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topics of Manuscript Preparation and Scholarly Digital Projects: Social Sciences, for the Collaborative Research grant program, submitted to the Division of Research Programs.

9. Date: March 19, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topic of Art Museums, for the Sustaining Cultural Heritage Collections grant program, submitted to the Division of Preservation and Access.

10. Date: March 24, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topic of United States History, for the Scholarly Editions and Translations grant program, submitted to the Division of Research Programs.

11. Date: March 24, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topics of AI, Culture, and Creativity, for the Humanities Research Centers on Artificial Intelligence grant program, submitted to the Division of Research Programs.

12. Date: March 24, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topics of Culture,

Collections, and AI, for the Digital Humanities Advancement Grants program, submitted to the Office of Digital Humanities.

13. Date: March 25, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topics of Arts, Culture, and Public Humanities, for the Digital Humanities Advancement Grants program, submitted to the Office of Digital Humanities.

14. Date: March 25, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topic of Discussions, for the Public Humanities Projects: Exhibitions (Implementation) grant program, submitted to the Division of Public Programs.

15. Date: March 25, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topics of American and British Literature, for the Scholarly Editions and Translations grant program, submitted to the Division of Research Programs.

16. Date: March 25, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topics of Manuscript Preparation and Scholarly Digital Projects: History and Studies of Africa, Asia, and Europe, for the Collaborative Research grant program, submitted to the Division of Research Programs.

17. Date: March 25, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topic of American Material Culture, for the Sustaining Cultural Heritage Collections grant program, submitted to the Division of Preservation and Access.

18. Date: March 26, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topics of Archaeology, Anthropology, and Native American, for the Sustaining Cultural Heritage Collections grant program, submitted to the Division of Preservation and Access.

19. Date: March 26, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topics of Science and Nature, for the Public Humanities Projects: Exhibitions (Implementation) grant program, submitted to the Division of Public Programs.

20. Date: March 26, 2025

This video meeting will discuss applications on the topics of AI and Ethics, for the Humanities Research Centers on Artificial Intelligence grant program, submitted to the Division of Research Programs.