UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

_____

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

_____

HISENSE USA CORPORATION; and
HISENSE ELECTRONICA MEXICO S.A. de C.V.
Petitioner,

v.

VIDEOLABS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

_____

Case No. IPR2025-00881
Patent No. 8,291,236

_____

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR REFUND OF POST-INSTITUTION FEES

Petitioner Hisense USA Corporation and Hisense Electronica Mexico S.A. de C.V. ("Petitioner") respectfully requests a refund of post-institution fees in the amount of $28,125.

On May 27, 2025, Petitioner filed a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,291,236 (Paper No. 1). As required by 37 C.F.R § 42.15(a), Petitioner deposited $51,875 with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") at the time of filing the Petition to cover associated fees. Petitioner's payment consisted of $23,750 in fees associated with the request for *Inter Partes* Review, and a further $28,125 in post-institution fees.

On October 10, 2025, the Board issued a Decision Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review (Paper No. 11). *Inter Partes* Review was thus not instituted. Accordingly, Petitioner requests a refund of the post-institution fees paid to the USPTO in connection with this proceeding, totaling $28,125, to be paid to Deposit Account 15-0665 (referencing Attorney Docket 30335.2008).

Dated: November 7, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

By: */Bas de Blank/*
Bas de Blank, Reg. No. 74,930
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Counsel for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby confirms that the foregoing paper was caused to be served on November 7, 2025 via electronic mail, upon the following attorneys of record for the Patent Owner:

Wayne M. Helge whelge@bdiplaw.com
James T. Wilson jwilson@bdiplaw.com
BDIP-VideoLabs_Hisense@bdiplaw.com.
BUNSOW DE MORY LLP

/Karen Johnson/
Karen Johnson