UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of **CERTAIN PHOTOVOLTAIC CONNECTORS AND COMPONENTS THEREOF**	**Inv. No. 337-TA-1365**

ORDER NO. 32: INITIAL DETERMINATION EXTENDING TARGET DATE

(July 8, 2024)

Pursuant to Order No. 6, the target date in this investigation was set for November 12, 2024. Order No. 6, EDIS Doc. ID 800475, at 2 (July 18, 2023). The deadline for the issuance of an initial determination on violation was Friday, July 12, 2024. *Id*.

The undersigned will require additional time to issue the initial determination on violation in this investigation. Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.51(a), the target date "can be modified by the administrative law judge for good cause shown." 19 C.F.R. § 210.51(a). There is good cause for an extension because the drafting of the final initial determination has been affected by the undersigned's obligations in other investigations and the need for supplemental post-hearing briefing in this investigation. *See* Order No. 31, EDIS Doc. ID 822603 (May 30, 2024). Accordingly, the target date shall be extended by about five weeks to December 16, 2024. Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.42(a)(1)(i), the final initial determination shall be issued by Friday, August 16, 2024.

Because the new target date is more than 16 months from the date of institution, this order is being issued as an initial determination pursuant to Commission Rule 210.51(a)(1). 19 C.F.R. § 210.51(a)(1). Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.42(h), this initial determination shall become the determination of the Commission unless a party files a petition for review of the

initial determination pursuant to Commission Rule 210.43(a), or the Commission, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.44, orders, on its own motion, a review of the initial determination or certain issues contained herein. 19 C.F.R. § 210.42(d).

SO ORDERED.

Monica Bhattacharyya
Administrative Law Judge

TSMC Exhibit 1180, Page 2 of 2
TSMC v. Marlin - IPR2025-00847