

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORP.,
Petitioner,

v.

VIRTAMOVE, CORP.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2025-00855
Patent No. 7,784,058

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Exhibit List

Exhibit	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,784,058
1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 7,784,058
1003	Declaration of Samrat Bhattacharjee, Ph.D. (“Bhattacharjee”), (EX. 1003 from IPR2025-00489)
1004	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Samrat Bhattacharjee
1005	U.S. Patent No. 7,024,672 (“Callender”)
1006	RESERVED
1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,263,376 (“Hatch”)
1008	U.S. Patent No. 6,260,075 (“Cabrero”)
1009	U.S. Patent No. 6,212,574 (“O’Rourke”)
1010	U.S. Patent No. 5,481,706 (“Peek”)
1011	U.S. Patent No. 7,499,966 (“Elnozahy”)
1012	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0216145 (“Wong”)
1013	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2003/0065856 (“Kagan”)
1014	U.S. Patent No. 7,583,681 (“Green”)
1015	Excerpts from Charles Petzold, <i>Programming Windows 95</i> (Microsoft Press 1996) (“Petzold”)
1016	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2002/0066086 (“Linden”)
1017	U.S. Patent No. 6,349,355 (“Draves”)
1018	Excerpts of Silberschatz et. al, <i>Operating System Concepts</i> (Wiley 6th ed. 2002) (“Silberschatz”)
1019	U.S. Patent No. 7,451,456 (“Andjelic”)
1020	Chart re: ’058 Patent accompanying Plaintiff VirtaMove Corp.’s Supplemental Preliminary Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions, in <i>VirtaMove, Corp. v. Google LLC</i> , 7:24-cv-00033-DC-DTG (W.D. Tex.) (Sep. 26, 2024)
1021	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2002/0095224 (“Braun”)
1022	U.S. Patent No. 6,173,336 (“Stoeckl”)
1023	U.S. Patent No. 6,016,515 (“Shaw”)
1024	U.S. Patent No. 7,080,172 (“Schmalz”)
1025	Excerpts of <i>Webster’s New World Computer Dictionary</i> (10th ed. 2003)
1026	Excerpts of <i>Barron’s Dictionary of Computer and Internet Terms</i> (8th ed. 2003)
1027	U.S. Patent No. 7,324,450 (“Oliver”)
1028	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2003/0103455 (“Pinto”)
1029	U.S. Patent No. 6,526,567 (“Cobbett”)

1030	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0142563 (“Fontarensky”)
1031	U.S. Patent No. 7,284,246 (“Kemp”)
1032	U.S. Patent No. 5,375,241 (“Walsh”)
1033	U.S. Patent No. 6,698,015 (“Moberg”)
1034	Excerpts of Collin, <i>Dictionary of Computing</i> (Collin 4th Ed. 2002) (“Collin”)
1035	Microsoft Computer Dictionary (Microsoft 5th ed. 2002) (“Microsoft”)
1036	U.S. Patent No. 6,526,159 (“Nickerson”)
1037	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2003/0154320 (“Calusinski”)
1038	U.S. Patent No. 7,437,483 (“Goossen”)
1039	U.S. Patent No. 7,100,162 (“Green-162”)
1040	U.S. Patent No. 7,453,852 (“Buddhikot”)
1041	U.S. Patent No. 5,584,023 (“Hsu”)
1042	U.S. Patent No. 7,144,031 (“Lin”)
1043	U.S. Patent No. 6,792,492 (“Griffin”)
1044	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2002/0116563 (“Lever”)
1045	U.S. Patent No. 6,594,698 (“Chow”)
1046	U.S. Patent No. 7,216,164 (“Whitmore”)
1047	U.S. Patent No. 6,988,271 (“Hunt”)
1048	Liss et. al, <i>Efficient Exploitation of Kernel Access to Infiniband: a Software DSM Example</i> , 11th Symposium on High Performance Interconnects, 2003 (“Liss”)
1049	Patel et. al., <i>A Model of Completion Queue Mechanisms Using the Virtual Interface API</i> , Proc. IEEE Int’l Conf. on Cluster Computing, 281-282 (IEEE 2002) (“Patel”)
1050	Scheduling Order in VirtaMove, Corp. v. Google LLC, 7:24-cv-00033-DC-DTG (W.D. Tex. June 17, 2024) (ECF 34)
1051	Federal Court Management Statistics–Profiles, U.S. District Courts–Combined Civil and Criminal (September 2024)
1052	Google LLC’s Proposed Claim Terms for Construction, <i>VirtaMove, Corp. v. Google LLC</i> , 7:24-cv-00033-DC-DTG (W.D. Tex.) (Oct. 1, 2024)
1053	Plaintiff’s Disclosure of Proposed Claim Constructions, <i>VirtaMove, Corp. v. Google LLC</i> , 7:24-cv-00033-DC-DTG (W.D. Tex.) (Oct. 1, 2024)
1054	U.S. Patent No. 7,124,260 (“LaBerge”)
1055	U.S. Patent No. 7,406,677 (“Colling”)
1056	U.S. Patent No. 5,278,969 (“Pashan”)
1057	Excerpts of <i>Webster’s New World Dictionary</i> (4th Ed. 2003)
1058	Joint Claim Construction Statement, <i>VirtaMove, Corp. v. Google LLC</i> ,

	7:24-cv-00033-DC-DTG (W.D. Tex.) (Dec. 18, 2024)
1059	Google’s Opening Claim Construction Brief, <i>VirtaMove, Corp. v. Google LLC</i> , 7:24-cv-00033-DC-DTG (W.D. Tex.) (Oct. 22, 2024)
1060	Request for <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,784,058 (“Unified Reexam”)
1061	U.S. Patent No. 7,210,124 (“Chan”)
1062	U.S. Patent No. 7,055,152 (“Ganapathy”)
1063	U.S. Patent No. 6,419,502 (“Trammel”)
1064	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2003/0140051 (“Fujiwara”)
1065	U.S. Patent No. 6,442,752 (“Jennings”)
1066	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2003/0225864 (“Gardiner”)
1067	VirtaMove’s Responsive Claim Construction Brief, <i>VirtaMove, Corp. v. Google LLC</i> , 7:24-cv-00033-DC-DTG (W.D. Tex.) (Nov. 12, 2024)
1068	VirtaMove’s Surreply Claim Construction Brief, <i>VirtaMove, Corp. v. Google LLC</i> , 7:24-cv-00033-DC-DTG (W.D. Tex.) (Dec. 13, 2024)
1069	U.S. Patent No. 7,213,247 (“Wilner”)
1070	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0078600 (“Nilsen”)
1071	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2002/0138675 (“Mann”)
1072-88	RESERVED
1089	Order Granting Defendant Google LLC’s Motion to Transfer Venue, <i>VirtaMove, Corp. v. Google LLC</i> , 7:24-cv-00033-DC-DTG (W.D. Tex.) (Jan. 22, 2025)
1090	Order Cancelling Markman Hearing, <i>VirtaMove, Corp. v. Google LLC</i> , 7:24-cv-00033-DC-DTG (W.D. Tex.) (Jan. 17, 2025)
1091-96	RESERVED
1097	U.S. Patent No. 7,424,710 (“Nelson”)
1098-1066	RESERVED
1107	Plaintiff VirtaMove Corp.’s Supplemental Preliminary Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions, in <i>VirtaMove, Corp. v. Google LLC</i> , 7:24-cv-00033-DC-DTG (W.D. Tex.) (Sept. 06, 2024)
1108	Plaintiff VirtaMove Corp.’s Preliminary Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions, in <i>VirtaMove, Corp. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 7:24-cv-00338 (W.D. Tex.) (Mar. 28, 2025)
1109	Confidential Settlement Agreement

Petitioner Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”) and Patent Owner VirtaMove, Corp. (“Patent Owner” or “VirtaMove”) (collectively “the parties”) have entered into an agreement (“Agreement”) that resolves all underlying disputes between the parties involving U.S. Patent No. 7,784,058 (“the ’058 Patent”). All pending related litigations between the parties have been or will be dismissed. The Agreement is the only agreement or understanding between the parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of this inter partes review.

In an email dated August 21, 2025, the Board authorized the parties to file (a) a joint motion to terminate and (b) a joint request to treat the Agreement as business confidential information.

As authorized, the parties jointly move that the Board terminate the above-captioned inter partes review, including Microsoft’s participation in that review. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), “[a]n inter partes review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” Here, termination is appropriate because the Board has not yet issued a decision on institution in this inter partes review.

No other party’s rights would be prejudiced by the termination of this inter partes review. The parties are not aware of any other pending proceeding involving the subject patent that would be affected by the requested termination of this

proceeding.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), the parties certify that they are filing concurrently herewith, as Ex. 1109, a true copy of the Agreement between the parties related to the subject patent, and a joint request to treat that agreement as business confidential information and to keep that agreement separate from the file of the involved patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). The Agreement does not reference any other agreement and is the entire agreement between the parties. Ex. 1109, Section 5.19 (“Entire Agreement”).

In view of the above, the parties respectfully request that the Board grant the parties’ joint motion to terminate this inter partes review, including Microsoft’s participation in that review. The parties further request that the Board grant their joint request to treat the Agreement as business confidential information and keep it separate from the file of the involved patent.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 21, 2025

/s/ James M. Heintz

James M. Heintz, Reg. No. 41,828
DLA Piper LLP
One Fountain Square
11911 Freedom Drive, Suite 300
Reston, VA 20190-5602
P: +1 703 773 4000
F: +1 703 773 5000

*Attorney for Petitioner Microsoft
Corporation.*

Dated: August 21, 2025

/s/ James Milkey

Reza Mirzaie
rmirzaie@raklaw.com
Marc A. Fenster
mfenster@raklaw.com
Neil A. Rubin
nrubin@raklaw.com
James Milkey
jmilkey@raklaw.com
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025

Qi (Peter) Tong
ptong@raklaw.com
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
4925 Greenville Ave, Suite 200
Dallas, TX 75206
Attorney for Patent Owner VirtaMove, Corp.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing document has been served via electronic mail upon the following on August 21, 2025:

rak_virtamove@raklaw.com

DLA-MicroIPR-VirtaM@us.dlapiper.com

Dated: August 21, 2025

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/James M. Heintz

James M. Heintz, Reg. No. 41,828

DLA Piper LLP

One Fountain Square

11911 Freedom Drive, Suite 300

Reston, VA 20190-5602

P: +1 703 773 4000

F: +1 703 773 5000

Attorney for Petitioner