

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY LTD. AND
APPLE INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

MARLIN SEMICONDUCTOR LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2025-00847
Patent 7,745,847

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING
WITH RESPECT TO APPLE INC.**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, Petitioner Apple Inc. (“Apple Petitioner”) and Patent Owner Marlin Semiconductor Ltd., jointly request termination of this inter partes review with respect to Apple Petitioner. Apple Petitioner and Patent Owner further request that the Board change the caption of this case to remove Apple Petitioner. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.20(b), the parties received authorization from the Board to file this motion on June 11, 2026.

Apple Petitioner and Patent Owner have settled with respect to the challenged patent and Apple Petitioner has agreed to refrain from participating in challenges against the patent. Termination of this proceeding is proper for several reasons.

First, this is a joint request by parties that have settled their dispute. 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48768 (Aug. 14, 2012) (“There are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a proceeding.”). Both Congress and the federal courts have expressed a strong interest in encouraging settlement in litigation. *See, e.g., Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. August*, 450 U.S. 346, 352 (1981) (“The purpose of [Fed. R. Civ. P.] 68 is to encourage the settlement of litigation.”); *Bergh v. Dept. of Transp.*, 794 F.2d 1575, 1577 (Fed. Cir. 1986) (“The law favors settlement of cases.”). The Federal Circuit places a particularly strong emphasis on settlement. *See Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe v. U.S.*, 806 F.2d 1046, 1050 (Fed. Cir. 1986) (noting that the law favors settlement to reduce antagonism and hostility between parties).

Here, no public interest or other factors weigh against termination of this proceeding with respect to Apple Petitioner.

Second, the Board has not yet “decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed” since oral argument has not yet occurred and the Office has not issued a Final Written Decision. 35 U.S.C. § 317(a); 77 Fed. Reg. 48768 (“The Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding”).

Third, the lawsuit involving U.S. Patent No. 7,745,847 (*i.e.*, the patent at issue in this proceeding) has been dismissed with prejudice, and Marlin Semiconductor Ltd. and Apple do not contemplate any litigation or proceeding against each other involving that patent.

Finally, dismissing Apple Petitioner from this proceeding will not prejudice the remaining Petitioner who does not object to Apple being dismissed from the proceeding.

As required by 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, the written agreement that contemplates the termination of this proceeding has been filed with this motion as Exhibit 2006, along with a separate request that the written agreement be kept separate as business confidential information.

Because Co-Petitioner Apple has previously filed through Co-Petitioner TSMC, Counsel for Patent Owner is submitting these filings to ensure that confidential information between the settling parties remains under seal.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 12, 2026

/Thomas A. Rozylowicz/
W. Karl Renner (Reg. No. 41,265)
Thomas A. Rozylowicz (Reg. No. 50,620)
Minseung Ahn (Reg. No. 76,611)
Fish & Richardson P.C.
60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200
Minneapolis, MN 55402
T: 202-783-5070
F: 877-769-7945

Counsel for Co-Petitioner Apple Inc.

/William A. Meunier/
William A. Meunier (Reg. No. 41,193)
Adam S. Rizk (Reg. No. 66,867)
Michael T. Renaud (Reg. No. 44,299)
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.
One Financial Center
Boston, MA 02111
Telephone: 617-348-1793
Facsimile: 617-542-2241
E-mail: WAMeunier@mintz.com
ARizk@mintz.com
MTRenaud@mintz.com
Mintz-Marlin-IPRs@mintz.com

Reza Dokhanchy (Reg. No. 62,795)
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.
3580 Carmel Mountain Road, Suite
300
San Diego, CA 92130
Telephone: 858-314-1500
RDokhanchy@mintz.com

Tawfik Goma (Reg. No. 67,416)
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.
777 Brickell Avenue, Suite 500
Miami, FL 33131

*Counsel for Patent Owner Marlin
Semiconductor Ltd.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of this JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING WITH RESPECT TO APPLE INC. (EXCLUDING EX. 2006) is being served by electronic mail on the following counsel of record:

Lead Counsel

J. Preston Long
(Reg. No. 65,125)
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,
FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER,
LLP
901 New York Ave. N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
Telephone: (202) 408-4347
jp.long@finnegan.com
TSMC-MSL-IPR@finnegan.com

Backup Counsel

Aiden Skoyles
(Reg. No. 61,119)
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,
FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER,
LLP
1875 Explorer St. Suite 800,
Reston, VA 20190
Telephone: (571) 203-2319
aidan.skoyles@finnegan.com

Carlos E. Duarte-Guevera
(Reg. No. 80,559)
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,
FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER,
LLP
901 New York Ave. N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
Telephone: (202) 408-6013
carlos.duarte-guevara@finnegan.com

W. Karl Renner
(Reg. No. 41,265)
Thomas A. Rozylowicz
(Reg. No. 50,620)
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
60 South Sixth Street,
Suite 3200
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 335-5070

PTABInbound@fr.com

Minseung Ahn
(Reg. No. 76,611)
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
1000 Maine Ave., S.W., Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20024
Telephone: (202) 783-5070
PTABInbound@fr.com

Dated: June 12, 2026

/s/ William A. Meunier

William A. Meunier (Reg. No. 41,193)