

CID	Commenter	LB	Draft	Clause	Page	Part of No	Type of Comment	Line	Page	Class	Duplicate of CID	Resn	Assignee	Submission	Motion Number	Comment	Proposed Change	Resolution	Owning Ad-hoc	Comment Group	Ad-hoc Status	Edit Status	Edit Notes	Edited in Draft	Last Updated	Last By
1	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4. 2	14.09.9	Y	T	9	14.09.9	9.2.4.6.4. 2	V	Alfred, Liwen, Jeongli	11-16/7661	20	Need to clarify or specify the setting of other parameters that are not covered by the STA to initiate a UL MU PPDU when it receives this information. The parameters include MCS, DCM, BW, ...	Either specify the additional fields or specify the rule on how the STA decides the values for these parameters.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-08) 11:22:43Z Agree in principle with the comment. The proposed resolution is to add any necessary fields that add flexibility if added in the UL MU response field, and specify how determined by fields obtained in the DL MU PPDU that carries the UL MU response scheduling or by default values for the parameters. The proposal is to add AP TX MCS in the fields. We also add the rules for the missing fields in subclause 25.22.2 (Rules for associating UL MU frames).	EDITOR	D0.3	EDITOR, 2016-08-10 16:00:46Z	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-10 16:00:46Z	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR	
2	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4. 3	14.38.38	Y	T	38	14.38.38	9.2.4.6.4. 3	V	Jarkko, Ahyh, 16/8812Z	104	A STA assumes that the AP is fine with the ROM changes as long as the AP sends the ROMI Control information in the ROMI frame. The ROMI frame that carries the ROMI. There could be problem with such assumption since the AP could change the ROMI value of the STA, which is a ROM change could affect the delivery of the data.	There should be a acknowledgement submitted in the ROMI frame. The AP that has received the ROMI can confirm whether the proposed resolution defines an ROM change at line. 11/16/8271 (ROM Recovery Rules). In addition, the ROMI behavior that the AP (i.e., OMI responder) shall use shall be defined. The ROMI Control field sent by the STA (i.e., OMI initiator) to send the ROMI in subsequent TXOP.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-13 11:19:25Z - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR	11/19:25Z - Revised	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-13 16:07:56Z	0.5	2016/9/23 16:35	EDITOR	
3	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4. 4	15.01.1	Y	T	1	15.01.1	9.2.4.6.4. 4	V	Alfred, Liwen, Jeongli	11-16/11931	92	It is not specified whether the performance metric the NSMCS subfields are for what bandwidth and/or for a specific RU.	Add a subfield to indicated whether the suggested NSMCS is for full bandwidth, or for a specific RU.	TGax editor to make the changes in the document 08/11/2 under all headings that include CID 2	MAC	HT Control	EDITOR	2016/5/17 18:40	EDITOR				
4	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4. 4	15.01.1	Y	T	1	15.01.1	9.2.4.6.4. 4	V	Yujin Noh	11-16/12391	99	Figure 8-51d seems to be duplicated. The caption is "Performance metric for NSMCS subfields". It seems to be the Trigger type-dependent. Per-User info while the caption says it belongs to the Trigger type.	Either add subfields that clear the performance metrics that are calculated for, or specify in the STA behavior for what performance metrics these										

25	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1	10.44.3	49	38	T	Y	49:39:38	10.44.3	V	Alied, Maiz, Ming Can, Kaying, Jiao, Jeongle	16/11/1892	113 This text is ambiguous: "A TWT requesting STA wake for a trigger-enabled TWT SP may transition to the doze state if it receives a trigger frame with a Cascade indication field equal to 0 that is not intended to it."	Suggestion: "A TWT trigger-enabled TWT SP may transition to the doze state if it receives a trigger frame with a Cascade indication field equal to 0 that is not intended to it."	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14: 14.24.122) - Revised –	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
26	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1	10.44.1	49	60	T	Y	49:40:00	10.44.1	V	Alied, Maiz, Ming Can, Kaying, Jiao, Jeongle	16/11/1892	113 Regarding "TDR Declares support of being a TWT requestor", it is not clear whether this declaration is via HE capability or something else.	Specify the method to this declaration	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14: 14.24.232) - Revised –	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
27	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	13	T	Y	53:13:13	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yunbo, Kison, Yuchi, Weming, Xiaodan, Jing, Yaseu	11/16/11062	72 It is not clear whether the two NAVs can be maintained/updated at the same time, or at any given point only one NAV is maintained.	Specify STA's behavior on how the two NAVs should be maintained w/ each other.	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20: 05.26.092) - Revised –	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
28	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	13	T	Y	53:13:13	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yunbo, Kison, Yuchi, Weming, Xiaodan, Jing, Yaseu	11/16/11062	72 Throughout this clause, STA's behavior is defined as if STA is a TWT requestor. This is not a normative language. Furthermore, it is not clear whether the STA is mandatory or not. It is not clear if the STA is mandatory and STA have to update them.	Update the language throughout this clause so that it is clear that the STA is a TWT requestor. The rules in this subclause is organized with the same structure as the normative behavior.	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20: 05.26.092) - Revised –	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
29	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	13	T	Y	53:13:13	25.2.1	J	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yunbo, Kison, Yuchi, Weming, Xiaodan, Jing, Yaseu	11/16/11062	72 Regarding "A STA that receives a trigger frame in a PSDU and cannot identify the frame as intra-BSS or inter-BSS", it is not clear if the STA is allowed to use the NAV with the information from any valid BSS origin of a frame cannot be identified updating both NAVs is a more reasonable action than ignoring both NAVs is a mandatory).	Suggest to change this clause accordingly.	REJECTED (MU: 2016-09-20: 05.48.382) - REJECTED –	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 2:05	EDITOR	
30	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1	25.3.2	54	21	T	Y	54:21:21	25.3.2	V	Alied, Ming Can, Kaying, Laurent, Woolpin, Naveen	16/02/860	42 Not sure if elsewhere a lower limit is defined for dynamic fragment, but certainly the benefits of having no lower limit as in "The length of each fragment, but certainly the nonzero value" is questionable.	Limit the size of dynamic fragment. Or the benefits of having no lower limit should be described here.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27: 14.31.012) - Proposed	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR
31	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1	25.4.1	55	57	T	Y	55:57:57	25.4.1	V	George, Chenan, Kaying, Deng Yu, Naveen, Reza, Yusuke, Kison	11/16/10263	68 It is expected that a multi-STA BA would contain at most STA BA info field with a given AID. Hence, this statement "On receiving such a Multi-STA BA info field, STA should ignore the BA info field" is not clear. It is not clear if the BA info field is a STA BA info field or a STA BA info field with its own BA recovery information.	As in the comment.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-13: 10.16.152) - REVISED. See below	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR

32	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.1	56	39	T	Y	56:39:39	25.5.1	J	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Yasei, Junichi, David	11-16/000293	69	The frame type and address field in the MAC header of MPDUs shall be different across AMPDUs within a same HE MU PPDU." This restriction is not applicable to the STA. That is, one payload per STA, and this sentence is not applicable to such restriction.	Fix this statement such that it reflects the restriction on the STA for the STA. The PHY only allows to allocate one RU to a STA. The resource unit carries a PSDU intended for the STA, not for the STA. That is, one payload per STA.	REJECTED (MU, 2016-09-15) EDITOR	No change to draft	EDITOR, 2016-09-23 D0.5: The resolution is correct, no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR
33	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.1	56	43	T	Y	56:43:43	25.5.1	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Yasei, Junichi, David	11-16/000293	69	The word "groupcast RU" has been mentioned in the specification, but it is not defined and without a prior definition. "A groupcast frame in a PPDU shall not include information intended for a STA that is identified as the recipient of another RU in the same HE MU PPDU." There is no definition of groupcast RU in the STD.	Remove the reference to "groupcast RU". Suggest to change "A groupcast frame in a PPDU shall not include information intended for a STA" to "A groupcast frame in a PPDU shall not include information intended for a STA in the same HE MU PPDU.".	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15) EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR, 2016-09-23 D0.5: The resolution is correct, no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR
34	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2	57	14	T	Y	57:14:14	25.5.2	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Yasei, Junichi, David	11-16/000293	70	The conditions in this paragraph can be stated more clearly. The "Trigger information" TID can be included in the MAC Header of individually addressed MPDUs. The following two frames shall not be present at the same time in an A-MPDU: 1) A frame that carries a MU capable STA by including the User Info field addressed to a STA. 2) A frame that carries a MU capable STA by including the User Info field addressed to the same STA.	As in the comment, remove lines 12-26 and instead change 0631:32Z to 0630:32Z. Suggest to change the text to: "The User Info field shall not be present at the same time in an A-MPDU: 1) A frame that carries a MU capable STA by including the User Info field addressed to a STA. 2) A frame that carries a MU capable STA by including the User Info field addressed to the same STA.".	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15) EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR, 2016-09-23 D0.5: The resolution is correct, no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR
35	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2	57	19	T	Y	57:19:19	25.5.2	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Yasei, Junichi, David	11-16/000293	70	The conditions in this paragraph can be stated more clearly. The "Trigger information" TID can be included in the MAC Header of individually addressed MPDUs. The following two frames shall not be present at the same time in an A-MPDU: 1) A frame that carries a MU capable STA by including the User Info field addressed to a STA. 2) A frame that carries a MU capable STA by including the User Info field addressed to the same STA.	As in the comment, remove lines 12-26 and instead change 0631:32Z to 0630:32Z. Suggest to change the text to: "The User Info field shall not be present at the same time in an A-MPDU: 1) A frame that carries a MU capable STA by including the User Info field addressed to a STA. 2) A frame that carries a MU capable STA by including the User Info field addressed to the same STA.".	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15) EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR, 2016-09-23 D0.5: The resolution is correct, no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR
36	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2	57	39	T	Y	57:39:39	25.5.2	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Yasei, Junichi, David	11-16/000293	70	This statement "An AP shall not transmit a Trigger frame to a STA that is not supported by all the recipient STAs of the Trigger frame" is not supported by all the recipient STAs of the Trigger frame. The Trigger frame shall carry a value that is not supported by the recipient STA. The Trigger frame field "should also include the case where a STA is triggered by an HEC field with UL MU response scheduling".	Revise this statement to "An AP shall not transmit a Trigger frame to a STA that is not supported by all the recipient STAs of the Trigger frame and HEC field that includes UL MU Scheduling info".	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15) EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR, 2016-09-23 D0.5: The resolution is correct, no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR
37	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2	57	39	T	Y	57:39:39	25.5.2	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Yasei, Junichi, David	11-16/000293	130	When multiple Trigger frames are transmitted in a PPDU, the response scheduling (Trigger info) in the MAC header of MPDUs are carried within the response scheduling (Trigger info) in the Common Info of the Trigger frame(s) and the HEC field should be the same. The Trigger frame(s) and the HEC field should be the same across all the Trigger frames and HEC fields within UL MU response scheduling (Trigger info) in the MAC header of MPDUs. The Trigger frame(s) and the HEC field should be the same across all the Trigger frames and HEC fields within UL MU response scheduling (Trigger info) in the MAC header of MPDUs. The Trigger frame(s) and the HEC field should be the same across all the Trigger frames and HEC fields within UL MU response scheduling (Trigger info) in the MAC header of MPDUs.	Add the described behavior of multiple Trigger frames and HEC fields within UL MU response scheduling (Trigger info) in the MAC header of MPDUs under all headings that include CID 37.	REVISED (MU, 2016-11-10) EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	EDITOR, 2016-11-29 2:45	1	See CID number or #759

38	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2	57	39	T	Y	57:39:39	25.5.2	V	11-16-13611	130 Other behaviors of a STA that schedule UL MU transmission via HE trigger should be resolved in 11-16-5293 and its respective changes are included, such as: (a) in a HE trigger, the duration of the HE trigger field with UL MU response scheduling across an AMPDU should be the same, (b) HE trigger frame with UL MU response scheduling should be scheduled (since it should be the multicast frame should respond), etc	As in the comment.	REVISED MU 2016-11-10: 20-44-472	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	See CID number or #759	1	2016/11/23 2:45	EDITOR
39	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2	57	53	T	Y	57:53:53	25.5.2	J	11-16/00293	70 Regarding "An UL OFDMA MPDU/AMPDU is the acknowledgement of the trigger frame, the STA receives MPDU correctly from at least one STA indicated by the HE trigger frame, the HE trigger frame is successful", it seems this rule does not consider the case where an AP schedules a primary channel transmission for multiple STAs, but the STAs that have received the HE trigger frame do not respond, in which case the primary channel could be idle for the duration of the HE trigger frame. In this case, the channel could be grabbed by other OBSS STAs	Suggest to consider the case mentioned in this comment, and change this rule so that the HE trigger frame contains an assignment on the Primary channel. In case any of the STAs that received the HE trigger frame do not respond, the HE trigger frame is successful. In the scenario outlined by the comment, the NAV can be used to protect the primary channel	REJECTED MU 2016-09-15: 14-43-482	EDITOR	No change to draft	N		2016/11/23 2:10	EDITOR	
40	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2	58	1	T	Y	58:01:1	25.5.2	A	11-16/00293	70 "The inter frame space between a PPDU that contains HE trigger frame and the triggered HE trigger-based PPDU is SIFS."	"The inter frame space between a PPDU that contains HE trigger frame and the triggered HE trigger-based PPDU is SIFS."	ACCEPTED MU 2016-09-15: 14-46-592	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
41	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 9.3.1.23	21	39	T	Y	21:39:39	9.3.1.23			"The User Identifier field of the HE trigger frame is the RU to transmit the HE trigger frame. The HE trigger frame is a unicast trigger frame, there is no need to carry the AID within the HE trigger frame. The HE trigger frame is a unicast trigger frame. The Common Info is less than a regular size Per-User Info field. The HE trigger frame is a unicast trigger frame."	Suggest to remove the AID field in the User-Info of a HE trigger frame. The HE trigger frame is a unicast trigger frame.	MAC	Trigger frame format			2016/5/17 16:38	EDITOR		
42	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2	58	43	T	Y	58:43:43	25.5.2	J	11-16/00293	70 "The Trigger Type value of a STA shall include in the HE trigger frame the type of the required type. If the STA does not have a frame of the required type, the STA shall include a null frame." "It is not the best outcome if a STA sends QoS Null frame in response to a HE trigger frame. The STA does not have the specified type of frame requested in the HE trigger frame. The STA shall include a null frame in its UL MU frame as well. Instead of requiring the STA to include a null frame, the STA carries no useful info, it'd be better to give the choice to the STA to send other frames with a minimum control info such as a specific TD or all TIDs ...	Change this statement to give the choice to the STA to send other data management info such as a buffer size for a specific TD or all TIDs, etc ...	REJECTED MU 2016-09-15: 14-48-072	EDITOR	No change to draft	N		2016/11/23 2:10	EDITOR	
43	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2	59	9	T	Y	59:09:9	25.5.2	J	11-16/00293	70 It seems with the same logic CTS should be included in this list. The response generated by the STA should be a frame on a BlockAck frame and the duration of the HE trigger-based PPDU is less than a TBD value". The HE trigger frame is a unicast trigger frame to a set of STAs, and an RTS is sent to another set of STAs.	Revise to "The response generated by the STA contains an Ack frame, a BlockAck frame, and the duration of the HE trigger-based PPDU is less than a TBD value".	REJECTED MU 2016-09-15: 14-48-532	EDITOR	No change to draft	N		2016/11/23 2:10	EDITOR	

44	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2.6	59	34	T	Y	59:34:34	25.5.2	J	Simon, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Yoon, Anton, Yaseu, Junchi, David	11-16/00293	70 It seems with the same logic as the HE trigger-based PDU (UL MU PPDU) solicited by the ACKBA or CTS and the length response and UL MU PPDU Trigger frame containing the HE trigger-based PDU is below a TBD threshold. This is useful when an AP sends a RTS to another STA and solicits ACKBA in response, and sends RTS or RTS to another STA or more 20MHz sub-channels.	REJECTED (MU 2016-09-15) EDITOR	No change to draft	N	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR		
45	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2.6	59	47	T	Y	59:47:47	25.5.2.5	V	Simon, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Yoon, Anton, Yaseu, Junchi, David	16/00060	24 Regarding this case, an AP may indicate TBD granularity of 2140/362 the Queue Size for an HE STA to report (signaling method to report) the Trigger frame including the TBD indication in the Trigger frame of the HE A-Control field, the HE STA shall report the frame including the Queue Size subfield in a QoS Control field. If the HE STA reports the granularity of the queue size it chooses the granularity (i.e., the STA in a cluster position to determine the granularity of the queue size it reports) unless the AP has specified the queue size granularity in its request.	REVISED (MU 2016-07-28) EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	2016/05/17 16:36	EDITOR		
46	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2.6	60	1	T	Y	60:01:1	25.5.2.6	Chitt, Kiseon, Yoon, Reza, Shephane, Baron, Young Gan, Yuchi		What is the benefit of the RU that is used for RV? Is it assumed that the smallest size RU is used for RA, or it is up to the implementer to choose the RU size that fits best to the BSS (given the number of associated STAs etc)? Clarify please. Also related to 9.3.1.23.	MU	MU operation		2016/05/17 16:36	EDITOR			
47	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2.6	60	34	T	Y	60:34:34	25.5.2.6	J	Chitt, Kiseon, Yoon, Reza, Shephane, Baron, Young Gan, Yuchi	11-16/12221	101 Is it OCWmin or OCWmin-1? Clarify other situations that a STA initializes its OCW to OCWmin-1 when an HE STA obtains the value of OCWmin from the HE AP. It shall set the value of OCW to the OCWmin-1 and shall initialize its OBO counter to a random value in the range of 0 and OCWmin-1.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-21) EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR, 2016-09-23 16:37:52. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
48	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2.6	60	34	T	Y	60:34:34	25.5.2.6	V	Chitt, Kiseon, Yoon, Reza, Shephane, Baron, Young Gan, Yuchi	11-16/12221	101 Clarify other situations that a STA initializes its OCW to OCWmin-1 when an HE STA obtains the value of OCWmin from the HE AP. It shall set the value of OCW to the OCWmin-1 and shall initialize its OBO counter to a random value in the range of 0 and OCWmin-1.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-21) EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #450	0.5	2016/05/17 16:36	EDITOR
49	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2.6	60	34	T	Y	60:34:34	25.5.2.6	V	Chitt, Kiseon, Yoon, Reza, Shephane, Baron, Young Gan, Yuchi	11-16/11624	97 If an UL MU transmission via UL MU random access was not successful (due to collision) or the STA should initialize its OCW, OCWmin, or twice its OCWmin-1 value initialization?	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20) EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #51	0.5	2016/05/17 16:36	EDITOR
50	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2.6	60	1	T	Y	60:01:1	25.5.2.6	Chitt, Kiseon, Yoon, Reza, Shephane, Baron, Young Gan, Yuchi		Are the RUs that are available for random access specified by RU index? E.g. in a 20MHz PDU where all nine 26-tone RUs are available for random access, should the AP indicate these RUs in the Trigger frame by indicating the RU index or random access time limit? This is rather repetitive and inefficient signaling. Also related to 9.3.1.23.	MU	MU operation		2016/05/17 16:36	EDITOR			
51	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.2.6	60	1	T	Y	60:01:1	25.5.2.6	V	Chitt, Kiseon, Yoon, Reza, Shephane, Baron, Young Gan, Yuchi	11-16/11624	97 Would there be OCWmax for random access? There should be a limit on the increase of OCW in cases of collision or error.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20) EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-23 17:42:02. Removed to the RAPS element. The RAPS element is not a field in a parameter derived from EOCWmin. Reference to the parameter is without reference to the RAPS element is adequate.	0.5	2016/05/17 16:36	EDITOR

52	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.3	60	52	T	Y	60.52.52	25.5.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton	16/14641	129 Clarify whether the TXOP that is used for cascading is owned by the AP or could be owned by a STA? If an AP can use the TXOP that is owned by a STA, how/where would the AP and STA agree on the cascading or RD should take place?	As in the comment.	REVISED (MU 2016-11-28 21:03:02Z) The non-AP STA can obtain a TXOP and use it for cascading. The HE AP can grant the HE AP to be RD responder. The main motivation of the RD protocol is to allow the RD responder opportunity to transmit reverse sounding. HE AP RD initiator. If the HE AP RD responder is allowed to transmit the HE UL and HE DL transmissions, the HE AP may transmit the HE UL and HE DL and the RD initiator may not receive any data. This lowers RD initiator STA throughput and lowers the motivation to use the reverse direction protocol.	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	EDITOR, 2016-11-28 21:37:04Z	1	2016/11/29 22:45	EDITOR
53	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.3	61	19	T	Y	61.19.19	25.5.3	A	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton	11-16/14573	130 By definition, there is only one DL transmitter in a BSS at any time! This is redundant. "The cascading sequence has only one DL transmitter."	Remove "The cascading sequence has only one DL transmitter."	ACCEPTED (MU 2016-11-10 21:27:33Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	EDITOR, 2016-11-22 21:43:10Z	1	2016/11/29 22:45	EDITOR
54	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.3	61	20	T	Y	61.20.20	25.5.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton	11-16/14573	130 This is not clear. "The cascading sequence may have a different set of transmitters in HE trigger-based PPDU as compared to the DL HE MU PPDU that shows the HE trigger-based PPDU within the same TXOP." In a TXOP, all the STAs are part of STA and at a certain time within the same TXOP, trigger another set of STAs. Therefore, the distinction made regarding the cascading is not clear here.	Revise and clarify as in the comment.	REVISED (MU 2016-11-10 21:25:58Z) HE trigger-based PPDU as compared to the DL HE MU PPDU that shows the HE trigger-based PPDU within the same TXOP." In a TXOP, an AP may trigger one set of STAs and at a certain time within the same TXOP, trigger another set of STAs.	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	EDITOR, 2016-11-22 21:43:48Z	1	2016/11/29 22:45	EDITOR
55	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.6	61	25	T	Y	61.25.25	25.6	V	Rajiv, Liwen, Narendran, Ross, Zhou, Kaijing	11-16/07794	95 Clarify whether an AP can share the sounding sequence in this clause between a set of UL-MU-capable STAs and another set of STAs that are not UL-MU-capable STAs.	Revised in MU version and accepted with document revision #4	REVISED (MU 2016-09-15 13:10:34Z - REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 18:48:12Z - There are two mechanisms that have been accepted and they are defined as SU and MU sounding and the AP can choose either of them. Proposed resolution provides more details on this aspect. [At hoc dts editor: Apply the same as in clause CID 55 in 16/7732]	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR	
56	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.3	61	10	T	Y	61.10.10	25.5.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton	11-16/14573	130 Specify the IFS value in Fig 25- Change the xIFS to IFS.	2.	REVISED (MU 2016-11-10 21:25:22Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	EDITOR, 2016-11-22 21:44:40Z - see #604	1	2016/11/29 22:45	EDITOR
57	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.5.3	61	10	T	Y	61.10.10	25.5.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton	11-16/14573	130 Fig 25-2 seems to suggest that PPDU's after the DL MU PPDU's, which is not the case. The cascading sequence should be revised, or at least change the "UL MU PPDU(s)" to "UL MU PPDU".	As in the comment.	REVISED (MU 2016-11-10 21:21:44Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	EDITOR, 2016-11-22 21:33:12Z - The revision contains no editing instructions.	N	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
58	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25	53	1	G	Y	53.01.1	25	V	Ahmed, Liwen, Jeongji	11-16/07980	21 "HE variant HT Control field", "HE A-Control", "HE-Control, or HE-C7? There are too many variations of the HE variant HT Control field. Proposed resolution is to use A-Control throughout the spec.	As in the comment.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08 12:26:16Z) Agree in principle with the comment. I could only add HE variant HT Control and HE variant HT Control field. Proposed resolution is to use A-Control throughout the spec.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	2016/08/03 18:24	EDITOR	

72	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.11	65	60	T	Y	65:60:00	25.11	Yongho, Allied	11-16/141592	127	Unless what is suggested here is not a problem, allow an AP to change its Color value during a BSS transition. This is in line with the BSS Color management in the HE Operation. The HE STA shall maintain that single value of the BSS Color submitted for the duration of the existence of the BSS. The HE STA shall ensure that an AP manages its Color value so that it reduces Color collisions with other neighboring APs. Since the Color value is dependent on Color behaviors, the HE STA shall ensure that an AP manages its Color value to avoid Color collisions and avoid unneeded behaviors from its associated STAs when there is a Color collision that at least the AP is aware of.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-11-12 11:52Z) Given the principle of the BSS Color management, the HE STA shall maintain that single value of the BSS Color submitted for the duration of the existence of the BSS. The HE STA shall ensure that an AP manages its Color value so that it reduces Color collisions with other neighboring APs. Since the Color value is dependent on Color behaviors, the HE STA shall ensure that an AP manages its Color value to avoid Color collisions and avoid unneeded behaviors from its associated STAs when there is a Color collision that at least the AP is aware of.	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	1	2016/11/29 22:43	EDITOR
73	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.11	66	6	T	Y	65:06:6	25.11	Yongho, Allied	11-16/8623	44	The virtual APs which are associated with the BSS Color element shall select a same BSS Color."	REVISED (MAC: 2016/07/27 22:43Z) "Agree in principle. The sentence is not little clear."	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	0.3	2016/6/23 16:24	EDITOR
74	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.12	66	48	T	Y	66:48:48	25.12				Should some form of multicast identifiers (IDs) be included in this list. The values obtained from the STA ID_LIST do not match the identifier of the STA or the BSS identifier. If the STA ID_LIST is not a BSS ID, is it possible for the STA to send a multicast frame within a BSS? If the answer is yes, it should be specified what broadcast/multicast identifiers should be used in the SGB of the DL MU PPDU.	As in the comment.	EDITOR			2016/4/13 3:32	EDITOR
75	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.12	66	41	T	Y	66:41:41	25.12				In all of the stated conditions, the color of the received frame with the STA's Color is included, which means during a random access, a STA may not go into the power save mode. In a dense environment, such as the HE WLAN, the power save mode is not a good idea. It is possible that medium is shared between multiple BSSes and then intra-PPDU contention may occur. The power save mode is not a good idea for a fraction of the time.	Specify the situations here in which the STA's Color is included, which means during a random access, a STA may not go into the power save mode. In a dense environment, such as the HE WLAN, the power save mode is not a good idea. It is possible that medium is shared between multiple BSSes and then intra-PPDU contention may occur. The power save mode is not a good idea for a fraction of the time.	EDITOR			2016/4/13 3:32	EDITOR
76	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.13	67	31	T	Y	67:31:31	25.13	J Allied, Jeongji	16/04/42	41	There should be another condition on whether there is a pending payload for a STA in order for the STA to go to doze state.	Added additional condition such as "pending payload for a STA in order for the STA to go to doze state".	EDITOR	No change to draft		2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR
77	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.13	67	34	T	Y	67:34:34	25.13	Allied, Jeongji			Enabling a Cascade indication in such case does not help much those STA that are in hot of doze state. If random access is enabled, the STA will receive Trigger frames. Then all the Trigger frames in the sequence shall have the Cascade indication set to 1, except for the last Trigger frame in the sequence that shall have the Cascade indication set to 0." For power save during DL OFDMA-based random access is better if each Trigger frame is sent to the STA during the current Trigger frame within enabled Cascade indication.	As in the comment.	MAC	MAC General		2016/5/17 16:36	EDITOR
78	Almadreza Hedayat	23	0.1 25.13	67	31	T	Y	67:31:31	25.13	Allied, Jeongji			Since the TF start time are only an estimation (we would be off by a few microseconds), any APs use the medium), the behavior of a STA that is out of the medium should be specified. "When an HE STA receives a Beacon with the Cascade indication set to 1, it may enter the doze state till the expiration of the value indicated in the Beacon."	As in the comment.	MAC	MAC General		2016/5/17 16:36	EDITOR

90	Alfred Asatrigian	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.1	31	57	T	N	31:57:57	9.2.4.6.4.1	V	Alfred Lwien, 11-16/7664 Jeongil	20 The length of the UL MU response scheduling is TBD, the reference to the subclause where it is defined is missing.	Replace "TBD" with 0 or add any missing field and its respective description, add the reference to the subclause where it is defined in the last column of the row.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08) 11:25:55Z Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution finalizes the TBDs of the subclause.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	see #1	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
91	Alfred Asatrigian	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.1	31	59	T	N	31:59:59	9.2.4.6.4.1	V	Alfred Lwien, 11-16/7660 Jeongil	21 The length of the ROM is TBD, the reference to the subclause where it is defined is missing.	Replace "TBD" with 0, add any missing field and its respective description, add the reference to the subclause where it is defined in the last column of this row.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08) 18:52:22Z Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution incorporates the suggested change and defines the TBD accounting for the new subfields to be added (UL MU Disable (1b), Tx NSS (3b), Max Tx Power (6b) and Reserved (1b), i.e., total length of 16 bits).	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I		0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
92	Alfred Asatrigian	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.1	31	61	T	N	31:61:61	9.2.4.6.4.1	V	Alfred Lwien, 11-16/7660 Jeongil	21 The length of the HE link adaptation is TBD, the reference to the subclause where it is defined is missing.	Replace "TBD" with Z, where Z is whatever the length of the field is. The proposed resolution incorporates the suggested change and defines the TBD.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08) 18:53:25Z Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution incorporates the suggested change and defines the TBD.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I		0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
93	Alfred Asatrigian	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.1	31	63	T	N	31:63:63	9.2.4.6.4.1	V	Alfred Lwien, 11-16/7660 Jeongil	127 This TBD needs to be removed. Insert two rows, with Control ID 16077660 under all headings that include CID 92.	Already mentioned in 11-16/6960.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #524	0.5	2016/11/23 1:07	EDITOR	
94	Alfred Asatrigian	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.2	32	31	T	N	32:31:31	9.2.4.6.4.2	V	Alfred Lwien, 11-16/7664 Jeongil	20 A couple of TBDs here in the UL MU Response scheduling. Define the unit of the UL PPOU field (e.g., 1000, 100, 10, 1, 0.1, symbol (four)), and what it includes in the length assumption. Since the RU Allocation subfield, define its length and its setting. E.g., defined it as the 7 LSBs of the PPOU field. The Trigger frame (with the assumption that AckBAs are sent in the same 80MHz as the Trigger frame) is not a new subfield, you would need at least a portion of the MCS (say 3 LSBs of 4b) and some TPC parameter (e.g., Target RSSI).	As in comment.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08) 11:29:42Z Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution incorporates the suggested changes.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	see #1	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
95	Alfred Asatrigian	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.3	32	52	T	N	32:52:52	9.2.4.6.4.3	V	Alfred	112 Define the TBD in ROM, then remove that subfield and define the length to be 5 bits.	As in comment.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-14) 14:02:07Z - Revised -	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-19 22:05:38Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
96	Alfred Asatrigian	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.4	33	14	T	N	15:14:14	9.2.4.6.4.4	V	Alfred Lwien, 11-16/7660 Jeongil	Anything missing here in the HE Link Adaptation? If yes then add it. And please remove this TBD field so that the length is defined.	As in comment.	EDITOR	MAC	HT Control			2016/5/17 18:46	EDITOR	

107	Alied Asafrajshi	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	39	65	T	N	39.65.65	9.3.1.23	Liwen, Reza, Yimbo, Sharon, Evelyn, Po, Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	The Padding field extends the recipient STAs more time to prepare a response. The length and the content of the padding field are variable. Certainly the length is variable number of bytes (not TBD) while the content needs to be defined (or specified that is not specified). Specify what the content (and how to determine its location) is.	MAC	Trigger frame format	2016/5/17 18:39	EDITOR		
108	Alied Asafrajshi	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.1	40	10	T	N	40.10.10	9.3.1.23.1	Liwen, Reza, Yimbo, Sharon, Evelyn, Po, Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	As in comment. This figure is not really needed since it is the same as the one defined in the previous subclause (redundancy leads to errors). Remove this field and simply specify what the Trigger Dependent Per User Info field contains when the trigger is MU BAR variant. Also in PAD, to the figure reference is wrong. Specify that it is Figure 9-51.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-21 01:20:13Z) Current text in section 9.3.1.23.1 is removed and text as specified in current document added.	0.5	2016/09/29 18:35	EDITOR
109	Alied Asafrajshi	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.1	40	33	T	N	40.33.33	9.3.1.23.1	Liwen, Reza, Yimbo, Sharon, Evelyn, Po, Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	As in comment. The BAR Control and BAR Information field are defined in 9.3.1.8 and belong to the Trigger Dependent per user information. Since this BAR frames solicit the BARs we have defined in 11ax then we may need to specify that the same information is contained in the BlockAck frames (for their length) also apply to the BAR frames. It is more appropriate to make this change to 9.3.1.8 (BlockAck frame format) and perhaps cite here with a note that calls those cases (this last suggestion not strictly necessary as long as 9.3.1.8 is amended appropriately).	MAC	Trigger frame format	2016/5/17 18:39	EDITOR		
110	Alied Asafrajshi	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.2	40	43	T	N	40.43.43	9.3.1.23.2	Liwen, Reza, Yimbo, Sharon, Evelyn, Po, Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	As in comment. 20 If statements are generally followed by then or otherwise information. The statements seem to be the case for the CTS frames sent as a response to the MU/RTS. Remove the "If" from the sentence unless there is a compelling reason for the CTS frames. The statement seems not the case since this is legacy NAV protection. Also specify what the contents of the Trigger Dependent Per-User Info field are in this case.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-08 19:55:44Z) The comment refers to the "If" statement for CTS response to be carried in non-HT or non-HT duplicate PPDU. Agree with the comment. Based on the agreement in 16844, CTS solicited by MU-RTS shall be carried in non-HT or non-HT duplicate PPDU. Hence, delete the "If" statement. Based on the current agreement, there is no Trigger Dependent Per-User Info or Trigger Dependent Common Info. Hence, the frame format will follow Figure 9-51b and 9-51c. The frame format in 1607.9 and 1681.1 without Trigger Dependent Per-User Info or Trigger Dependent Common Info. Further, based on the agreement in 16848, CTS solicited by MU-RTS shall be carried in non-HT or non-HT duplicate PPDU. Hence, the	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
111	Alied Asafrajshi	23	0.1	9.3.3	41	4	T	N	23.30.4	9.3.3		There are multiple features that As in comment can be activated if dot11HEOptionImplemented is true (and eventually additions of the respective element fields). We need to add this indication whenever present (i.e., add the features (baseline and non) that we plan to enable for HE STAs. This comment is valid for any MGMT frame in the HE specification: Probe Request/Response, (Re) Assoc. Req/Resp, Action etc).	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/4/13 3:32	EDITOR		
112	Alied Asafrajshi	23	0.1	9.4.1.62	43	52	T	N	43.52.52	9.4.1.62	Lochan Varma	As in comment (valid also for HE Compressed Beamforming 9.4.1.63). Perhaps you want to specify the value of the field. The value shall specify which fields apply to HE STAs and VHT STAs, and which fields apply to EHT STAs. The value shall be 1608.361 Exclusive Beamforming Report field. And please add any other associations (if any) so that we don't have orphan TBDs in this and the next subclause.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.4	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-08 16:02:54Z) - Proposed The value of the field shall specify which fields apply to HE STAs and VHT STAs, and which fields apply to EHT STAs. The value shall be 1608.361 Exclusive Beamforming Report field. And please add any other associations (if any) so that we don't have orphan TBDs in this and the next subclause.	0.4	2016/10/18 20:41	EDITOR

113 Alfred Asatgajshi	23	0.1	94.2.196.44	38	T	N	44:38:38	9.4.2.196	V	Matt Fischer	16/12663	119 Since the TWT element (basic) and the Broadcast TWT element will have different formats (Broadcast TWT can contain multiple TWT elements and Broadcast TWT can only contain one), we need to split the subclause in two dependent clauses (one when Broadcast is 0 and one when Broadcast is 1) and then define the fields for each of them (if the fields are the same then simply refer to the Broadcast TWT element and avoid redundancy). And remove those fields (or portions of subclauses) where transmission of Trigger frames with and without Broadcast TWT to be added in these two rows	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-16) 0859:432Z - Revised - generally agree. Tgax editor will make the changes shown in 11-16-12663 under all headings that include CID 113	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 14:46:40Z - see #1524	I	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
114 Alfred Asatgajshi	23	0.1	94.2.196.45	58	T	N	46:58:58	9.4.2.196	V	Matt Fischer	16/12663	119 References are missing for subclauses where transmission of Trigger frames with and without Broadcast TWT to be added in these two rows	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15) 0859:432Z - Revised - generally agree. Tgax editor shall make the changes shown in 11-16-12663 under all headings that include CID 114	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 14:32:12Z	I	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
115 Alfred Asatgajshi	23	0.1	94.2.196.47	5	T	N	47:05:5	9.4.2.196	V	Matt Fischer	16/12663	119 Some of the entries of this table (9-248) are empty. Either add N/A or add the missing information. Add the missing information to the Trigger part of the TWT Parameters as well. As such add it to the note at the end of the table.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15) 0900:08Z - Revised - generally agree. Tgax editor shall make the changes shown in 11-16-12663 under all headings that include CID 115	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 14:57:26Z - see #692	I	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
116 Alfred Asatgajshi	23	0.1	94.2.213.48	58	T	N	48:58:58	9.4.2.213	V	Matt Fischer	16/12663	119 There are many features (PHY and MAC) which capabilities are missing in the HE Capabilities information field.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15) 0900:15Z - Revised - Agree in principle with the comment - Tgax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16-12663 under all headings that include CID 116	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 17:24:33Z - There are no editing instructions for #116 in the comment. The general nature of the comment leads me to believe it was taken care of with other comments.	N	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR		
117 Alfred Asatgajshi	23	0.1	94.2.214.53	7	T	N	53:07:7	9.4.2.214	V	Matt Fischer, Alfred	16/12663	119 Some information is missing here. E.g., in Basic MCS and HE Capabilities information field, from VHT? If the second is the case then need to add it and its details. Also BSS Color is 6 bits. This needs a bit to activate it. And the AP can enable certain features (e.g., RTS transmissions from its STA(s)). This needs a bit to activate it or not. Check if any other feature needs dynamic signaling of its activation or not.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-19) 0902:48Z - Revised - Tgax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12663 under all headings that include CID 117	EDITOR: 2016-09-19 17:14:39Z - see #697	I	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
118 Alfred Asatgajshi	23	0.1	97.3	40	T	N	53:49:40	9.7.3	V	Yongho, Liwen, John Son, Tomo	11-16/13521	129 may/shall statements are not appropriate in clause 9 (where frame formats are defined). I noticed multiple occurrences of "shall" and "must" with declarative statement. Change "shall" and "must" with "may" and "should" and add the appropriate normative behavior statements in their respective subclauses. Also if there are additional rules these are not to be defined here but rather in the normative behavior statements and under BlockAck procedure) so remove this additional rules are TBD from the normative behavior statements in clause 10 in subclauses for AMPDU operation and in subclauses for BA operation.	REVISED (MAC: 2015-11-09) 0525:12Z Agree in principal	EDITOR: 2015-11-09 05:25:12Z Agree in principal	I	see #1352	1	2016/11/29 22:45	EDITOR
119 Alfred Asatgajshi	23	0.1	92.4.5.4	37	T	N	578:37:37	9.2.4.5.4	N			The Ack Policy field need to be updated to accommodate the MU Ack mode (value 3) that is defined for the AckBA frames sent in HE MU mode.	EDITOR			2016/4/13 3:32	EDITOR		
120 Alfred Asatgajshi	23	0.1	97.3	22	T	N	54:22:22	9.7.3	V	Yongho, Liwen, John Son, Tomo	16/11861	118 I am not certain we need new columns to the existing tables of RE/Vmc DS 0 to accommodate the new multi-TID operation (UL/DL, MU operation, MU BAR, M-STA, BA etc). If prefer to keep separate tables for each operation, then parts so that all frames that can be present are covered in the AMPDU.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15) 0900:15Z - Revised - Agree in principal. Tgax editor makes changes as shown in the as specified in 11-16/11861.	EDITOR: 2016-09-15 09:00:15Z - Revised - Agree in principal	I	See #695	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
121 Alfred Asatgajshi	23	0.1	92.4.7.1	590	7	T	590:07:7	9.2.4.7.1	N			Table 9-19 (Maximum data unit size (in octets) and durations (in microseconds) to be updated for HE PPDU.	EDITOR			2016/4/13 3:32	EDITOR		

122 Aff'd Asterjadh	23	0.1	10.3.2.4	57	52	T	N	57:52:52	10.3.2.4	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yuenbo, Kison, Yuchi, Weiming, Xiaoli, Jing, Yau	16/12/12	115 The AP may use a TBD mechanism to configure the use of RTS/CTS frames initiated by non-AP STAs. This mechanism should be defined.	As in comment.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14) 19:11:12Z - Revised - TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/12	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
123 Aff'd Asterjadh	23	0.1	10.3.2.8a	58	7	E	N	58:07:7	10.3.2.8a	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yuenbo, Kison, Yuchi, Weiming, Xiaoli, Jing, Yau	16/08/072	Figures 10-act and act2 are not clear. Provide source file to the editor.	As in comment. The comment is applicable to all figures in the 23 19:09:34Z - Resolution improved with #2224	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/11/22 20:11	EDITOR	
124 Aff'd Asterjadh	23	0.1	10.3.2.8a	58	60	T	N	58:60:60	10.3.2.8a	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yuenbo, Kison, Yuchi, Weiming, Xiaoli, Jing, Yau	16/08/072	25 What other indications are added to the MU RTS variant of the Trigger frame? This is not a valid question about the transmission from legacy devices. Hence this statement should be removed. "Other indications are TBD".	As in comment. REVISED (MU: 2016-07-28) 22:11:52Z - TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/08/072 under all headings that include CID 2428.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR	
125 Aff'd Asterjadh	23	0.1	10.3.2.8a	59	11	T	N	59:11:11	10.3.2.8a	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yuenbo, Kison, Yuchi, Weiming, Xiaoli, Jing, Yau	16/08/752	49 The only other transmission conditions that are missing are the following: the MU RTS frame is sent by the AP with which the STA is associated with and that the inter BSS NAV counter is 0.	As in comment. REVISED (MU: 2016-07-28) 23:26:01Z - TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/08/752 under all headings that include CID 125.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR	
126 Aff'd Asterjadh	23	0.1	10.3.2.8a	59	15	T	N	59:15:15	10.3.2.8a	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yuenbo, Kison, Yuchi, Weiming, Xiaoli, Jing, Yau	16/08/072	25 Couple of issues in this paragraph: "HE STA may transmit CTS responses" is technically not correct as you are not transmitting in the preceding paragraph. You simply need to specify that HE STA responses are CTS responses. The CTS response required is not TBD since we have defined the MU RTS as an MU RTS variant Trigger frame.	As in comment. REVISED (MU: 2016-07-28) 22:25:52Z - TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/08/072 under all headings that include CID 13.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR	
127 Aff'd Asterjadh	23	0.1	10.3.2.8a	59	17	T	N	59:17:17	10.3.2.8a	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yuenbo, Kison, Yuchi, Weiming, Xiaoli, Jing, Yau	16/08/152	22 MAC knows nothing of Scrambler initialization of the PHY RXEND Primitive (given upon end of rx of MU RTS) to give it this parameter. Scrambler is not PHY's scramble to use for the Service field of the CTS frame.	As in comment. REVISED (MU: 2016-07-28) 21:33:37Z - TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/08/152 under all headings for CID 127.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR	
128 Aff'd Asterjadh	23	0.1	10.3.2.8a	59	22	T	N	59:22:22	10.3.2.8a	A	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yuenbo, Kison, Yuchi, Weiming, Xiaoli, Jing, Yau	16/08/072	25 The CTS sent in response to an MU RTS frame shall be transmitted on one or more 20 MHz channels. The MU RTS is providing this indication to the STA in the RU Allocation. Hence the CTS Allocation should be provided to the RU Allocation provided by the RTS (see next paragraph). Remove this sentence.	As in comment. ACCEPTED (MU: 2016-07-28) 22:05:52Z - TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/08/072 under all headings that include CID 883.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR	
129 Aff'd Asterjadh	23	0.1	10.3.2.11	60	6	T	N	60:06:6	10.3.2.11	V	JJ, Yongho, Junchi, Jeongli	16/11/570	109 Why do we have a whole subclause to simply say that the AP can solicit responses in SU mode for DL MU PPDU? Remove this subclause and add the following sentence at the beginning of the first subclause related to HE MU ack procedures: "An HE AP can use the acknowledgment procedure defined in 10.3.2.11 (MU acknowledgment procedure) to solicit responses from HE STA. The AP can then start decoding what the alternative is (i.e., solicitation sent Ack Policy equal to MU Ack).	As in comment. REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-13) 14:50:02Z - Revised to agree in principle with the comment.	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
130 Aff'd Asterjadh	23	0.1	10.3.2.11	60	38	T	N	60:38:38	10.3.2.11	V	JJ, Yongho, Junchi, Jeongli	16/11/570	109 By the way how does the AP respond to the MU RTS variant PPDU for action ack frames? There is no ack policy for mgmt frames. The ack policy should explicitly state the rule (I would say MU). Also add a reference to the UL MU operation where the acknowledgement is sent (UL response parameters is done for both trigger and UL response scheduling in the HE standard (11 Control field)).	As in comment. REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-13) 14:50:02Z - Revised to agree in principle with the comment.	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	

152	Alfred Asafajathi	23	0.1	11.2.2.17	1594	29	T	N	1594.29.29	11.2.2.17	V	Alfred_Jayh	16/88311	105 HE operation element and subcategory are not needed updates in this subclause (T/M broadcast).	As in comment.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-13 09:03:07Z) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	Updated # according to SP	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-21 21:38:11Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
153	Alfred Asafajathi	23	0.1	11.2.2.8	1564	35	T	Y	1564.35.35	11.2.2.8	V	Alfred	16/11892	113 The PS STA needs to send one PS-Poll for retrieving a single MPDU, and report this information to the peer STA. This is not very efficient for a high efficiency STA. The PS STA may have to negotiate announced TWT's while enhanced mode is not allowed. The PS STA to send an enhanced PS-Poll/LA/PSD trigger frame that contains the duration of the TWT. The PS STA may send time reference to the end of it) during which it is available to receive DL BUs by the AP. During time when the AP to send as much DL BUs as possible to the STA.	As in comment.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:27:03Z) - Revised -	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
154	Alfred Asafajathi	23	0.1	11.4	1873	53	T	N	1873.53.53	11.4	V	Alfred	11-16/14170	128 Need to define the details for HE BSS operation.	As in comment.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-11-12 05:49:02Z) Agree in principle with the proposed resolution is to provide spec text for the HE BSS operation subclause relying as much as possible on what is already that is present in the baseline in VHT BSS operation and 20d4mhz BSS operation.	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	EDITOR: 2016-11-18 22:28:30Z	1	2016/11/29 22:43	EDITOR	
155	Alfred Asafajathi	23	0.1	11.4.4.3	67	31	T	N	67.31.31	10.4.4.3	V	Alfred_MZ, Ming Qian, Jason_Jayh, Kalyan, Jagan, Jongsik	16/11892	113 From the hw setup description, the AP can send TWT responses directly to TWT requesting stations. The AP may schedule slots when the networks becomes congested. Clarify that the AP can send a TWT response to the TWT requesting STA to schedule TWTs.	As in comment.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:27:02Z) - Revised -	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
156	Alfred Asafajathi	23	0.1	9.4.2.196	44	45	T	N	44.45.45	9.4.2.196	V	Matth_Fischer	16/12693	119 What is the setting of the Responder PM field of this element when it is sent by the AP? Please specify.	As in comment.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 09:02:54Z) - Revise – generally agree – see resolution to CID 1214 which provides clarification.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-19 17:42:55Z - see #1214	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
157	Alfred Asafajathi	23	0.1	10.2.4.2	1260	54	T	N	1260.54.54	10.2.4.2				In order to benefit from MU operation we need to enable two different EDCA parameter sets, one for legacy and SU and one for MU and one for MU non-AP STA's.	As in comment.		EDITOR	Need Proposal			2016/4/21 2:05	EDITOR		
158	Alfred Asafajathi	23	0.1	10.2.4.2	1263	55	T	N	1263.55.55	10.2.4.2				What is the access category in the HE BSS operation? Is STA BlockAckReq, multi-STA BlockAck and Trigger frames? And how many can carry on without being readied by specific information for multiple TIDs.	I would say do the same as is in the HE BSS operation. STA BlockAckReq, multi-STA BlockAck and Trigger frames (i.e., using any AC and without being readied by specific information for multiple TIDs).		EDITOR				2016/4/13 3:32	EDITOR		
159	Alfred Asafajathi	23	0.1	10.2.2.2.5	1353	31	T	N	1353.31.31	10.2.2.2.5		Laurent Carrou		EDCA channel access is as HE BSS needs to be specified.	As in comment.		EDITOR	Need Proposal				2016/9/13 14:42	EDITOR	

171 Alfred Asteigjarni	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.75	36	T	N	75.39.36	25.5.2.2.2	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kisoon, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junichi, David	11-16-136.11	130 What is the common address for the trigger? Is it the same as the address that will be used in the trigger? Replace the TID with the address that will be used in the trigger. Also to say that "to which all recipient STAs are associated" is not correct since the AP can be associated with the trigger frame. And finally normative text on how to set the trigger frame is missing.	As in comment.	REVISED (MU, 2016-11-10 15:04.1512) Agree. The principle with the comment is correct. The proposed resolution defines the TA address that will be used in the frame when the MB variable is false, when the MB variable is true and one BSSID is true and one BSSID is false. The MB variable is true and at least two BSSIDs are tagged. Regarding the normative text on how to set the trigger frame, the proposed change has been already addressed as part of comment resolution for CID 1634 in D0.5.	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	See CID number or #759	1	2016/11/29 22:43	EDITOR
172 Alfred Asteigjarni	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.75	39	T	N	75.39.39	25.5.2.2.2	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kisoon, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junichi,	11-16/00293	70 These statements should be changed to reflect the UL MU Responses scheduling signaling as well.	As in comment.	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15 15:04.1512) TCGx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/00293	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
173 Alfred Asteigjarni	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.75	53	T	N	76.53.53	25.5.2.2.2	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kisoon, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junichi, David	11-16/00293	70 "An UL OFDMA MPDU/A-MPDU is the acknowledged of the Trigger frame" is only correct if the trigger frame is the case for a trigger frame that triggers UL MU-MIMO, UL MU-MU-MIMO, and an UL MU-MIMO OFDMA, and an UL MU-MIMO OFDMA frame that triggers CTS frames. I have noticed this type of error in the previous draft. These type of behaviors are valid for MU frames in general, not only for OFDMA. The previous normative descriptions do not apply to OFDMA only but MU-MIMO as well.	As in comment.	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15 14:58.422) TCGx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/00293	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
174 Alfred Asteigjarni	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	76	5	T	76.05.5	25.5.2.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kisoon, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junichi, David	11-16/00293	70 Add the exception of Trigger frame for HE STA. The trigger frame is only valid for HE STA. Also, based on the last item here it means that CS Required subfield in the trigger frame is not PHY CS and NAVs are ignored. If this is the case then these exceptions need to be added to the trigger frame mechanisms for HE STA.	As in comment.	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15 15:04.1512) Added the condition based on the Trigger indication. For the details, refer to 25.5.4	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
175 Alfred Asteigjarni	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	76	17	T	76.17.17	25.5.2.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kisoon, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junichi, David	11-16/00293	70 There are many missing items in the setting of the TXVECTOR parameter for the HE trigger-based PPDU. The trigger frame is complete for the Trigger frame (according to the fields specified by the trigger frame) and the trigger frame is scheduled according to the fields specified in the HE Trigger frame. The trigger frame is scheduled according to the fields specified in the HE Trigger frame. The trigger frame is scheduled according to the fields specified in the HE Trigger frame. The trigger frame is scheduled according to the fields specified in the HE Trigger frame.	As in comment.	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15 15:04.1512) Agree. See changes in this document for the 'Trigger' document. The trigger frame is scheduled according to the fields specified in the HE Trigger frame. The trigger frame is scheduled according to the fields specified in the HE Trigger frame. The trigger frame is scheduled according to the fields specified in the HE Trigger frame. The trigger frame is scheduled according to the fields specified in the HE Trigger frame.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
176 Alfred Asteigjarni	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	76	43	T	76.43.43	25.5.2.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kisoon, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junichi, David	11-16/00293	70 When the Trigger Type is not equal to 0 (means MU-RTS, MU-BAR, MU-BRQ, variant), the trigger frame is not a STA and not a solid an immediate response from the AP to the trigger-based PPDU. The trigger frame is not added shall have the Ack Policy (to No Ack).	As in comment.	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15 15:04.1512) TCGx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/00293	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
177 Alfred Asteigjarni	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	77	37	T	77.37.37	25.5.2.4	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kisoon, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton	11-16/00293	66 specify whether there are other conditions and remove this item.	As in comment.	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-13 14:31.162) TCGx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/00293 under all headings that inside CID 177	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #206	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR

202 Affined Asterjishi	23	0.1	94.2,214	53	10	T	N	53,10,10	94.2,214	V	Matt Fischer, 16/02/2063 Allied	119 Change TBD for BSS Color Bitmap size to 6 bits	As in comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 09:05:04Z) - Revise - see CID 244	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-19 17:44:19Z - see #244	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
203 Affined Asterjishi	23	0.1	107.6,52	63	24	T	N	63,24,24	107.6,52	V	J.J. Yonghoon, 11-16/141890 Jeongli	128 MCS selection rules for sending M-BA by a STA is not defined	As in comment	ACCEPTED* TBD with 6 bits / Replace field size is 6 bits / Reuse	EDITOR Edited in D1.0	I	EDITOR, 2016-11-22 22:53:02Z - Many of the changes unrelated to the HE STA's aVHT STA since an HE STA is a VHT STA	1	2016/11/29 22:43	EDITOR
204 Affined Asterjishi	23	0.1	102.22,9	64	26	T	N	64,26,26	102.22,9	V	Po-Kai, 11-16/11772 Yonghoon, Yuchil, Kisoon, Weiming, Xiaoheli, Jing, Yashu	98 OF-End rule: When the STA doesn't know whether the latest NAV was updated due to its BSS or not, it will be updated by reception of CTS(Ack), then the rule is not clear. To be on the safe side, STA should pretend that the NAV was set by inter-BSS	As in comment	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20 07:42:52Z) Agreed to the comment. In case the STA doesn't know where the latest NAV was updated due to its BSS or not, it will be updated by reception of CTS(Ack), then the rule needs to be applied. For this purpose, sentence for not resetting NAV when a STA receives a CF-end frame shall be limited to the case that the latest NAV was known to be updated due to its BSS or not.	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-20 07:42:52Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
205 Affined Asterjishi	23	0.1	25.2,1	71	63	T	N	71,63,63	25.2,1	V	Po-Kai, 11-16/11792 Yonghoon, Yuchil, Kisoon, Weiming, Xiaoheli, Jing, Yashu	102 From HE-SIG-A, we may not be able to conclusively say whether it is inter or intra, because of BSS color code reuse. So, it is safer to say, HE-SIG-A, "T" for all other HE-SIG-A's that are identified by the STA as inter-BSS or cannot be identified as intra-BSS or intra-BSS.	As in comment	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-21 04:48:48Z) Agree in principle with the proposed concept due to a different reason.	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-21 04:48:48Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
206 Affined Asterjishi	23	0.1	25.5,2,4	77	35	T	N	77,35,35	25.5,2,4	V	Simone, 11-16/008603 Rakesh, Reza, Tomo, John, Suk-Hyeon	66 Define the TBD in this sentence, "The length of the HE-SIG-A trigger-based PPDU is below a TBD threshold."	As in comment	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-13 14:32:57Z) TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16: that include CID 205.	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-23 17:13:45Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
207 Affined Asterjishi	23	0.1	25.6,1	80	30	T	N	80,30,30	25.6,1	V	Jarkko, Jayh, 16/08/12 Allied, Reza	104 Unlike 11.41 (Notification of operating mode changes), ROM indication can be signaled in the MAC header of a Data frame as opposed to a management frame exchange. Need to add a reference to the HE-A Control field subclause	As in comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-13 11:21:46Z) - Revised	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-13 11:21:46Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
208 Affined Asterjishi	23	0.1	25.9,2	81	41	T	N	81,41,41	25.9,2	V	Bo Sun, 11-16/008603 Rosa, Jeongli, Xiaoheli, Jing, Jeongli, Weiming, Yashu, Gwan, Yuchil, James, Laurent	AP should be able to set a single value for OBSS_PD level that all STAs within the BSS should be able to use	As in comment	TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16: that include CID 207.	SR Spatial Reuse		2016/5/17 18:35	EDITOR		
209 Affined Asterjishi	23	0.1	25.9,3	81	57	T	N	81,57,57	25.9,3	V	Bo Sun, 11-16/008603 Rosa, Jeongli, Xiaoheli, Jing, Jeongli, Weiming, Yashu, Gwan, Yuchil, James, Laurent	AP should be able to set a single baseline value for OBSS_PD level that all STAs in the BSS should be able to follow	As in comment		SR Spatial Reuse		2016/5/17 18:35	EDITOR		
210 Affined Asterjishi	23	0.1	25.11	84	6	T	N	84,06,6	25.11	V	James, 11-16/008623 Yonghoon, Allie	44 This sentence is not clear: "The virtual AP's which are defined by TBD Multiple BSSID element shall select a same BSS Color."	Change to: "All the virtual APs which are defined by TBD Multiple BSSID element shall use the same BSS Color."	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27 22:48:28Z) - Agree in principle. Also, IEEE 802.11 Rev/mc should use the initial AP terminology	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR, 2016-07-27 22:48:28Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR

223 Alfred Asatryan	23	0.1	25.6	79	31	T	N	79.31.31	25.6	V	Raja, Liwen, Narendar, Ross, Zhou, Kaiyng	11-16/7/34	95 Define the HE beamforming feedback	As in comment	REVISED (MU 2016-09-15) 2016-07-29 19:07:51.2 - Agree for the proposed resolution. Proposed resolution accounts for the suggested change [Ad hoc] editor. Apply the changes listed under OD223 in 11-16/07/34.]	EDITOR	Edited in D0.4	Revised in MU session and accepted with document revision #4	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-03 20:38:09Z. There are no changes #223 in cited doc. #223 is listed in abstract but not resolved in body of document. Update: found associated editing instructions in cited doc.	0.4	2016/9/23 18:34	EDITOR
224 Alfred Asatryan	23	0.1	25.9.2	80	46	T	N	80.46.46	25.9.2		Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rossi, Junchi, Liwen, Xiaoli, Jing, Yuesi, Guen, Geonjong, Yuchul, James, Younghoon, Rossi, Junchi, Liwen, Xiaoli, Jing, Geonjong, Yuesi, Guen, Yuchul, James, Laurent		The frames which were ignored for spatial reuse should be recorded for radio resource measurement purposes.	As in comment		SR	Spatial Reuse			2016/5/17 18:39	EDITOR		
225 Alfred Asatryan	23	0.1	25.9.3	81	58	T	N	81.58.58	25.9.3		Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rossi, Junchi, Liwen, Xiaoli, Jing, Jeongil, Yuesi, Guen, Geonjong, Yuchul, James, Younghoon, Rossi, Junchi, Liwen, Xiaoli, Jing, Geonjong, Yuesi, Guen, Yuchul, James, Laurent		Is the OBSS_PD per chain? Please clarify			SR	Spatial Reuse			2016/5/17 18:39	EDITOR		
226 Alfred Asatryan	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.1.123	28	T	N		123.28.28	26.3.9.7.1	V	Ross	11-16/11486	83 Define the bits for Spatial Reuse	As in comment	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 00:34.36Z) - Instruction to Editor: Please make the following changes in the document: L98 Table 26-15, P164 L4 Table 26-16, P166 L17, Table 26-17, P167 L17, Table 26-13, P172 L17, changes in doc 16-11486	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR: 2016-09-27 19:34.15Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR		
227 Alfred Asatryan	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	39	31	T	N	39.31.31	9.3.1.23	V	Liwen, Reza, Yuesi, Guen, Simon, Evgeny, Po-Kai, Kaiyng, Kheon, Rossi, Zhou	11-16/07601	59 Suppose a STA received a Trigger frame addressed to an RU for HE, TRIG PPDU transmission. The Trigger Frame says Nst = 2 and STA knows whether it is being allocated an "SU" transmission in the RU or that it is being allocated an "MU-MIMO" transmission. The Trigger Frame contains the address of an UL MU-MIMO together with other STAs?	Add a bit in the per user field of REVISED (MAC 2016-07-29 16:07:29 16:07:34Z) - The Trigger Frame within the allocated RU is an "SU RU" or "MU RU".	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	EDITOR: 2016-08-01 18:50.31Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR			
228 Alfred Asatryan	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	39	16	T	N	39.16.16	9.3.1.23	V	Liwen, Reza, Yuesi, Guen, Simon, Evgeny, Po-Kai, Kaiyng, Kheon, Rossi, Zhou	16/07253	58 The format for the BRP variant of the Trigger frame is undefined. Specify the format of this Trigger frame (as simple as possible) for the Segment Retransmission Bitmap in the per user info field).	As in comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 19:55:72Z - Document 11-1606491 and motion in May 2016 meeting resolution accepted below. [Ad hoc] editor: Apply the changes listed under this CD in 11-1607253)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	EDITOR: 2016-08-01 20:51:56Z - See #9	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR		
229 Alfred Asatryan	23	0.1	25.10.3	83	8	T	N	83.08.8	25.10.3	V	Liwen	16/11852	111 There is a motion stating that the Ack Policy shall be set to normal Ack in QoS Data frames sent in a Trigger based PPDU. This statement needs to be added to this subclause (or maybe better to the UL MU Ack Policy) and the Ack policy is going to be set in a multi-TID A-MPDU. Suggest to add a new sentence in the Ack Policy for all QoS Data frames that are aggregated in the DL A-MPDU (UL A-MPDU is covered by the motion above).	As in comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 13:06:17Z) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR: 2016-09-21 21:30:49Z - see #70	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR		
230 Alfred Asatryan	23	0.1	9.8	1257	1	T	Y	1257.01.1	9.8	Alfred		PV1 frames are beneficial for low rates/RUs. Allow PV1 frames to be transmitted when the receiver supports their transmission (via HE Capabilities IE).	As in comment		EDITOR	Need Proposal			2016/4/22 0:17	EDITOR			
231 Anton Koyanov	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.15	4	5	T	N	15.05.5	9.2.4.6.4.4		Alfred, Liwen, Jeongil		Create the section and provide such description			MAC	HT Control			2016/5/17 18:40	EDITOR		
232 Anton Koyanov	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	19	41	E	N	19.41.41	9.3.1.23	21/05-A	Editor		Replace TBD FFS with SFS	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:19:56Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:20:05Z - see #295	0.2	2016/11/2 0:17	EDITOR			

233 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	20	E	N	20:22	9.3.1.23	Editor	Unclear sentence "If the Cascade Indication subfield is 1, then a subsequent Trigger frame follows the current Trigger frame." It seems that nothing is transmitted between the Trigger frames	MAC	Trigger frame format	2016/5/17 18:39	EDITOR	
234 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	20	E	N	20:26	9.3.1.23	A	Mistake in "are not required to consider"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:54:14Z)	EDITOR	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
235 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	20	E	N	20:26	9.3.1.23	Editor	The phrase "to consider the medium state" seems not to be appropriate	MAC	Trigger frame format	2016/7/14 21:07	EDITOR	
236 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	T	N	21:02	9.3.1.23	Liwen, Ren, Yuxiao, Simon, Evgeny, Pot, Kai, Kelying, Zhenyuan, Ross, Zhou	Replace with "is not required to consider" with "Trigger Dependent". The type of the Trigger frame is not specified. It is not clear what problem the User Info field and optional Trigger Dependent Per User Info field.	MAC	Trigger frame format	2016/5/17 18:39	EDITOR	
237 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	E	N	21:56	9.3.1.23	V	Wrong grammar	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-29 16:31:23Z)	EDITOR	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
238 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	E	N	21:40	9.3.1.23	511 V	grammar "... of the STA ...". The STA is the HE trigger-based PDU(s) in the HE trigger-based PDU(s).	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/04-28 22:13:16Z - see #511	EDITOR	
239 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	E	N	21:52	9.3.1.23	1302 A	Identified by User Identifier field - Articles	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
240 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	E	N	21:61	9.3.1.23	1302 A	Identified by User Identifier field - article	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
241 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	E	N	21:55	9.3.1.23	1302 A	Identified by User Identifier field - Grammar	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
242 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	E	N	21:48	9.3.1.23	1302 A	Identified by User Identifier field - Grammar	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
243 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	22	3	E	N	22:03	9.3.1.23	1307 A	Identified by User Identifier field - article	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR
244 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	9.4.2.213	35	10	E	N	35:10	9.4.2.213	A	BSS color field size is 6 bits	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR
245 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	26	E	N	53:26	25.2.1	V	NAV is updated if greater value is received	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
246 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	30	E	N	53:30	25.2.1	V	130 NAV is updated if greater value is received	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
247 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	33	E	N	53:33	25.2.1	V	Duration field is greater than the STA's current regular NAV value	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
248 Anton Knyanov	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	37	E	N	53:37	25.2.1	V	Agree in principle with the rule has been revised with the STA's current regular NAV value	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR

249 Anton Knyazov	23	0.1	25.4.1	55	E	N	55.55.56	25.4.1	Editor	11-16/09/20	69 The meaning is not clear. The intention is that an AP shall not allocate OFDMA-RUs to STA that are not OFDMA capable. For such a STA an AP can allocate the whole channel (e.g. 20 MHz channel).	Magprint.	replace "shall examine each received Multi-STA" with "shall examine each received Multi-STA".	MAC	Block-Ack	2016/5/17 18:42 EDITOR
250 Anton Knyazov	23	0.1	25.5.1.1	56	T	N	56.16.16	25.5.1.1	V Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kieron, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, David	11-16/09/20	69 The meaning is not clear. The intention is that an AP shall not allocate OFDMA-RUs to STA that are not OFDMA capable. For such a STA an AP can allocate the whole channel (e.g. 20 MHz channel).	Magprint.	replace "shall examine each received Multi-STA" with "shall examine each received Multi-STA".	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5 2016/9/29 16:35 EDITOR
251 Anton Knyazov	23	0.1	25.5.1.2	56	E	N	56.43.43	25.5.1.2	Editor			A groupcast RU is not defined.	Define groupcast RU	MU	MU operation	2016/5/17 18:36 EDITOR
252 Anton Knyazov	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.97	46	E	N	57.46.46	25.5.2.2.2	Editor			A broadcast RU is not defined.	Define broadcast RU	MU	MU operation	2016/5/17 18:36 EDITOR
253 Anton Knyazov	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	E	N	58.19.19	25.5.2.3	A			Wrong description	Replace with "...indicated by the comment".	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2 2016/11/23 20:17 EDITOR
254 Anton Knyazov	23	0.1	25.5.2.5	59	T	N	58.44.44	25.5.2.5	V Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kieron, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, David	16/08/20	24 Broadcast Trigger frame, a Trigger frame that contains at least one RU, is used for random access. If random access is not defined, the Trigger frame shall include CID 254.	Magprint.	Remove the sentence or define the sentence. E.g. a Trigger frame for random access is not defined.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.3 2016/9/23 16:24 EDITOR
255 Anton Knyazov	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	E	N	63.47.47	25.9.2	V Jinshi, Editor	12/23/16	76 We may have two NAVs	Magprint.	Replace "NAV" with "NAV(s)".	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5 2016/9/29 16:35 EDITOR
256 Anton Knyazov	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	E	N	63.52.52	25.9.2	V Editor	11-16/13/201	130 It is about regular NAV	Magprint.	Replace "NAV" with "regular NAV".	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR
257 Anton Knyazov	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	T	N	63.36.36	25.9.2	V Bo Sun, Youngboon, Jinshi, Xiaokui, Jing, Jeongji, Kwon, Gwan, Yuseok, Yuchul, Laurent	8/8/1	48 What does TBD stand for?	Magprint.	Explain or remove TBD.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3 2016/9/23 16:24 EDITOR
258 Anton Knyazov	23	0.1	25.13.1	66	T	N	66.47.47	25.13.1	J Allred, Jeongji	16/04/42	41 UL_FLAG is always 0 for HE MU PPDU. The sentence is redundant.	Magprint.	Remove the sentence.	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR
259 Anton Knyazov	23	0.1	25.13.1	66	T	N	66.55.55	25.13.1	J Allred, Jeongji	16/04/42	41 HE MU PPDU is always DL PPDU. So HE MU PPDU can not have UL_FLAG = 1. "The PPDU is an HE MU PPDU" shall be removed from above	Magprint.	Remove "HE MU PPDU" from line 51	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR
260 Anton Knyazov	23	0.1	25.13.2	67	E	N	67.34.34	25.13.2	Editor	6/6/16	122 HE_SIG-B is also modulated with HE_MCS	Magprint.	shall be "access"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	EDITOR, 2016-05-05 17:20.262, see #646
261 Anton Knyazov	23	0.1	26.3.8	96	E	N	96.07.7	26.3.8	J Editor	7		Modify the figure. Include HE_SIG-B into HE modulated field (7.35.362).	REJECTED (PHY, 2016-06-15)	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR

125	Please use consistent naming in block of MIMO by 1st column of P in Figure 26-7	11-16/14080	Xiaogang Chen	V	26.3.3	77:17	26.3.3	80:01.1	26.3.3	V	Editor	This is downlink MU-MIMO transmission, can not be part of uplink OFDMA PPDU	REVISED (PHY: 2016-11-08 02:10:47Z)	Suggest the editor to make changes as indicated in this document (11-16-1408)	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	Correct when figure is revised	See #1116	1	2016/11/23 2:45	EDITOR
23	0.1 26.3.3	80	1	E	N	80:01.1	26.3.3	80:01.1	26.3.3	V	Editor	remove "or uplink OFDMA PPDU"	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-09 05:21:44:32Z) - Delete "or uplink" but keep "OFDMA"	EDITOR	2016-05-05	21:44:54Z	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05	0.2	2016/11/23 2:017	EDITOR
23	0.1 26.3.3	80	22	T	N	80:22.22	26.3.3	80:22.22	26.3.3	V	Xiaogang Chen	13 Figure 26-11 implies applying local per stream CSD to each user in DL MU-MIMO, which is not decided yet in IEEE	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:33:36Z)	suggest to be consistent with user in DL MU-MIMO, which is local CSD is assumed for transmission	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 16:22:30Z - Updated with Vello file from Xiaogang Chen (email)	0.2	2016/11/23 2:017	EDITOR
23	0.1 26.3.5	82	18	E	Y	82:18.18	26.3.5	82:18.18	26.3.5	V	Editor	It is not clear whether the HE extended range SU PPDU is only be transmitted on the primary channel or the secondary channel. The MCS and Nss restrictions also can only be transmitted on the primary channel and the MCS and Nss restriction apply on the	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-29 11:16:0635-05)	Modify Figure 26-11 as indicated in the proposed text changes part in this document	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 2:15:03Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2:017	EDITOR
23	0.1 26.3.6	83	26	T	N	83:26.26	26.3.6	83:26.26	26.3.6	V	Bin Tian	7 HE-SIGA field duration for extended range SU shall be TBD. Remove bus option and TBD	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:30:42Z)	as in comment	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 02:16:44:51Z. EDITOR: 2016-06-02 16:42:12 - Per editing instructions in referenced doc	0.2	2016/11/23 2:017	EDITOR
23	0.1 26.3.6	83	T	Y	83:00	26.3.6	26.3.6	83:00	26.3.6	V	Bin Tian	7 The value of TPE packet extension values have not been defined.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:32:02Z)	Introduce a row defining the TPE values of 0.4, 1, 1.8, 1.5, 1.2, 1.1 s, 1.6, 1.1 s	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 16:45:14Z - see #279	0.2	2016/11/23 2:017	EDITOR
23	0.1 26.3.6	84	44	E	N	84:44.44	26.3.6	84:44.44	26.3.6	A	Editor	Reference to the wrong table. Should be Table 26-4 instead	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 22:09:02Z)	The same text change as in CID 279 in IEEE 802.11-1605262	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 22:09:02Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2:017	EDITOR
23	0.1 26.3.6	86	25	T	N	86:25.25	26.3.6	86:25.25	26.3.6	V	Bin Tian	7 N _{HE} and N _{ESU} is always 1. Do we still need to define these two parameters?	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:32:36Z)	Remove these two parameters	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 20:58:15Z - see #282	0.2	2016/11/23 2:017	EDITOR
23	0.1 26.3.6	86	48	T	N	86:48.48	26.3.6	86:48.48	26.3.6	V	Bin Tian	7 What's the M _{HE} ? Need to add as in comment some explanation	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:33:02Z)	Instruction to editor: Phase modify the text according to the changes indicated under CID 282 in referenced doc	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 20:58:27Z - see #282	0.2	2016/11/23 2:017	EDITOR
23	0.1 26.3.7	87	39	E	N	87:39.39	26.3.7	87:39.39	26.3.7	V	Editor	121 These requirements are for HE-SU and a HE MU-MIMO PPDU. Also some of the variables are not defined like l _{sig} and l _{data} .	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-15 07:54:14Z)	The same text changes as in CID 282 in referenced doc	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 16:48:42Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR	
23	0.1 26.3.7.1	88	16	E	N	88:16.16	26.3.7.1	88:16.16	26.3.7.1	V	Editor	"Cases of 1 RU indicate the HE-SU and a HE MU-MIMO PPDU allocation" -> "Cases of 1 RU indicate the HE-SU or HE MU-MIMO PPDU allocation"	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-09 09:21:40:02Z).	The comment has been removed. refer to the resolution to CID 284.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-09 2:14:34Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2:017	EDITOR
23	0.1 26.3.8	97	28	T	N	97:28.28	26.3.8	97:28.28	26.3.8	V	Rui Cao	80 For pre-HE modulated fields, STA only transmits tone RU so there is no need for power boosting/deboosting among subcarriers. The parameter alpha ₁ and beta ₁ should be removed.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 2:08:16Z)	as in comment	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:03:22Z - Use "Beta ₁ " field in both equations in spec (no change to equation in spec). The resolution in spec differs from equation in spec. The resolution, just add superscript (Field)	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
23	0.1 26.3.8	98	15	T	Y	98:15.15	26.3.8	98:15.15	26.3.8	A	Rui Cao	80 line 15 in Table 26-13, the last number for 160MHz should be 124 not 125	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 2:11:02Z)	as in comment	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:06:31Z	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
23	0.1 26.3.8	98	33	T	Y	98:33.33	26.3.8	98:33.33	26.3.8	J	Rui Cao	80 number of data tones for non-HT-DUP should be multiple of 52	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 2:10:31Z)	Change to as in the resolution of CID 286 in doc IEEE802.11-16113605	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:06:42Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR	
23	0.1 26.3.8	98	52	T	N	98:52.52	26.3.8	98:52.52	26.3.8	V	Rui Cao	80 For pre-HE modulated fields, K _i is the set of subcarriers indices from NSR to NSR. For HE-SU and a HE MU-MIMO PPDU, K _i is the set of subcarriers indices from the pre-HE and HE fields. Currently NSR is only defined for the HE field. The resolution should clarify the definition of NSR parameter for the Pre HE Portion.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 2:11:04:4Z)	Change to as in the resolution of CID 289 in doc IEEE802.11-16113605	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:46:31Z	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR

323	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	129.33.33	26.3.9.10	V	Ming Gan	11-16/12025	116 Eqs (26-54) (26-55) (26-56) for 80-MHz and 160-MHz systems. The equations (26-55) and (26-56) seem inappropriate, as center frequency and bandwidth (BW) are combined together, for example, 1+1E-23 does not make sense for non-contiguous 80+80.	REVISÉD (PHY: 2016-09-15 11:27.36Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 17:41.56Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
324	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	132.22	26.3.9.10	A	Editor	Current references in line 22, 31, and 32 are wrong, pointing to non-existent section for computing number of OFDM symbols is missing. Sentence construction does not seem correct. The word "factor" has an extra letter.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 09:42.38Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 09:42.44Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR	
325	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	132.46	26.3.10.1	V	Editor	The reference to the equation (26-56) is not correct. The OFDM symbol number of the equation should be replaced with partition or reword the sentence completely.	REVISÉD (EDITOR: 2016-07-08 16:59.20Z - See #1625)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-07-08 16:59.20Z - See #1625	0.3	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR	
326	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.10.2	133.08	26.3.10.2	V	Editor	Sentence construction does not seem correct. The word "factor" has an extra letter.	REVISÉD (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 13:00:57.36Z) Change to "factor" as it is a parameter.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 09:57.36Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR	
327	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.10.3	136.14	26.3.10.3	V	Ming Gan, Tianyu Wu	94 the usage of B8-B15 in service field is not decided yet.	REVISÉD (PHY: 2016-09-15 11:22.36Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-29 03:24.37Z. The resolution cannot be editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR		
328	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	137.01	26.3.10.4	V	Sriram	89 The expression for N_DBPS_LAST is missing prior to eq 26-71	REVISÉD (PHY: 2016-09-13 19:16.36Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 21:22.17Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
329	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	138.11	26.3.10.4	V	Sriram	89 The expression for N_DBPS_LAST is missing prior to eq 26-76	REVISÉD (PHY: 2016-09-13 19:17.36Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 21:29.22Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
330	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	139.62	26.3.10.4	E	Editor	The equation reference for BCC users seems to be incorrect. This needs to be checked.	EDITOR	Editorials				2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR		
331	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	140.11	26.3.10.4	V	Sriram	89 The expression for N_DBPS_LAST and N_DBPS_LAST are updated based on the factor for both BCC and LDPC users. However, it seems that this needs to be updated only for LDPC users. The expression for N_DBPS_LAST needs to be updated.	REVISÉD (PHY: 2016-09-13 19:17.36Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 21:59.45Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
332	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	140.32	26.3.10.4	V	Sriram	89 The equation for the number of LDPC padding bits should not include m_STBC. It is stated that the bits are added in each m_STBC symbol (A and B are arbitrary). A separate section was created in the SU case, perhaps the same could be done for the MU equations as well. The post-FEC padding bits are also undefined.	REVISÉD (PHY: 2016-09-13 19:29.36Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 21:59.56Z - see #2070	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
333	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.10.8	143.43	26.3.10.8	A	Editor	NGPS_ru should be as in comment	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:15.53Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:15.56Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR	
334	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	150.45	26.3.10.1	V	Editor	NGPS_ru reference in line 45, 46, 50, N_Tone_HE data in line 50 is not used in Eqn (26-108)	REVISÉD (EDITOR: 2016-05-14 14:19:46.32Z) For P/N, N_Tone_HE data reference is as in comment. For D - since it does not appear in equation.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 19:48.47Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR	
335	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	151.52	26.3.10.1	V	Editor	Improper placement - the reference to the tone mapper passed for LDPC and described in 26.3.10.1 LDPC tone mapper	REVISÉD (EDITOR: 2016-05-14 14:20:07.58Z) - Change to "tone mapper" as the tone mapper for DQM is defined in 26.3.10.10 (LDPC tone mapped). The BCC interleaver is not defined.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:06.16Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR	
336	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	154.06	26.3.10.1	V	Yun, Meiliu	85 Equations on how to compute the number of LDPC padding bits are not provided. This could lead to ambiguity on how the N_Tone_HE and N_Tone values are computed.	REVISÉD (PHY: 2016-09-13 19:07.16Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 21:21.05Z - see #2105	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
337	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.11	154.23	26.3.11	E	Editor	wrong cross references in line as in comment	EDITOR	Editorials				2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR		
338	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.12.3	155.32	26.3.12.3	V	Editor	"VIT" in the section title should be changes to "HE"	REVISÉD (EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:29.24Z) - see #1863	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:29.24Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR	
339	Ben Tian	23	0.1	26.3.12.3	155.00	26.3.12.3	E	Editor	Terminology in this section needs to be consistent with the terminology of the two portions of the specification are consistent.	EDITOR	Editorials				2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR		

351 Bo Sun	23	0.1	26.1.1	69	47	T	Y	69.47.47	26.1.1	V	Sameer, Bo Sun	11-16061441	4 There's no mandatory FEC for HE-SIG-B, so we don't support HE 20MHz PPDU bandwidth	Claim CBC or LDPC as the mandatory coding scheme in HE 20MHz PPDU	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 0807.52Z) TGax Editor to make the changes shown in IEEE 802.11-2016-05-29-0807.52Z and add the following text: "The HE-SIG-B field indicates the bandwidth of the HE PPDU."	EDITOR: 2016-06-01 23:49:50Z The spec defines HE-SIG-B RU with sizes less than or equal to 242 tones, i.e. "Binary code words of size 10, 32, 66, 130, 262, 524, 1046, 2090, 4180, 8360, 16720, 33440, 66880, 133760, 267520, 535040, 1070080, 2140160, 4280320, 8560640, 17121280, 34242560, 68485120, 136970240, 273940480, 547880960, 1095761920, 2191523840, 4383047680, 8766095360, 17532190720, 35064381440, 70128762880, 140257525760, 280515051520, 561030103040, 1122060206080, 2244120412160, 4488240824320, 8976481648640, 17952963297280, 35905926594560, 71811853189120, 143623706378240, 287247412756480, 574494825512960, 1148989651025920, 2297979302051840, 4595958604103680, 9191917208207360, 18383834416414720, 36767668832829440, 73535337665658880, 147070675331317760, 294141350662635520, 588282701325271040, 1176565402650542080, 2353130805301084160, 4706261610602168320, 9412523221204336640, 18825046442408673280, 37650092884817346560, 75300185769634693120, 150600371539269386240, 301200743078538772480, 602401486157077544960, 1204802972314155089920, 2409605944628310179840, 4819211889256620359680, 9638423778513240719360, 19276847557026481438720, 38553695114052962877440, 77107390228105925754880, 154214780456211851509760, 308429560912423703019520, 616859121824847406039040, 1233718243649694812078080, 2467436487299389624156160, 4934872974598779248312320, 9869745949197558496624640, 197394918983951169932483840, 394789837967902339864967680, 789579675935804679729935360, 1579159351871609349459870720, 3158318703743218698919741440, 6316637407486437397839482880, 12633274814972874795678965760, 25266549629945749591357931520, 50533099259891499182715863040, 101066198519782998365431726080, 202132397039565996730863452160, 404264794079131993461726904320, 808529588158263986923453808640, 1617059176316527973846907617280, 3234118352633055947693815234560, 6468236705266111895387630469120, 12936473410532223790775260938240, 25872946821064447581550521876480, 51745893642128895163101043752960, 10349178728425779032620208750720, 20698357456851558065240417501440, 41396714913703116130480835002880, 82793429827406232260961670005760, 165586859654812464521923340011520, 331173719309624929043846680023040, 662347438619249858087693360046080, 1324694877238499716175386720092160, 2649389754476999432350773440184320, 5298779508953998864701546880368640, 10597559017907997729403093760737280, 21195118035815995418806187521474560, 42390236071631990837612375042949120, 84780472143263981675224750085898240, 169560944286527963350449500171796480, 339121888573055926700899000343592960, 678243777146111853401798000687185920, 1356487554292223706803596001374371840, 2712975108584447413607192002748743680, 5425950217168894827214404005497487360, 10851900434337789654428808010994974720, 21703800868675579308857616021989949440, 43407601737351158617715232043979898880, 86815203474702317235430464087959797760, 173630406949404634470860928175919595520, 347260813898809268941721856351839191040, 694521627797618537883443712703678382080, 1389043255595237075766887425407356764160, 2778086511190474151533774850814713528320, 5556173022380948303067549701629427056640, 11112346044761896606135095403258854113280, 2222469208952379321227272, adopted the suggestion but spelled out OFDMA	EDITOR: 2016-06-01 23:49:50Z The spec defines HE-SIG-B RU with sizes less than or equal to 242 tones, i.e. "Binary code words of size 10, 32, 66, 130, 262, 524, 1046, 2090, 4180, 8360, 16720, 33440, 66880, 133760, 267520, 535040, 1070080, 2140160, 4280320, 8560640, 17121280, 34242560, 68485120, 136970240, 273940480, 547880960, 1095761920, 2191523840, 4383047680, 8766095360, 17532190720, 35064381440, 70128762880, 140257525760, 280515051520, 561030103040, 1122060206080, 2244120412160, 4488240824320, 8976481648640, 17952963297280, 35905926594560, 71811853189120, 143623706378240, 287247412756480, 574494825512960, 1148989651025920, 2297979302051840, 4595958604103680, 9191917208207360, 18383834416414720, 36767668832829440, 73535337665658880, 147070675331317760, 294141350662635520, 588282701325271040, 1176565402650542080, 2353130805301084160, 4706261610602168320, 9412523221204336640, 18825046442408673280, 37650092884817346560, 75300185769634693120, 150600371539269386240, 301200743078538772480, 602401486157077544960, 1204802972314155089920, 2409605944628310179840, 4819211889256620359680, 9638423778513240719360, 19276847557026481438720, 38553695114052962877440, 77107390228105925754880, 154214780456211851509760, 308429560912423703019520, 616859121824847406039040, 1233718243649694812078080, 2467436487299389624156160, 4934872974598779248312320, 9869745949197558496624640, 197394918983951169932483840, 394789837967902339864967680, 789579675935804679729935360, 1579159351871609349459870720, 3158318703743218698919741440, 6316637407486437397839482880, 12633274814972874795678965760, 25266549629945749591357931520, 50533099259891499182715863040, 101066198519782998365431726080, 202132397039565996730863452160, 404264794079131993461726904320, 808529588158263986923453808640, 1617059176316527973846907617280, 3234118352633055947693815234560, 6468236705266111895387630469120, 12936473410532223790775260938240, 25872946821064447581550521876480, 51745893642128895163101043752960, 10349178728425779032620208750720, 20698357456851558065240417501440, 41396714913703116130480835002880, 82793429827406232260961670005760, 165586859654812464521923340011520, 331173719309624929043846680023040, 662347438619249858087693360046080, 1324694877238499716175386720092160, 2649389754476999432350773440184320, 5298779508953998864701546880368640, 10597559017907997729403093760737280, 21195118035815995418806187521474560, 42390236071631990837612375042949120, 84780472143263981675224750085898240, 169560944286527963350449500171796480, 339121888573055926700899000343592960, 678243777146111853401798000687185920, 1356487554292223706803596001374371840, 2712975108584447413607192002748743680, 5425950217168894827214404005497487360, 10851900434337789654428808010994974720, 21703800868675579308857616021989949440, 43407601737351158617715232043979898880, 86815203474702317235430464087959797760, 173630406949404634470860928175919595520, 347260813898809268941721856351839191040, 694521627797618537883443712703678382080, 1389043255595237075766887425407356764160, 2778086511190474151533774850814713528320, 5556173022380948303067549701629427056640, 11112346044761896606135095403258854113280, 2222469208952379321227272, adopted the suggestion but spelled out OFDMA	EDITOR: 2016-06-01 23:49:50Z The spec defines HE-SIG-B RU with sizes less than or equal to 242 tones, i.e. "Binary code words of size 10, 32, 66, 130, 262, 524, 1046, 2090, 4180, 8360, 16720, 33440, 66880, 133760, 267520, 535040, 1070080, 2140160, 4280320, 8560640, 17121280, 34242560, 68485120, 136970240, 273940480, 547880960, 1095761920, 2191523840, 4383047680, 8766095360, 17532190720, 35064381440, 70128762880, 140257525760, 280515051520, 561030103040, 1122060206080, 2244120412160, 4488240824320, 8976481648640, 17952963297280, 35905926594560, 71811853189120, 143623706378240, 287247412756480, 574494825512960, 1148989651025920, 2297979302051840, 4595958604103680, 9191917208207360, 18383834416414720, 36767668832829440, 73535337665658880, 147070675331317760, 294141350662635520, 588282701325271040, 1176565402650542080, 2353130805301084160, 4706261610602168320, 9412523221204336640, 18825046442408673280, 37650092884817346560, 75300185769634693120, 150600371539269386240, 301200743078538772480, 602401486157077544960, 1204802972314155089920, 2409605944628310179840, 4819211889256620359680, 9638423778513240719360, 19276847557026481438720, 38553695114052962877440, 77107390228105925754880, 154214780456211851509760, 308429560912423703019520, 616859121824847406039040, 1233718243649694812078080, 2467436487299389624156160, 4934872974598779248312320, 9869745949197558496624640, 197394918983951169932483840, 394789837967902339864967680, 789579675935804679729935360, 1579159351871609349459870720, 3158318703743218698919741440, 6316637407486437397839482880, 12633274814972874795678965760, 25266549629945749591357931520, 50533099259891499182715863040, 101066198519782998365431726080, 202132397039565996730863452160, 404264794079131993461726904320, 808529588158263986923453808640, 1617059176316527973846907617280, 3234118352633055947693815234560, 6468236705266111895387630469120, 12936473410532223790775260938240, 25872946821064447581550521876480, 51745893642128895163101043752960, 10349178728425779032620208750720, 20698357456851558065240417501440, 41396714913703116130480835002880, 82793429827406232260961670005760, 165586859654812464521923340011520, 331173719309624929043846680023040, 662347438619249858087693360046080, 1324694877238499716175386720092160, 2649389754476999432350773440184320, 5298779508953998864701546880368640, 10597559017907997729403093760737280, 21195118035815995418806187521474560, 42390236071631990837612375042949120, 84780472143263981675224750085898240, 169560944286527963350449500171796480, 339121888573055926700899000343592960, 678243777146111853401798000687185920, 1356487554292223706803596001374371840, 2712975108584447413607192002748743680, 5425950217168894827214404005497487360, 10851900434337789654428808010994974720, 21703800868675579308857616021989949440, 43407601737351158617715232043979898880, 86815203474702317235430464087959797760, 173630406949404634470860928175919595520, 347260813898809268941721856351839191040, 694521627797618537883443712703678382080, 1389043255595237075766887425407356764160, 2778086511190474151533774850814713528320, 5556173022380948303067549701629427056640, 11112346044761896606135095403258854113280, 2222469208952379321227272, adopted the suggestion but spelled out OFDMA	EDITOR: 2016-06-01 23:49:50Z The spec defines HE-SIG-B RU with sizes less than or equal to 242 tones, i.e. "Binary code words of size 10, 32, 66, 130, 262, 524, 1046, 2090, 4180, 8360, 16720, 33440, 66880, 133760, 267520, 535040, 1070080, 2140160, 4280320, 8560640, 17121280, 34242560, 68485120, 136970240, 273940480, 547880960, 1095761920, 2191523840, 4383047680, 8766095360, 17532190720, 35064381440, 70128762880, 140257525760, 280515051520, 561030103040, 1122060206080, 2244120412160, 4488240824320, 8976481648640, 17952963297280, 35905926594560, 71811853189120, 143623706378240, 287247412756480, 574494825512960, 1148989651025920, 2297979302051840, 4595958604103680, 9191917208207360, 18383834416414720, 36767668832829440, 73535337665658880, 147070675331317760, 294141350662635520, 588282701325271040, 1176565402650542080, 2353130805301084160, 4706261610602168320, 9412523221204336640, 18825046442408673280, 37650092884817346560, 75300185769634693120, 150600371539269386240, 301200743078538772480, 602401486157077544960, 1204802972314155089920, 2409605944628310179840, 4819211889256620359680, 9638423778513240719360, 19276847557026481438720, 38553695114052962877440, 77107390228105925754880, 154214780456211851509760, 308429560912423703019520, 616859121824847406039040, 1233718243649694812078080, 2467436487299389624156160, 4934872974598779248312320, 9869745949197558496624640, 197394918983951169932483840, 394789837967902339864967680, 789579675935804679729935360, 1579159351871609349459870720, 3158318703743218698919741440, 6316637407486437397839482880, 12633274814972874795678965760, 25266549629945749591357931520, 50533099259891499182715863040, 101066198519782998365431726080, 202132397039565996730863452160, 404264794079131993461726904320, 808529588158263986923453808640, 1617059176316527973846907617280, 3234118352633055947693815234560, 6468236705266111895387630469120, 12936473410532223790775260938240, 25872946821064447581550521876480, 51745893642128895163101043752960, 10349178728425779032620208750720, 20698357456851558065240417501440, 41396714913703116130480835002880, 82793429827406232260961670005760, 165586859654812464521923340011520, 331173719309624929043846680023040, 662347438619249858087693360046080, 1324694877238499716175386720092160, 2649389754476999432350773440184320, 5298779508953998864701546880368640, 10597559017907997729403093760737280, 21195118035815995418806187521474560, 42390236071631990837612375042949120, 84780472143263981675224750085898240, 169560944286527963350449500171796480, 339121888573055926700899000343592960, 678243777146111853401798000687185920, 1356487554292223706803596001374371840, 2712975108584447413607192002748743680, 5425950217168894827214404005497487360, 10851900434337789654428808010994974720, 21703800868675579308857616021989949440, 43407601737351
------------	----	-----	--------	----	----	---	---	----------	--------	---	----------------	-------------	--	---	---	---	---	---	--

363 Brian Hart	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.1	14	5	T	Y	14.05.5	9.2.4.6.4.1	V	Allred, Lwien, 11-1607980 Jeongil	21 Length of padding is unclear	"sequence of zero or more zeros"	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08 18:55:07Z) Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution is fine with that for CID 763, specifying that the padding studied is optionally present.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
364 Brian Hart	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.2	32	T	Y	14.32.32	9.2.4.6.4.2	21 "nonzero value". We're adding unnecessary constraints	V	Allred, Lwien, 11-1607980 Jeongil	21 "nonzero value". We're adding unnecessary constraints	Delete "non-zero". The ultimate resolution should be fine to use 19:10:54Z Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution accounts for the suggested change.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR		
365 Brian Hart	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.3	65	T	Y	14.65.65	9.2.4.6.4.3	112 Missing 80+80	V	Allred	112 Missing 80+80	Add 80+80	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:02:38Z) - Revised — Agree with the comment. The proposed resolution changes the related text by adding the following text: or 80 + 80 MHz.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see also #95	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
366 Brian Hart	23	0.1	9.2.5.1	48	E	N	15.48.48	9.2.5.1	Insert two sentences	V	Editor	Insert two sentences	Insert three sentences	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-08 28:16:15Z) - Change ending of the 2nd paragraph as follows: REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-28 21:31:48Z) - No need to add a condition, as it is already clarified in 25.3.2. There, it is stated that the number is limited up to 4 fragments.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 16:15:37Z	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
367 Brian Hart	23	0.1	9.3.1.9.7	26	T	Y	19.26.26	9.3.1.9.7	60 Equation only works if FN is bounded	J	George Chervan, Tomo, Kaiyong, Ding Yu, Xiaofei	60 Equation only works if FN is bounded	Insert "where 0 <= FN <= 3 bounded"	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-28 21:31:48Z) - No need to add a condition, as it is already clarified in 25.3.2. There, it is stated that the number is limited up to 4 fragments.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR		
368 Brian Hart	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	39	E	N	19.39.39	9.3.1.23	resource	V	Editor	resource	resources	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-08 28:21:10Z) - Simply describe the actions and the transmission since it is already covered by the Trigger frame is used to allocate resource for UL MU transmissions. Agree with the comment. The proposed resolution changes the related text by adding the following text: or 80 + 80 MHz.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:07:29Z	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
369 Brian Hart	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	20	7	E	Y	20.07.7	9.3.1.23	Editor	First last Trigger Dependent Common Info field is a description in the text	Add a description. PPHL-2 is a part, but should be its own paragraph, and when its included and what it includes should be spelled out	MAC	Trigger frame format	Technical comment. Moving to Trigger frame format comment group.	2016/5/17 18:39	EDITOR				
370 Brian Hart	23	0.1	26.2.2	72	20	T	Y	72.20.20	26.2.2	Bo Sun	Scrambler Seed or Service field is not listed yet the MAC needs this according to MU-RTS	Add	MAC	TXRXVEC TOR	2016/9/7 15:32	PHY					
371 Brian Hart	23	0.1	10.3.2.88 8.3	41	18	T	Y	41.18.18	10.3.2.88 8.3	J	Po-Kai, Yoon, Kleoon, Yoshi, Wang, Xiaofei, Jing, Yan	22 For PAPR reasons, "shall be copied from the PAPR field of the MU-RTS frame" is a limited choice	REJECTED (MU: 2016-07-28 19:19:24Z) - Revised — Agree with the comment. The proposed resolution changes the resolution from 80 MHz following that of 80 + 80 MHz.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-08-11 0047:57Z: The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR		
372 Brian Hart	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	20	19	T	Y	20.19.19	9.3.1.23	V	Lwien, Reza, Yoonbo, Shione, Evgeny, Po-Kai, Kaiyong, Kleoon, Rossi, Zhou	58 Subsequent Trigger frame the same TXOP	subsequent Trigger frame in the same TXOP	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 19:19:24Z) - Revised — Agree with the comment. The proposed resolution changes the resolution from 80 MHz following that of 80 + 80 MHz.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-07-29 22:16:11Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR

449 Brian Hart	23	0.1 25.9.2	63	49	T	Y	63.49.49	25.9.2	V	Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosi, Jingzhong, Liwen, Xuefei Jing, Jingzhong, Yunbo Reza, Georgiang, Yuan, Yuan, Yusuke, James, Chen	11-16/12206	12206	76 "but not longer than the time to receive the first frame of the PPDU in the PDU part" has problems. PDU part payload is PSDU. But really we mean the end of the PDU. So just say so	but not longer than the end of the PDU	REVISED - Agree in principle with the comment. Document the change in the doc. 11- 12206 confirm the change to the use of CCAReset.	EDITOR D0.5	1	see #286	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
450 Chaitanya Ghosh	23	0.1 25.9.2.6.1.46	20	T	N	46.20.20	25.9.2.6.1	V	Laurent Klaon, Yunbo Reza, Stephane Jiang, Gan, Yuchi	11-16/12221	12221	101 The random access procedure does not discuss about an ACK or Multi-STA BA transmission in the UL MU PPDU. The random access transmission in the selected RU. An unassociated STA can use random access for association request. Since it does not have an AID, how can it be associated with a random access STA? Identify unassociated STAs?	Suggest to include a line of text as following: "The STA receives an ACK or a Multi- STA BA in the UL MU PPDU single UL PPDU in the doc. 11- 101/2221 The randomly selected RU."	04/23/43Z TGax Editor to make the changes as in the doc 11- 101/2221	EDITOR D0.5	1	EDITOR, 2016-09-23 16:39:11Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	
451 Chaitanya Ghosh	23	0.1 25.9.2.6.1.46	2	T	N	46.02.2	25.9.2.6.1	V	Chith, Klaon, Yunbo Reza, Stephane Jiang, Gan, Yuchi			113 There is a statement as follows: "The TWT scheduling STA that intends to transmit additional Trigger frames shall set the TWT SP to 0." SP shall set the Cascade Indication field of the Trigger frame to 1 to indicate that this is the last Trigger frame within the same TWT frame. Otherwise, it shall set the Cascade Indication field to 0." To explicitly mention about the TWT SP for the TWT frame of the last Trigger frame within trigger-enabled TWT SP. Also, please replace the word "Indication" in the quoted text above with "Indication"	Would suggest to include the following: "The TWT scheduling STA shall set the Cascade Indication field to 1 to indicate that this is the last Trigger frame within the same TWT frame. Otherwise, the STA shall not set the Cascade Indication field to 1." The TWT scheduling STA shall set the Trigger frame to 1 to indicate that this is the last Trigger frame within the same TWT frame. Otherwise, it shall set the Cascade Indication field to 0." TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11- 101/1692 under all headings that include CID 462.		MU operation			2016/5/17 16:36	EDITOR		
452 Daewon Lee	23	0.1 10.4.4.2	36	17	T	N	38.17.17	10.4.4.2	V	Alied, Ma, Meng Gan, Jiang, Jiang, Jiang	16/11/692	692	20 The UL MU response frame shall be transmitted for immediate UL MU response that carries "ACK". However, it is not clear whether this response scheduling field is applicable for both BA and ACK, or just BA, or just ACK frame. Additionally, it is not clear whether this field can be also piggybacked along with "ACK" information in the UL MU response frame. The UL MU response frame allows STAs to correctly identify TXVECTOR of the UL MU transmission is needed.	Clarify the operation and the field in the UL MU response frame. The UL MU response frame shall be transmitted for immediate UL MU response that carries "ACK". However, it is not clear whether this response scheduling field is applicable for both BA and ACK, or just BA, or just ACK frame. Additionally, it is not clear whether this field can be also piggybacked along with "ACK" information in the UL MU response frame. The UL MU response frame allows STAs to correctly identify TXVECTOR of the UL MU transmission is needed.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-08-09-14 14:28/022) - Revised - The "otherwise, shall set..." two cases. Though agree that an explicit statement provides more insights. Proposed change in the doc. 11- 101/1692 under all headings that include CID 462.	EDITOR D0.3	1	see #1	0.3	2016/9/23 18:26	EDITOR
454 Daewon Lee	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4.15	5	E	Y	15.05.5	9.2.4.6.4.4	Editor				26 CSI sub-frame shall be said to be based on VHT Compressed beamforming format field with the following exceptions: However, the exceptions for the exceptions are missing. It is stated "other modifications 180". Complete the HE link adaptation section. 26 CSI report format is said to be based on VHT Compressed beamforming format field with the following exceptions: However, the exceptions for the exceptions are missing. It is stated "other modifications 180". Complete the HE link adaptation section.	reference "9.31.4 (Link adaptation) shall be based on VHT Compressed beamforming format field with the following exceptions: However, the exceptions for the exceptions are missing. It is stated "other modifications 180". Complete the HE link adaptation section. 26 CSI sub-frame shall be said to be based on VHT Compressed beamforming format field with the following exceptions: However, the exceptions for the exceptions are missing. It is stated "other modifications 180". Complete the HE link adaptation section.	MAC	HT Control	2016/5/17 18:40	EDITOR				
455 Daewon Lee	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4.15	5	T	Y	15.05.5	9.2.4.6.4.4		Alied, Liwen, Jiang			STA behavioral description for "link adaptation" doesn't exist in the draft, even though new "25 X Link Adaptation" and suggested in 9.2.4.6.4. Complete the HE link adaptation section.	add new sub-chapter under 25: HE MAC specification named "25 X Link Adaptation" and complete it.	MAC	HT Control	2016/5/17 18:40	EDITOR				
456 Daewon Lee	23	0.1 9.4.1.6.2	25	55	T	Y	25.55.55	9.4.1.62	V	Lorhan Verma	16/03/61	661	26 CSI sub-frame shall be said to be based on VHT Compressed beamforming format field with the following exceptions: However, the exceptions for the exceptions are missing. It is stated "other modifications 180". Complete the HE link adaptation section. 26 CSI report format is said to be based on VHT Compressed beamforming format field with the following exceptions: However, the exceptions for the exceptions are missing. It is stated "other modifications 180". Complete the HE link adaptation section.	Either remove the exceptions or finalize the details of the field format. The field format in the field format, instead listing the exceptions. It is suggested to simply describe and draw the field format in the field format more simple to describe all the potential changes due to radical change in OFDM modulation. This section would need to describe the tone index changes in exceptions, changes in exceptions, exception, etc. The list might be very long to be a list of exceptions.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-08-09-14 15:00/072) - Proposed resolution accounts for the suggested change. TGax Editor to make the changes shown in IEEE 802.11- 101/1692 under all headings that include CID 465.	EDITOR D0.4	1	See #112	0.4	2016/9/23 18:34	EDITOR

465 Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.9.3	63	57	E	Y	63.57.57	259.3	2721 A	Editor	correct the reference "Error! Reference source not found." to 4. It is stated that LDPC may be supported when less than or equal to 4 spatial streams. However, in page 69 line 54, it is stated that LDPC must be supported when equal to or greater than 4 spatial streams. Therefore, it is not clear whether LDPC support is required when there are exactly 4 spatial streams.	as commented	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 15:52:55Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 15:57:56Z - see #721	0.2	2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR
466 Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.1.1	70	6	T	Y	70.06.6	261.1	J	Samuel Bo Sun	Similar to section 21.1.4 PPDU formats and 19.1.4 PPDU formats, the HE SIG-B field may support Non-HT, HE, HT, VHT format for T1ss.	as commented	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:02:01Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	No changes to draft	2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR	
467 Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.1.1	70	10	T	Y	70.10.10	261.1	V	Samuel Bo Sun	4 Remove role with TBD. If role is needed change to editor's role.	as commented	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:02:47Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	See #351	0.2	2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR
468 Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.1.4	70	62	E	Y	70.62.62	261.4	V	Editor	Similar to section 21.1.4 PPDU formats and 19.1.4 PPDU formats, the HE SIG-B field may support Non-HT, HE, HT, VHT format for T1ss.	as commented	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-19 19:28:31Z) - Revise the HE SIG-B field to support Non-HT, HE, HT, VHT format for T1ss. The FORMAT parameter is added to the HE SIG-B field structure of the PPDU and can take on one of the following values: - Non-HT format (NON_HT), - Non-HT format (NON_HT), - Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) format (OFDM), - HT-mod format (HT_MP) as specified in Clause 21 (Very High Throughput (VHT) PHY specification), - HE-mod format (HE_MP) as specified in Clause 21 (Very High Throughput (VHT) PHY specification).	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 19:37:48Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR
469 Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.2	73	42	E	Y	73.42.42	263.2	J	Editor	description on what pre-HE modulated symbols and HE modulated symbols correspond refer to the PPDU format is missing.	add description on what pre-HE modulated symbols and HE modulated symbols correspond refer to the PPDU format is missing.	REJECTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:08:36Z) - Pre-HE modulated and HE modulated symbols correspond refer to the PPDU format is missing.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:07:48Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR	
470 Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.3	76	27	E	Y	76.27.27	263.3	A	Editor	pre-HE SIG-B modulated symbol is undefined. Instead of use of pre-HE SIG-B, change HE SIG-A". Therefore "other symbols" should be used to refer to L-STF and L-LTF.	pre-HE SIG-B symbols are "L-STF, L-LTF, L-SG, RL-SG, HE SIG-A". Therefore "other symbols" should be used to refer to L-STF and L-LTF.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 21:22:00Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 21:22:03Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR
471 Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.3	77	1	E	Y	77.01.1	263.3	A	Editor	pre-HE SIG-B modulated symbol is undefined. Instead of use of pre-HE SIG-B, change HE SIG-A". Therefore "other symbols" should be used to refer to L-STF and L-LTF.	pre-HE SIG-B symbols are "L-STF, L-LTF, L-SG, RL-SG, HE SIG-A". Therefore "other symbols" should be used to refer to L-STF and L-LTF.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 21:28:34Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 21:28:37Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR
472 Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.6	86	53	E	Y	86.53.53	263.6	V	Editor	move the note 1 to section 26.3.2 as a regular text. The note 2 is moved before section 26.3.6.	as commented	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-06 17:02:35Z) - Move to the HE SIG-B field and change HE SIG-A, HE SIG-A-R, and HE SIG-B to HE SIG-B as the Pre-HE modulated fields, while the HE-STF, HE-LTF and Data fields are modulated fields. Remove 2 from NOTE 2 in Table 26-4 as well as from the reference in 11.18.30.382). See #1094	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-06 17:08:34Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR
473 Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.9.3	101	62	E	Y	101.62.62	263.9.3	V	Editor	define <=lenm>	as commented	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:30:38Z) - See #1094	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:30:55Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR
474 Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	105	46	T	Y	105.46.46	263.9.7.2	J	Ross	2 MU PPDU is intended for DL use. The usefulness of support MU PPDU in UL is questionable and requires further discussion. STAD of AP is and possibly changes to SR field definitions and such.	Remove UL/DL field or set to reserved in Table 26-16	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 05:58:14Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	No changes to draft	2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR	
475 Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	105	33	E	Y	105.33.33	263.9.7.2	V	Editor	change the role to an editor's role or remove role.	as commented	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 19:07:26Z) - The role contains redundant information. See Editor	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 19:07:38Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR
476 Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.4	109	56	E	Y	105.56.56	263.9.7.4	V	Editor	correct the reference "Error! Reference source not found."	as commented.	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 21:18:15Z) - Table 26-13	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 21:18:27Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR

477	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.3	113	56	T	Y	113.56.56	263.9.8.3	V	Kuarkik, Ross	11-16/09282	61	Section 25.3.9.2.4 does not exist. The definition of the default mode in HE SIG-A is missing.	Clarify what the default mode is in HE SIG-A means. Additionally, rename the word "mode" to "signal" and "signal" to "signaling". Typically, specified in case some signaling is missing. The terms of the mode implies that the signaling is transmitted in some cases and transmitted in some cases and	REVISED (PHY, 2016-07-28 23:47:52Z)	EDITOR	D0.3	EDITOR	2016-09-05 2037:15Z	0.3	2016/09/03 18:24	EDITOR			
478	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.4	114	42	T	Y	114.42.42	263.9.8.4	V	Kuarkik, Ross	11-16/114800	84	The relationship between "RU" and their placement in the "frequency domain" and values in the "frequency domain" signaling. Arrangement and number of MU-MIMO allocations is not clear. For what the index #1, #2, ..., #9 refers to in Table 26-18. Furthermore, it is not clear what the number of user fields are in the "frequency domain" and the text "RU arrangement and the number of user fields are in the frequency domain" together indicate the number of user-fields in the User specific field of HE-SIG-B. No such field is present in Table 26.3.9.8.4.	REVISED (PHY, 2016-09-13 06:40:42Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016-09-27 18:22:45Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR				
479	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.4	115	2	T	Y	115.02.2	263.9.8.4	V	Kuarkik, Ross	11-16/09791	31	The signaling for the number of RU in HE-SIG-B (and also for 160MHz) TBD.	REVISED (PHY, 2016-07-26 21:12:56Z)	EDITOR	D0.3	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016-09-05 2015:55Z - See 1001	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR		
480	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.9.9	120	19	E	Y	120.19.19	263.9.9	Yujin Noh			formatting of the HE-STF sequence is unnecessary and inconsistent with HE-LTF description. It introduces new mathematical notion for Matlab convention and not even universally (or widely) used in the literature. This seems unnecessary.	simple write out the HE-STF sequence for each bandwidth and consistent with HE-LTF in section 26.3.9.10	MAC	HE-STF & HE-LTF	Needs technical input.	2016/11/14 0:58	PHY							
481	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	132	2	T	Y	132.02.2	263.9.10	V	Ming Gan	11-16/12025	116	When beam change is set to 0, the spatial mapping between HE-STF and HE-LTF must be the same. However, the pre-HE modulated symbols are while HE-STF, HE-LTF, and HE-LTF. Data are not, therefore, spatial mapping is not required for 20MHz segments of the transmission.	clarify the beam change description to state that pre-HE HE-STF and HE-LTF are spatial mapping with the exception of the gamma factor Please modify the text to include the gamma factor according to the proposed HE-STF, HE-LTF, and HE-LTF. beam change is set to 0. IEEE 802.11-16/12025	EDITOR	D0.5	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016-09-28 03:09:37Z - Used boolean variable for TXVECTOR	0.5	2016/09/29 18:35	EDITOR		
482	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.10.9	143	50	T	Y	143.50.50	263.10.8	V	Silam, Tianyu Wu	11-16/09231	55	remove TBD sentence or finalize details of DCM constellation.	REVISED (PHY, 2016-07-28 23:45:34Z)	EDITOR	D0.3	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016-09-08 18:50:20Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR	
483	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	145	42	E	Y	145.42.42	263.10.1	Editor			correct the reference "Error"	as commented.	EDITOR	Editoriale	No change to draft	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016-09-29 03:16:28Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR		
484	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	150	14	T	Y	150.14.14	263.10.13	J	Rui Cao	11-16/11384	82	cyclic shift for data modulated symbols refer to pre-HE cyclic shift values. However, data symbols refer to pre-HE modulated symbols. If the intent is to have one cyclic shift for both pre-HE and HE modulated field. Put the pre-HE cyclic shift to cyclic shift for HE modulated field) to the data symbol equation.	change T. (OS HE) to another notation to indicate for HE cyclic shift values. Add a new HE modulated field after CDM 1052.	REJECTED (PHY, 2016-09-13 21:47:11Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016-09-29 03:16:28Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
485	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	150	47	E	Y	150.47.47	263.10.13	V	Editor		correct the reference "Error"	as commented.	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016-05-14 19:50:23Z - see #334	0.2	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
486	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	150	50	E	Y	150.50.50	263.10.13	V	Editor		correct the reference "Error"	as commented.	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016-05-14 19:50:23Z - see #334	0.2	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
487	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	152	2	E	Y	152.02.2	263.10.15	A	Editor		wrong reference subsection number	change 8.4.2.1 (HE Element) to 8.4.2.3 (HE Capabilities Element)	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-14 20:12:52Z)	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016-05-14 20:12:52Z - see #460	0.2	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
488	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	152	6	E	Y	152.06.6	263.10.15	A	Editor		wrong reference subsection number	change 8.4.2.1 (HE Element) to 8.4.2.3 (HE Capabilities Element)	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-14 20:12:52Z)	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016-05-14 20:12:52Z - see #460	0.2	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
489	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.11	154	26	E	Y	154.26.26	263.11	Editor			correct the reference "Error"	as commented.	EDITOR	Editoriale	Editoriale	Editoriale	Editoriale	Editoriale	2016/04/14 17:16	EDITOR				
489	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.11	154	16	E	Y	154.16.16	263.11	Editor			correct the reference "Error"	as commented.	EDITOR	Editoriale	Editoriale	Editoriale	Editoriale	Editoriale	2016/04/14 17:16	EDITOR				
489	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.11	154	30	E	Y	154.30.30	263.11	Editor			correct the reference "Error"	as commented.	EDITOR	Editoriale	Editoriale	Editoriale	Editoriale	Editoriale	2016/04/14 17:16	EDITOR				
492	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.12.4	156	61	E	Y	156.61.61	263.12.4	Editor			correct the reference "Error"	as commented.	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/04/14 17:16	EDITOR			
493	Dawson Lee	23	0.1	26.3.14	158	30	T	Y	158.30.30	263.14	V	Guipha LI, Xiaoping Chen	11-16/09203	35	Reference source not found."	as commented. Add content.	REVISED (PHY, 2016-07-26 06:52:13Z)	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016-09-08 22:01:00Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR

94	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.3.14	158	32	T	Y	158.32.32	26.3.14	V	Qinghua LI, Xiang Chen	11-16/02003	35 HE receive procedure is missing. Add content.	as commented.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 06:53:00Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 2200.11Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
95	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.4.2	158	45	T	Y	158.45.45	26.4.2	V		11-16/13741	125 PHY MB is missing. Add content.	as commented.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-11-08 01:56:15Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	EDITOR: 2016-11-18 2045:10Z - Changes are related to the MB	1	2016/11/29 2:43	EDITOR
96	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.3.13.4	158	27	T	Y	158.27.27	26.3.13.4	V	Daewon	11-16/11912	90 content for transmit EVM is missing. Add content	as commented	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-14 13:44:40Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #2351	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
97	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.3.13.1	158	4	T	Y	158.04.4	26.3.13.1	V	Daewon	11-16/11912	90 spectral mask section is missing. Add content	as commented	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-14 13:42:47Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #2351	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
98	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.3.13.2	158	6	T	Y	158.06.6	26.3.13.2	V	Daewon	11-16/11912	90 spectral fairness section is missing. Add content. It should be noted that spectral fairness definition from 11ac cannot be directly used due to OFDMA transmission characteristics. An updated requirement may be needed for MU-MIMO transmissions.	as commented	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-14 13:43:11Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #2351	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
99	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.3.13.3	158	8	T	Y	158.08.8	26.3.13.3	V	Daewon	11-16/11912	90 actual tone/level is missing. Add content	as commented	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-14 13:43:34Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #2351	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
100	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.3.13.4	158	14	T	Y	158.14.14	26.3.13.4	V	Daewon	11-16/11912	90 modulation accuracy is missing. Add content	as commented	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-14 13:44:00Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #2351	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
101	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.3.13.4	158	17	T	Y	158.17.17	26.3.13.4	V	Daewon	11-16/11912	90 introduction for modulation accuracy section is missing. Add content	as commented	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-14 13:44:18Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #2351	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
102	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.4.4	160	36	T	Y	160.36.36	26.4.4	V			121 define maximum PSDU length in Table 25-36.	as commented.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-15 08:13:52Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-29 17:57:14Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR	
103	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.5.1	161	1	T	Y	161.01.1	26.5	V	Yujin Noh	11-16/12331	93 Undefined TBD set of RU sizes and number of spatial streams. However, Table 26-37 to 26-92 already contains DCM applicability information, which seems to be determined prematurely. No discussion took place in TGax regarding DCM applicability.	as commented.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-14 13:51:50Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-29 18:05:02Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR	
104	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.5.1	161	4	T	Y	161.04.4	26.5	V	Yujin Noh, Jafarun Lu	11-16/12331	93 Tables 26-37 to 26-92 take DCM into account. The MCS2, which has not been decided in 11ax SFD, is not applicable for MU-MIMO.	as commented.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-14 13:52:06Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-29 18:05:02Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR	
105	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.3.12.4	157	1	E	Y	157.01.1	26.3.12.4	V	Editor		add the correct reference for accuracy requirements for UL MU PPDU.	as commented	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-24 20:53:74Z) - Reference to UL MU-MIMO	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:38:91Z	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
106	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.3.12.4	157	5	T	Y	157.05.5	26.3.12.4	V	Sriram, Kore, Sameer	11-16/11691	88 UL power control for UL MU-MIMO content is missing. Add content	as commented	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 07:06:16Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-29 15:52:45Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR	
107	Daewon Lee	23	0.1	26.3.12	154	34	G	Y	154.34.34	26.3.12	V	Sriram, Kore, Sameer	11-16/08664	54 Some of the functionalities and descriptions for MU-MIMO is very relevant for OFDMA operations as well. For MU-MIMO, power control should apply to both UL MU-MIMO as well as UL OFDMA. MU-MIMO power control should be applied to both UL MU-MIMO and UL OFDMA. I suggest that either power control or power spectral density section to be general enough to cover both MU-MIMO and OFDMA or have a separate section for MU-MIMO. The current section is too narrow after section 26.3.12.	as commented	Resolution already presented in IEEE 802.11-160779Z. Reassigned to PHY: 2016-07-28 19:23:05Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-09 19:43:59Z - IEEE 802.11 style limits subcarriers to 5 levels. Reworded to limit the number of subcarrier levels.	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR

508 Dengyu Qiao	23	0.1	9.3.1.9.7	19	11	T	N	19.11.11	9.3.1.9.7	V	George Chen, Tomo, Kaying, Deng Yu, Xiefei Editor	16/68/61	60 MAC Motion #72 (length of 25.752) was subfield of BA Bitmap approved but no corresponding spec-text is present in the draft	TBD	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-09-02 17:55:52Z) Please see DCM 11-16/68/61	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	MAC: 2016-07-29 21:49:05Z - I Please see DCM 11-16/68/61.	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
509 Dengyu Qiao	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	20	19	E	N	20.19.19	9.3.1.23				The description of cascaded trigger frame is unclear. If a trigger frame will be sent after a long time later than the current trigger frame, how is the Cascade Indication subfield other time constraint).	MAC		Trigger frame format	Technical comment. Moving to Trigger frame format comment group.	2016/5/17 16:38	EDITOR		
510 Dengyu Qiao	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	52	E	N	21.52.52	9.3.1.23		Editor		The text "Section XXX" shall be updated in line 52 and 57. Section 26.3.3.10.8. DCM has been defined in	MAC		Trigger frame format	We generally don't reference the PHY directly in the MAC. The PHY is a parameter. The services should say something along the lines of "A value 1 indicates that the HE services are defined in the parameter DCM set to 1". What the PHY does with Trigger frame format DCM set to 1 is in the PHY clause. Moving to Trigger frame format comment group.	2016/5/17 16:38	EDITOR		
511 Dengyu Qiao	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	39	E	N	21.39.39	9.3.1.23	A	Editor		The description of User Identifier subfield is not clear. The Per User Info field is intended.	EDITOR	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 22:11:34Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 22:11:34Z	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
512 Dengyu Qiao	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.1	22	33	T	N	22.33.33	9.3.1.23.1	J	Luwen, Raz, 11-16/1238/1 Simone, Eugeny, Po-Kai, Kaying, Kris, Rossi, Zhou	99 How does BAR Ack Policy subfield in BAR Control subfield set for MU-BAR variant? In current spec, BAR Ack Policy subfield can be set to 1 (Normal Acknowledgement for BAR) or 0 (No Acknowledgement for BAR). MU-BAR is carrying the resource allocation information to subfield BA frame, which implies that there is no need of acknowledgement for MU-BAR variant.	EDITOR	REJECTED (MU: 2016-09-21 01:20:54Z) The BAR Ack Policy is as defined in the baseline (and currently is a legacy use under BAR Ack Policy subfield in (Normal Acknowledgement for BAR) or 0 (No Acknowledgement for BAR). MU-BAR is saving the resource allocation information to subfield BA frame, which is not a part of the acknowledgement for MU-BAR variant.	No change to draft	EDITOR: 2016-09-22 18:15:32Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:05	EDITOR			
513 Dengyu Qiao	23	0.1	9.4.2.196	26	55	E	N	26.55.55	9.4.2.196	382 A	Editor		There is no text to define Broadcast TWT Operation in the TWT SP indicated by the TWT element is a broadcast operation for the TWT SP (Broadcast TWT Operation).	EDITOR	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-29 17:15:52Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	EDITOR: 2016-04-29 17:15:52Z - see #382	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
514 Dengyu Qiao	23	0.1	9.4.2.196	27	51	E	N	27.51.51	9.4.2.196	V	Editor	11-16/1359/0	127 There is no definition of Trigger frames that do not contain RUs for random access. The current draft defines four types of Trigger frame, shown in Figure 9-27. The Trigger frame that contain RUs for random access, but it does not contain RU for random access.	EDITOR	REVISED (MAC: 2016-11-08 05:52:45Z) update the sentence used in proposed change by adding a reference to subclause 25.7.3.2 (Rules for random access), where is localised descriptive and normative behaviour language differentiating Trigger frames that contain RUs for random access and Trigger frames that do not contain RUs for random access. Note: Already accounted for in D0.5 so no further action is required by the TGax editor. TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/1263 under all headings that include CID 114, 1320-1233, etc.	No change to draft	EDITOR: 2016-11-18 23:11:18Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:05	EDITOR		
515 Dengyu Qiao	23	0.1	9.3.1.9.7	19	12	E	N	19.12.12	9.3.1.9.7	A	Editor		There are a typo to set the Fragment Number subfield to 0. The Fragment Number describes the case of no fragmentation. It would be misleading that the figure to describe the block fragmentation. The figure 9-27 in Figure 9-27 of P802.11RE_Vmc_D5.0, the Fragment Number subfield is always set to be 0. But for 11ac, the fragment number subfield has at least one bit for fragmentation operation. There is a duplicate figure title in the figure, including Figure 10-ac-1, 10-ac-2, and 10-ac-3.	EDITOR	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:52:52Z) - Change 1 to 0. Streamthrough (0) in figure.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:53:42Z	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
516 Dengyu Qiao	23	0.1	10.3.2.8a	40	7	E	N	40.07.7	10.3.2.8a	V	Editor		Remove the duplicate figure in the figure, including Figure 10-ac-1, 10-ac-2, and 10-ac-3.	EDITOR	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-09-04/20/16:52Z) Titles where changed with #2224	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 19:07:02Z	0.3	2016/9/23 19:07	EDITOR
517 Dong Gak Lim	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	122	14	T	Y	122.14.14	26.3.9.10	V	Ming Gan	11-16/1202/5	116 <reference> does not defined. It would need to be clarified the symbol of HE-LTF symbol by considering the total number of space time stream	EDITOR	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-15 11:26:20Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 03:01:00Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:05	EDITOR	
518 Dong Gak Lim	23	0.1	26.3.6	83	6	E	Y	83.06.6	26.3.6	A	Editor		Tymin is TDF plus TGI, but, Tymins as follows: Tymin1 = TDF + HE + TGI Tymin2 = TDF + HE + TGI Tymin3 = TDF + HE + TGI Tymin4 = TDF + HE + TGI	EDITOR	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-06 16:55:22Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	EDITOR: 2016-05-06 16:55:29Z - see #108, #109, #1110	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR

519 Dong Gak Lim	23	0.1	26.3.3	77	37	G	Y	77.37.37	26.3.3	V	Xiangang Chen	11-16060535	13 It would need to be clarified the meaning of the text "(TBD MCS5-DCM)"	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:33:51Z) Remove "(TBD MCS5-DCM)" from action 26.3.3. The corrected text is as follows: "refer to 26.3.10.14"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-01 20:05:29Z - two instances	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
520 Dong Gak Lim	23	0.1	26.3.3	78	16	E	Y	78.16.16	26.3.3	A	Editor		the text "(TBD MCS5-DCM)" does not need in this sentence	delete the text in this sentence	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 21:42:10Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
521 Dong Gak Lim	23	0.1	26.3.3	79	1	G	Y	79.01.1	26.3.3	V	Xiangang Chen	11-16060535	13 It would need to be clarify the meaning of the text "(TBD MCS5-DCM)"	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:34:07Z) Remove "(TBD MCS5-DCM)" from action 26.3.3. The corrected text is as follows: "refer to 26.3.10.14"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-01 20:06:26Z - see #519	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
522 Dong Gak Lim	23	0.1	26.3.6	85	54	E	Y	85.54.54	26.3.6	A	Editor		in table 26-4, NTSs total should be changed with NTSs total in explanation of table 26-4	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-06 16:07:18Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-06 16:57:22Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
523 Dong Gak Lim	23	0.1	26.3.9.5	104	5	G	Y	104.05.5	26.3.9.5	V	Rui Cao	11-16060377	37 we define the NTONfields in table 26-13.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 19:00:27Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-05 18:57:56Z - See #476	0.3	2016/9/23/1824	EDITOR
524 Dong Gak Lim	23	0.1	26.3.9.7	109	56	G	Y	109.56.56	26.3.9.7	V	Ross	11-16061003	2 we define the NTONfields in table 26-13.	Change to as in the resolution of CID623 in doc IEEE802.11-1609397 (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:21:02Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 20:10:27Z - see #476	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
525 Dong Gak Lim	23	0.1	26.3.9.5	103	35	T	Y	103.35.55	26.3.9.5	V	Rui Cao	11-16060377	37 we agreed that the same power per tone as LTF is applied in L-SIG, RL-SIG, HE-SIGA and HE-SIG-B fields. But, in D0.1, it does not applied yet. So, it should be applied in the description of equation of L-SIG, RL-SIG, HE-SIGA and HE-SIG-B fields	add the text and field based on the PHY Motion #444 in IEEE 802.11-16-02357 Change to as in the resolution of D0.1 in doc IEEE802.11-1609397	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-04 22:02:22Z	0.3	2016/9/23/1824	EDITOR
526 Dong Gak Lim	23	0.1	26.3.9.7	109	26	T	Y	109.26.26	26.3.9.7	V	Ross	11-16060721	30 we agreed that the same power per tone as LTF is applied in L-SIG, RL-SIG, HE-SIGA and HE-SIG-B fields. But, in D0.1, it does not applied yet. So, it should be applied in the description of equation of L-SIG, RL-SIG, HE-SIGA and HE-SIG-B fields	add the text and field based on the PHY Motion #444 in IEEE 802.11-16-02357 Instruction to Editor: Please make the following changes in 16-08-021	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 23:39:07Z	0.3	2016/9/23/1824	EDITOR
527 Dong Gak Lim	23	0.1	26.3.9.8	114	3	T	Y	114.03.3	26.3.9.8	V	Kaushik, Ross	11-16114800	84 we agreed that the same power per tone as LTF is applied in L-SIG, RL-SIG, HE-SIGA and HE-SIG-B fields. But, in D0.1, it does not applied yet. So, it should be applied in the description of equation of L-SIG, RL-SIG, HE-SIGA and HE-SIG-B fields	define the NTONHE-SIG-B and add the text based on the PHY Motion #444 in IEEE 802.11-16-02357 Already solved	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-27 18:22:37Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR	
528 Dong Gak Lim	23	0.1	26.3.8	100	28	T	Y	100.28.28	26.3.8	V	Rui Cao	11-16113605	80 It would need to be clarified the gamma value for pre-HE modulated fields	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-15 11:48:17Z) This comment has been resolved in D0.4, refer to the resolution to CID #693.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 17:48:15Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR	
529 Eunung Park	23	0.1	26.3.9.9	120	39	T	Y	120.39.39	26.3.9.9	V	Eunung	11-16060591	10 HE-STF sequences for HE PPDU except HE trigger-based PPDU is approved but no corresponding text is present in the draft.	D0.1 in the resolution of CID #693 is resolved in D0.4, refer to the resolution to CID #693. The comment has been resolved in D0.4, refer to the resolution to CID #693.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 00:43:06Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
530 Eunung Park	23	0.1	26.3.9.9	120	62	T	Y	120.62.62	26.3.9.9	V	Eunung	11-16060591	10 HE-STF sequences for HE trigger-based PPDU is approved but no corresponding text is present in the draft	add the 160/80-80MHz HE-STF sequence for non-HE trigger-based PPDU as in [16060591]	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 01:17:56Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
531 Eunung Park	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	146	54	T	Y	146.54.54	26.3.10.1 2	V	Bin Tian	11-16060231	6 The pilot sequences for 26-tone RU was approved but no corresponding text is present in the draft.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:25:22Z) Instruction to editor: Please modify the text according to the changes indicated under CID #47, #51-	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #347	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
532 Eunung Park	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	147	36	T	Y	147.36.36	26.3.10.1 2	V	Bin Tian	11-16060231	6 The pilot sequences for 52-tone RU was approved but no corresponding text is present in the draft.	Define the pilot sequences for 52-tone RU based on the PHY Motion 139 [11-16-02357].	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #347	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
533 Eunung Park	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	148	8	T	Y	148.08.8	26.3.10.1 2	V	Bin Tian	11-16060231	6 The pilot sequences for 106-tone RU was approved but no corresponding text is present in the draft.	Define the pilot sequences for 106-tone RU based on the PHY Motion 139 [11-16-02357].	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #347	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR

Eunsung Park	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	148	43	T	Y	148:43:43	26.3.10.1	V	Bin Tian	11-16/06/2024	6 The pilot sequence for 240-tone RU was approved but no corresponding text is present in PHY Motion 138 [11-16/02/2025/7].	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 06:26/162)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #347	0.2	2016/11/20/2017	EDITOR
Eunsung Park	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	149	42	T	Y	149:12:12	26.3.10.1	V	Bin Tian	11-16/06/2024	6 The pilot sequence for 480-tone RU was approved but no corresponding text is present in PHY Motion 138 [11-16/02/2025/7].	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 06:26/482)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #347	0.2	2016/11/20/2017	EDITOR
Eunsung Park	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	149	46	T	Y	149:46:46	26.3.10.1	V	Bin Tian	11-16/06/2024	6 The pilot sequence for 996-tone RU was approved but no corresponding text is present in PHY Motion 138 [11-16/02/2025/7].	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:27/012)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #347	0.2	2016/11/20/2017	EDITOR
Eunsung Park	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	130	1	T	Y	130:01:1	26.3.10	V	Ming Gan	11-16/12/2025	116 We agreed that the HE-LTF sequence for UL MU MIMO is generated using an orthogonal pattern for UL MU MIMO as in PHY Motion 98 [11-16/09/2016/7]. The same text change as in CID 1059 in IEEE 802.11-18/12/205	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-15 18:52/312, see #1059)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
Eunsung Park	23	0.1	26.3.13.4	158	28	T	Y	158:28:28	26.3.13.4	V	Dawson	11-16/11/1912	90 We need to include a table for allowed relative constellation error versus constellation size and coding rate. For 1024 QAM modulation, the allowed relative constellation error is 35dB (PHY Motion 113 D1-16/15/1603).	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-14 13:44/532)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.8	I	see #2351	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
Eunsung Park	23	0.1	9.4.1.62	25	63	T	Y	25:63:63	9.4.1.62	V	Lochan Verma	16/83/81	28 We agreed the tones for channel feedback but no corresponding text is present in Motion 145 [11-16/02/25/7].	REVISED (MAC: 2016/09/08 16:02/112, Proposed resolution accounts for the suggested change.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.4	I	See #112	0.4	2016/09/23 18:34	EDITOR
Eunsung Park	23	0.1	26.3.8.7.2	106	42	T	Y	106:42:42	26.3.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/06/1003	2 We need to add the field and the HE SIG-A fields for an HE MU PPU (table 26-16).	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:21/542)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 2016/21/2	0.2	2016/11/20/2017	EDITOR
Eunsung Park	23	0.1	26.3.8.7.2	107	17	T	Y	107:17:17	26.3.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/06/1003	2 We need to describe the SIG-B compression mode in HE SIG-A fields for an HE MU PPU (table 26-16) when SIG-B compression mode is enabled.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:18/482)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 13:04/382, see #2356	0.2	2016/11/20/2017	EDITOR
Eunsung Park	23	0.1	26.3.2	75	37	T	Y	75:37:37	26.3.2	V	Yan Zhang	11-16/06/341	8 We agreed the PE for NDP is NDP as full.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-21 19:48/362)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-01 19:25/462, see #1629	0.2	2016/11/20/2017	EDITOR
EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	3.2	3	10	G	N	3:10:10	3.2	V	Alienr Malt, Ming Gan, Jason, Jiah, Jiahong, Jieongli	16/11/892	Class A and Class B used on pages 34 and 157 are not defined. 113 TWT scheduled STA are not defined.	REVISED (MAC: 2016/09-14 14:28/322) - Revised -	EDITOR	Need Proposal	I		2016/415/2023	EDITOR	
EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	3.2	3	10	G	N	3:10:10	3.2	V	Alienr Malt, Ming Gan, Jason, Jiah, Jiahong, Jieongli	16/11/892	Define the terms	REVISED (MAC: 2016/09-14 14:28/322) - Revised -	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR	
EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	3.4	3	24	E	N	3:24:24	3.4	V	Editor		Define UL MU as Uplink multi-user Downlink	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27 22:20/482)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-27 23:01/162	0.2	2016/11/20/2017	EDITOR
EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	3.4	3	16	E	N	3:16:16	3.4	V	Editor		Define the term	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27 23:01/162)	EDITOR	Editorials	I	2016/414/1716	EDITOR		
EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.1	12	11	E	N	12:11:11	9.2.4.6.1	V	Editor		Replace with "VHT Control Middle"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27 23:41/452)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.4	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-27 23:41/582	0.2	2016/11/20/2017	EDITOR
EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.1	12	27	E	N	12:27:27	9.2.4.6.1	V	2829 A		... to indicate a HT variant HT Control field."	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27 23:55/482)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-27 23:55/102, see #2929	0.2	2016/11/20/2017	EDITOR
EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.1	12	50	E	N	12:50:50	9.2.4.6.1	V	Editor		Replace with "... HT Control field" instead. "No space before 'Theat'"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27 23:59/192)	EDITOR	to draft	N	contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23/3108	EDITOR	
EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.1	13	18	E	N	13:18:18	9.2.4.6.1	V	Editor		Figure 9-14a, it seems that each Control subfield is that of a VHT control frame, not MCSs. "1" is redundant.	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 00:09/082) - see #360	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:42/412	0.2	2016/11/20/2017	EDITOR
EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	26.5	160	40	E	N	160:40:40	26.5	V	Editor		Remove "1"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:42/372)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:42/412	0.2	2016/11/20/2017	EDITOR
EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	9.2.5.1	15	44	E	N	15:44:44	9.2.5.1	V	Editor		Clarify what does pending transmission mean. It includes response (e.g. ACK) and pending transmission.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:42/372)	EDITOR	HT Control	I	Technical comment	2016/5/17 18:40	EDITOR	

589	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.1.1.	56	21	E	N	56:21:21	25.5.1.1.	20:29	A	Editor	11-16/00293	70	8	It is prohibited to have more than one trigger frame in an AMPDU. If a trigger frame is received in a PPDU, the trigger frame shall be discarded. Multiple trigger are allowed, but the sentence as follows: If a trigger frame is received in a PPDU, the trigger frame shall be discarded. The ordering of the trigger was also changed as shown in 11-16/00293.	ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-03 05:03 18:07:31Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
590	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.1.2	56	40	E	N	56:40:40	25.5.1.2	A		Editor					ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-03 05:03 18:07:31Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
591	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	28	T	N	57:28:28	25.5.2.2.1	V		Simone, Reza, Kiso, Lei, Tomo, John, Yoon, Anton, Junchi, David	11-16/00293	70	8	It is prohibited to have more than one trigger frame in an AMPDU. If a trigger frame is received in a PPDU, the trigger frame shall be discarded. Multiple trigger are allowed, but the sentence as follows: If a trigger frame is received in a PPDU, the trigger frame shall be discarded. The ordering of the trigger was also changed as shown in 11-16/00293.	ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-03 05:03 18:07:31Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
592	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.2	57	39	E	N	57:39:39	25.5.2.2.2	10:29	A	Editor					ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-03 05:03 18:07:31Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
593	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.3	57	51	T	N	57:51:51	25.5.2.2.3	V		Simone, Reza, Kiso, Lei, Tomo, John, Yoon, Anton, Junchi, David	11-16/00293	70	8	It is prohibited to have more than one trigger frame in an AMPDU. If a trigger frame is received in a PPDU, the trigger frame shall be discarded. Multiple trigger are allowed, but the sentence as follows: If a trigger frame is received in a PPDU, the trigger frame shall be discarded. The ordering of the trigger was also changed as shown in 11-16/00293.	ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-03 05:03 18:07:31Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
594	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	10	T	N	57:10:10	25.5.2.2.1	V		Simone, Reza, Kiso, Lei, Tomo, John, Yoon, Anton, Junchi, David	11-16/00293	70	8	It is prohibited to have more than one trigger frame in an AMPDU. If a trigger frame is received in a PPDU, the trigger frame shall be discarded. Multiple trigger are allowed, but the sentence as follows: If a trigger frame is received in a PPDU, the trigger frame shall be discarded. The ordering of the trigger was also changed as shown in 11-16/00293.	ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-03 05:03 18:07:31Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
595	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1		20	E	N		25.5.2.2.1	A		Editor					ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-04-27 16:06:16Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
596	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	20	E	N	57:20:20	25.5.2.2.1	Editor		Editor					ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-04-27 16:06:16Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
597	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.2	57	55	E	N	57:55:55	25.5.2.2.2	15:55	A	Editor					ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:05:52Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
598	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.3	47	47	E	N	47:47:47	25.5.2.2.3	A		Editor					ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:05:52Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
599	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	58	58	T	N	58:58:58	25.5.2.4	V		Simone, Reza, Kiso, Lei, Tomo, John, Yoon, Anton, Junchi, David	11-16/00853	65	5	The last two paragraphs on page 58 correspond to any transmission, not only UL MU transmission, as shown in 11-16/00853 under all headings that include CID 1543.	ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:05:52Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
600	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.2.6.1	60	48	T	N	60:48:48	25.5.2.6.1	V		Chito, Yoon, Reza, Stephanie, Baron, Yoon, Gan, Yuchi	11-16/12221	101	1	The standard shall provide a mechanism to change trigger-based Random access contention parameters on the fly.	ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:05:52Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
601	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.2.6.1	60	48	T	N	60:48:48	25.5.2.6.1	V		Chito, Yoon, Reza, Stephanie, Baron, Yoon, Gan, Yuchi	11-16/16204	97	1	The standard shall define how the STA behaves its behavior when it receives new contention parameters from the AP.	ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:05:52Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
602	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.2.6.1	60	29	T	N	60:29:29	25.5.2.6.1	V		Chito, Yoon, Reza, Stephanie, Baron, Yoon, Gan, Yuchi	11-16/16204	97	1	It is not clear why the parameter is called OCVmin, if there is no OCVmin.	ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:05:52Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
603	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.3	61	1	E	N	61:01:1	25.5.3	A		Editor					ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:05:52Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
604	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.5.3	61	12	T	N	61:12:12	25.5.3	V		Simone, Reza, Kiso, Lei, Tomo, John, Yoon, Anton, Junchi, David	11-16/14573	130	1	The frame space was already defined (Fig.25-2)	ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:05:52Z	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	1	2016/11/29 2:43	EDITOR
605	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.6.1	62	13	E	N	62:13:13	25.6.1	A		Editor					ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:05:52Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
606	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	32	E	N	63:32:32	25.9.2	V		Editor					ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:05:52Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
607	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	34	E	N	63:34:34	25.9.2	V		Editor					ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:05:52Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
608	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	34	E	N	63:34:34	25.9.2	V		Editor					ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:05:52Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
609	EVGENY KHOROV	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	36	E	N	63:36:36	25.9.2	Editor		Editor					ACCEPTED EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:05:52Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR

677	Huizhao Wang	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.57	46	T	N	57.46.46	25.5.2.2.2	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Yan, Junchi, David	11-16/00293	70 Frames other than Trigger Frame transmitted in broadcast RU shall be duplicated in other RU(s) and a stream assigned to STA recipient (a technical clarification will be followed later)	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15) Add a note trigger frame is transmitted to a STA. Added clarification to the groupcast transmission in 11-1600293 TGxx editor to make the changes shown in 11-1600293	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
678	Huizhao Wang	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	45	T	N	58.45.45	25.5.2.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Yan, Junchi, David	11-16/00293	70 Response with QoS NULL to Trigger Frame type equal to 0 only	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15) Replace "If the STA does not have a frame of the required type", with "If the Trigger Frame type is equal to zero, and the STA does not have a response frame to send, the STA should transmit Qos NULL frame"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
679	Huizhao Wang	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	53	E	N	58.53.53	25.5.2.4	J	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Yan, Junchi, David	16/08/060	Missing subclause number referenced	REJECTED (MU, 2016-07-28) Add the subclause number of describing ED-based CCA.	MU	EDITOR	2016/5/17 16:36	EDITOR	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR		
680	Huizhao Wang	23	0.1	25.5.2.5	50	T	N	59.50.50	25.5.2.5	J	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Yan, Junchi, David	16/08/060	24 Limit HE buffer status report within QoS Control field only, for maximum interoperability	REJECTED (MAC, 2016-09-15) Agree in principle with the change in the resolution. The HE A-Control field provides a basic BSR functionality that allow for maximum interoperability. However, to enable additional flexibility (in terms of BSR for highest AC, all ACs or with different scaling factors) it is beneficial to enable an optional BSR functionality that can be used in a flexible and efficient way. Please refer to 11/16/06284 for more details.	EDITOR	2016-09-23 18:22:33Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	N		0.2	2016/11/23 2:107	EDITOR	
681	Huizhao Wang	23	0.1	25.10.1	64	E	N	64.06.6	25.10.1	V	Editor		General description of A-MPDU operation is very different from the "except" in two consecutive "from "from" in sentence as well	REVISED (EDITOR, 2016-05-05 15:55:26Z). Change the paragraph to read as follows: "AMPDU operation for an HE PPDU follows the procedures defined in 10.13 (AMPDU operation) and, if necessary, the procedures defined in the subclause."	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I		0.2	2016/11/23 2:107	EDITOR	
682	Hyunhee Park	23	0.1	94.2.196	43	T	Y	26.43.43	94.2.196	V	Maif Fischer	16/12/663	119 The TWT operation should be different from the regular TWT operation since a STA needs to negotiate only the Beacon frame during TWT SP. However, the spec has no text about the indication for the first TBT and listen interval in the TWT element, which needs to be described.	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-15 10:29:23Z). Revise "Gak" editor shall make the changes to the current resolution. The resolution should include all headings that include CID 682 - which do not add a new field, but instead, make it clear that the resolution already include a suggested TBT value by using the suggest TWT command.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
683	Hyunhee Park	23	0.1	10.3.2.11.4	33	E	Y	43.33.33	10.3.2.11.4	Editor			Clarify Figure with "SIFS". The STA shall sense the medium busy after receiving the PPDU that contains the Trigger frame (i.e., during the SIFS time)	EDITOR	Editoriale							
684	Hyunhee Park	23	0.1	10.4.4.2	51	E	Y	48.51.51	10.4.4.2	A	Editor		Clarify <reference> in the text of the TWT responding STA of a trigger frame. The TWT responding STA shall schedule for transmission a Trigger frame to the TWT requesting STA, as described in 11/16/05271 (UL MU operation).	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-02 19:10:00Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I		0.2	2016/11/23 2:107	EDITOR	
685	Hyunhee Park	23	0.1	25.6.2	12	G	Y	63.12.12	25.6.2	V	Jarkko, Jayh, Alfred, Reza	16/08/12	104 Clarify the Outage Time in the draft spec.	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-13 11:23:72) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
686	Jue Saung Lee	23	0.1	92.4.6.4.1	57	T	Y	13.57.57	9.2.4.6.4.1	V	Alfred, Liwen, Jeongji	11-16/07960	21 The table is incomplete.	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-08 12:36:09Z) Agree in principle to the change in the resolution is to update the table and populate the empty column.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I		0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	

697 Jia Seung Lee	23	0.1	25.3.3	54	62	T	Y	54.62.62	25.3.3	V	Alfred, Ming Gan, Mark Reza, Laurent, Woonjin, Narenisar	16.02.80	42 There are TBDs in the subclause.	Remove the TBDs.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27 04:37:14Z) - Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution defines the TBDs of the subclause. TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16002960 under all headings that include CID 697.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	0.3	2016/02/3 16:24	EDITOR	
699 Jia Seung Lee	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	17	T	Y	57.17.17	25.5.2.2.1	V	Simone, Rafesh, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16/002963	70 There are TBDs in the subclause.	Remove the TBDs.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-15 15:13:54Z) - Several TBDs are addressed as resolution of comments that TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16002963	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	0.5	2016/02/9 16:35	EDITOR		
699 Jia Seung Lee	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	12	T	Y	58.12.12	25.5.2.3	V	Simone, Rafesh, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16/002963	70 There are TBDs in the subclause.	Remove the TBDs.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-15 15:14:02Z) - Several TBDs are addressed as resolution of comments that propose a specific solution TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16002963	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	0.5	2016/02/9 16:35	EDITOR	
700 Jia Seung Lee	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	1	T	Y	59.01.1	25.5.2.4	V	Simone, Rafesh, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton	11-16/008663	66 There are TBDs in the subclause.	Remove the TBDs.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-13 14:33:17Z) - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16008663 under all headings that include CID 700.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	0.5	2016/02/9 16:35	EDITOR	
701 Jia Seung Lee	23	0.1	25.5.2.6.1	60	8	T	Y	60.08.8	25.5.2.6.1	V	Chitko, Kison, Yoon, Reza, Stephanie Baron, Yong Gan, Yuchi	11-16/122271	101 There are TBDs in the subclause.	Remove the TBDs.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-21 04:25:38Z) - TGAx Editor to make the changes as in the doc 11-16122271	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #450	0.5	2016/02/9 16:35	EDITOR
702 Jia Seung Lee	23	0.1	25.6	61	28	T	Y	61.28.28	25.6	V	Raja, Lwenn, Narenisar, Woonjin, Kajfing	11-16/077344	95 Remove the TBDs.	Remove the TBDs.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-15 13:27:40Z) - REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 19:03:19Z) - The case that the AP is a member of a Multiple BSSID Set with two or more members. TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16007341. [Ad hoc db editor: Apply the changes as shown in CID 702 (or 12227) in 11-16007341.]	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
703 Jia Seung Lee	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	37	T	Y	63.37.37	25.9.2	V	Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosa, Junchi, Xiaokui, Jing, Jiangli, Jiang, Yasu, Gwenn, Yuchi, Junchi, Laurent	8891	48 Remove the TBDs	Define the TBD conditions	REVISED - Agreed to the comment. This bullet describes the case that the AP is a member of a Multiple BSSID Set with two or more members. TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-1600891 under all headings for CID 703	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	0.3	2016/02/3 16:24	EDITOR	
704 Jia Seung Lee	23	0.1	25.9.3	63	64	T	Y	63.64.64	25.9.3	V	Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosa, Junchi, Lwenn, Xiaokui, Jing, Jiangli, Jiang, Yasu, Gwenn, Yuchi, Junchi, Laurent		Threshold values are TBD.	Define the TBD value.		SR	Spatial Reuse		2016/5/17 16:38	EDITOR		
705 James Jane Wang	23	0.1	25.9.3	63	56	T	Y	63.56.56	25.9.3	V	Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosa, Junchi, Xiaokui, Jing, Jiangli, Jiang, Yasu, Gwenn, Yuchi, Junchi, Laurent	8792	47 The condition "When the color code based CCA rule is used" is limited and should be modified. The condition is identified. There are multiple ways an STA can determine whether a detected frame is an intra-BSS or an inter-BSS frame by using BSS color or MAC address in the MAC header of the frame. The assignment SFD13216 P8(L39).	Please generalize the condition "When the color code based CCA rule is used" as stated. Agree in principle with the ParallelISM in VHT PPDU can be used to determine whether a detected frame is an intra-BSS or an inter-BSS frame. VHT related tests needs to be included in the subclause about Intra/Inter-BSS operation.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	0.3	2016/02/3 16:24	EDITOR		
706 James Jane Wang	23	0.1	25.9.3	63	57	T	N	63.57.57	25.9.3	V	Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosa, Junchi, Xiaokui, Jing, Jiangli, Jiang, Yasu, Gwenn, Yuchi, Junchi, Laurent	12236	76 "in HE STA is allowed to adjust the OBSS_PD threshold in conjunction with the modified based on the SFD alignment rules formula	Please update the adjustment rule for OBSS_PD threshold in conjunction with the comment. Document #2266 includes the description of the adjustment rule from the SFD.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #2386	0.5	2016/02/9 16:35	EDITOR	
707 Jarkko Knaflitz	23	0.1	3.4	3	E	N	3.00	3.4				Add the abbreviations and acronyms PPE	PPE Post Packet Extension	EDITOR	Editorials				2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR		

[illegible]

763 Jarkko Knecht	23	0.1	25.2.2.3	57	53	T	N	57:53.53	25.5.2.2.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kleson, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16-136 11	130 Not clear what is meant with the HE transmits only A-MPDUs.	Change MPDU/A-MPDU to A-MPDU. Agree in principle with the comment. That sentence has been removed from the draft as part of the comment resolutions of several CIDs in 11-16-9283.	REVISED (MU 2016-11-10) 2050:21Z	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	See CID number or #759	1	2016/11/29 2:45	EDITOR
764 Jarkko Knecht	23	0.1	25.2.2.3	57	55	T	N	57:55.55	25.5.2.2.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kleson, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16-136 11	130 Why the TXOP needs to start with the Trigger frame in order to consider an A-MPDU successful exchange?	Consider also cases where Trigger frame is following RTS-CTS exchange.	REVISED (MU 2016-11-10) 2050:12Z	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	See CID number or #759	1	2016/11/29 2:45	EDITOR
765 Jarkko Knecht	23	0.1	25.2.2.3	58	47	E	N	58:47.47	25.2.3	A	Editor		PPDU, not PPDPU	As in comment.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR 2016-05-03 19:26:54Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-03 19:29:57Z - see #598	0.2	2016/11/23 17	EDITOR
766 Jarkko Knecht	23	0.1	25.2.2.3	58	11	E	N	58:11.11	25.2.3	A	Editor		use and if instead of if	As in comment.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR 2016-05-03 19:26:54Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-03 19:29:57Z - see #598	0.2	2016/11/23 17	EDITOR
767 Jarkko Knecht	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	5	E	N	59:05.5	25.5.2.4	J	Editor		NAV information is received, not polled.	change polled from to received	REJECTED (EDITOR 2016-05-03 19:29:52Z): It is the STA that is polled, not the NAV	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-05-03 19:29:53Z - The resolution contains no editing	2016/11/23 2:05	EDITOR	
768 Jarkko Knecht	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	17	T	Y	59:17.17	25.5.2.4	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kleson, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	16/08/762	50 The energy detection when CS Required is set to 1, is not described in details. What channels are sensed and how they are used? The frame is not clear what is the frame. The frame is not defined.	Do the details of ED when CS Required is set to 1. Requested to include the details of the frame. The frame is not defined.	REVISED (MU 2016-07-28) 23:29:55Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-11 00:54:16Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
769 Jarkko Knecht	23	0.1	25.5.2.5	59	44	T	Y	59:44.44	25.5.2.5	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kleson, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	16/08/060	24 Trigger frame for random access. The frame is not clear what is the frame. The frame is not defined.	Define what is a trigger frame for random access. The frame is not clear what is the frame. The frame is not defined.	REVISED (MU 2016-07-28) 21:46:19Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I		0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
770 Jarkko Knecht	23	0.1	25.5.2.5	59	47	T	Y	59:47.47	25.5.2.5	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kleson, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	16/08/060	24 Why the AP can decide the granularity of the Queue Size Report? AP may not have any information about the queue size in the STA and the report may be non-sense. It would be less erroneous, if the STA can decide the granularity of the Queue Status report.	Change the STA to define the granularity of the Queue Size Report. Do not allow the AP to decide the granularity of the report.	REVISED (MU 2016-07-28) 21:47:18Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I		0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
771 Jarkko Knecht	23	0.1	25.5.2.5	59	51	T	N	59:51.51	25.5.2.5	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kleson, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	16/08/060	24 Add possibility to provide Queue status to multiple TIDs with the same report. The STA should respond at least to the most important traffic it has to send.	Allow STA's possibility to decide which TIDs it reports to the AP.	REVISED (MU 2016-07-28) 21:47:59Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I		0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
772 Jarkko Knecht	23	0.1	25.5.2.6	59	58	E	N	59:58.58	25.5.2.6				The UL OFDMA Random Access should have an acronym where to reference.	Add OURA acronym from OFDMA UL Random Access.	MU	MU	At some point it was called "OFDMA-based distributed random access (ODDA)", which I thought was better. Referring to ad-hoc for resolution.	2016/5/17 16:36	EDITOR			
773 Jarkko Knecht	23	0.1	25.5.2.6	60	12	T	Y	60:12.12	25.5.2.6.1		Chito, Yimbo, Reza, Saphane, Junchi, Gan, Yuchi		The Random access rules should be clarified in details. Now the figure 25-1 clarifies the rules, but they should be written in the normative text.	Write in the normative rules for OFDMA random access.	MU	MU		2016/5/17 16:36	EDITOR			
774 Jarkko Knecht	23	0.1	25.5.2.6	60	39	T	N	60:39.39	25.5.2.6.1		Chito, Yimbo, Reza, Saphane, Junchi, Gan, Yuchi		How the RU is selected for the random access?	Please clarify	MU	MU		2016/5/17 16:36	EDITOR			
775 Jarkko Knecht	23	0.1	25.6	62	7	T	Y	62:07.7	25.6	J	Jarkko, Jarch, Alfred, Reza	16/08/112	104 The RX Operating Mode could be changed to allow the STA to request the BW and NSS for the other STA. This could help to select the RX mode to improve the transmission efficiency.	Please add a request signaling 11:23:51Z. Rejected comment that the OMI could be used to improve the transmission efficiency. However, the proposed resolution is not clear what the operation between the OMI Initiator and OMI Responder. The STA may start to use the assistance. Also it is not clear what the STA should do with the information. In order to figure out the OMI operation more clearly, normative text is needed with OMI Initiator and OMI Responder.	REJECTED (MAC 2016-09-13) 11:23:51Z. Rejected	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	2016/11/23 2:05	EDITOR		

799 Jing Ma	23	0.1 10.3.2.11.4	46	T	Y	44.48.46	10.3.2.11.4		J.J. Yongho, Junchi, Jeongli	11-16/13323						128 In Figure 10-a-5, TXOP starts from the middle of the Backoff or PFS. On the other hand, all TXOP start from the beginning of the Trigger frame. Please check the example shown in Figure 10-a-6 and make special case which is not in the middle of backoff or PFS.	ACCEPTED (MAC: 2016-11-22 12:05:28.05Z) / Already fixed in D0.5	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-11-22 17:59:42Z: The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
799 Jing Ma	23	0.1 10.22	46	T	Y	46.00	10.22		Laurent Carou	11-16/13323	1022	46.00	Y			Current spec draft does not specify how the AP/STA obtains the TXOP for transmission opportunity		EDITOR	Need Proposal			2016/9/13 14:42	EDITOR	
800 Jing Ma	23	0.1 25.5.2.3	58	T	Y	58.00	25.5.2.3	V	Shimone, Reza, Liwen, Tomo, John Sun, Anton, Yashu, David	11-16/00293	255.2.3	58.00	Y			"The MCS parameter shall be set to the value indicated by the MCS studied of the per-variant Trigger frame format. The MCS parameter shall be added in the TXVECTOR parameter list	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-16 15:41:33Z) Multiple TXVECTOR parameters were added	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	
801 Jing Ma	23	0.1 25.5.2.6.1	60	T	Y	60.31.31	25.5.2.6.1	V	David Kison, Yashu, Reza, Shaphane, Baron, Yong Gan, Yuchit	11-16/12221	255.2.6.1	60.31.31	Y			101 Current spec draft does not specify the exact format of the trigger frame for random access, which should be different from the current trigger frame format defined in 9.3.1.23	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-21 04:25:57Z) Agree in principle; we propose to keep the basic trigger frame format and not to be changed, but in order to indicate random access RUs, AID 0 is used in the User Info field. In other words, any non-zero AID in the User Info field is used for assignment of scheduled RUs.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #450	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
802 Jing Ma	23	0.1 25.6	60	T	Y	60.35.36	25.6	V	Rain, Liwen, Narendar, Ross, Zhou, Kaifeng	11-16/07734	25.6	60.35.36	Y			95 The draft doesn't clarify how an HE AP solicit beamforming feedback from multiple STAs sequentially through SU transmission. Does it mean that we just follow the sounding protocol with multi-user in 11ac protocol with a single VHT beamforming feedback in Figure 9-41b-1a-Example of the sounding protocol with a single VHT beamforming feedback from multiple STAs sequentially through SU transmission"	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-15 13:22:30Z) - REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 18:54:27Z) - Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution clarifies the missing details. Please check the example shown in Figure 9-41b-1a-Example added at the end of "an HE AP editor: Apply the changes listed under CID121 in 11-1607732Z".	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	Revised in MU version and accepted with document revision #4	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
803 Jing Ma	23	0.1 25.9.2	63	T	Y	63.41.41	25.9.2		Bo Sun, Yonghoon, Junchi, Liwen, Yashu, Jing, Joseph, Yashu, Green, Geonjong, Yashu, Yashu, James, Laurent, Chenean, Tomo, Kaifeng, Yashu, Xuefei	11-16/07734	25.9.2	63.41.41	T	Y		The kind of environment or dependent scenario where the scenario the OBSS PD level is applicable is not specified.	SR	Spatial Reuse			2016/5/17 18:38	EDITOR		
804 Jing Jang	23	0.1 9.3.1.9	15	T	N	15.18.18	9.3.1.9	V	Laurent, Chenean, Tomo, Kaifeng, Yashu, Xuefei	11-16/08191	9.3.1.9	15.18.18	T	N		23 The added bit of the multi-STA subfield to indicate the Multi-STA BA variant is not needed to be added. Even this bit is added, there are multiple reserved options that do not have valid meaning in the future. If new variant is added, one more bit needs to be added.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-09 19:43:59Z) Make changes in doc: 160819. Agree in principle. There are many combinations that are reserved and they should be used efficiently in the future. If new variant is added, the field is changed to BA Type subfield. Similar problem existing in 9-41b-1a-Example may be solved in RE v10c.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-10 17:53:23Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
805 Jinoo Ahn	23	0.1 9.7.3	35	T	Y	35.43.43	9.7.3	V	Yongho, Liwen, John Sun, Tomo	16/11861	9.7.3	35.43.43	T	Y		118 Trigger Frame, Multi-STA ACK frame: need to be added in the other contents. No Acknowledgment cases in other A-MPDU contents. TXVECTOR parameter list (PSP, control response)	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 07:34:32Z) - Revised. Agree in principle. TXVECTOR parameter list (PSP, control response)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #605	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR

832 Jinsoo Ahn	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	20:25:25	9.3.1.23	2089 A	Editor	Type correction for CS Required subfield	Change the following sentence in the text to read as follows: "The CS Required subfield is set to 0 to indicate that the CS is not required. If the User Info field is not required, consider the medium state or the NAV in determining whether or not to respond."	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:51:47Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:51:56Z - see #2589	0.2	2016/11/22/2017 EDITOR	
833 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	25.9.2	63:29:28	25.9.2		Bo Sun, Youngmoon, Ross, Junsu, Xiaokui, Jing, Jiong, Jia, Jia, Gyeong, Yunsik, Yuchi, Laurent	Specify the container to convey BSS color field.	Modify as "... by using BSS color in the HE-SEC-A or MAC address in the MAC header."		SR	Spatial Reuse	2016/5/17 16:39	EDITOR	
834 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.1.4	71:06:6	26.1.4	V	Samer, Bo Sun	87 Support for the HE extended range SU PPDU was decided as mandatory.	Modify as "Support for the HE extended range SU PPDU format is mandatory."	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-13 07:01:56Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 22:21:55Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
835 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.2.2	73:04:4	26.2.2	A	Bo Sun	11 The range of BSS color can be defined in HE-SIG-A or MAC address in the MAC header.	Modify "TBD" to "93".	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:23:32Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-01 18:41:54Z	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
836 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.2	75:25:25	26.3.2	V	Rui Cao	37 There are some fields with variable size in the Figure 26-5. NPP PPDU format such as HE-SIG-A (2 symbols or 4 subcarriers) or HE-SIG-B (1 or 2), but there is no note or explanation on those fields. It would be better to add some explanation on those fields. duration of each field in the PPDU explicitly.	Specify the duration of each field in Figure 26-5. NPP PPDU format such as HE-SIG-A (2 symbols or 4 subcarriers) or HE-SIG-B (1 or 2), but there is no note or explanation on those fields. It would be better to add some explanation on those fields. duration of each field in the PPDU explicitly.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:33:56Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-04 20:22:36Z - Left use "tone" for the HE S-TAs and NPP figure was removed with #1843. The HE SU PPDU of which NPP as a special case shown the PE is left.	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR
837 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.2	75:37:37	26.3.2	V	Yan Zhang	8 The duration of PE in the NPP is not defined as being always 4us.	Modify the NOTE as "The PE is always present and the duration of PE is 4us".	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-21 19:31:56Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-01 19:25:58Z - see #1829	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
838 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.3	76:02:2	26.3.3	V	Xiaogang Chen	13 Most standards that have applied OFDMA have one resource block (RB) in 3GPP LTE or physical resource block (PRB) in 4G/LTE. In DO 1, different names in multiple subcarriers are still used. It is recommended to use the same term as one applied to all related subcarriers that call OFDMA.	Modify as either "... in a 26-SSB subcarrier RU..." (based on 26.3.7.1 Resource unit allocation for OFDMA) or "... in a 26-SSB subcarrier RU..." (based on 26.3.7.1 Resource unit allocation for OFDMA) or "... in a 26-SSB subcarrier RU..." (based on the document (11-16-0853-05) or using same pattern in the two subcarriers).	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:34:30Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-01 20:22:36Z - Left use "tone" for the HE S-TAs and NPP figure was removed with #1843. The HE SU PPDU of which NPP as a special case shown the PE is left.	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
839 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.6	83:29:29	26.3.6	V	Bin Tian	7 In the repetition mode, HE-SIG-A symbols are repeated once in time. We can remove TBD in the HE-SIG-A field in the related constant table.	The resolution is the same as in IEEE 802.11-11:16:02:11-16:06:25:2	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:33:34Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 16:59:57Z - see #279	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
840 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.7.3	93:60:60	26.3.7.3	E	Editor	Would it be better to unify the OFDMA building blocks in two subcarriers (26.3.7.1 subcarriers) and DC tones (26.3.7.3 subcarriers)? Either using one figure or using same pattern in the two subcarriers.	EDITOR	EDITOR			2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR	
841 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.7.3	94:58:58	26.3.7.3	V	Jinsoo, Shammaz	117 Shouldn't we specify the pilot tone indices for 160MHz in the 2x960 unit is used for SU?	If noting on explicit tone indices is helpful, add the pilot tone indices for 160MHz in the Table 26-11.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-15 11:38:11Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 16:49:57Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
842 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	105:08:8	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	30 There is no reserved field in the HE-SIG-A field for future extension. It is recommended to put the reserved field in the HE-SIG-A field before reading SIG-A field design.	Modify as "Support for the HE extended range SU PPDU format is mandatory."	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 13:36:56Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 22:25:36Z	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR
843 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	105:14:14	26.3.9.7.2	A	Ross	2 Specify the indication of entry with 0 or 1.	Add "Set to 0 for DL and set to 1 for UL."	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:03:32Z)	I	See #2002	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
844 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	105:21:21	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	2 Need to clarify the description in Format field.	Modify as "Set to 0 for an HE SU PPDU format and set to 1 for an HE MU PPDU format."	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:03:32Z)	I	See #1196	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
845 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	106:46:46	26.3.9.7.2	A	Ross	2 Specify the indication of entry with 0 or 1.	Add "Set to 0 for DL and set to 1 for UL."	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:17:22Z)	I	See #2002	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR

848 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2.106	60	T	Y	106.60.60	26.3.9.7.2	A	Ross	11-16/007291	30 Non-contiguous channels (non-HE STA) working in the BW field needs to be extended in order to indicate the contiguous and non-contiguous cases as well as PPDUs BW information. Reminding the limited HE-SIG-A capacity, the limited increase in HE-SIG-A is recommended while HE-SIG-B can be considered for supporting combinations. Defining unused entries as reserved after "Set 5 to 5 for MCS5".	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-07-26) EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 2254.11Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR	
847 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2.107	10	E	Y	107.10.10	26.3.9.7.2	A	Editor		10 The STP sequence for 160MHz non-HE trigger based PPOU transmission is need to be added.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 20:36.35Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 20:36.40Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
848 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.9.9.120	39	T	Y	120.39.39	26.3.9.9	V	Eunsung	11-16/006591	10 The STP sequence for 160MHz non-HE trigger based PPOU transmission is need to be added.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:13.30Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 00:43.27Z - see #529	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
849 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.9.9.120	61	T	Y	120.61.61	26.3.9.9	V	Eunsung	11-16/006591	10 The STP sequence for 160MHz non-HE trigger based PPOU transmission is need to be added.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:13.30Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 01:17.45Z - see #530	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
850 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.10.1.146	54	T	Y	146.54.54	26.3.10.1.2	V	Bin Tian	11-16/006291	6 The pilot sequences for RUs with 26, 52, 106, 242, 484 and 966 tones need to be defined.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:27:16Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #347	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
851 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.12.3.155	29	T	Y	155.29.29	26.3.12.3.2	V	Srinam, Sameer	11-16/116941	88 Some structure and contents of the feedback have been decided. We can fill out assigned to field description in setting for DL (need to be assigned to field description in setting for UL) and 1 for UL.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 07:30:36Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-29 18:38:27Z - field name contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
852 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.12.3.155	41	T	Y	155.41.41	26.3.12.3.4	A	Srinam, Sameer	11-16/001591	5 We can use the field of "SIGB Number of Symbols in HE" to indicate the number of MU-MIMO STAs in the case of SIGB compression field set to 1.	Resolution already presented in IEEE 802.11-160830r1. The proposed PHY: 2016-05-29 08:16:24Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 18:33:35Z - see #2002	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
853 Jinsoo Choi	23	0.1	26.3.12.3.155	50	T	Y	155.50.50	26.3.12.3.4	V	Srinam, Sameer	11-16/001591	5 We can use the field of "SIGB Number of Symbols in HE" to indicate the number of MU-MIMO STAs in the case of SIGB compression field set to 1.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:17:16Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 18:38:27Z - field name contains no editing instructions. SIGB Symbols OI MU-MIMO Users field"	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
854 Jinsuok Kim	23	0.1	26.3.7.1.89	1	T	Y	89.01.1	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Sharmaz	11-16/000091	34 Some of RUs are not suitable for MU-MIMO STAs with smaller bandwidth than OFDMA PPDUs	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-27 07:37:33Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 2243:35Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
855 Ju-Hyang Son	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.14	37	T	Y	14.37.37	9.2.4.6.4.2	V	Allred, Liwen, Jeongil	11-16/007691	20 The current format of "UL MU Add the "MCS" subfield in Figure 9-14c. Based on three subfields, the MCS subfield indicates the MCS assigned for transmitting the HE trigger".	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-08 11:14:33Z) Agree in principle with the comment. Since sent all robust MCSs we agreed to use the 2 LSB of this field and use the 2 LSB encoding of the HE MCSs defined in 26.3.2.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	see #1	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
856 Ju-Hyang Son	23	0.1	25.6.2.14	44	T	Y	62.33.44	25.6.2	J	Jankio, Jayh, Wanning, Allred, Reza	16/08/12	104 It is not clear whether AP can change its operation mode with indication for AP and non-AP STAs. In general, HE STA is an OMI initiator and AP is an OMI responder, however it doesn't have to restrict opposite case in the spec.	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-09-13 11:24:11Z) - Rejected	EDITOR	No change to draft	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	

863 Jun-Hyang Son	23	0.1	107.6.5.2.45	1	T	Y	45.01.1	107.6.5.2	V	Po-Kai, Yuen, Yoon, Kiseon, Yuchi, Kiseon, Xieoli, Jing, Yaseu	11-16/11833	100 In Per User Info field within HE Trigger frame format, MCS subfield is defined for each STA. MU-RTS is delivered in exchange, the simultaneous MU-RTS variant HE Trigger frame format, therefore AP can explicitly signal MCS level of simultaneous CTS. It would be beneficial for AP to explicitly indicate the BSS color of simultaneous CTSs from multiple STAs (not relying on each STA's individual rate selection algorithm).	Change the text in line 1: "The BSS color is defined for each STA. MU-RTS is delivered in exchange, the simultaneous MU-RTS variant HE Trigger frame shall be transmitted with explicit signal MCS level of simultaneous CTS. It would be beneficial for AP to explicitly indicate the BSS color of simultaneous CTSs from multiple STAs (not relying on each STA's individual rate selection algorithm)." to "When a STA receives a PPDU and a valid frame in the PSDU of the HE PPDU, the STA shall set the TXVECTOR BSS NAV or regular NAV with the information from the TXOP. If a STA transmits an HE MU-RTS, it shall set the TXVECTOR BSS NAV or regular NAV with both a valid HE-SIG-A in a HE PPDU or HE MU-PPDU and a valid frame with HE-SIG-A in a HE PPDU that the STA shall set the TXVECTOR NAV setting rule is TBD."	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-21) Editor	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR	2016-09-21 18:44:54Z	0.5	2016/09/21 18:35	EDITOR
864 Jun Luo	23	0.1	93.1.23	21	43	T	N	21.43.43	9.3.1.23	V	Liuwen, Reza, Yoon, Kiseon, Yuchi, Kiseon, Xieoli, Jing, Yaseu	11-16/07741	58 MAC Motion RX (RU) allocation for each STA in per user info field of Trigger frame was approved but no comment on the text is present in the draft.	TBD	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29) Editor	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	EDITOR	2016-07-29 23:29:45Z - see #164 2016-07-29 23:50:42Z - see #164 20		

JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.7.1	88	T	Y	88.00	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Shammaz	11-16/00582	9 Please, re-write the section as in Proposed Change. The 242-subcarrier RU can also be used as an RU PPDUs. The 484-subcarrier RU can be used in the 40 MHz HE SU PPDUs. The 996-subcarrier HE SU PPDUs can be used in the 80 MHz HE SU PPDUs. The 2096-subcarrier HE SU PPDUs can be used in the 160 MHz and 320 MHz HE SU PPDUs. The SU-MIMO may also be scheduled on top of these HE SU PPDUs.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:52:35Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #888	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.7.1	88	T	Y	88.00	26.3.7.1	A	Jinsoo, Shammaz	11-16/00582	9 Change as in Proposed Change. The SU in HE SU PPDUs with which the MU-MIMO may be scheduled. MU-MIMO but represents the non-OFDMA.	Revised (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:52:51Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #888	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.7.1	88	T	Y	88.00	26.3.7.1	A	Jinsoo, Shammaz	11-16/00582	9 Change the Table 26-7 accordingly. The SU does not mean the SU-MIMO here.	Revised (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:53:06Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #888	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.7.1	90	E	Y	90.00	26.3.7.1	J	Editor		Re-format to Figure 26-15, Figure 26-16, Figure 26-17	REJECTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-19 22:12:44Z). Commenter has not clearly identified the suggested remedy (as shown)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-05-19 22:13:05Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.7.1	92	T	Y	92.00	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Shammaz	11-16/00582	9 Delete the MU-MIMO HE PPDUs as in Proposed Change. The SU here does not mean the MU-MIMO but the non-OFDMA.	20 MHz HE SU PPDUs and MU-REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:53:18Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #888	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.7.1	92	T	Y	92.00	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Shammaz	11-16/00582	9 Delete the MU-MIMO HE PPDUs as in Proposed Change. The SU here does not mean the MU-MIMO but the non-OFDMA.	40 MHz HE SU PPDUs and MU-REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:53:34Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #888	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.7.1	92	T	Y	92.35.35	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Shammaz	11-16/00582	9 Delete the MU-MIMO HE PPDUs as in Proposed Change. The SU here does not mean the MU-MIMO but the non-OFDMA.	20 MHz MU-MIMO HE PPDUs with a 242-subcarrier RU. Suggested remedy (as shown)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #888	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.7.1	92	T	Y	92.36.36	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Shammaz	11-16/00582	9 Delete the MU-MIMO HE PPDUs as in Proposed Change. The SU here does not mean the MU-MIMO but the non-OFDMA.	40 MHz OFDMA HE PPDUs, MU-REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:56:31Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #888	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.7.1	92	T	Y	92.38.38	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Shammaz	11-16/00582	9 Delete the MU-MIMO HE PPDUs as in Proposed Change. The SU here does not mean the MU-MIMO but the non-OFDMA.	80 MHz HE SU PPDUs and 80 MHz HE SU PPDUs with 996 subcarrier allocation. Suggested remedy (as shown)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #888	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.8	95	38	E	95.38.38	26.3.8	A	Editor		Minor Editorial change	the correspond 80 MHz → the ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 13:59:12Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 13:59:15Z	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.8	96	E	Y	96.00	26.3.8	A	Editor		Minor editorial change	In Figure 26-21, N_HELT needs to be changed to N_HE-0.5-14 21:38:10Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 21:38:15Z	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.8	97	E	Y	97.00	26.3.8	A	Editor		Minor editorial change	In the equation for L_HE-Data, the N_HELT should be N_HELT for consistency	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 14:13:10Z	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.8	99	T	Y	99.00	26.3.8	J	Rui Cao	11-16/11963	80 Description is incorrect. Even if the resolution is 0, for the pre-HE modulated fields, Q _k (%) _{avg} is still a column vector	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 2:11:10Z). Comment: "that 'when been change' is not a sentence. The STFT preamble portion shall be spatially resampled the same way as the FFT on each tone after the Q _k (%) _{avg} is calculated on one stream, hence it is not a column vector."	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 17:46:40Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.8	99	E	Y	99.00	26.3.8	V	Editor		Editorial changes for the consistency	Revised (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 17:27:37Z) - Change "follows" to "is null"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 17:28:04Z	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.8	99	E	Y	99.00	26.3.8	Editor		Editorial question	A_HELT is not defined anywhere in the previous section	EDITOR	Editorials			2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR		
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.9.3	101	T	Y	101.00	26.3.9.3	V	Rui Cao	11-16/00377	37 The first column of Q matrix is always used in Eqn (26-14)	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:11:32Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	See #525	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.9.3	101	E	Y	101.00	26.3.9.3	A	Editor		Editorial change for consistency	A_HELT needs to be A_HELT for consistency	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:17:36Z	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.9.4	102	T	Y	102.00	26.3.9.4	V	Rui Cao	11-16/00377	37 The first column of Q matrix is always used in Eqn (26-16)	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:12:17Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	See #525	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.9.4	102	E	Y	102.00	26.3.9.4	A	Editor		Editorial change for consistency	A_HELT needs to be A_HELT for consistency	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:32:24Z	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.9.5	104	T	Y	104.00	26.3.9.5	V	Rui Cao	11-16/00377	37 The first column of Q matrix is always used in Eqn (26-18)	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:16:02Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	See #525	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
JUNG HOON SUH	23	0.1 26.3.9.5	104	E	Y	104.00	26.3.9.5	A	Editor		Editorial change for consistency	A_HELT needs to be A_HELT for consistency	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:32:19Z	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	

957 kayiqg Lv	23	0.1	10.44.1	50	2	T	Y	50.02.2	10.44.1	V	Alied, Matz, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayn, Jaekio, Jaeongil	16/11892	113 There is no separate subclause for TWT subclause STA". Modify the sentence or add a corresponding subclause	Please clarify it	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:30:26Z) - Revised –	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution clarifies that inconsistency, harmonizing the resolution with those of other CIDs in the same context. TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/11892 under all headings that include CID 957. Revised – Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution clarifies the ambiguity by adding the corresponding subclause (resolution that is consistent with the change by CID 1657, 2400, 957, etc.). TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/11892 under all headings that include CID 958.																				
958 kayiqg Lv	23	0.1	10.44.3	60	30	T	Y	60.30.30	10.44.3	V	Alied, Matz, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayn, Kaiyng, Jaekio, Jaeongil	16/11892	113 There is no separate subclause for TWT subclause STA". Modify the sentence or add a corresponding subclause	Please clarify it	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:30:34Z) - Revised –	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution clarifies that inconsistency, harmonizing the resolution with those of other CIDs in the same context. TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/11892 under all headings that include CID 957. Revised – Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution clarifies the ambiguity by adding the corresponding subclause (resolution that is consistent with the change by CID 1657, 2400, 957, etc.). TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/11892 under all headings that include CID 958.																				
959 kayiqg Lv	23	0.1	10.44.1	48	21	T	Y	48.21.21	10.44.1	V	Alied, Matz, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayn, Kaiyng, Jaekio, Jaeongil	16/11892	113 The channel access mechanism in TWT needs to be further clarified	Comment resolution and supporting PPT will be provided	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:31:21Z) - Revised –	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
Diagnose in principle with the comment in the sense that the channel access mechanism needs no clarifications because EDOCA channel access is used (please refer to 10.45.1 (TWT overview); "There are no explicit restrictions on the class of STA that can be in the Category") but can be transmitted within any specific TWT SP when multiple TWT SPs are present, they are transmitted by a single TWT requesting STA."). Proposed resolution is to specify that STAs should not transmit outside of TWT SPs and should not be scheduled frames within higher-enabled TWT SPs inline with the recommendations of subclause 10.45.1.																				
960 kayiqg Lv	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.1	12		E	Y	12.00	9.2.4.6.1	A	Editor		change "HE variant HE Control field" to "HE variant HT Control field"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27 23:34:21Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/20 17	EDITOR	
961 kayiqg Lv	23	0.1	9.3.1.9	17		T	Y	17.00	9.3.1.9	V	George Cherian, Tomoo, Kaiyng, Deng Yu, Xiaoheli	11/16/08191	23 Multi-TID subfield value=0/Compressed Bitmap value=0/Compressed STA subfield value="1" is missing. Can Multi-STA BlockAck be used under this condition?	Please clarify it	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-08 19:42:02Z)The missing combination that the STA subfield was used for GLK-GCR BlockAck in 11 ak and was added in Table 9-24.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
Proposed resolution is to specify that STAs should not transmit outside of TWT SPs and should not be scheduled frames within higher-enabled TWT SPs inline with the recommendations of subclause 10.45.1.																				
962 kayiqg Lv	23	0.1	9.3.1.9.7	19		T	Y	19.00	9.3.1.9.7	V	George Cherian, Tomoo, Kaiyng, Deng Yu, Xiaoheli	16/88/8/1	60 change "when the Fragment Number subfield is 1" to "when the Fragment Number subfield is 0"	as the comment	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-09-02 17:35:12Z) - Please see DCN 11-16/88/8/1	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
MAC: 2016-07-29 21:49:05Z - I Please see DCN 11-16/88/8/1.																				

963 kaivng Lv	23	0.1	103.2.8a.41	3	T	Y	41.00	103.2.8a.3	A	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yungbo, Kison, Yuchi, Weiming, Xieoli, Jing, Yau	16/08/72	25	The CTS sent in response to an MURTS frame shall be transmitted on one or more 20 MHz channels" is redundant with the sentence below.	Suggest to remove it	ACCEPTED (MU_2016-07-28) 2204:14Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/02/3 18:24	EDITOR
964 kaivng Lv	23	0.1	103.2.11.42	3	T	Y	42.00	103.2.11.3	V	Ji, Yongho, Xieoli, Jing, Yuchi, Junchi, Jeongli	11-16-13/23	128	The figure 10a-4, "Backoff or PFS" is confusing. Does "Backoff or PFS" mean that an AP uses backoff or PFS to contend the primary channel or the primary channel and PFS to determine the status of the secondary channels?	Please clarify it	REVISED (MAC_2016-11-12) 0525:37Z	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	1	2016/11/29 22:43	EDITOR
965 kaivng Lv	23	0.1	10.24.10	46	E	Y	46.46	10.24.10	V	Editor		102	change "UL-Trigger Frame" to "Trigger Frame". field is used to update the NAV, the remaining PPDU transmission time should be considered to calculate the NAV.	as the comment	REVISED (EDITOR_2016-05-04) 2035:32Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
966 kaivng Lv	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	T	Y	53.00	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Kison, Yuchi, Weiming, Xieoli, Jing, Yau	11-16/17/92	102	change "UL-Trigger Frame" to "Trigger Frame". field is used to update the NAV, the remaining PPDU transmission time should be considered to calculate the NAV.	Comment resolution and supporting PPT will be provided	04/08/17Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/02/9 18:35	EDITOR
967 kaivng Lv	23	0.1	25.3.3	55	T	Y	55.00	25.3.3	V	Alfred, Ming Gan, Mark Reon, Woon, Woon, Woon, Narendar	16/02/60	42	How to set the Fragment Number subfield of the BlockAck frame solicited by the STA whose HE Fragment Support subfield in its HE Capabilities element is 2?	Please clarify it	REVISED (MAC_2016-07-27) 0437:27Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/02/3 18:24	EDITOR
968 kaivng Lv	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	57	T	Y	57.00	25.5.2.3	V	Simone, Reza, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yuchi, David	11-16/02/93	70	An UL MU-MIMO MPDU/A-MPDU is also the acknowledgement of the Trigger frame.	change "An UL OFDMA MPDU/A-MPDU is the acknowledgement of the Trigger frame" to "An UL OFDMA or MU-MIMO MPDU/A-MPDU is the acknowledgement of the Trigger frame"	REVISED (MU_2016-09-20) 0433:22Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/02/9 18:35	EDITOR
969 kaivng Lv	23	0.1	25.6	61	T	Y	61.00	25.6	V	Rain, Lwern, Narendar, Ross, Zhou, Keqiang	11-16/13/70	127	There is no UL MU capable field in the HE Capabilities element.	Please clarify it	REVISED (MAC_2016-11-12) 0149:38Z	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	1	2016/11/29 22:43	EDITOR
970 kaivng Lv	23	0.1	25.4.1	55	E	N	55:56	25.4.1	Editor			words missing	Suggest to change to "An HE STA that supports Multi-STA BlockAck frame sent by an STA with which it has a BA agreement."	MAC	Block Ack	Editor is not sure what a Multi-STA is. It could be a frame and it could be a STA. How do I implement that?	2016/5/17 18:42	EDITOR		

889 kaying Lv	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 37 2	46	T	Y	37.46.46	9.2.4.6.4. 2	V	Allred, Lwien, 11-16/13001 Jeongil	127 The condition for "Immediate BackoffRes frames" in the table 9-426a should be changed to "At most one BAR frame for one TD that there is no data frames sent under"	as the comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-11-08) 0025:12 Agree in principle with the comment. The proposed resolutions that were provided in previous version of the draft are 07 (AMPDU contents) resolve this issue as well. Namely this table is not present anymore in the draft 0.5.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-11-18 2250:47Z: The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05 EDITOR	
890 kaying Lv	23	0.1 25.5.2.4 58	57	T	Y	58.57.57	25.5.2.4	V	Simone, 11-16/08853 Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton	65 Two NAVs for CS should be further clarified. The NAVs are supported by a STA, if one or both of the NAVs are considered as included. NAV's content is incorrect. When the virtual CS indicates busy. Otherwise, the virtual CS is not included. The virtual CS is "one or both of the NAVs are considered"? How to indicate whether one or both of the NAVs should be considered?	Please clarify it	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-13 14:11:44Z) TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/08853. The headings that include CID 1542.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35 EDITOR	
891 kaying Lv	23	0.1 9.3.3.1 23	27	T	Y	23.27.27	9.3.3.1	V		Beacon should be also allowed in HE PPDU format	Comment resolution and supporting PPT1 will be provided	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-11-18 18:22 NZ) 0902:15a draft specification, the beacon frame is transmitted in single non-HE frame. The HE frame is not able to receive and decode it. However, this non-HE frame format for the beacon frame is not reliable in the outdoor environment. To improve the transmission robustness, the HE AP should be allowed to transmit a beacon frame in HE format.	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	EDITOR: 2016-11-28 18:41:54Z	1	2016/11/29 2:43 EDITOR
892 kaying Lv	23	0.1 10.2.2.2.9 46	36	T	Y	46.36.36	10.2.2.2.9	V	Po-Kai, 11-16/11772 Young Hoon, Yunbo, Yushin, Waming, Xiaofei, Jing, Yash	98 Truncation of TXOP should be further clarified	Comment resolution and supporting PPT1 will be provided	When the beacon frame is carried in HE format, the legacy NAV will not be able to decode it. Therefore it needs to consider supporting transmission of legacy beacon	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35 EDITOR	
893 kaying Lv	23	0.1 25 53	6	T	Y	53.06.6	25		Kaying Lv	TXOP overiding should be allowed to improve HE spatial reuse operation should be further defined	Comment resolution and supporting PPT1 will be provided	Agree to the comment. As the legacy NAV is not available, TXOP truncation rule should be clearly defined for all cases. As current text is more general, it is not necessary to add a rule for legacy NAV. It needs to be extended to intra-NAV and regular-NAV cases.	EDITOR	Need Proposal		2016/9/13 14:45 EDITOR		
894 kaying Lv	23	0.1 25.9 63	65	T	Y	63.65.65	25.9	Y	Bo Sun, 11-16/13001 Rongshun, Junchi, Lwien, 11-16/13001 Jeongil, Yash, Owen, Geonjong, Yushin, Yash, James, Laurent		Comment resolution and supporting PPT1 will be provided	TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/13001 under all headings for CID 992.	EDITOR	Spatial Reuse		2016/5/17 16:38 EDITOR		

995	kaiying Lv	23	0.1	103.2.8a.41 3	36	T	Y	41:36:36	103.2.8a. 3	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yunbo, Kison, Yoshi, Yoon, Xie, J. Xie, J. Yasu	11-16/11220	74 CTS response to MU-RTS should be further clarified	Comment resolution and supporting PPT will be provided	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-20 05:50:12Z) Agree in principle with the commenter. After offline discussion, the comment of the author is already addressed in 1600712. Specifically, the format of responding CTS, the bandwidth of responding CTS, and the relationship between CTS response bandwidth are clarified.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.4	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-23 18:15:51Z - previously implemented from 1600712 (see #13, #1727, #2428)	0.4	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
996	kaiying Lv	23	0.1	103.2.8a.41 3	1	E	Y	41:01:1	103.2.8a. 3	A	Editor		Typo	Please change "Response" to "Responses"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016- 04-29 22:36:13Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-04-29 22:36:16Z	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
997	kaiying Lv	23	0.1	25.5.2.3 58	48	T	Y	58:48:48	25.5.2.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kison, Tomo, John Yoon, Anton, Yasu, David	11-16/00293	70 STA behavior under power control in UL MU operation should be considered	Comment resolution and supporting PPT will be provided	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-20 04:35:10Z) This is resolved based on doc 7751	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-20 22:36:16Z	0.5	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
998	kaiying Lv	23	0.1	25.2 53	65	T	Y	53:65:65	25.2	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yoon, Kison, Tomo, John Yoon, Anton, Yasu, David	11-16/13391	130 Channel Access mode negotiation should be allowed to enable STAs to request channel access mode based on its requirement	Comment resolution and supporting PPT will be provided	REVISED (MU, 2016-11-10 22:55:54Z) After talking offline with the commenter, the comment has been resolved in 11- 16/1801 Note: At least accounted for in D0.5 so no further action is required by the TGx editor.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR, 2016-11-18 23:22:26Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
999	kaiying Lv	23	0.1	9.3.1.9.7 16	33	T	Y	16:33:33	9.3.1.9.7	J	George Chenlin, Tomo, Kaying, Xie, J. Xie, J. Yasu	16/86/61	60 An efficient way to acknowledge the previous UL MU PPDU and trigger the next UL MU PPDU should be provided	Comment resolution and supporting PPT will be provided	REJECTED (MAC, 2016-07-26 21:32:04Z). Currently this can be done by aggregating trigger frame and ack in an AMPDU frame and add in an AMPDU frame.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR, 2016-08-02 18:10:20Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
1000	kaiying Lv	23	0.1	25.6 61	56	T	Y	61:56:56	25.6	V	Deng Yu, Rain, Luen, Naveen, Kison, Tomo, John Yoon, Anton, Yasu, Kaying	11-16/07794	95 High efficient sounding sequence should be provided	comment resolution and supporting PPT will be provided	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15 21:12:22Z) - REVISED (MAC, 2016-07-29 19:46:59.2) - The comment fails to provide sufficient details. The proposed resolution is to finalize the details for the two sequences for HE sounding that have been defined. [Ad hoc dls editor: Apply changes listed under CID 1000 in 16077312]	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	Revised in MU session and accepted with document revision #4	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1001	Kaashik Josiam	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.4 115	2	T	Y	115:02:2	26.3.9.8.4	V	Kaashik, Ross	11-16/00791	31 The [TBD] in the sentence "Signaling for the center 26 unit in 60MHz is [TBD]" should be clarified.	Will bring a detailed text proposal for this comment	REVISED (PHY, 2016-07-26 21:11:34Z) TGx Editor: Please make the changes shown in 11-16/00791 in Page 115 for clause 26.3.9.8.2 Frequency domain mapping in the center 26 unit shall be for CID 1001 as in doc 16- 8734	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-05 20:15:28Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1002	Kaashik Josiam	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5 117	35	T	Y	117:35:35	26.3.9.8.5	V	Kaashik, Ross	11-16/00292	61 Clarify the STA ID field in the Table 26-19. The description reference to a MAC section is unclear and needs to be clarified	Replace the sentence "The STA identifier that addresses a MAC section" with the following sentence "The STA- ID refers to the AID described in the section 8.4.1.8. The 11 LSBs of the AID field are used to address STAs in this field."	Instruction to Editor: TGx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/00292 under all headings that include CID 1002	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-05 20:40:20Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1003	Kaashik Josiam	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5 118	11	T	Y	118:11:11	26.3.9.8.5	V	Kaashik, Ross	11-16/00292	61 Clarify the STA ID field in the Table 26-20. The description reference to a MAC section is unclear and needs to be clarified	Replace the sentence "The STA identifier that addresses a MAC section" with the following sentence "The STA- ID refers to the AID described in the section 8.4.1.8. The 11 LSBs of the AID field are used to address STAs in this field."	Instruction to Editor: TGx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/00292 under all headings that include CID 1003	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-05 21:28:16Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1004	Kaashik Josiam	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.4 116	42	E	N	116:42:42	26.3.9.8.4	A	Editor		The description of the default mode of the 160MHz PPDU should align with the description of the 40 and 80MHz PPDU.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016- 05-11 22:45:33Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-05-11 22:45:37Z - see #2784	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR	

1031 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.2.2	72	56	E	Y	72.56.56	26.2.2	V	Ke Yao	11-16/14480	125 the meaning of beam charge 0 add the meaning when beam change is 0	REVISED (PHY: 2016-11-08 02:02:59Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	Technical comment	N	EDITOR: 2016-11-22 17:59:40Z: The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR
1032 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.2	74		E	Y	74.00	26.3.2	V	Editor		the corresponding text is not correct for figure 26-4 trigger based PPDU	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:20:01Z) - Change paragraph to read "The format of the HE trigger-based PPDU is defined as in Figure 26-4 (HE trigger-based PPDU format). This format is used for an UL transmission that is a response to a Trigger frame."	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:21:16Z	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
1033 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.2	75	6	E	Y	75.06.6	26.3.2	2343 A	Editor		redundant "data" item	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:35:06Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:35:10Z - see #2643	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR
1034 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.2	75	19	T	Y	75.19.19	26.3.2	V	Rui Cao	11-16/12771	124 "DL HE NDP is one DL HE sounding format" means only one? Or one of some choices? Its not clear. And what does DL HE sounding format refer to?	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-18 08:26:19Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 22:34:32Z: The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR	
1035 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.2	75	37	T	Y	75.37.37	26.3.2	V	Rui Cao	11-16/12771	124 note 4 PE has been decided according to the March motion	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-18 08:26:57Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 22:34:46Z: The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR	
1036 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.2	75	43	T	Y	75.43.43	26.3.2	V	Rui Cao	11-16/12771	124 the previous bullet has explained NDP uses HE SU PPDU format. This bullet is meaningless.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-18 08:27:21Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 22:34:52Z: The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR	
1037 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.3	77	1	E	Y	77.01.1	26.3.3	1030 V	Editor		PVHTLF has not correct format	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 21:32:38Z) - P-HE-LTF	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 21:32:52Z - see #1690	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
1038 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.3	77	14	E	Y	77.14.14	26.3.3	V	Xianggang Chen	11-16/14080	125 in figure 26-7 NSTS-2, but it has more than 3 parallel lines for STS	REVISED (PHY: 2016-11-08 09:33:38Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	Technical comment	EDITOR: 2016-11-08 09:33:52Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR
1039 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.3	78	33	T	Y	78.33.33	26.3.3	J	Xianggang Chen	11-16/06595	13 in figure 26-9 Nsts should have the max value of 4, not exact 4? And similar question in figure 26-10	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:34:16Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	No changes to draft	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR	
1040 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.6	83	20	E	Y	83.20.20	26.3.6	A	Editor		T-LTF should be L-LTF	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 22:07:16Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 22:07:22Z	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
1041 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.6	83	29	T	Y	83.29.29	26.3.6	V	Bin Tian	11-16/06252	7 it has been decided that T-HE-SIG-A-R is 16 as	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:33:38Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-02 16:51:06Z - see #279	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
1042 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.6	85	12	E	Y	85.12.12	26.3.6	A	Editor		for an HE SU PPDU, the subcarrier of the later N should have two 8s	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 22:08:57Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 22:10:00Z	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
1043 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.6	85	41	E	N	85.41.41	26.3.6	A	Editor		user should be user	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 22:10:52Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 22:10:58Z	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
1044 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.6	85	46	E	N	85.46.46	26.3.6	V	Editor		missing a word "represents" or "is" at the beginning of this line	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 22:12:10Z) - Insert "represents"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 22:12:21Z	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
1045 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.6	83		T	Y	83.00	26.3.6	J	Bin Tian	11-16/06252	7 we should clarify HE-SIG-A-R since it is not present in ppu format	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:34:32Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	No changes to draft	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR	
1046 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	90		E	Y	90.00	26.3.7.1	2759 A	Editor		figure 26-15:16,17 missing M to N should be m to n	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 13:57:20Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 13:57:23Z - see #2759	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
1047 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.8	95	10	E	Y	95.10.10	26.3.8	A	Editor		in the boxes of figure 26-22, A2 and A2/34	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 13:57:20Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 13:57:23Z - see #1966	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
1048 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.4	110		E	Y	110.00	26.3.9.7.4	A	Editor		A3 A4 are missing	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:18:19Z) - Correct spelling (keep in mind that 11:18:16:20Z) - Sum over m = 1 to Nsts in all equations	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:18:25Z	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
1049 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	153	57	E	Y	153.57.57	26.3.10.1	A	Editor		Disambiguation should be correct the wording	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:18:19Z) - Correct spelling (keep in mind that 11:18:16:20Z) - Sum over m = 1 to Nsts in all equations	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:16:48Z	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
1050 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.9.3	101		E	Y	101.00	26.3.9.3	V	Editor		as the comment	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:16:20Z) - Sum over m = 1 to Nsts in all equations	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:16:48Z	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
1051 KE YAO	23	0.1	26.3.9.4	102		E	Y	102.38	26.3.9.4	A	Editor		as the comment	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:34:00Z) - see #1050	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2		I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:34:08Z - see #1050	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR

1197 Lei Huang	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	105.44.44	263.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/061003	2 Similar to VHT-SIGA for SU format, it is better to split 2-bit Coding field into a one-bit Coding field and a one-bit LDP Extra OFDM Symbol field	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:05:00Z) Instruction to Editor: Please make the following changes in TGxw DD.1 P.105 L4.4 Table 26-15 under subclause 26.3.9.7.2 according to the modification as of the solution to CID 1197 in 11-16007.053	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 19:43.88Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
1198 Leonardo Lanari	23	0.1	25.5.2.6.1	60.07.7	255.2.6.1	V	Chibb, Kiron, Yurko, Reza, Shengue, Baron, Yong Gan, Yuchi	11-16/116294	97 For random access UL OFDMA, OCWmin is defined in the OCWmin parameter. It is important to make sure that OCW will be upperbounded to the same way for all 11 tx	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20 08:31:33Z) Instruction to Editor: The OCWmin parameter is added in Beacon frame or Probe response frame.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #51	0.5	2016/09/29 18:35	EDITOR
1199 Leonardo Lanari	23	0.1	25.5.2.6.1	60.26.28	255.2.6.1	V	Chibb, Kiron, Yurko, Reza, Shengue, Baron, Yong Gan, Yuchi	11-16/12221	101 The method of OCWmin indication is currently TBD. OCWmin is defined as the number of STAs which varies slowly. Hence, the method of OCWmin is beacon or probe response information element may be a good way to do this.	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-21 04:29:15Z) Instruction to Editor: OCWmin is defined as the number of STAs which varies slowly and based on the notion that passed in May 2016 (mentioned below). Revised this document to reflect the changes in the doc 11-1612221	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #450	0.5	2016/09/29 18:35	EDITOR
1200 Leonardo Lanari	23	0.1	25.5.2.6	59.60.60	255.2.6	E	Editor		Make it consistent.	Resolve TBD	MU	MU operation			2016/05/17 18:36	EDITOR	
1201 Leonardo Lanari	23	0.1	25.5.2.6.1	60.26.28	255.2.6.1	T	Chibb, Kiron, Yurko, Reza, Shengue, Baron, Yong Gan, Yuchi		If needed, define a mechanism for indicating random access for scheduling info are still missing.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-08 12:05:25Z) Instruction to Editor: Add 8-bit RU allocation and 6 bit TX power with the comment. Proposed resolution is to add 8 bits of RU Allocation and 6 bits of TX Power.	EDITOR	Need Proposal		2016/04/26 17:56	EDITOR		
1202 Liven Ou	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.14 ₂	14.09.9	9.2.4.6.4.2	Y	Alied, Lwien, Jeongil	11-16/7661	20 some fields in UL MU response scheduling info are still missing.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-08 12:05:25Z) Instruction to Editor: Add 8-bit RU allocation and 6 bit TX power with the comment. Proposed resolution is to add 8 bits of RU Allocation and 6 bits of TX Power.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	see #1	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
1203 Liven Ou	23	0.1	9.2.5.1	15.45.45	9.2.5.1	Y	Po-Kai	11-16/080602	67 pending transmission is not clear.	EDITOR	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-22 17:58.47Z - see #2579	0.5	2016/09/29 18:35	EDITOR
1204 Liven Ou	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.2	22.38.38	9.3.1.23.2	Y	Lwien, Reza, Yurko, Shengue, Evgeny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Kiron, Reza, Zhou	11-16/080862	26 MURTS frame format should be modified: all the STAs in the MU-RTS should be known as MURTS to transmit responding CTS.	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-08-08 20:07:18Z) Instruction to Editor: Not all STAs can be MURTS. For example, if a STA chooses to operate only on primary 20MHz channel, MURTS from the STA to respond CTS beyond primary 20MHz channel. Hence, we shall not limit all the solicited STAs to transmit CTS on the same bandwidth of MU-RTS.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-08-10 22:45:34Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
1205 Liven Ou	23	0.1	9.4.2.196	27.50.50	9.4.2.196	Y	Matt Fischer	16/12663	119 Sounding Feedback should be right after NDP, NDP.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 09:40:57Z) - Revised - comment: The language is ambiguous; it should be clearer to reference to source feedback subclause 7.1. The editor shall make the changes shown in 11-16005.003 under all headings that include CID 1205	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 14:55:58Z - see #114	0.5	2016/09/29 18:35	EDITOR
1206 Liven Ou	23	0.1	9.4.2.196	26.13.13	9.4.2.196	Y	Matt Fischer	16/12663	119 Scheduled TWT is not required. If no negotiation is required.	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-09-15 09:41:07Z) - Reject while the scheduling STA makes the best decisions if it has input from the STAs that it wishes to serve - the cited entry provides a path for that input.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 15:41:25Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	

1238 Mark RISON	23	0.1	6.3.3.2	T	Y	7:11	6.3.3.2	V	Yasuhiko Inoue	16.86.446	75 The HE Capabilities and HE Operation elements need to be included in the BSSDescription (with corresponding burst in 6.3.4.2.4 for JOIN.req)	As it says in the comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 08:41.46Z) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-19 20:18.37Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1239 Mark RISON	23	0.1	6.3.7	T	Y	7:13.13	6.3.7	V	Yasuhiko Inoue	16.86.446	75 The HE Capabilities element needs to be included in the MLME-ASSOCIATE indication and response too	As it says in the comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 08:41.58Z) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-19 20:20.34Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1240 Mark RISON	23	0.1	6.3.8	T	Y	8:17.17	6.3.8	V	Yasuhiko Inoue	16.86.446	75 The HE Capabilities element needs to be included in the MLME-REASSOCIATE indication and response too	As it says in the comment	Recent changes in 802.11REVm2D7.0 removed HT and VHT Capabilities elements from the MLME-REASSOCIATE request. Instead, HT and VHT Capabilities elements are included in MLME-JOIN request primitive. Therefore, HE Capabilities element should be included in MLME-JOIN request rather than MLME-REASSOCIATE request primitives. Revised text was proposed.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-19 20:22.38Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1241 Mark RISON	23	0.1	6.3.11.2.2	T	Y	9:50.60	6.3.11.2.2	V	Yasuhiko Inoue	16.86.446	75 Why is the HE Operation restricted to infrastructure? Why can't an IBSS or PBSS or MBSS use HE?	Remove this restriction. Instead put restrictions on OFDMA or MU-MIMO (features). Also add burst in 6.3.11.2.4	Recent changes in 802.11REVm2D7.0 removed HT and VHT Capabilities elements from the MLME-REASSOCIATE request. Instead, HT and VHT Capabilities elements are included in MLME-JOIN request primitive. Therefore, HE Capabilities element should be included in MLME-JOIN request rather than MLME-REASSOCIATE request primitives. Revised text was proposed.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-19 20:25.56Z - see #152	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1242 Mark RISON	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.1	12	E	Y	12.11.11	9.2.4.6.1	548 A	Editor	It says "VHT Middle"	Change to "VHT Control"	Accepted in principle. Current test of types INFRASTRUCTURE is restrictive. We need more features to be available in infrastructure BSS.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-27 23:42.57Z - see #548	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR
1243 Mark RISON	23	0.1			E	Y			Editor		There are references to the wrong subclause (e.g. 8.2.4.6.x, x diff point to the right baseline and Table 8.246h1). (This is probably only a problem for xref to things that are not actually in this document.)	Make sure all the subclause actually in this document.	EDITOR	Editoriale			2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR		
1244 Mark RISON	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.1	12	E	Y	12.00	9.2.4.6.1	V	Allard, Liewen, Jeongil	11-1607980	21 There are references to a "VHT subfield" and an "HE subfield", but no such subfield is actually defined anywhere (now that F57 has been deleted)	Either state that b0 of HT Control is the VHT subfield and b1 is the HE subfield (if b0 is used as a subfield for the VHT/E subfield rather than VHT/E subfields)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I		0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1245 Mark RISON	23	0.1	45	61	E	Y	45:61.61	2495 A	Editor		It says "A-Control"	Add a space after "a"	TGx editor to make the changes shown in 11-1607580 under all headings that include CID 1241.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-04 20:30.59Z - see #2595	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR
1246 Mark RISON	23	0.1			E	Y			Editor		It is confusing to have it called an "A-Control subfield" in some places and an "Aggregated Control subfield" in others	Change "A-Control" to "Aggregated Control" throughout	EDITOR	Editoriale			2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR		
1247 Mark RISON	23	0.1			G	Y			Editor		All the TBDs need to be D or C, not changing. Exactly what the start is 30 bits?	D all the TBDs	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 00:48.42Z - see #800	EDITOR	Editoriale	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 00:47.54Z - see #800	0.2	2016/4/22 15:55	EDITOR
1248 Mark RISON	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.13	18	E	Y	13:16.16	9.2.4.6.4.1	V	Editor		This is confusing. The Control 1 does not occupy just b2	Delete the line with "b2" at start	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-04 20:30.59Z - see #2595	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR
1249 Mark RISON	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.13	15	E	Y	13:15.15	9.2.4.6.4.1	V	Editor				EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I		0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR

1250 Mark RISON	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 13 1	49	T	Y	13.49.49	9.2.4.6.4. 1	V	Allied, Lwenn, 11-1607980 Jeongil	21 Does the TBD cover any information that originates, is sent, received, or is processed by the Control ID subfield as defined in the next boundaries (e.g. mybble boundaries)?	Clarify	REVISED (MAC: 2016-05-08) 19:05:22Z Agree in principle that the Control ID subfield is needed for the current binary technologies the proposal for this design is to use two bit lengths in multiples of two bits. The proposed resolution is to replace the 1Bbs with the field of length values of these fields.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1251 Mark RISON	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 13 1	49	E	Y	13.49.49	9.2.4.6.4. 1	V	Editor	"Which is fixed and that corresponds to each value of the Control ID subfield is weird subfield"	Changes to "which is fixed to a given value of the Control ID"	TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-1607980 under all headings that include CID 1250. 19:05:22Z Agree in principle to break the sentence into two and modify so that it reads: The Control ID subfield carries the type of information carried in the Control information subfield. The type of Control information subfield is fixed for each value of the Control ID subfield that is not reserved.	EDITOR Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
1252 Mark RISON	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 14 1	14.01.1	E	Y	14.01.1	9.2.4.6.4. 1	A	Editor	"The Control information subfield carries control information that depends on the value of the Control ID subfield (Control ID subfield values). " seems to approximate what the previous Control subtypes with the same Control ID carry. Aggregated Control subfield?"	Delete	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-14 21:53:29Z)	EDITOR Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
1253 Mark RISON	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 13 1	13.25.25	T	Y	13.25.25	9.2.4.6.4. 1	V	Allied, Lwenn, 11-1607980 Jeongil	21 Can there be more than one Control subtypes with the same Control ID? Aggregated Control subfield?	Specify that there is only one	REVISED (MAC: 2016-05-08) 19:05:22Z Agree in principle that the Control ID subfield is needed for the current binary technologies the proposal for this design is to use two bit lengths in multiples of two bits. The proposed resolution is to replace the 1Bbs with the field of length values of these fields.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1254 Mark RISON	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 14 2	14.24.24	T	Y	14.24.24	9.2.4.6.4. 2	V	Allied, Lwenn, 11-1607981 Jeongil	20 What are X and Y?	Change to numbers	TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-1607980 under all headings that include CID 1253. REVISED (MAC: 2016-06-08) 11:00:00Z Agree in principle that the requirement has to be normative. As such the proposed resolution is to replace the 1Bbs with the field of length values of these fields.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1255 Mark RISON	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 14 2	14.12.12	T	Y	14.12.12	9.2.4.6.4. 2	V	Allied, Lwenn, 11-1607981 Jeongil	20 "scheduling information for an HE trigger-based PPDU that carries an immediate acknowledgement" as a response to the soliciting AMPDU (see 25.5.2 (UL MU))" HE trigger-based PPDU have to carry an immediate ack? What is an "immediate acknowledgement" anyway?	Delete "that carries an immediate acknowledgement"	REVISED (MAC: 2016-05-08) 12:02:42Z The UL MU subfield is needed for the current binary technologies the proposal for this design is to use two bit lengths in multiples of two bits. The proposed resolution is to replace the 1Bbs with the field of length values of these fields.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1256 Mark RISON	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 14 2	14.10.10	T	Y	14.10.10	9.2.4.6.4. 2	V	Allied, Lwenn, 11-1607981 Jeongil	20 "scheduling information for an HE trigger-based PPDU that carries an immediate acknowledgement, which is sent as a response to the soliciting AMPDU (see 25.5.2 (UL MU))" HE trigger-based PPDU have to carry an immediate ack? What is an "immediate acknowledgement" anyway?	Changes to "scheduling information for an HE trigger-based PPDU that carries an immediate acknowledgement, which is sent as a response to the soliciting AMPDU (see 25.5.2 (UL MU))" HE trigger-based PPDU have to carry an immediate ack? What is an "immediate acknowledgement" anyway?"	TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-1607981 under all headings that include CID 1256.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR

1257 Mark RISON	23	0.1 92.4.6.4. 14 2	Y	14.10.10	9.2.4.6.4. 2	V	Editor	It says "...when the Control ID subfield is 0". Ditto in nearby subsections.	Delete the comma in all the locations	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28) 21.04.1232. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 22.03.382	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1258 Mark RISON	23	0.1 92.4.6.4. 14 3	Y	14.60.60	9.2.4.6.4. 3	V	Editor	It says "in reception"	Change to "on reception"	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28) 21.04.1232. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 9.41.0.382	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1259 Mark RISON	23	0.1 92.4.6.4. 14	Y	14.63.03	9.2.4.6.4. 4	V	Editor	It says "in reception"	Change to "on reception"	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28) 21.04.1232. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 9.41.0.382	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1260 Mark RISON	23	0.1 92.4.6.4. 14 3	Y	14.65.65	9.2.4.6.4. 3	V	Allied	112 What about 80-807	Change "160 MHz" to "160 MHz or 80-80 MHz"	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28) 21.04.1232. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 9.41.0.382	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
1261 Mark RISON	23	0.1 92.4.6.4. 15	Y	15.07.7	9.2.4.6.4. 4	A	Editor	Spurious space at start of para	Delete the space	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28) 16.11.41Z	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 16.11.41Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1262 Mark RISON	23	0.1 92.4.6.4. 15 4	Y	15.15.15	9.2.4.6.4. 4	A	Allied, Lwien, Jeongji	What is X?	Change to a number	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28) 16.11.41Z	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 16.11.41Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1263 Mark RISON	23	0.1 92.5.1 15	Y	15.45.45	9.2.5.1	V	Po-Kai	67 What is "the pending transmission?"	Clarify (don't forget to include the IFSs, as in the existing text)	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-13) 15.31.14Z	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-22 17.58.382 - see #2579	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
1264 Mark RISON	23	0.1 92.5.1 16	Y	16.09.9	9.2.5.1	J	Po-Kai	67 There are additions are not necessary. They are covered by the existing "Pending MPDUs of the same AC"	Delete or change to a NOTE	REJECTED (MU: 2016-09-13) 15.31.14Z	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-22 17.31.41Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1265 Mark RISON	23	0.1	G	Y		J	Editor	There are "11.80's" scattered around, they should not be present	Delete them all	REJECTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27 18.41.31Z). The (11ah) instances are all tags indicating that the tag is not a valid tag. These tags will be removed in the final draft but are helpful during the editing process.	N	EDITOR: 2016-04-27 18.43.19Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1266 Mark RISON	23	0.1 93.1.9 16	E	16.60.60	9.3.1.9	A	Editor	It says "Multi STA"	Change to "Multi-STA"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28) 16.44.41Z	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 16.44.41Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1267 Mark RISON	23	0.1 93.1.9.3 18	E	18.10.10	9.3.1.9.3	A	Editor	It says "When the Fragment Number subfield is 0"	Change to "When the Fragment Number subfield of the Block Ack Starting Sequence Control subfield is 0"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28) 16.44.41Z	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 16.44.41Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1268 Mark RISON	23	0.1 93.1.9.3 18	E	18.20.20	9.3.1.9.3	A	Editor	It says "When the Fragment Number subfield is 1"	Change to "When the Fragment Number subfield of the Sequence Control subfield is 1"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28) 16.44.41Z	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 16.44.41Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1269 Mark RISON	23	0.1 93.1.9.3 18	Y	18.21.21	9.3.1.9.3	J	George Chen, Kaying, Xie, Xie	40 The position of the Block Ack Bitmap field, if equal to 1, acknowledges receipt of an MPDU with sequence number N. The value of N is equal to 4 x (SN - SSN) + FN - doesn't this result in aliasing if N is 1 and the same bit as SN 4 FN 1?	Change to "The position of the Block Ack Bitmap field, if equal to 1, acknowledges receipt of an MPDU with sequence number N. The value of N is equal to 4 x (SN - SSN) + FN - doesn't this result in aliasing if N is 1 and the same bit as SN 4 FN 1?"	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-02-27) 03.25.14Z. No need to add a condition, as it is already clarified in 25.3.2. There, it is stated that the sequence number is limited up to 4 fragments.	N	EDITOR: 2016-02-29 21.04.34Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1270 Mark RISON	23	0.1 93.1.9.3 18	Y	18.30.30	9.3.1.9.3	V	George Chen, Kaying, Xie, Xie	40 The 4 fragments of each of the 16 MSDUs - the MSDUs might not be fragmented into 4 fragments	Change to "For the up to the 4 fragments of each of the 16 MSDUs"	REVISED (MAC: 2016-02-27) 03.25.14Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	I	EDITOR: 2016-02-29 21.04.34Z	0.3	2016/09/23 16:24	EDITOR
1271 Mark RISON	23	0.1 93.1.9.7 18	E	18.40.40	9.3.1.9.7	A	Editor	"One or more instances of the Per STA Info subfield" is	Change to "one or more Per STA Info subfields"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28) 20.28.27Z	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20.28.30Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1272 Mark RISON	23	0.1 93.1.9.7 19	E	19.01.1	9.3.1.9.7	A	Editor	It says "Per STA Info field"	Change to "Per STA Info subfield"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28) 20.32.52Z	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20.32.52Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1273 Mark RISON	23	0.1 93.1.9.7 19	E	19.07.7	9.3.1.9.7	1141 A	Editor	It says "Per STA Info field"	Change to "Per STA Info subfield"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28) 20.32.52Z	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20.32.52Z - see 1141	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR

23	01.93.1.9.7	19	6	E	Y	19.06.6	9.3.19.7	A	Editor	It says "Block Ack Stalling Sequence Control and Block Ack Bitmap"	Change to "Block Ack Stalling Sequence Control and Block Ack Bitmap subfields"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:13:52Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:03:36Z	0.2	2016/11/22 01:17	EDITOR
23	01.93.1.9.3	16	30	T	Y	18.39.30	9.3.19.3	V	George Chen, Tomo, Deng Yu, Xiaofei	40 "For 4 fragments of each of the 16 MSDUs" -- what if the 16 are not all MSDUs, but some are AMSDUs? Can they still be fragmented?	Either say only the first bit is used (in the case of A-MSDUs, be fragmented). It is clarified in the Note. Please see DCH 11-168667Z.	REUSED (EDITOR: 2016-07-27 03:27:16Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D03	I	EDITOR: 2016-07-29 21:27:35Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
23	01.93.1.9.7	19	8	E	Y	19.08.8	9.3.19.7	A	Editor	It says "(A-) MPDU"	Delete the space	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:03:36Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:03:36Z	0.2	2016/11/22 01:17	EDITOR
23	01.93.1.9.7	19	9	E	Y	19.09.9	9.3.19.7	A	Editor	It says "field"	Change to "subfield"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:46:56Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:49:57Z, see #141	0.2	2016/11/22 01:17	EDITOR
23	01.93.1.9.3	18	29	E	Y	18.29.29	9.3.19.3	A	Editor	It says "field"	Change to "subfield"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:11:32Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:11:17Z	0.2	2016/11/22 01:17	EDITOR
23	01.93.1.9.3	18	13	E	Y	18.13.13	9.3.19.3	A	Editor	It says "field"	Change to "subfield"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:11:32Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:11:17Z	0.2	2016/11/22 01:17	EDITOR
23	01.93.1.9.3	18	15	E	Y	18.15.15	9.3.19.3	A	Editor	It says "field"	Change to "subfield"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:11:32Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:11:17Z	0.2	2016/11/22 01:17	EDITOR
23	01.93.1.9.3	18	22	E	Y	18.22.22	9.3.19.3	A	Editor	It says "field"	Change to "subfield"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:13:27Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:13:31Z	0.2	2016/11/22 01:17	EDITOR
23	01.93.1.9.3	18	27	E	Y	18.27.27	9.3.19.3	A	Editor	It says "field"	Change to "subfield"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:14:02Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:14:04Z	0.2	2016/11/22 01:17	EDITOR
23	0.1			E	Y			Editor	I'm getting bored with all these things saying "field" that should subfield to say "subfield"	Change all the things that are saying "field" that should subfield to say "subfield"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:14:02Z)	EDITOR	Editorials	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:14:04Z	2016/04/14 17:16	EDITOR		
23	01.93.1.9.7	19	7	T	Y	19.07.7	9.3.19.7	J	George Chen, Tomo, Deng Yu, Xiaofei	60 "Indicates the acknowledgement of successful reception of either a MPDU(s) carried in the eliciting (A-) MPDU" -- well, which is it?	Change to "indicates the acknowledgement of (all) the MPDU(s) carried in the eliciting (A-) MPDU"	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-28 21:29:23Z) - The indication depends on the content of what is transmitted	No change to draft	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR		
23	01.93.1.9.7	19	12	T	Y	19.12.12	9.3.19.7	J	George Chen, Tomo, Deng Yu, Xiaofei	60 "When the Fragment Number subfield is 1" -- the ACK Type subfield needs to be 1 too	Add words to that effect	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-28 21:33:52Z) - The ACK Type subfield is already defined in an earlier paragraph	No change to draft	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR		
23	01.93.1.9.7	19	22	T	Y	19.22.22	9.3.19.7	J	George Chen, Tomo, Deng Yu, Xiaofei	60 "When the Fragment Number subfield is 1" -- the ACK Type subfield needs to be 1 too	Add words to that effect	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-28 21:33:52Z) - The ACK Type subfield is already defined in an earlier paragraph	No change to draft	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR		
23	01.93.1.9.7	19	32	T	Y	19.32.32	9.3.19.7	V	George Chen, Tomo, Deng Yu, Xiaofei	60 "For 4 fragments of each of the 16 MSDUs" -- what if the 16 are not all MSDUs, but some are AMSDUs? Can they still be fragmented?	Either say only the first bit is used (in the case of A-MSDUs, be fragmented). It is clarified in the Note. Please see below	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-28 21:33:52Z) - AMSDUs are not fragmented. It is clarified in the Note. Please see below	Edited in D03	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-02 18:06:17Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR	
23	01.93.1.9.7	19	32	T	Y	19.32.32	9.3.19.7	V	George Chen, Tomo, Deng Yu, Xiaofei	60 "For 4 fragments of each of the 16 MSDUs" -- the MSDUs might not be fragmented into 4 MSDUs"	Change to "For the up to the 4 MSDUs" fragments of each of the 16 MSDUs"	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-08-02 18:04:55Z) Please see DCH 11-168681	Edited in D03	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-02 18:05:58Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR	
23	01.93.1.9.7	19	12	E	Y	19.12.12	9.3.19.7	A	Editor	It says "When the Fragment Number subfield is 0"	Change to "When the Fragment Number subfield of the Block Ack Stalling Sequence Control subfield is 0"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:03:11Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:03:11Z	0.2	2016/11/22 01:17	EDITOR
23	01.93.1.9.7	19	22	E	Y	19.22.22	9.3.19.7	A	Editor	It says "When the Fragment Number subfield is 1"	Change to "When the Fragment Number subfield of the Block Ack Stalling Sequence Control subfield is 1"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:56:00Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 20:59:03Z	0.2	2016/11/22 01:17	EDITOR
23	01.93.1.9.7	19	12	T	Y	19.12.12	9.3.19.7	V	George Chen, Tomo, Deng Yu, Xiaofei	60 "When the Fragment Number subfield is 1, the Block Ack Stalling Sequence Control subfield is 1, the ACK Type subfield is 1, the Block Ack Bitmap subfield is 1, and the Block Ack Fragment Number subfield is 1" -- the Block Ack Bitmap subfield is shown in Figure 9-27. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield of the BA Information field of the Block Ack frame contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The Block Ack Bitmap subfield contains an 8-bit subfield of the STA Block Ack Bitmap. The									

1296 Mark RSON	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	19	42	T	Y	19:42:42	9.3.1.23	V	Liuwen, Reza, Yimbo, Simone, Egerny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Rossi, Zhou	59 It says "to send UL MU" Change to "to send an UL MU PDU"	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 1941:58Z) - This should actually be called trigger-based PDU (Ad hoc db editor: changed to trigger-based under this CID in 11-1607804.1)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-01 18:18:48Z. Edits applied from 16/7804.1. This CID not referenced in edits found in submission.	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1297 Mark RSON	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	19	58	E	Y	19:58:58	9.3.1.23	A	Liuwen, Reza, Yimbo, Simone, Egerny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	"The DurationID field" -- there is no such field in the subsequent Trigger frame follows the current Trigger frame. -- exactly when does it follow? Half an hour later?	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:22:38Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2 Trigger frame format	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:22:42Z	0.2	2016/11/20 17	EDITOR
1298 Mark RSON	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	20	18	T	Y	20:18:18	9.3.1.23	Y	Liuwen, Reza, Yimbo, Simone, Egerny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	59 They are implicitly known -- well, are they or are they not known?	ACCEPTED (MAC: 2016-07-29 1941:58Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-01 18:52:06Z. The edits apply to a paragraph which references HESICA into satisfied. This paragraph was changed to HESICA. Reserved satisfied. No edits address this. Moved the HESICA Reserved satisfied description. HESICA: 2016-04-28 21:27:31Z. CP changed to GI with #1420	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1299 Mark RSON	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	20	30	T	Y	20:30:30	9.3.1.23	A	Liuwen, Reza, Yimbo, Simone, Egerny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	"TOP and LTF" needs to be more precise and needs to be followed by "Type"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:27:27Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:27:31Z. CP changed to GI with #1420	0.2	2016/11/20 17	EDITOR
1300 Mark RSON	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	20		E	Y	20:00	9.3.1.23	A	Editor	59 The max AID is 2007 so only 11 bits are needed	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-29 1942:09Z) - The length of 12 bits was decided to be 11 bits as the max AD which is 12 bits	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-08-01 17:50:29Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
1301 Mark RSON	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	33	T	Y	21:33:33	9.3.1.23	J	Liuwen, Reza, Yimbo, Simone, Egerny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	59 "by User Identifier [sub]field" Add "the" after "by" in all cases, and add "sub" before "field" where not present	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:58:58Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21:59:03Z	0.2	2016/11/20 17	EDITOR
1302 Mark RSON	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21		E	Y	21:00	9.3.1.23	A	Liuwen, Reza, Yimbo, Simone, Egerny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	59 Only 2 values for the Coding Type are identified	ACCEPTED (MAC: 2016-07-29 1942:18Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-01 17:50:12Z. Edited as a batch with #663	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1303 Mark RSON	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	33	T	Y	21:33:33	9.3.1.23	A	Liuwen, Reza, Yimbo, Simone, Egerny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	There are references to "the" and also a "sub-section"	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27 17:44:2Z) - The identifier "action" was replaced with "field"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-27 17:44:2Z. Edited as a batch with #663	0.2	2016/11/20 17	EDITOR
1304 Mark RSON	23	0.1				E	Y			V	Liuwen, Reza, Yimbo, Simone, Egerny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	59 Only 2 values for the DOM are identified	ACCEPTED (MAC: 2016-07-29 1942:27Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-01 17:50:23Z. Edited as a batch with #663	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1305 Mark RSON	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	33	T	Y	21:33:33	9.3.1.23	A	Liuwen, Reza, Yimbo, Simone, Egerny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	59 The SS Allocation subfield of the Per User Info field identifies the spatial streams of the HE trigger-based PDU response (Control Information subfield identifier field) -- in what encoding/format?	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 1948:12Z) - The identifier format for SS is defined. [Ad hoc db editor: Apply the identifier format for the SS in 11-1607804.1]	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-01 17:50:52Z. Edited as a batch with #663	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1306 Mark RSON	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	60	T	Y	21:60:60	9.3.1.23	V	Liuwen, Reza, Yimbo, Simone, Egerny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	2x "to define"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 22:25:08Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-01 22:25:09Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1307 Mark RSON	23	0.1	9.3.1.23, 1.23.1	22		E	Y	22:00	9.3.1.23.1	A	Liuwen, Reza, Yimbo, Simone, Egerny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	59 BAK wants to have the Trigger frame info field defined in Figure 9-14e (Control Information subfield identifier field) -- but?	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-21 01:43:52Z) - The comment Proposed resolution resolves the ambiguities pointed out by the comment	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 22:25:09Z. see #5	0.2	2016/11/20 17	EDITOR
1308 Mark RSON	23	0.1	9.3.1.23, 1.23.1	22	18	T	Y	22:18:18	9.3.1.23.1	V	Liuwen, Reza, Yimbo, Simone, Egerny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	Per F9.51b, the Common Info field of a TF contains a Trigger frame info field. There are also Per User Info fields in the TF per F9.51b, but these are not part of the Common Info field. These Per User Info fields contain a Trigger Dependent Per User Info subfield per F9.51c.	MAC	Trigger frame format		I		0.5	2016/9/29 18:38	EDITOR
1309 Mark RSON	23	0.1	9.3.1.23, 1.23.1	22	15	T	Y	22:15:15	9.3.1.23.1	Y	Liuwen, Reza, Yimbo, Simone, Egerny, Po-Kai, Kelying, Kelson, Rossi, Zhou	So why does F9.51d show a Trigger Dependent Per User Info field and not a Trigger Dependent Common Info?						2016/5/17 18:39	EDITOR	

1318 Mark RISON	23	0.1	T	Y	Alfred, Matt, 16/11/2062 Jason, Jiah, Kaying, Jaskin, Jaskin, Jaskin	113 Sometimes "Broadcast TWT" is followed by SP, sometimes not. However, e.g. 26.53 consistency would suggest that it should be	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:32:43Z) - Revised - D0.5	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 11:06:47Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR		
1319 Mark RISON	23	0.1	94.2.196	53	T	Y	26.53.53	119 "The Broadcast field indicates if the TWT SP-1 is a broadcast frame. The Broadcast field is set to 1 to indicate that the TWT(s) defined by the TWT element are broadcast frames. It is singular (only one TWT SP is indicated) or plural (more than one TWT SP can be indicated)." 119 Presumably TFs are also in the recommended list.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-19 17:27:15Z. There are no changes in the TWT SP-1 subclause for 1319 in 16/12/2063.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR		
1320 Mark RISON	23	0.1	94.2.196	27	49	T	Y	27.49.49	119 Presumably TFs are also in the recommended list.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 14:31:15Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
1321 Mark RISON	23	0.1	94.2.196	27	56	T	Y	27.56.56	119 Presumably TFs are also in the recommended list.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 14:31:47Z - see #1320	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
1322 Mark RISON	23	0.1	94.2.196	27	51	T	Y	27.51.51	119 "Trigger frames transmitted by the AP during the broadcast TWT SP do not contain RUs for random access. This is not a recommendation but a promise, no?"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 15:30:11Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
1323 Mark RISON	23	0.1	94.2.196	27	56	T	Y	27.56.56	119 "Trigger frames transmitted by the AP during the broadcast TWT SP contain at least one RU for random access. This is not a recommendation but a promise, no?"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 15:24:11Z - see #723	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
1324 Mark RISON	23	0.1	94.2.196	28	5	T	Y	28.05.5	119 I say "Beacon frames" Change to "Beacon frames"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 14:46:57Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
1325 Mark RISON	23	0.1	94.2.196	28	5	T	Y	28.05.5	119 "Beacon frames containing broadcast TWT" - What does this mean? How does a beacon contain a broadcast TWT?	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 14:46:11Z - see #1324	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
1326 Mark RISON	23	0.1	94.2.196	28	13	E	Y	28.13.13	It says "TWT Wake Interval" but no "TWT Wake Interval" is defined.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-29 17:27:09Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1327 Mark RISON	23	0.1	94.2.196	29	4	T	Y	29.04.4	119 There are 3 blank cells in this table	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-16 14:56:53Z - see #662	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
1328 Mark RISON	23	0.1	94.2.196	29	4	E	Y	29.04.4	There are 3 "s" in this table	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-29 17:29:06Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1329 Mark RISON	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	129	E	Y	129.00	There are 4 "s" on this page	EDITOR	Editorials			2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR		
1330 Mark RISON	23	0.1	94.2.213	31	15	E	Y	31.15.15	"The HE PPE Threshold field" - no such field	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-29 20:35:36Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1331 Mark RISON	23	0.1	94.2.213	31	16	E	Y	31.16.16	"The HE PPE Threshold field" - no such field	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-29 20:35:36Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1332 Mark RISON	23	0.1	94.2.213	32	20	T	Y	32.20.20	119 HE PPE threshold information" - what is this?	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-29 20:35:36Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR

1369 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	37	17	T	Y	37:17:17	97.3	V	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 Doesn't the BlockAck frame have to be at the start of the baseline non-multi-TD version? Dito for the next page	Adi " in which case it occurs at the start of the AMPDU as shown in the as specified in 11-1307/5022 - Revised.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15: 07:35:57Z) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #805	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1370 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	37	22	E	Y	37:22:22	97.3	A	Editor	16/11/861	"contains implicit or explicit" -- missing article. Dito for the next page	Adi "an" after "contains"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-29 21:53:17Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-29 21:53:20Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1371 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	37	22	T	Y	37:22:22	97.3	V	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 Doesn't the Multi-STA BlockAck frame have to be at the start of the baseline for the next page	Adi ", in which case it occurs at the start of the AMPDU as shown in the as specified in 11-1307/5022 - Revised.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15: 07:36:54Z) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #805	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1372 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	37	22	T	Y	37:22:22	97.3	V	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 I says "Multi-STA BlockAck frame", but the cell to the right makes it clear it's a Multi-TD BlockAck frame. Dito for the next page	Change "Multi-STA" to "Multi-TD"	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15: 07:36:15Z) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #805	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1373 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	37	30	E	Y	37:30:30	97.3	V	Editor	16/11/861	"QoS Data frames with the multiple TIDs, with TID being corresponding to an HT- immediate block ack agreement" is weird	Change to "QoS Data frames with multiple TIDs, where each TID corresponds to an HT- immediate block ack agreement"	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-29 21:55:51Z) - It is not possible to have "QoS Data frames" immediately followed by "so frames with different TIDs, where each TID corresponds to an HT- immediate block ack agreement"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-29 21:55:20Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1374 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	37	30	T	Y	37:30:30	97.3	V	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 "QoS Data frames with the multiple TIDs, with TID being corresponding to an HT- immediate block ack agreement" is weird -- the baseline non-multi-TD version has a note on the Ack Policy Ack."	Add a "See NOTE" and at the end of the table a "NOTE-- Policy field equal to the same value, which is either implicit agreement" is weird -- the baseline non-multi-TD version has a note on the Ack Policy Ack."	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15: 07:36:54Z) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #805	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1375 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	37	34	T	Y	37:34:34	97.3	V	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 "in an IE BSS" -- this context only applies to an HE BSS anyway. Dito for the next page	Delete the cited text and adjust the following capitalisation	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15: 07:36:30Z) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #805	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1376 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	37	23	T	Y	37:23:23	97.3	V	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 "For multiple TIDs for which an HT- immediate block ack agreement exists, is not clear TID."	Change to "for multiple TIDs, where an HT- immediate block ack agreement exists for each TID."	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15: 07:36:45Z) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #805	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1377 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	37	30	T	Y	37:30:30	97.3	V	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 The baseline has a restriction "Of these, at most one of the following is present in a non-DMG BSS: -- One or more QoS Data frames -- The Ack Policy field equal to Implicit Block Ack Request -- A BlockAckReq frame"	Add such a restriction (without the "in a non-DMG BSS" bit) -- "Of these, at most one of the following is present in a non-DMG BSS: -- One or more QoS Data frames -- The Ack Policy field equal to Implicit Block Ack Request -- A BlockAckReq frame"	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15: 07:36:52Z) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #805	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1378 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	37	46	T	Y	37:46:46	97.3	V	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 "At most one data frame for one TID that there is no data frames sent under" -- half	Rework. Note the baseline says "At most one data frame for one TID that corresponds to an HT- immediate block ack agreement". It is not present if any QoS Data frames are present. Is that TID are present."	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15: 07:37:02Z) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #805	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1379 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	37	38	T	Y	37:38:38	97.3	J	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/862	111 Allowing an Action frame will cause trouble if there is also a Data frame (with Ack Policy set to normal/implicit) since then two things will need to be immediately acted. The baseline does not allow this for the non-multi-TID case. Also note that the "Ack Policy field" is too vague (need to refer to the exact frame subtype) and has other constraints, e.g. Ack Policy	Delete this row	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-09-14: 13:07:50Z) - Reject.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1380 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	37	41	T	Y	37:41:41	97.3	J	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/862	111 Allowing such a frame will cause trouble if there is also a Data frame (with Ack Policy set to normal/implicit) since then two things will need to be immediately acted. The baseline does not allow this for the non-multi-TID case. Also note that the "Ack Policy field" is too vague (need to refer to the exact frame subtype) and has other constraints, e.g. Ack Policy	Delete this row	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-09-14: 13:07:50Z) - Reject.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1381 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	37	8	T	Y	37:08:8	97.3	V	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 The "MPDU Descriptor" column does not need "frame" (see the baseline)	Delete all the "frame"s from this column	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15: 07:37:09Z) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #805	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR

1464 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	E	Y	53.00	25.2.1	V	Editor	11-16/13391	130 "regular NAV" is an appalling Americanism	Change "regular" to "all-BSS"	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	EDITOR, 2016-11-18 23:24:07Z - see #421	1	2016/11/29 22:45	EDITOR
1465 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	E	Y	53.00	25.2.1	A	Editor		There are a bunch of "intra-"s and "inter-"s	Lowercase all the "i"s	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	EDITOR, 2016-05-02 19:59:50Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR
1466 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	T	Y	53.00	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Yung Hoon, Yuen, Kison, Yuen, Wang, Xiaohu, Jing, Yau	11-16/11062	72 The concept of "intra/intra-BSS" has not been introduced at this point	Add a forward reference to 25.9.2	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR, 2016-05-02 19:59:50Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1467 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.2.4	59	11	T	Y	59.11.11	A	Simone, Rakesh, Kison, Lai, Tomo, John, Nelson	11-16/08853	65 "The NAV was set by a frame originating from an intra-BSS STA, not an inter-BSS STA?"	Change to "The NAV was set by an intra-BSS frame"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR, 2016-05-02 19:59:50Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1468 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25	53	4	T	Y	53.04.4	J	Editor	11-16/13391	130 There are references to "PSDU" in this clause, but generally MACs refer to PPDU's, not PSDUs	Change "PSDU" to "PPDU" throughout this clause	EDITOR	No change to draft	EDITOR, 2016-11-18 23:24:08Z - The resolution contains no editing changes. However, just changing PSDU to PPDU would be a good idea. For example, in 19.3.2.4 "Setting and resetting the NAV in Draft P802.11REVmc_D8.0, the standard defines the following: A STA that receives at least one valid frame in a PSDU can update its NAV with the information from any valid Duration field in the PSDU. As a result, we do not need to change the word "PPDU" throughout the clause.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1469 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	T	Y	53.00	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Yung Hoon, Yuen, Kison, Yuen, Wang, Xiaohu, Jing, Yau	11-16/11062	72 "Identifies the HE-SIGA as intra-BSS" -- frames are intra-BSS, not HE-SIGA-A	Change to "uses the HE-SIGA as intra-BSS" to identify the PSDU as intra-BSS"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	see #164	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1470 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.3.2	54	21	T	Y	54.21.21	V	Alied, Ming Gan, Mark Rison, Yuen, Wang, Narendar	16/02/860	42 "The length of each fragment may be of any nonzero value, - so the length may be 1?"	Delete this sentence	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	EDITOR, 2016-05-02 19:59:50Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1471 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.3.2	54	17	E	Y	54.17.17	V	Editor		"An HE STA declares its capability by setting the HE Fragmentation Support field of the HE Capabilities element as follows: - below does not describe how to transmit a non-zero value"	Change to something like "An HE STA declares its capability by setting the HE Fragmentation Support field of the HE Capabilities element."	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	EDITOR, 2016-05-02 20:39:44Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR
1472 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.3.2	54	24	E	Y	54.24.24	A	Editor		It says "A-MPDU"	Change to "A-MPDU"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	EDITOR, 2016-05-02 20:40:38Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR

1473 Mark RISON	23	0.1 25.3.2	54	24	T	Y	54.24.24	25.3.2	V	Alfred, Ming Gam, Mark Rison, Laurent, Woopin, Narendar	16.62.860	42 A-MPDUs are not individually-addressed. MPDUs are	Delete "(A)".	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27) 04.30.46Z - Deletion of (A) is incorrect since an MPDU is addressed to the receiver as a fragment in the fragmented list. The proposed resolution is to remove the (A) and to use the word fragments as already specified as portions of individually addressed MSDUs or AMPDUs (please refer to 10.5 (Fragmentation)).	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1474 Mark RISON	23	0.1 25.3.2	54	26	T	Y	54.26.26	25.3.2	V	Alfred, Ming Gam, Mark Rison, Laurent, Woopin, Narendar	16.62.860	42 MPDU that contains "One or more fragments of an AMPDU" is a VHT single MPDU -- an AMPDU does not contain anything in a VHT single MPDU	Split into two sentences: "One or more fragments of an AMPDU" is a VHT single MPDU. Or make terminology refers to the two different formats of the A-MPDU.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
1475 Mark RISON	23	0.1 25.3.2	54	31	T	Y	54.31.31	25.3.2	V	Alfred, Ming Gam, Mark Rison, Laurent, Woopin, Narendar	16.62.860	42 "Up to one dynamic fragment -- what does this mean?" If the MSDU is fragmented there isn't MPDU", similarly for the next bullet	Change to "Up to one dynamic fragment for each MSDU (or AMPDU) is sent in a VHT single MPDU".	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
1476 Mark RISON	23	0.1 25.3.2	54	31	T	Y	54.31.31	25.3.2	V	Alfred, Ming Gam, Mark Rison, Laurent, Woopin, Narendar	16.62.860	42 "Up to one dynamic fragment -- what does this mean?" If the MSDU is fragmented there isn't MPDU", similarly for the next bullet	Change to "Up to one dynamic fragment for each MSDU (or AMPDU) is sent in a VHT single MPDU".	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
1477 Mark RISON	23	0.1 25.3.2	54	43	T	Y	54.43.43	25.3.2	A	Alfred, Ming Gam, Mark Rison, Laurent, Woopin, Narendar	16.04.110	106 "The A-MPDU should contain MPDU's whose range of the fragment number does not exceed 16" -- a "should" is useless in this context. STA shall not transmit fragments of an MSDU or MPDU whose range of the fragment number is greater than the maximum number of fragments -- what is the maximum number? 16?	Change "should" to "shall"	ACCEPTED (MAC: 2016-09-13 09.40.282) - Accepted. The comment is removed due to the proposed resolution to CID 1477.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:32	EDITOR
1478 Mark RISON	23	0.1 25.3.2	54	46	T	Y	54.46.46	25.3.2	V	Alfred, Ming Gam, Mark Rison, Laurent, Woopin, Narendar	16.62.860	42 "A PSDU that contains dynamic fragments of an MSDU or MPDU whose range of the fragment number is greater than the maximum number of fragments" -- what is the maximum number? 16?	Delete this text (or the whole paragraph)	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27) 04.30.46Z - That particular comment is removed due to the proposed resolution for CID 1480. To answer the question of the commenter: The comment is not intended to differentiate between an A-MPDU that carries up to one dynamic fragment for each MSDU and an AMPDU that carries up to four fragments for each MSDU.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1479 Mark RISON	23	0.1 25.3.2	54	48	T	Y	54.48.48	25.3.2	V	Alfred, Ming Gam, Mark Rison, Laurent, Woopin, Narendar	16.62.860	42 "That are carried in an AMPDU format that is not supported by the receiver STA" -- what does this mean? There is only one AMPDU format (see baseline)	Delete this text (or the whole paragraph)	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27) 04.30.46Z - That particular comment is removed due to the proposed resolution for CID 1480. To answer the question of the commenter: The comment is not intended to differentiate between a VHT single MPDU and an AMPDU format.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR

1502 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.1.1	56	16	T	Y	56.16.16	25.5.1.1	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	1-16003003	69 "An AP shall not transmit to a STA a PPDU with HE SIG-B according more than one RU's (see 26.3.9.8.3 (Time domain encoding)), unless the STA is a HE Capable unless the HE Capabilities element to 1" – why can't it use DL MU-MIMO?	Revised the restriction to the frequency domain	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15) 1421.522Z Removed the sentence Ttag editor to make the STA is a HE Capable shown in 11-16003003	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1503 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.1.1	56	17	T	Y	56.17.17	25.5.1.1	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16003003	69 It says "the STA set"	Change to "the STA sets"	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15) 1421.522Z Sentences was removed Ttag editor to make the STA is a HE Capable shown in 11-16003003	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1504 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.1.1	56	22	T	Y	56.22.22	25.5.1.1	A	David Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16003003	69 It says "the STA set"	Change to "the STA sets"	ACCEPTED (MU, 2016-09-15) 1422.552Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1505 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.1.1	56	17	T	Y	56.17.17	25.5.1.1	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16003003	69 The DL OFDMA Capable subfield of the HE Capabilities element – there is no such subfield	Refer to a subfield that exists	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15) 1423.072Z Refer to a sentence since the feature is mandatory	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1506 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.1.1	56	22	T	Y	56.22.22	25.5.1.1	V	David Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, Editor	11-16003003	69 The DL MU-MIMO Capable subfield of the HE Capabilities element – there is no such subfield	Refer to a subfield that exists	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15) 1423.482Z Added capability changes shown in 11-16003003	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1507 Mark RISON	23	0.1			E	Y		56.25.25	25.5.1.1	A	Editor		There are about a dozen "MU MIMO"s	Change the space to a non-break hyphen in each	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-04-26 23:55:11Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1508 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.1.1	56	25	T	Y	56.25.25	25.5.1.1	A	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, Editor	11-16003003	69 The DL MU-MIMO Capable subfield of the HE Capabilities element – there is no such subfield	Refer to a subfield that exists	ACCEPTED (MU, 2016-09-15) 1424.020Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1509 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.1.2	56	38	E	Y	56.38.38	25.5.1.2	V	Editor		It says "unicast groupcast"	Change to "individually addressed, group addressed"	REVISED (EDITOR, 2016-05-03 18:05:55Z) - change to "individually addressed or group addressed"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1510 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.1.2	56	42	E	Y	56.42.42	25.5.1.2	A	Editor		It says "groupcast frame"	Change to "group addressed frame"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-03 18:10:38Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1511 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.1.2	56	42	E	Y	56.42.42	25.5.1.2	Editor			"groupcast RU" – what's that? The RU address indicates else	Clarify		MU operation				
1512 Mark RISON	23	0.1			E	Y		56.50.50	25.5.2.1	A	Editor		There are a few "unicast"s	Change each to "individually addressed"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-04-27 17:24:58Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1513 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.1	56	50	E	Y	56.50.50	25.5.2.1	A	Editor		It says "immediate response frame"	Change to "simultaneous immediate response frame"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-03 16:11:46Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1514 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.1	56	52	E	Y	56.52.52	25.5.2.1	A	Editor		It says "in HE trigger-based MU format"	Using "using the HE trigger-based MU format"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-03 16:11:46Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1515 Mark RISON	23	0.1			E	Y				A	Editor		There are three instances of "MU OFDMA", but OFDMA is by definition MU. Also three instances of "MU OFDMA option" – "short MU OFDMA option" is omitted	Delete "MU" in each case by definition MU. Also three instances of "MU OFDMA option" is omitted	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-04-27 20:57:57Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1516 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.1	57	5	E	Y	57.05.5	25.5.2.1	V	Editor		"An AP shall not send to a STA a PPDU with HE SIG-B according more than one RU's (see 26.3.9.8.3 (Time domain encoding)), unless the STA is a HE Capable" – spurious comma	Delete the comma	REVISED (EDITOR, 2016-05-03 18:19:21Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR

1517 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.6	61	31	T	Y	61:31:31	25.6	V	Raja, Luen, Narendar, Ross, Zhou, Kaying	11-16/13570	127 The UL Capabilities field of the most non-existent field	Refer to a field that exists	REVISED (MAC: 2016-11-12) The UL Capabilities field of the most non-existent field	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	see #959	1	2016/11/29 22:45	EDITOR
1518 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	7	E	Y	57:07:7	25.5.2.2.1	A	Editor	11-16/00293	It says "unless the STA is UL MU Capable". Change to "in HE trigger-based PPDUL"	Change to "in HE trigger-based PPDUL"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-03 18:19:46Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-03 18:20:00Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR
1519 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	5	T	Y	57:05:5	25.5.2.2.1	A	Shirone, Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton, Junchi, David	11-16/00293	70 % PDU with the TXVECTOR parameter FORMAT set to 1. The TXVECTOR field is derived from an HE trigger-based PPDUL	Change to "in HE trigger-based PPDUL"	ACCEPTED (MU: 2016-09-20 04:41:39Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-29 18:35	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1520 Mark RISON	23	0.1				E	Y			V	Editor		In "Per User Info" the "P" is sometimes lowercase, and the first space is sometimes a hyphen	Upsertcase the "p" when it refers to a field. Decide whether there should be a space and then make this consistent throughout	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27 17:51:42Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-27 17:51:14Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR
1521 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	18	E	Y	57:18:18	25.5.2.2.1	Editor			"An" Trigger Information TBD contained in the MAC Header" exists	Refer to a field or frame that exists	Technical comment	MU	MU operation			2016/5/17 18:38	EDITOR	
1522 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	10	T	Y	57:10:10	25.5.2.2.1	V	Shirone, Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton, Junchi, David	11-16/00293	70 % including in the PPDUL at least one of " – if more than one is included, what happens if they differ?	Add a statement which explains the behaviour (e.g. this and that field shall have the same value)	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20 04:42:20Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-29 18:35	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1523 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	21	E	Y	57:21:21	25.5.2.2.1	995 A	Editor		"The following two frames shall be aggregated with other frames in an AMPDU, the "Trigger Information" field info exists	Definitely this line not be present at the same time in an AMPDU" should not be a bullet (it's not one of the things in a PPDUL)	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-03 18:27:02Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-03 18:27:06Z, see #956	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR
1524 Mark RISON	23	0.1				E	Y			Editor			"Trigger Information Field info exists	Refer to a field or frame that exists	Editorials	EDITOR			2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR		
1525 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	24	E	Y	57:24:24	25.5.2.2.1	A	Editor		It says "an"	Change to "An"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-03 18:28:20Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-03 18:29:24Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR
1526 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	24	E	Y	57:24:24	25.5.2.2.1	A	Editor		"addressed to the same STA" – Change "same" to "that"	Change "same" to "that"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-03 18:30:20Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-03 18:30:26Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR
1527 Mark RISON	23	0.1	97.3	36	4	T	Y	36:04:4	97.3	V	Yongho, Luen, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 There seems to be no context for "want to trigger UL MU but not want to use multiple TIDs"	Add a "UL MU Data Enabled" context	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 07:41:02Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #965	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1528 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	28	E	Y	57:28:28	25.5.2.2.1	Editor			"If a Trigger frame is aggregated with other frames in an AMPDU, the "Trigger Information" field info exists in the AMPDU." – this should be ruled, not here	As it says in the comment	Technical comment	MU	MU operation			2016/5/17 18:38	EDITOR	
1529 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	38	E	Y	57:38:38	25.5.2.2.2	A	Editor	11-16/00293	It says "Commit"	Change to "Common"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-03 18:32:18Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-03 18:32:21Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR
1530 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	53	T	Y	57:53:53	25.5.2.2.3	V	Shirone, Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton, Junchi, David		70 When the AP receives MPDU initiated by trigger frame, the frame exchange initiated by the trigger frame is successful. " – what is the significance of the success? Is this about the rules for TXOP continuation? Or something else?	Clarify what the significance of the success is, in both by the clause related to TXOP continuation and recovery are referred.	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20 04:43:34Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1531 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	57	53	T	Y	57:53:53	25.5.2.2.3	V	Shirone, Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton, Junchi, David	11-16/00293	70 When the AP receives MPDU initiated by trigger frame, the frame exchange initiated by the trigger frame is successful. " – what is the significance of the success? Is this about the rules for TXOP continuation? Or something else?	Revised in terms of success of the TX transmission	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20 04:43:34Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1532 Mark RISON	23	0.1				E	Y			A	Editor		There are instances of "Trigger Frame" Lowercase the "F" in all cases	Lowercase the "F" in all cases	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-03 18:32:21Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-27 18:32:22Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR

1533 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	14	E	Y	58.14.14	25.5.2.3	Editor	The CS Required subfield in a Trigger frame is 0 -- which CS Required subfield equal to 0.	MU operation	2016/5/17 18:36	EDITOR	
1534 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	21	T	Y	58.21.21	25.5.2.3	V	70 The CS_LTF_TYPE parameter shall be set to the value indicated by the CPL_LTF field of the Common Info field of the existing Trigger frame -- and what if there wasn't a TF but just a trigger MAC header? Or for the subsequent parameters	EDITOR	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1535 Mark RISON	23	0.1			E	Y		58.39.39	25.5.2.3	A	Editor				
1536 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	37	E	Y	58.37.37	25.5.2.3	A	Editor		0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
1537 Mark RISON	23	0.1			E	Y				A	Editor		0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
1538 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	39	T	Y	58.39.39	25.5.2.3	V	70 The content of each individual AMPDU in an HE MU PPDU shall be based on the rules specified in 10.13.1 (AMPDU contents) and the additional rules -- "..." does "This clause" mean "this subclause"? It says "Trigger Type value"	EDITOR	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1539 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	43	E	Y	58.43.43	25.5.2.3	A	Editor		0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
1540 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	44	T	Y	58.44.44	25.5.2.3	V	130 "one MPDU of the required type" -- where are the required types specified? Table 6-a-2 just says what the trigger type is, not what MPDUs are required in response	EDITOR	1	2016/11/29/2245	EDITOR
1541 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	44	T	Y	58.44.44	25.5.2.3	V	70 "If the STA does not have a frame of the required type, the STA should transmit QoS Null frame", but it could also transmit a Beacon frame? Or nothing? Also missing article before "QoS"	EDITOR	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1542 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	58	57	T	Y	58.57.57	25.5.2.4	V	65 "If one of both of the NAVs are considered" -- what does this mean? AI, it's on the next page	EDITOR	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1543 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	58	60	T	Y	58.60.60	25.5.2.4	V	65 "When only one NAV is supported by a STA, if the NAV is set, the STA shall use the NAV counter is nonzero, then virtual CS is busy. Otherwise, the STA CS is idle -- how does this affect the STA's behaviour?"	EDITOR	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1544 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	4	T	Y	59.04.4	25.5.2.4	V	65 "A STA that is polled from a polling refer to here? The term is used nowhere else	EDITOR	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1545 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	4	T	Y	59.04.4	25.5.2.4	J	65 "A STA that is polled from a polling refer to here? The term is used nowhere else	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2105	EDITOR	
1546 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	34	T	Y	59.34.34	25.5.2.4	V	50 The Trigger frame containing ACKBA -- a TF cannot contain either an Ack frame or a BlockAck frame	EDITOR	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1547 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	34	E	Y	59.34.34	25.5.2.4	A	Editor		0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
1548 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	44	E	Y	59.44.44	25.5.2.4	A	Editor		0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR

1549 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	45	E	Y	59.45.45	25.5.2.4	A	Editor	It says "carrying the trigger information"	Revised to something like "with MAC address carrying trigger information"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-03 19:33:46Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-03 19:33:52Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR
1550 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	41	T	Y	59.41.41	25.5.2.5	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John	24 There are only two references to "buffer status report's" both in the same two lines of this subclause	REVISED (MU: 2016-07-28 21:53:59Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I		0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
1551 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.6.1	60	47	E	Y	60.47.47	25.5.2.6.1	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John	"If the OBO counter for an HE STA is a zero value or if the OBO counter decrements to 0, it" – is the OBO counter?	Change "it" to "the STA"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-03 19:52:23Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
1552 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.2.6.1	60	1	E	Y	60.01.1	25.5.2.6.1	Editor	Editor	This procedure seems incomplete. There's no OBO counter and the meaning of OCBW on transmission failure	Make the procedure more like EDCA	MU	MU operation			2016/5/17 16:36	EDITOR		
1553 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.3	61	1	T	Y	61.01.1	25.5.3	J	Simone, Rakesh, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John	130 "A HE AP can initiate a cascading sequence of MU PPDU's in a TXOP, allowing alternating HE MU PPDU's and HE trigger-based PPDU's in the same TXOP. I don't understand the last bit	Delete the "in the same TXOP"	REJECTED (MU: 2016-11-10 21:23:25Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-11-22 21:31:43Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1554 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.3	61	1	T	Y	61.01.1	25.5.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John	130 "A HE AP can initiate a cascading sequence of MU PPDU's in a TXOP, allowing alternating HE MU PPDU's and HE trigger-based PPDU's starting with a DL MU PPDU in the same TXOP" – does the same TXOP? – does the MU PPDU? Why can't it start with a trigger frame?	Delete the "starting with a DL MU PPDU"	REVISED (MU: 2016-11-10 21:23:25Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	EDITOR: 2016-11-22 21:31:38Z	1	2016/11/29 22:45	EDITOR
1555 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.3	61	16	T	Y	61.16.16	25.5.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John	130 This figure is not clear. What are the elements in each set?	Show a full cascading sequence example	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	EDITOR: 2016-11-22 21:44:56Z. see #604	1	2016/11/29 22:45	EDITOR	
1556 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.3	61	20	E	Y	61.20.20	25.5.3	A	Editor	It says "trigger-based"	Change to "trigger-based"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-03 19:54:02Z) - (it actually)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-03 19:54:18Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR
1557 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.5.3	61	8	T	Y	61.08.8	25.5.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John	130 What does the "is" in "UL MU PPDU" mean? You can have a bunch of back-to-back UL MU PPDU's without an intervening DL PPDU?	Delete the "is"	REVISED (MU: 2016-11-10 21:20:44Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-11-22 21:32:50Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions (presumably all already changed)	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1558 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.6	61	32	T	Y	61.32.32	25.6	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John, Namdar, Ross, Zhou, Kaiyng	127 The word "separately" replaced HE capabilities element – capabilities are static	Delete "most recently"	REVISED (MAC: 2016-11-12 01:51:02Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	see #669	1	2016/11/29 22:45	EDITOR
1559 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.6	61	53	E	Y	61.53.53	25.6	Editor	This figure has unnecessary colouring	Delete "most recently"	EDITOR	Editoriale				2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR		
1560 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.6	61	53	T	Y	61.53.53	25.6	V	Rajn, Lwin, Namdar, Ross, Zhou, Kaiyng	127 This figure refers to the "back frame's". No such frame exists	Change to "YHT Compressed" and "back frame's" and define an HE flavour thereof	REVISED (MAC: 2016-11-12 13:07:00Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	see #669	1	2016/11/29 22:45	EDITOR
1561 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.6.1	62	10	E	Y	62.10.10	25.6.1	A	Editor	It says "describes"	Change to "described"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-03 20:17:12Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-03 20:17:15Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR

1562 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.8.1	62	16	T	Y	62.16.16	25.8.1	V	Jarkko, Jayh, 16.08.1/2 Wieming, Allied, Reza	104 There is a frame of type Data. - Remove this restriction why this restriction	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-13 11:26:02) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
1563 Mark RISON	23	0.1				E	Y			A	Editor	There are two "frames of type Data" in the HE STA. There are bad cross-references, e.g. "HE variant HT Control field"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27 18:09:04Z - the example cited fixed.	EDITOR: 2016-04-27	EDITOR: 2016-04-27	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1564 Mark RISON	23	0.1				E	Y			A	Editor	There are bad cross-references, e.g. "HE variant HT Control field"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27 18:09:04Z - the example cited fixed.	EDITOR: 2016-04-27	EDITOR: 2016-04-27	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1565 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.8.1	62	20	T	Y	62.20.20	25.8.1	V	Jarkko, Jayh, 16.08.1/2 Wieming, Allied, Reza	104 dxt1 ROMI (Optional) implemented STAs, or "second STA" stuff here to be specifically "AP" and "non-AP STA" Agree in principle with the proposed resolution changes to related text with OMI initiator and OMI responder. TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16-0881/2 under all headings that include CID 1685.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-13 11:26:22Z) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
1566 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.8.1	62	24	E	Y	62.24.24	25.8.1	Editor	Editor	Just keep the first sentence. The STA to adapt the maximum operating channel width or the maximum number of spatial streams it can receive.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-04 21:00:57Z - see #1563	EDITOR: 2016-05-04	EDITOR: 2016-05-04	0.2	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1567 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.8.2	62	35	E	Y	62.35.35	25.8.2	A	Editor	It says "individually address" Define which one wins if more than one STA is addressed? Which one prevails? There was careful discussion of this in the NABU Channel Width.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-04 21:00:57Z) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1568 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.8.1	62	9	T	Y	62.09.9	25.8.1	J	Jarkko, Jayh, 16.08.1/2 Allied, Reza	104 What happens if a STA uses the "first STA" ROMI? Note that for 11ac there was careful discussion of this in the NABU Channel Width.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-04 21:00:57Z) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1569 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.8.2	62		T	Y	62.00	25.8.2	V	Jarkko, Jayh, 16.08.1/2 Wieming, Allied, Reza	104 There are a number of "transmissions" in this STA. But what if the STA is transmitting to a STA that has sent a ROMI?	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-04 21:00:57Z) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
1570 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.8.2	62	55	E	Y	62.55.55	25.8.2	A	Editor	"In the event of transmission failures" but not if there was only one failure? Change to STA can attempt PPS recovery and what recovery procedure?	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-04 21:00:57Z) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1571 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.8.2	62	55	E	Y	62.55.55	25.8.2	V	Editor	Change to STA can attempt PPS recovery and what recovery procedure?	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-04 21:00:57Z) - change to HE STA can attempt the recovery procedure defined in 10.22.2.7 (HE STA can attempt the recovery procedure defined in an EDCX XCOPY)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1572 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.8.2	62	55	T	Y	62.55.55	25.8.2	V	Jarkko, Jayh, 16.08.1/2 Allied, Reza	104 There can be a change from changing the ROMI to a STA. This is indicated in the existing frame until a successful transaction with the STA is received. This is in a NOTE, but it is saying that the STA is not a ROMI. This is a change even if a ROMI was not added?	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-13 11:27:44Z) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR

1582 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.9.3	63	58	T	Y	63.58.58	25.9.3	V	Bo Sun, Yonghoon, Rooch, Junchi, Liven, Yonghoon, Jongye, Yasu, Gwen, Geonjong, Yoon, Yoshi, James, Larent Editor	12236	76 "The OBSS_PD threshold" -- What is this?	Clarify	REVISED - Agree in principle with the comment. Document 12236 defines OBSS_PD.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #286	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
1583 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.9.3	63	61	E	Y	63.61.61	25.9.3	A			It says "one or more following parameters", "... missing "of the" following parameters," and last comma is wrong	Change to "one or more of the following parameters."	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 15:30:38Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 15:30:42Z	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1584 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.10.1	64	8	E	Y	64.08.8	25.10.1	A	Editor		"Different TSD" - This is the only place where TSDs are referred to, and it leads to confusion. When this is different to TIDb	Change to "different TIDb"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:05:12Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:05:16Z - no longer applies as the sentence was already modified with #681	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1585 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.10.2	64	15	E	Y	64.15.15	25.10.2	V	Editor		It says "follows the same procedure as for VHT procedure as defined in Section 10.13.6 (AMPDU padding for VHT PPDU)". This is completely jumbled up	Change to "follows the procedure defined in Section 10.13.6 (AMPDU padding for VHT PPDU)".	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:19:51Z) Separate the "A" subclause from the "B" subclause. The first subclause titled "A:MPDU padding for HE MU PPDU" replacing the first sentence: "An HE STA that transmits an HE trigger-based PPDU, which carries an AMPDU, shall construct the AMPDU(s) in accordance with the HE trigger-based PPDU" contain the remainder of the modified sentence. The second subclause titled "B:MPDU padding for HE trigger-based PPDU" shall be used to replace the sentence of the new subclause to read: "A non-AP STA that transmits an HE trigger-based PPDU shall construct the HE trigger-based PPDU as described in the subclause."	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:23:40Z	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1586 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.10.2	64	34	E	Y	64.34.34	25.10.2	V	Editor		"And provided that" ... "Then," Follow the presentation pattern in 16.02.182. Change "And" to "Then" and "provided that" to "provided that" the following conditions are met. The sentence should be: "... Padding is then ..."	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:42:18Z) - Change "And" to "Then" and "provided that" to "provided that" the following conditions are met. The sentence should be: "... Padding is then ..."	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:43:42Z	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR	
1587 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.10.2	64	45	E	Y	64.45.45	25.10.2	A	Editor		It says "1" ->	Change to a not-equals glyph	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:05:16Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:37:50Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1588 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.10.2	64	12	T	Y	64.12.12	25.10.2	J	Liven	16/11/84/1	110 Why are the 11ac rules not good enough?	Either just use the 11ac rules or use the 11ac rules with just the changes indicated	Rejected.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1589 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.10.3	64	63	T	Y	64.63.63	25.10.3	V	Liven		62 "Multiple TID Capable subfield Refer to a subfield that exists -- no such subfield"	Agreed in principle. Please refer to the following draft text in document 11-16-0938-00-00x-Multi-TID Capable. Sub-clause 25.10.4.doc for the proposed resolution	Agreed in principle. Please refer to the following draft text in document 11-16-0938-00-00x-Multi-TID Capable. Sub-clause 25.10.4.doc for the proposed resolution	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-03 21:03:38Z - See #1589	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1590 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.10.3	65	2	T	Y	65.02.2	25.10.3	V	Liven		62 "The AMPDU/MSD Multiple TIDb. Just refer to the (non-existent) subfield -- this concept is not defined. Ditto next para	Agreed in principle. Please refer to the following draft text in document 11-16-0938-00-00x-Multi-TID Capable. Sub-clause 25.10.4.doc for the proposed resolution	Agreed in principle. Please refer to the following draft text in document 11-16-0938-00-00x-Multi-TID Capable. Sub-clause 25.10.4.doc for the proposed resolution	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-03 21:03:38Z - See #1589	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1591 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.10.3	65	14	E	Y	65.14.14	25.10.3	V	Editor		"Multi-STA BlockAck frame with multiple TIDb" - Change the Multi-STA BlockAck frame to the Multi-STA BlockAck frame in MU PPDU. The value of TID field in Multi-STA BlockAck frame is TBD". Is missing word articles	Add the missing articles	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:55:38Z) suggested see #1292	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:55:42Z	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1592 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.11	65	48	E	Y	65.48.48	25.11	A	Editor		44 It says "The (T) DLS peer STA or the member of an IBSS" but in an IBSS things are always associated with peer STA?	Delete the space	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:52:44Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:52:47Z	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1593 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.11	65	48	T	Y	65.48.48	25.11	V	Yongho, Allied	11-16/862/3	44 What about unassociated STA? - Also,	Change to "lifetime"	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27 22:49:57Z) - Agree in principal.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:55:42Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1594 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.11	65	62	E	Y	65.62.62	25.11	A	Editor		It says "duration of the evidence"	Change to "lifetime"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:55:38Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:55:42Z	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
1595 Mark RISON	23	0.1	25.11	66	1	T	Y	66.01.1	25.11	V	Yongho, Allied	11-16/862/3	44 What about unassociated STA? - Also,	Say what BSS_COLOR is set to in 22.5.11/2 - Agree in principal.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27 22:49:57Z) - Agree in principal.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:55:42Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR

1614 Mark RISON	23	0.1	26.3.2	75	21	Y	75:21.21	26.3.2	J	Yan Zhang	11-16/0034r1	8 "The format of a HE NDP (HE NDP PDU format) as the end of this subclause says, an HE NDP PDU is just a concatenation of HE NDP subclauses without a Delim field.	Delete lines 21 to 43 inclusive 1937.11Z)	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-05-21	EDITOR	Edited in D02	EDITOR	2016-05-01	EDITOR	2016-05-01	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	1937.11Z) and 1939 which effective accept the resolution in principle.	I	
1615 Mark RISON	23	0.1	26.3.2	75	42	T	75:42.42	26.3.2	V	Yan Zhang	11-16/0034r1	8 It says "L-Length field" but there is no such field	Refer to a field that exists	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-21	EDITOR	Edited in D02	EDITOR	2016-05-01	EDITOR	2016-05-01	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	1939.20Z - see #1629	I	
1616 Mark RISON	23	0.1			E	Y			A	Editor		There should be a (non-break) space between "MCS" and the number	As it says in the comment	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27	EDITOR	Edited in D02	EDITOR	2016-04-27	EDITOR	2016-04-27	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	1854.09Z	I	
1617 Mark RISON	23	0.1			E	Y			Editor			Two Parts of HE-SIG-A" that is empty	Delete the column in each case	EDITOR	EDITOR	Editorials	EDITOR	2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR	2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR	2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR	
1618 Mark RISON	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5	117	16	E	117:16.16	26.3.9.8.5	Editor		11-16/1371r0	This figure is illegible	Make the figure legible	REVISED (PHY: 2016-11-07	EDITOR	Editorials	EDITOR	2016-11-18	EDITOR	2016-11-18	0.2	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR	The PHY subclause typically does not reference the MAC subclause. This resolution contains no editing instructions.	N	
1619 Mark RISON	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5	118	35	E	118:35.35	26.3.9.8.5	V	Ross		The CID has already been resolved by CID 1003 in doc 11 160262, and the changes are shown in D013.	Put a proper reference section(7)	EDITOR	EDITOR	Editorials	EDITOR	2016-09-28	EDITOR	2016-09-28	0.2	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR	2016-09-28	I	
1620 Mark RISON	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5	136	12	E	136:12.12	26.3.9.8.5	Editor			Instruction to Editor: Please refer to CID 1003 in doc 11 160262 for the changes	Put a proper reference	REJECTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27	EDITOR	Editorials	EDITOR	2016-04-27	EDITOR	2016-04-27	0.2	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR	2016-04-27	N	
1621 Mark RISON	23	0.1			E	Y			J	Editor		It says "reference to MAC subclause" but "MAC" is used repeatedly	Change the "x" to a multiplication glyph throughout	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-15	EDITOR	No change to draft	EDITOR	2016-09-28	EDITOR	2016-09-28	0.2	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR	2016-09-28	I	
1622 Mark RISON	23	0.1	26.3.8	99	60	E	99:60.60	26.3.8	V	Editor		121 It says "Table 25-xx (Cyclic redundancy check) is not modulated fields of a PPDU)" - no such table	Refer to a table that exists	EDITOR	EDITOR	No change to draft	EDITOR	2016-09-28	EDITOR	2016-09-28	0.2	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR	2016-09-28	I	
1623 Mark RISON	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	132	22	E	132:22.22	26.3.9.10	V	Editor		It says "Table 25-xx (Tone scaling factor and guard interval) is not modulated fields of a PPDU)" - no such table	Refer to a table that exists	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13	EDITOR	Editorials	EDITOR	2016-05-13	EDITOR	2016-05-13	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	2016-05-13	I	
1624 Mark RISON	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	132	32	E	132:32.32	26.3.9.10	A	Editor		It says "The power scaling factor as defined in (25-xx)" - no such table	Delete the referenced line	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13	EDITOR	Editorials	EDITOR	2016-05-13	EDITOR	2016-05-13	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	2016-05-13	I	
1625 Mark RISON	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	132	45	E	132:45.45	26.3.10.1	V	Editor		It says "The length field in L-SIG (see Equation (25-xx))" - no such equation	Refer to an equation that exists	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13	EDITOR	Editorials	EDITOR	2016-05-13	EDITOR	2016-05-13	0.3	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	2016-05-13	I	
1626 Mark RISON	23	0.1			E	Y			A	Yun, Wei	11-16/1150r2	65 The word "is" is unnecessary and should be removed	Include everywhere, never quote	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13	EDITOR	Editorials	EDITOR	2016-09-23	EDITOR	2016-09-23	0.5	2016/09/29	EDITOR	2016-09-23	I	
1627 Mark RISON	23	0.1			E	Y			A	Editor		"Equation (xx)" should have a non-break hyphen	Add parents where missing	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27	EDITOR	Editorials	EDITOR	2016-04-27	EDITOR	2016-04-27	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	2016-04-27	I	
1628 Mark RISON	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	138	46	T	138:46.46	26.3.10.4	J	Sriram	11-16/1169r1	88 What is the argmax operator?	Define the operator	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13	EDITOR	No change to draft	EDITOR	2016-09-29	EDITOR	2016-09-29	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR	2016-09-29	N		
1629 Mark RISON	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	153	9	E	153:09.9	26.3.10.1	Editor			I can just about guess what max with a u underneath means, but...	Define the operator	EDITOR	Editorials	EDITOR	2016-05-14	EDITOR	2016-05-14	2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR	2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR	2016-05-14	I	
1630 Mark RISON	23	0.1	26.4.3	158	60	E	158:60.60	26.4.3	A	Editor		This equation's len is too big	Use the same font size as the other equations	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-14	EDITOR	Editorials	EDITOR	2016-05-14	EDITOR	2016-05-14	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	2016-05-14	I	
1631 Mark RISON	23	0.1			E	Y			Editor			There are a bunch of "REFERENCE" IEEE Std 802.11-2016, 8.4.7 (HE won't break as things get renumbered)	Put in a cross-reference as it won't break as things get renumbered	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-07-27	EDITOR	Editorials	EDITOR	2016-07-27	EDITOR	2016-07-27	0.3	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR	2016-07-27	I	
1632 Mark RISON	23	0.1	C.3	201	43	E	201:43.43	C.3	V	Editor		Post-REC Padding and Preamble (see 8.4.7) but there is no "padding" - just a "preamble"	Put a non-break hyphen before "padding"	EDITOR	Editorials	EDITOR	2016-07-27	EDITOR	2016-07-27	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR	2016-07-27	I			
1633 Mark RISON	23	0.1	C.3	202		E	202:00	C.3	A	Editor		It says "2 is 16QAM, 3 is 64QAM, 4 is 256QAM, 5 is 1024QAM, 6 is 2048QAM, 7 is 4096QAM, 8 is 8192QAM, 9 is 16384QAM, 10 is 32768QAM, 11 is 65536QAM, 12 is 131072QAM, 13 is 262144QAM, 14 is 524288QAM, 15 is 1048576QAM, 16 is 2097152QAM, 17 is 4194304QAM, 18 is 8388608QAM, 19 is 16777216QAM, 20 is 33554432QAM, 21 is 67108864QAM, 22 is 134217728QAM, 23 is 268435456QAM, 24 is 536870912QAM, 25 is 1073741824QAM, 26 is 2147483648QAM, 27 is 4294967296QAM, 28 is 8589934592QAM, 29 is 17179869184QAM, 30 is 34359738368QAM, 31 is 68719476736QAM, 32 is 137438953472QAM, 33 is 274877906944QAM, 34 is 549755813888QAM, 35 is 1099511627776QAM, 36 is 2199023255552QAM, 37 is 4398046511104QAM, 38 is 8796093022208QAM, 39 is 17592186044416QAM, 40 is 35184372088832QAM, 41 is 70368744177664QAM, 42 is 140737488355328QAM, 43 is 281474976710656QAM, 44 is 562949953421312QAM, 45 is 1125899906842624QAM, 46 is 2251799813685248QAM, 47 is 4503599627370496QAM, 48 is 9007199254740992QAM, 49 is 18014398509481984QAM, 50 is 36028797018963968QAM, 51 is 72057594037927936QAM, 52 is 144115188075855872QAM, 53 is 288230376151711744QAM, 54 is 576460752303423488QAM, 55 is 1152921504606846976QAM, 56 is 2305843009213693952QAM, 57 is 4611686018427387904QAM, 58 is 9223372036854775808QAM, 59 is 18446744073709551616QAM, 60 is 36893488147419103232QAM, 61 is 73786976294838206464QAM, 62 is 147573952589676412928QAM, 63 is 295147905179352825856QAM, 64 is 590295810358705651712QAM, 65 is 1180591620717411303424QAM, 66 is 2361183241434822606848QAM, 67 is 4722366482869645213696QAM, 68 is 9444732965739290427392QAM, 69 is 18889465931478580854784QAM, 70 is 37778931862957161709568QAM, 71 is 75557863725914323419136QAM, 72 is 151115727451828646838272QAM, 73 is 302231454903657293676544QAM, 74 is 604462909807314587353088QAM, 75 is 1208925819614629174706176QAM, 76 is 2417851639229258349412352QAM, 77 is 4835703278458516698824704QAM, 78 is 9671406556917033397649408QAM, 79 is 19342813113834066795298816QAM, 80 is 38685626227668133590597632QAM, 81 is 77371252455336267181195264QAM, 82 is 154742504910672534362390528QAM, 83 is 309485009821345068724781056QAM, 84 is 618970019642690137449562112QAM, 85 is 1237940039285380274899124224QAM, 86 is 2475880078570760549798248448QAM, 87 is 4951760157141521099596496896QAM, 88 is 9903520314283042199192993792QAM, 89 is 19807040628566084398385987584QAM, 90 is 39614081257132168796771975168QAM, 91 is 79228162514264337593543950336QAM, 92 is 158456325028528675187087900672QAM, 93 is 316912650057057350374175801344QAM, 94 is 633825300114114700748351602688QAM, 95 is 1267650600228229401496703205376QAM, 96 is 2535301200456458802993406410752QAM, 97 is 5070602400912917605986812821504QAM, 98 is 10141204801825835211973625643008QAM, 99 is 20282409603651670423947251286016QAM, 100 is 40564819207303340847894502572032QAM, 101 is 81129638414606681695789005144064QAM, 102 is 162259276829213363391578010288128QAM, 103 is 324518553658426726783156020576256QAM, 104 is 649037107316853453566312041152512QAM, 105 is 1298074214633706907132624082305024QAM, 106 is 2596148429267413814265248164610048QAM, 107 is 5192296858534827628530496329220096QAM, 108 is 10384593717069655257060992658440192QAM, 109 is 20769187434139310514121183316880384QAM, 110 is 41538374868278621028242366633760768QAM, 111 is 83076749736557242056484733267521536QAM, 112 is 166153499473114484112969466535043072QAM, 113 is 332306998946228968225938933070086144QAM, 114 is 664613997892457936451877866140172288QAM, 115 is 13292279957849158729037557322803456QAM, 116 is 26584559915698317458075114645606912QAM, 117 is 53169119831396634916150229291213824QAM, 118 is 106338239662793269832300458582427648QAM, 119 is 212676479325586539664600917164855296QAM, 120 is 425352958651173079329201834329710592QAM, 121 is 850705917302346158658403668659421184QAM, 122 is 1701411834604692317316807337318842368QAM, 123 is 3402823669209384634633614674637684736QAM, 124 is 6805647338418769269267229349275369472QAM, 125 is 13611294676837538538534458738547388944QAM, 126 is 27222589353675077077068917477094777888QAM, 127 is 54445178707350154154137834954189555776QAM, 128 is 108890357414700308308275669908379111552QAM, 129 is 217780714829400616616551339816758223104QAM, 130 is 435561429658801233233102679633516446208QAM, 131 is 871122859317602466466205359267032892416QAM, 132 is 1742245718635204932932410718534065784832QAM, 133 is 3484491437270409865864821437068131569664QAM, 134 is 6968982874540819731729642874136263139328QAM, 135 is 13937965749081639463459285748272626276544QAM, 136 is 27875931498163278926918571496545252553088QAM, 137 is 55751862996326557853837142993090505106176QAM, 138 is 111503725992653115707674285986181010212352QAM, 139 is 223007451985306231415348571973362020424704QAM, 140 is 446014903970612462830697146746724040849408QAM, 141 is 892029807941224925661384293493448081698816QAM, 142 is 178405961588244985132276858698689616339776QAM, 143 is 356811923176489970264553717397379232679552QAM, 144 is 713623846352979940529107434794758465359104QAM, 145 is 1427247692705959881058214869589516911782208QAM, 146 is 285449538541191976211643773917903382235776QAM, 147 is 570899077082383952423287547835806764471552QAM, 148 is 1141798154164767904846575095671613528931008QAM, 149 is 2283596308329535809693150191343227057862016QAM, 150 is 4567192616659071619386300382686454115724032QAM, 151 is 9134385233318143238772600765372908231448064QAM, 152 is 18268770466636286477545201530745816462896128QAM, 153 is 36537540933272572955090403061491632925792256QAM, 154 is 73075081866545145910180806122983265851584512QAM, 155 is 146150163733090291820361612245966531703168256QAM, 156 is 292300327466180583640723224491933063406336512QAM, 157 is 584600654932361167281446448983866126812672256QAM, 158 is 116920130986472233440289289796773225364534512QAM, 159 is 233840261972944468568578579593546450729069024QAM, 160 is 467680523945888937137157159187092901458138048QAM, 161 is 935361047891777874274314318374185802916276096QAM, 162 is 1870722095783555748548628636748371605832552192QAM, 163 is 3741444191567111497097257273496743211665104384QAM, 164 is 7482888383134222994194514546993486423330208768QAM, 165 is 14965776766268445988389029093986972846660417536QAM, 166 is 29931553532536891976778058187973945693320835072QAM, 167 is 59863107065073783953556116375947891386641670144QAM, 168 is 119726214130147567907112232751895782733283340288QAM, 169 is 239452428260295135814224465503791565466566880576QAM, 170 is 478904856520590271628448931007583130933133761152QAM, 171 is 957809713041180543256897862015166261866267522304QAM, 172 is 1915619426082361086513795724030332533732535044608QAM, 173 is 3831238852164722173027591448060665067465070089216QAM, 174 is 7662477704329444346055182896121330134930140178432QAM, 175 is 15324955408658888692110365792242660269860280356864QAM, 176 is 30649910817317777384220731584485320539720560713728QAM, 177 is 61299821634635554768441463668970640798441121427456QAM, 178 is 122599643269271109536882927337941281598882242854912QAM, 179 is 245199286538542219073765854675882563197764485709824QAM, 180 is 490398573077084438147531709351765126395528971419648QAM, 181 is 980797146154168876295063418703530252791057942839296QAM, 182 is 1961594292308337752590126837407060505582115885678592QAM, 183 is 3923188584616675505180253674814121011164231771357184QAM, 184 is 7846377169233351010360507349628242022328463542714368QAM, 185 is 15692754338466702020721014699256484044656927085428736QAM, 186 is 31385508676933404041442029398512968089313854170857472QAM, 187 is 62771017353866808082884058797025936178627708341714944QAM, 188 is 125542034707733616165768117594051875357255416683429888QAM, 189 is 251084069415467232331536235188103750714511093366859776QAM, 190 is 5021681388309344646630724														

1646 Matthew Fischer	23	0.1	25.52.3	57	60	T	Y	57:60:60	25.52.3	V	Simon, Rakesh, Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yau, Jayih, David	16/11/1892	113	Need some normative behavior regarding the use of various access mechanisms that are available to the AP for controlling access to the medium.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:35:52) - Revised – Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution is to specify that STAs should not transmit outside of TWT frames writing trigger-enabled TWT SPs.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
1647 Matthew Fischer	23	0.1	10.44.2	50	65	T	Y	50:65:65	10.44.2	J	Alied, Mat, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayih, Kaijing, Jianko, Jeongli	16/11/1892	113	Allow the AP to specify some subset of STAs that participate in any given unsolicited broadcast TWT.	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:36:02) - Rejected – The TWT element provides the mechanism to select the STAs. A subset selection can be obtained by sending a Trigger frame to that subset of STAs or even by relying on the TWT element that is included in the same beacon.	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
1648 Matthew Fischer	23	0.1	25.52.2.1	57	19	T	Y	57:19:19	25.52.2.1	V	Simon, Rakesh, Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yau, Jayih, David	11-16/09/2023	70	What trigger information in the MAC header?	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20 04:46:56Z) - AI occurrences are now referred to as "L-MU Response Scheduling A-Control enabled" Ttag editor to make the changes shown in 11-16092923	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
1649 Matthew Fischer	23	0.1	10.44.1	49	60	T	Y	49:60:60	10.44.1	V	Alied, Mat, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayih, Kaijing, Jianko, Jeongli	16/11/1892	113	How does an HE STA declare support for TWT scheduled STA operation?	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:36:16Z) - Revised – Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution accounts for the suggested change.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
1650 Matthew Fischer	23	0.1	10.44.1	49	64	T	Y	49:64:64	10.44.1	V	Alied, Mat, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayih, Kaijing, Jianko, Jeongli	16/11/1892	113	Why can an HE STA not operate both as a TWT scheduled STA and using an individual TWT agreement?	10/11/1892 under all headings that include CID 1650. REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:36:31Z) - Revised – A STA that has negotiated individual TWT's need not wake for beacon frames to receive its buffered BUs as it is not required to receive scheduled TWTs. Since it does not wake to receive beacon frames then it does not operate as a TWT scheduled STA. Proposed resolution clarifies this aspect by adding a sentence that TWT frames baseline RS not only apply to a STA that sets up individual TWT agreements.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
1651 Matthew Fischer	23	0.1	10.44.2	48	32	T	Y	48:32:32	10.44.2	V	Alied, Mat, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayih, Kaijing, Jianko, Jeongli	16/11/1892	113	wrong conjunction	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:36:45Z) - Revised – Agree in principle with the comment. Due to the rewording of the subclause as suggested by CID 1799 this paragraph is removed and its technical contents are moved to the next paragraph. The new description resolves this issue as well.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
1652 Matthew Fischer	23	0.1	10.44.2	49	28	T	Y	49:28:28	10.44.2	V	Alied, Mat, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayih, Kaijing, Jianko, Jeongli	16/11/1892	113	Channel selection mechanism for individual TWT is not specified.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:37:01Z) - Revised – Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution is the same as for CIDb 139, and 2399, wherein the selection mechanism enables a device to operate in 20 MHz only during the TWT SPs (in-line with the SFD).	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR

1653 Matthew Fischer	23	0.1	10.44.3	49	39	T	Y	49:39:39	10.44.3	V	Alfred, Matt, Jason, Jayh, Kaiyng, Jianko, Jeongli	16/11/892	113 Incomplete wording.	Change "that is not intended to" to REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:37:12Z) - Revised – any per STA info field and the receiving STA has either not received the TWT frame or has transitioned to the doc state if it receives a Trigger frame from the TWT responding STA, with conditions of the early response, for both individual and broadcast TWTs.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1654 Matthew Fischer	23	0.1	10.44.3	51	1	T	Y	51:01.1	10.44.3	V	Alfred, Matt, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayh, Kaiyng, Jianko, Jeongli	16/11/892	113 Heading is misleading - not really negotiating a TBT, but is in the state of the non-AP STA will wake.	Change the heading - change "Negotiation of TBT and listen interval" to "Wake TBT and listen interval" Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution accounts for the suggested change.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1655 Matthew Fischer	23	0.1	9.4.2:196	1	1	T	Y	26:38.1	9.4.2:196	V	Matt Fischer	16/12/663	119 The table of TWT command values is not clear.	Clarify the relationships among the TWT commands and provide more explanation as to how each one is to be used.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016-09-16 13:34:00Z	EDITOR
1656 Matthew Fischer	23	0.1	10.44.3	51	15	T	Y	51:15.15	10.44.3	V	Alfred, Matt, Jason, Jayh, Kaiyng, Jianko, Jeongli	16/11/892	113 Why is there a condition that couples the listen interval to the wake interval?	Provide a rationale for why the two parameters must have the same value, or delete the requirement that this condition must be met.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1657 Matthew Fischer	23	0.1	10.44.3	51	29	T	Y	51:29.29	10.44.3	V	Alfred, Matt, Jason, Jayh, Kaiyng, Jianko, Jeongli	16/11/892	113 An entire subclause is missing. The PHY preamble is not a STA", hence is a reference to this subclause, but no such subclause.	Provide the missing subclause. The proposed resolution is to add the missing subclause for a TWT scheduled STA.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1658 Matthew Fischer	23	0.1	10.44	48	2	T	Y	48:02.2	10.44	V	Alfred, Matt, Jason, Jayh, Kaiyng, Jianko, Jeongli	16/11/892	113 TWT agreements contain no traffic restrictions. Consider adding them.	Add the ability to restrict traffic when TWT SPs are outside of 14:38:21Z - Revised – TWT SPs by TWT participating STAs.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1659 Ming Gan	23	0.1	26.3.3	75	45	T	Y	75:45.45	26.3.3	V	Xiaogang Chen	11-16/00203	35 PHY Motion #154 (UL pre-HE STF preamble is sent only on the 20MHz CH(s) where the HE modulated fields are located.) was approved but no corresponding spec text is present in the draft	TGx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/00203 under all headings that include CID 1658. Instruction to TGx editor: modify clause 26.3.3 as indicated in the proposed text. The proposed amendment (11-16-00203) under CID 1659.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR

1665	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	25.5,2.3	58	19	T	N	58,19,19	25.5,2.3	V	Simone, Reza, Keshav, Reza, John, John, Yanz, Yanz, Yanz, David	11-16092903	70 – The L_LENGTH parameter shall be set to the value indicated by the L-SIG length field of the exciting Trigger Frame or the Trigger Frame. The L_LENGTH field in MAC header TBD.	Define TBD	EDITOR	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-20 0447:43Z) – All occurrences are now referred to as "L_MU Response Scheduling" and the L_LENGTH field in MAC header is now referred to as "L_MU Response Scheduling" changes shown in 11-16092903	I	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
1666	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,7	86	20	E	N	86,20,20	26.3,7	V	Editor		121 tau_ms not defined	define tau_ms	EDITOR	REVISED (PHY, 2016-09-15 07:52:18Z) – REJECTED (PHY, 2016-09-15 07:52:18Z) – The comment has been resolved, refer to resolution to CID 264	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1667	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,7.1	89	33	E	N	89,33,33	26.3,7.1	Editor			Table 26-9 and 26-10 are not defined in the description of the 108-tone RU case	add table 26-9 and 26-10 to description	EDITOR	REJECTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-11 13:39:54Z) – Comment is not clear why the 108-tone resolution is not used in the description. do.			2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR
1668	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,7.1	90	10	E	N	90,10,10	26.3,7.1	J	Editor		Table 26-7 is not defined in the description of the 108-tone RU case	add Table 26-7 to description	EDITOR	REJECTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-11 13:39:54Z) – Comment is not clear why the 108-tone resolution is not used in the description. do.	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1669	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,7.1	91	8	E	N	91,08,8	26.3,7.1	A	Editor		Table 26-8 is not defined in the description of the 26.3-tone RU case	add table 26-8 to description	EDITOR	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-11 13:17:48Z)	I	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1670	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,7.3	92	34	E	N	93,34,34	26.3,7.3	V	Editor		121 statement "If pilot tones are not defined, the frequency allocation of pilot tones are optional"	clarify statement (as this is optional)?	EDITOR	REVISED (PHY, 2016-09-15 07:36:22Z)	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1671	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,8	94	41	E	N	94,41,41	26.3,8	A	Editor		Text says that Nleg is defined actually defined in Table 26-4	actually defined in Table 26-4	EDITOR	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-11 13:39:02Z)	I	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1672	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,8	96	29	E	N	96,29,29	26.3,8	V	Editor		121 N_Leg parameter is not shown in eqn 25-4 and 25-5 (see 11se eqn 22-13 for example)	Define explicitly N_Leg parameter in table 26-6	EDITOR	REVISED (PHY, 2016-09-15 07:36:06Z)	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1673	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,8	97	40	E	N	97,40,40	26.3,8	Editor		N_SG not defined anywhere in the document	(Highest data subcarrier index per frequency segment) ; see 11se Table 20-6	EDITOR				2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR	
1674	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,8	98	47	E	N	98,47,47	26.3,8	V	Editor		T_GI field is defined in Table 25-3 and not Table 25-2	change reference to Table 25-3	EDITOR	REVISED (EDITOR, 2016-05-11 14:22:21Z) - Table 26-3.	I	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
1675	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,8	98	49	E	N	98,49,49	26.3,8	V	Editor		121 T_CS_HE : table not defined	Define cyclic shift table	EDITOR	REVISED (PHY, 2016-09-15 07:36:41Z)	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1676	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,8	99		T	N	99,00	26.3,8	J	Rui Cao	11-16113623	80 tone rotation for 40 and 80 MHz not defined	define tone rotation for 40 / 80 MHz	EDITOR	REJECTED (PHY, 2016-09-15 21:11:37Z)	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1677	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,9,7	109	25	T	N	109,25,25	26.3,9,7	J	Ross	11-16006103	2 ambiguous statement: "HE-SIG-A1 and HE-SIG-A2 shall have the same data bits"	clarify statement "HE-SIG-A1 and HE-SIG-A2 shall have the same data bits"	EDITOR	REJECTED (PHY, 2016-05-29 07:10:06Z)	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1678	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,9,7	109	26	T	N	109,26,26	26.3,9,7	J	Ross	11-16006103	2 ambiguous statement: "HE-SIG-A1 and HE-SIG-A2 shall have the same data bits"	clarify statement "HE-SIG-A1 and HE-SIG-A2 shall have the same data bits"	EDITOR	REJECTED (PHY, 2016-05-29 07:10:06Z)	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1679	Ogheneleme Oteri	23	0.1	94.2,213	32	19	T	N	32,19,19	9.4,2,213	V	Mark Fischer	16/126693	119 There are only 2 values (1 or 2) for this field signals not needed for RU count per NSS but there are 4 RU allocation indices in table 9-4	clarify how this field signals are different 800 per NSS	EDITOR	REJECTED (MAC, 2016-09-15 09:45:12Z) - However, the editor to make the changes shown in 11-16126693 under the headings full include CID 1679	I	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR

1695	Oghenekome Oteri	23	0.1	25.6	61	39	T	N	61:39:39	25.6	V	Raja, Luen, Nivendar, Ross, Zhou, Kaying	11-16/07/34	95	No details on Feedback for HE sounding protocol	Update draft to reflect March agreements	EDITOR	Revised in MU session and accepted with document revision #4	I	0.3	2016/09/23 16:24	EDITOR
1696	Oghenekome Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,12.3, 2	27	T	N	N	155:27:27	26.3,12.3, 2	V	Sram, Kore, Samir	11-16/11/09/1	88	The feedback report is TBD	Update draft to reflect March agreements	EDITOR	Revised (MU) 2016-09-15 13:23:54Z - REVISED (MAC) 2016-07-23 19:09:24 Z - Agree and as above. [Ad hoc: db editor: Apply the changes listed under CID 1695 in 11-1607734Z]	N	EDITOR	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
1697	Oghenekome Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,12.4, 3	1	E	N	N	155:01:1	26.3,12.4, 3	Editor			The accuracy requirements on these pre-corrections are specified in section x.x.x	define the power boost factor range (TBD)	EDITOR	Resolution already presented in IEEE 802.11-160836r1	I	EDITOR	2016/04/14 17:16	EDITOR	
1698	Oghenekome Oteri	23	0.1	26.3,9.9	17	T	N	N	121:17:17	26.3,9.9	V	Rui Cao	11-16/11/37/3	81	a_j is the power boost factor for the n-th RTT where a_j is range (TBD)	define the power boost factor range (TBD)	EDITOR	Revised (PHY) 2016-09-13 07:07:32Z	I	EDITOR	2016/09-28 23:58:50Z - see #2203	EDITOR
1699	Ogama Aboulnagd	23	0.1		28	G	Y	Y	1:28:28		V	Editor		The title of the amendment doesn't match the title used in the PAR. Using a different title might be more appropriate. It is possible that the amendment potentially give use problems with ReCom later.	Use the title as in the PAR, "High Efficiency WLAN"	EDITOR	Change to as in the resolution of CID 1698 in doc IEEE802.11-214034Z	I	EDITOR	2016-07-07 22:23:00Z - See #1121	EDITOR	
1700	Ogama Aboulnagd	23	0.1			G	Y	Y			V	Oama	11-16/1336/3	128	Annex B (PICS) is missing	Add PICS	EDITOR	Revised (MAC) 2016-11-10 05:59:07 Z Proposed PICS table is in 11-161336/3.	I	EDITOR	2016-11-29 22:12:02Z	EDITOR
1701	Ogama Aboulnagd	23	0.1			G	Y	Y			V	Editor		Many Figures are of very poor quality and are difficult to read.	improve the quality of the Figures	EDITOR	Revised (EDITOR) 2016-07-23 22:23:00Z	I	EDITOR	2016-07-07 22:23:00Z - See #1121	EDITOR	
1702	Ogama Aboulnagd	23	0.1			G	Y	Y			V	Editor		There is nothing in the draft that suggests that the PAR of achieving at least four time improvement in the average station throughput is clause 26.15.1. I don't show the throughput per user and don't support the at least 4 times	Have we failed the scope of the PAR? The amendment show that the PAR has been achieved.	EDITOR	Revised (EDITOR) 2016-07-23 22:23:00Z	I	EDITOR	2016-07-07 22:23:00Z	EDITOR	
1703	Ogama Aboulnagd	23	0.1	Annex G		G	Y	Y			V	Oama		Annex G is missing	The amendment defines sequences that are worth adding in Annex G, e.g. MU-RTS access.	PHY	Annexes			2016/09/23 16:24	MAC	
1704	Ogama Aboulnagd	23	0.1			G	Y	Y			Editor			There are too many TBds.	All TBds need to be closed	EDITOR	EDITOR			2016/4/22 15:55	EDITOR	
1705	Ogama Aboulnagd	23	0.1	3.2	3	4	T	Y	3:04:4	3.2	J	Editor		Clause 3.2 on Definitions is missing	Add Definitions	EDITOR	Revised (EDITOR) 2016-05-14 21:43:46Z - Commenter is not specific as to the problem.	N	EDITOR	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1706	Ogama Aboulnagd	23	0.1	3.4	3	12	T	Y	3:12:12	3.4	Editor			The list of abbreviations is not complete. For instance PPE and PPET are used but I have no idea what it does for. Other terms such as MUA need to be included.	complete the list of abbreviations	EDITOR				2016/03 16:52	EDITOR	
1707	Ogama Aboulnagd	23	0.1	4.3,12a	5	9	T	Y	5:09:9	4.3,12a	V	Oama	11-16/1336/1	128	to be included.	Expanded on HEW-STA features	EDITOR	Revised (MAC) 2016-11-10 05:59:07 Z Proposed PICS table is in 11-161336/3.	I	EDITOR	2016-11-18 21:23:51Z	EDITOR
1708	Ogama Aboulnagd	23	0.1			G	Y	Y			J	Editor		I know I am going to lose this, but I'll try any way. The use of "HEW" is very awkward. HEW stands for High Efficiency WLAN. The 802.11 standard says that HEW is not the WLAN. The "high efficiency" property of the WLAN emerges because it is a particular type of WLAN. It is not appropriate to refer to an HEW STA and not an HEW STA. 2. HEW is not a standard word in some languages.	Use HEW instead of HE	EDITOR	Revised (EDITOR) 2016-04-27 19:05:03Z - Previous use of HEW STA was changed to HEW STA in IEEE 802.11-160836r1.	N	EDITOR	2016/04-27 19:16:25Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	EDITOR	
1709	Ogama Aboulnagd	23	0.1	9.2,4.6.1	12	32	E	Y	12:32:32	9.2,4.6.1	2203	A	Editor	"The HT Control Module is defined in 8.2.4.6.2" and the Aggregated Control subfield is defined in 8.2.4.6.4"	None in no clause 8. I think what is meant is 9.2.4.6.4	EDITOR	Accepted (EDITOR) 2016-04-27 23:58:50Z	I	EDITOR	2016-04-27 23:58:50Z - see #2203	EDITOR	
1710	Ogama Aboulnagd	23	0.1	9.2,4.6.3	12	51	E	Y	12:51:51	9.2,4.6.3	V	Editor		"Insert the following after Figure 14 (MFB subfield in the VHT variant HT Control field"	not sure what is needed to be inserted.	EDITOR	Revised (EDITOR) 2016-04-23 00:36:54Z - Remove sentence	I	EDITOR	2016/04-28 00:36:48Z - see #500	EDITOR	

1711 Osama Aboumagd	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 1	13.15.15	Y	T	Y	13.33.33	9.2.4.6.4. 1	V	Allied, Lwien, 11-16/07980 Jeongli	21 Figure 9-14 needs to be reworked out. The problem is that it implies Control 1, Control 2, etc. are all having length of 1 bit.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08 19:03:45Z)Agrees in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution modifies the figure to remove the ambiguity. In particular, for each Control subfield, the length in bits is provided. Similarly for the Padding subfield.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	I	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
1712 Osama Aboumagd	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 1	13.33.33	Y	T	Y	13.33.33	9.2.4.6.4. 1	J	Allied, Lwien, 11-16/07980 Jeongli	21 Figure 9-14b, it is not clear where to start counting 80, is it from the start of the HT Control field, or somewhere else.	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-08-08 17:59:16Z)Agrees in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution modifies the figure to remove the ambiguity. In addition, the comment is clarified. The length in this is provided. Similarly for the Padding subfield.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	N	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR		
1713 Osama Aboumagd	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 1	13.53.53	Y	T	Y	13.53.53	9.2.4.6.4. 1	V	Allied, Lwien, 11-16/07980 Jeongli	21 There are many TBDs in this clause that makes hard to read. The comment in the subfields will fit in the HEW variant. Ignoring padding there is no sub address for Control subfield. With a type of 4 bits I doubt it will be possible to pack more than two subfields. It may be more efficient to limit the number of control subfields to 1.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08 19:03:45Z)Proposed resolution is to define the TBDs. Once those TBDs are finalized, it is up to the transmitter to decide how many Control subfields can fit in the available space of the HT Control field sent to a STA supporting their resolution.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	I	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
1714 Osama Aboumagd	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 1 2	14.31.31	Y	T	Y	14.31.31	9.2.4.6.4. 2	V	Allied, Lwien, 11-16/07980 Jeongli	20 What units are used for the Length of the UL PDU?	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08 12:00:28Z)Proposed resolution is that the comment of the UL PDU Length as determined by this field's value.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	I	see #1	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1715 Osama Aboumagd	23	0.1 9.3.1.9.7 19 8	19.08.8	Y	T	Y	19.08.8	9.3.1.9.7	J	George Chertin, 11-1607741 Tomoo, Kalying, Xu, Xiangli	60 Currently the number of MDUs is not clear. The size of the Block Ack bitmap (64 bits). With the use of a Block Ack, the number of MDUs in the MPDU is a single A-MPDU is there any limit on the number MPDUs?	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-28 21:34:18Z) - No need to specify this, since the transmitter doesn't know whether the receiver is going to send "Ack" or not. The number of MPDUs that the transmitter puts in the A-MPDU will be based on the block ack format it has negotiated (default, 255, variable etc.).	EDITOR No change to draft	N	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR		
1716 Osama Aboumagd	23	0.1 9.3.1.23 19 61	19.61.61	Y	T	Y	19.61.61	9.3.1.23	J	Lwien, Reza, 11-16/07741 Yimbo, Simone, Evgeny, Po-Kei, Kalying, Kison, Rossi, Zhou	59 "The RA field of the Trigger frame is the address of the recipient STA. It is not clear why the AP would trigger a frame. The RA address should be set to the broadcast address in the same way as in VHT NDPAs.	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-29 19:42:59Z)Agrees in principle with the comment. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	N	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR		
1717 Osama Aboumagd	23	0.1 9.3.1.23 20 19	20.19.19	Y	T	Y	20.19.19	9.3.1.23	J	Lwien, Reza, 11-16/07980 Yimbo, Simone, Evgeny, Po-Kei, Kalying, Kison, Rossi, Zhou	59 I suggest using "Cascade" as the type of the frame to Cascade indication.	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-29 19:42:59Z) - Indicates if there is a subsequent Trigger frame in the TQP.	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	N	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR		
1718 Osama Aboumagd	23	0.1 9.3.1.23 21 30	21.30.30	Y	T	Y	21.30.30	9.3.1.23	V	Lwien, Reza, 11-16/07980 Yimbo, Simone, Evgeny, Po-Kei, Kalying, Kison, Rossi, Zhou	59 What is "Trigger Dependent" as info field in Figure 9-5 is set	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 19:43:12Z) - The Trigger frame is dependent on per user information. Editing instructions are provided from an associated submission applied. Example of a very poor comment: "The comment doesn't address comment. Doesn't identify change).	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	N	2016/9/29 18:37	EDITOR		
1719 Osama Aboumagd	23	0.1 9.3.1.23 21 65	21.65.65	Y	T	Y	21.65.65	9.3.1.23	J	Lwien, Reza, 11-16/07980 Yimbo, Simone, Evgeny, Po-Kei, Kalying, Kison, Rossi, Zhou	"The Padding field." There is no padding field in Figure 9-5 is set	MAC Trigger frame format	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	N	2016/5/17 18:39	EDITOR		

1720 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	56	E	Y	21:56:56	9.3.1.23	Editor	Section XXX	XXX = ?	MAC	Trigger frame format	We generally don't reference the PHY class in the MAC. Rather, we refer to the TXVECTOR parameters. The sentence should say "The PHY does with the trigger-based PPDU response is sent with TXVECTOR parameter DOM as specified in 11-16/12101". What the PHY does with TXVECTOR parameter DOM is specified in 11-16/12101. The PHY class format comment group.	2016/517 18:39	EDITOR	
1721 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	9.4.2.196	26	38	T	Y	26:38:38	9.4.2.196	V	119 It is not clear to me why 11ax should TWT, with no added value in 11ax. The comment of commenters wastes lots of energy answering these comments.	Delete TWT from the amendment and all related sections.	EDITOR	No change to draft	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15: 0946:0422) - The comment fails to identify a technical issue. Please refer to the discussion in the comment. The discussion in the comment discussed this topic in IEEE for the added value: Target Wake Time: op802.11/don12/11-12.0823-0-000ah-targetwake-time.pptx Target Wake Time: op802.11/don15/11-15.0880-0-2-000ah-targetwake-time.pptx https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/15/11-15-0880-0-2-000ah-scheduled-trigger-frames.pptx	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1722 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	9.4.2.196	27	18	E	Y	27:18:18	9.4.2.196	J	The paragraph seems to be contradicting itself. The sentence inserted after Table 9-2481	fix if necessary	EDITOR	No change to draft	REJECTED EDITOR: 2016-04-29 17:19:26Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1723 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	9.4.2.213	30	58	T	Y	30:58:58	9.4.2.213	V	119 What is PPE? And its threshold?	define PPE	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15: 0946:1322) - Revise - agree in principle with the comment - The discussion in the comment discussed this topic in IEEE for the added value: Target Wake Time: op802.11/don12/11-12.0823-0-000ah-targetwake-time.pptx Target Wake Time: op802.11/don15/11-15.0880-0-2-000ah-targetwake-time.pptx https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/15/11-15-0880-0-2-000ah-scheduled-trigger-frames.pptx	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1724 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	9.4.2.213	33	37	T	Y	33:37:37	9.4.2.213	V	119 Table 9ax-15: RU Allocation (Clarification) should be part of part of the Figures shown in this clause. Something is missing but it is missing.	Clarify	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15: 0946:1322) - Revise - agree in principle with the comment - The discussion in the comment discussed this topic in IEEE for the added value: Target Wake Time: op802.11/don12/11-12.0823-0-000ah-targetwake-time.pptx Target Wake Time: op802.11/don15/11-15.0880-0-2-000ah-targetwake-time.pptx https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/15/11-15-0880-0-2-000ah-scheduled-trigger-frames.pptx	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1725 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	9.4.2.213	34	5	T	Y	34:05:5	9.4.2.213	V	119 Table 9ax-16: Same as the previous table, but with its field "Constellation Index encoding".	Clarify	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15: 0946:1322) - Revise - agree in principle with the comment - The discussion in the comment discussed this topic in IEEE for the added value: Target Wake Time: op802.11/don12/11-12.0823-0-000ah-targetwake-time.pptx Target Wake Time: op802.11/don15/11-15.0880-0-2-000ah-targetwake-time.pptx https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/15/11-15-0880-0-2-000ah-scheduled-trigger-frames.pptx	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1726 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	10.3.2.8a	40		T	Y	40:00	10.3.2.8a	Editor	Figure 1 and Figure 2 are not clear. The sentence "The contradiction in the paragraph starting at line 25, Non-HT SFD, should be moved all 20% of a bonded channel. However the statement says the CTS shall be transmitted in the bonded channel. The channels identified in the RU allocation, implying it is not sent on all 20%.	make the Figures clear	EDITOR	Editorials	2016/414 17:16	EDITOR		
1727 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	10.3.2.8a	41	25	T	Y	41:25:25	10.3.2.8a	V	25 There should be a bit of contradiction in the paragraph starting at line 25, Non-HT SFD, should be moved all 20% of a bonded channel. However the statement says the CTS shall be transmitted in the bonded channel. The channels identified in the RU allocation, implying it is not sent on all 20%.	Clarify the apparent contradiction	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	REVISED (MU 2016-07-28: 2231:16Z) TGax editor to make the sentence consistent with all 1608072 under all headings that include CID 1727.	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1728 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	10.3.2.11	42		T	Y	42:06:6	10.3.2.11	V	114 I don't understand DL MU PPDU in SU format. How MU PPDU is mapped to SU format?	Clarify	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14: 1906:092) - Revised - agree in principle with the comment - The discussion in the comment discussed this topic in IEEE for the added value: Target Wake Time: op802.11/don12/11-12.0823-0-000ah-targetwake-time.pptx Target Wake Time: op802.11/don15/11-15.0880-0-2-000ah-targetwake-time.pptx https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/15/11-15-0880-0-2-000ah-scheduled-trigger-frames.pptx	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1729 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	10.3.2.11	42	34	T	Y	42:34:34	10.3.2.11	V	114 This clause seems to address HE DL MU PPDU	replace HE MU PPDU by HE DL MU PPDU	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14: 1906:172) - Revised - agree in principle with the comment - The discussion in the comment discussed this topic in IEEE for the added value: Target Wake Time: op802.11/don12/11-12.0823-0-000ah-targetwake-time.pptx Target Wake Time: op802.11/don15/11-15.0880-0-2-000ah-targetwake-time.pptx https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/15/11-15-0880-0-2-000ah-scheduled-trigger-frames.pptx	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1730 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	10.3.2.11	42	51	T	Y	42:51:51	10.3.2.11	V	114 Figure 10ax-1 Unicast Trigger needs to be defined	define Unicast Trigger	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14: 1908:312) - Revised. See below.	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1731 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	10.3.2.11	42	51	T	Y	42:51:51	10.3.2.11	V	114 Figure 10ax-1 needs further explanation. For example as every rectangle in the DL MU PPDU is a MU PPDU, it consists only of just one MU PPDU and immediate ACKs? The sentence "The same RRs as in the MU PPDU" is not clear.	as in comment	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14: 1908:382) - Revised. See below. TGax editor to make changes as specified in 11-16/12101.	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR

1738 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	10.44.2	49	1	T	Y	48.01.1	10.44.2	V	Alied, Matt, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayih, Kalying, Jankio, Jeongli	16/11/1892	113 A TWT requesting STA, transmitting STA, and STA response to a Trigger frame. Really, just one STA. Why even the need to trigger a single STA.	Clarify	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14: 14.41.432) - Revised – The normative behaviour of multiple STAs responding to a Trigger frame is defined in 25.2.2 (UL MU operation). The intention of this dedicative statement is to provide the details of the behaviour of STAs where such a normative behaviour is defined and not to indicate that the STA is required to make this one STA. In order to make this part clearer, the proposed revision provides some extra details to the dedicative statement and add one note to clarify this part. TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/11/1892 under all headings that include CID 1738.	EDITOR D0.5	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
1739 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	10.44.1	50	15	T	Y	50.15.15	10.44.1	J	Alied, Matt, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayih, Kalying, Jankio, Jeongli	16/11/1892	113 Figure 10-4-10 needs further explanation. For example what is unannounced TWT SP? Is it a TWT SP that is not triggered by PS-Poll frames? Can it trigger other frame types? Can it be used for other frames transmitting in the trigger-enabled TWT SP, which contradicts the definition of the TWT SP. I am not sure why TWT is needed. As I indicated above, the TWT is needed by the AP without the need for this heavy protocol.	Include more discussion of the STA response to a Trigger frame. The figure provides a brief example how broadcast TWT can be set up and how basic trigger frames used to trigger the STA to transmit. The figure can be enriched. For more details on the behaviour please refer to the detailed normative text in the respective TWT subclauses. For benefits of the TWT operation please refer to the operation details in the topic: TargetWakeTime: https://mentor.siemens.com/802.11/doi/15/11-15-0880-02-00ax-schedule-trigger-frames.pptx Scheduled Trigger frames (for example, for the STA) are defined in https://mentor.siemens.com/802.11/doi/15/11-15-0880-02-00ax-schedule-trigger-frames.pptx	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-09-14: 14.42.072) - Rejected – The figure provides a brief example how broadcast TWT can be set up and how basic trigger frames used to trigger the STA to transmit. The figure can be enriched. For more details on the behaviour please refer to the detailed normative text in the respective TWT subclauses. For benefits of the TWT operation please refer to the operation details in the topic: TargetWakeTime: https://mentor.siemens.com/802.11/doi/15/11-15-0880-02-00ax-schedule-trigger-frames.pptx Scheduled Trigger frames (for example, for the STA) are defined in https://mentor.siemens.com/802.11/doi/15/11-15-0880-02-00ax-schedule-trigger-frames.pptx	EDITOR 2016-09-16 11:11.34Z: The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21.05	EDITOR	
1740 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	10.44.1	50	49	T	Y	50.49.49	10.44.1	J	Alied, Matt, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayih, Kalying, Jankio, Jeongli	16/11/1892	113 Is this "TWT SP" or "triggered enabled TWT SP"?	Clarify	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-09-14: 14.48.472) - Rejected – The text is already clear since the qualifier of the "same" TWT SP that precedes this qualifier already states that it is a trigger-enabled TWT. And the "trigger-enabled TWT" is already clear since the TWT scheduling STA that is triggered to transmit the Trigger frames during a trigger-enabled TWT SP shall set the TargetWakeTime of the Trigger frame to 1 to indicate that it will transmit another Trigger frame within the same TWT SP.	EDITOR 2016-09-16 11:11.44Z: The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21.05	EDITOR	
1741 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	10.44.1	50	59	T	Y	50.59.59	10.44.1	V	Alied, Matt, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayih, Kalying, Jankio, Jeongli	16/11/1892	113 Is the intention to specify the first TWT is scheduled? Or the time at which the first TWT is scheduled? Or the behavior at this point?	Clarify the behavior	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14: 14.48.112) - Revised – The first question specifies the time at which the first TWT is scheduled, as stated in the quoted statement, i.e., "The STA shall respond to the TWT is scheduled". And the behaviour related to the transmission of the Trigger frames is defined in 16.4.4.4-50. In order to make this part clearer, the proposed revision is to specify the parameter set.	EDITOR D0.5	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
1742 Osama Aboulmagd	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	19	T	Y	53.19.19	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yunbo, Yoshi, Waming, Yusaku, Jing, Yan	11/18/11062	72 Intra-BSS NAV and Regular NAV need to be defined	As in Comment	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20: 0524.112) - Agree in principle with the description for Intra-BSS NAV and Regular NAV are added to 25.2.2. TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/11/1892 under all headings that include CID 1742.	EDITOR D0.5	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR

1743 Osama Aboulnagd	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	19	T	Y	53.19.19	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Yung Hoon, Yuen, Kison, Yuchi, Xuefei, Jng, Yau	11-16/11062	72 What is the reason for running two NAVs? Does it add any benefit? Add a comment explaining why only a single NAV is usual.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR	2016-09-22 17:07:15Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1744 Osama Aboulnagd	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	36	T	Y	53.39.36	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Yung Hoon, Yuen, Kison, Yuchi, Xuefei, Jng, Yau	11-16/11062	72 What if the value in the value in the SGA field? Which one takes precedence?	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR	2016-09-22 17:07:15Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1745 Osama Aboulnagd	23	0.1	25.4.1	55	56	E	Y	55.55.56	25.4.1	Editor			change "Multi-Sat" to "Multi-STA BA"	MAC	Block-Aut	MAC	2016-05-17 18:42	EDITOR		
1746 Osama Aboulnagd	23	0.1	25.5.2.2	57	39	E	Y	57.39.39	25.5.2.2	1529 A	Editor		change "Common" to "Common"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	EDITOR	2016-05-03 18:33:32Z	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
1747 Osama Aboulnagd	23	0.1	25.5.2.6.1	160	7	E	Y	60.07.7	25.5.2.6.1	V	Editor		OBO and OOW need to be included in the abbreviation change.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	EDITOR	2016-05-03 19:43:33Z	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
1748 Peng Shao	23	0.1	25.9.3	63	57	E	N	63.57.57	25.9.3	2721 V	Editor		"Error! Reference source not found" message was displayed. The HT Control field does not exist.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	EDITOR	2016-05-05 15:20:17Z	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
1749 Peter Loc	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.1	11	4	E	Y	11.04.4	9.2.4.6.1	2373 V	Editor		Change to Table 9-8a	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	EDITOR	2016-04-27 23:37:51Z	0.2	2016/11/22/2017	EDITOR
1750 Peter Loc	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.1	11	6	T	Y	11.06.6	9.2.4.6.1	V	Allied, Lwien, Jeongil	11-16/07960	21 HE field is undefined in the HT Control field	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	EDITOR	2016-09-23 18:11:42Z	0.3	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1751 Peter Loc	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.2	12	17	E	Y	12.17.17	9.2.4.6.2	J	Editor		Wrong reference to UL MU Operation	EDITOR	No change to draft	EDITOR	2016-04-27 23:46:02Z	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1752 Peter Loc	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.2	12	9	E	Y	12.09.9	9.2.4.6.2	J	Editor		Wrong references in the Control ID values Table 9-18a	EDITOR	No change to draft	EDITOR	2016-04-27 23:46:02Z	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1753 Peter Loc	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1	144	12	T	N	44.12.12	25.5.2.2.1	V	Simone, Raketh, Yuen, Kison, Li, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Junchi, David	11-16/09293	70 Trigger frame using the trigger information field in the MAC header. The trigger information field is referenced in many places, making it difficult to follow the functional description.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR	2016-09-23 18:11:42Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1754 Peter Loc	23	0.1	25.10.3	51	20	T	N	51.20.20	25.10.3	Lwien			The statement on line 20, that "An AMPDU with multiple TIDs shall not be transmitted in an SU transmission" should be replaced with the statement on lines 13 to line 16, which allow transmission of AMPDU between the STAs if they are A-MPDUs With Multiple TIDs capable.	MAC	AMPDU	MAC	2016-05-17 18:43	EDITOR		
1755 Peter Loc	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	19	41	T	Y	19.41.41	9.3.1.23		Lwien, Reza, Yuen, Kison, Li, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Junchi, David		The IFS between a trigger frame and UL HE-triggered frame shall be replaced with the IFS according to 25.5.2.3 STA behavior clause.	MAC	Trigger frame format	MAC	2016-05-17 18:38	EDITOR		

1756	Peter Loc	23	0.1	10.24	47	55	T	Y	47:55:55	10.24	V	George Chertin, Tomo, Kaiyong, Deng Yu, XieJie, Reza, Yau	11-16/08/14/1	16/02/93	39 STA's response to an MU-BAR is at SFS, not TBS. SFS after receiving MU-BAR, replace the TBS IFS with SFS	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27) D0.3 Gao Editor 2016-07-27	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-02 2016-08-02	0.3	2016/02/3 18:24	EDITOR
1757	Peter Loc	23	0.1	26.1.1	69	47	T	N	69:47:47	26.1.1	V	Samuel, Bo Sun	11-16/08/14/1	4 If's not clear yet which functions a HE STA will support as mandatory or optional. However, the mandatory implementations on STA that may later support the actual requirement.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29) D0.2 08/07/522 TGao Editor to make the document IEEE 802.11-16814/1 under all headings that include CID 1757	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #351	0.2	2016/11/23/17	EDITOR	
1758	Peter Loc	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	88	51	T	Y	88:51:51	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Shamraz	11-16/06/58/2	9 Error in Table 26-8, RU 5 index. Change RU 5 index to 1-16, 4-16	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29) D0.2 09/01/562 See the resolution on CID 1183	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #1111	0.2	2016/11/23/17	EDITOR	
1759	Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1	25.2.1	39	38	T	N	53:14:38	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yuenko, Kaseon, Wenling, XieJie, Jing, Yau	11-16/11/06/2	72 It is possible that a valid frame in the PSDU of the HE PPDU carries a HE-SIGA in an HE-SIGA in a HE PPDU that carries a PS-Pol frame, the STA shall set the TXVECTOR parameter TXOP_DURATION to all 1s.	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20) D0.5 05/35/382 A STA that transmits an HE SUPPDU or HE MU PPDU may indicate no duration for the HE PPDU by setting the TXVECTOR parameter TXOP_DURATION to all 1s.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1760	Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1	25.2.1	39	32	E	N	39:32:32	25.2.1	Editor			HE-SIGA is required by the PHY rather than by the MAC. Hence, it is not correct to say "A STA receives a valid HE-SIGA in a HE PPDU because Clause 25.1 in MAC specification."	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29) D0.2 09/01/562 See the resolution on CID 1183	EDITOR	Editorials		see #1	2016/04/14 17:16	EDITOR		
1761	Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4. 2	13	5	T	N	13:05:5	9.2.4.6.4. 2	V	Alied, Lwien, Jiang	11-16/7/66/1	20 The RU allocation format in the Trigger Frame has already been decided in March TGax. The RU allocation in UL MU PPDU shall follow the same control around the same format.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08) D0.3 12/07/312X/gao in principle with the comment Proposed resolution is for the same signaling for the USB location of the 80 MHz that is in the same frame as the same signaling DL MU PPDU.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	see #1	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR	
1762	Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4. 2	13	2	T	N	13:02:2	9.2.4.6.4. 2	V	Alied, Lwien, JeongNi	11-16/7/66/1	20 Similar to the length field defined in the comment, the length should indicate the length of the field to 12 bits, extra 3 bits that can be used to allocate more bits for other parameters the proposed resolution is to have the field 5 bits with zero of OFDM symbols.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08) D0.3 12/07/312X/gao in principle with the comment Proposed resolution is for the same signaling for the USB location of the 80 MHz that is in the same frame as the same signaling DL MU PPDU.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	see #1	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR	
1763	Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.2 19	18	E	N	22:49:18	9.3.1.23.2	V	Editor		As mentioned in Page 17 line 25, the Duration is defined in 9.2.5. Hence, there is no need to mention it again in MU-RS Allocation.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08) D0.2 12/07/312X/gao in principle with the comment Proposed resolution is for the same signaling for the USB location of the 80 MHz that is in the same frame as the same signaling DL MU PPDU.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-28 21/04/33Z	0.2	2016/11/23/17	EDITOR		
1764	Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1	9.4.2.214 26	13	T	N	26:13:13	9.4.2.214	V	Matt Fischer, Alied	16/12/66/3	119 The number of bits of BSS color is 6 as defined in HE-SIG-Operation element A.	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-09-23) D0.3 18/42/22Z Added <= comment for context I	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3		EDITOR: 2016-09-23 18/42/22Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:43	EDITOR		

1765 Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1 10.3.2.1.1, 32 4	N	43.06.6	10.3.2.1.1, 4	J.J. Yongho, Jungli	There has been a passed transmission of Multi-STA Block ACK frames (in an OFDMA HE MU Block ACK frame) in response to a HE MU PPDU. The HE MU Block ACK frame may send multiple Multi-STA Block ACK frame in an OFDMA HE MU PPDU. Additional conditions to transmit multiple Block ACK frames in a HE MU PPDU are: - STA Block ACK frames in an OFDMA HE MU PPDU or Multi- STA Block ACK are TBD.	MAC	Block Ack	2016/5/17 18:42 EDITOR
1766 Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1 10.3.2.1.1, 32 4	E	32.15.15	10.3.2.1.1, 4	Editor	SIFS separation for response frames has been agreed.	EDITOR	Editorials	2016/4/14 17:16 EDITOR
1767 Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1 10.7.6.5.2 33	N	45.12.17	10.7.6.5.2	A	HE PPDU to HE Trigger-based PPDU is defined in Clause 26.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2 2016/11/2 20:17 EDITOR
1768 Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1 9.3.2.8a.2 30	T	40.48.13	9.3.2.8a.2	V	25 When RTS is carried in non- CTS or non-HT duplicate format, CTS or non-HT duplicate format response shall be carried in 11- non-HT or non-HT duplicate format. Similar to the CTS response to 11- non-HT or non-HT duplicate format, CTS response shall be carried in 11- non-HT or non-HT duplicate format.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3 2016/9/23 18:24 EDITOR
1769 Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1 10.24.1.0 35	T	47.53.39	10.24.1.0	A	38 SIFS separation for response to Trigger frame has been agreed. Hence, the added sentence is not required anyone.	EDITOR	Editorials	0.3 2016/9/23 18:24 EDITOR
1770 Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1 25.5.1.1 42	E	42.22.22	25.5.1.1		The reference to 25.3.9.3.3 is incorrect. The sentence is no longer needed.	EDITOR	Editorials	2016/4/14 17:16 EDITOR
1771 Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1 25.5.2.1 43	E	43.16.16	25.5.2.1		Two "also" appear in one sentence.	EDITOR	Editorials	2016/4/14 17:16 EDITOR
1772 Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1 25.5.2.1 43	T	57.19.23	25.5.2.1	V	70 UL MU response scheduling is already defined in HE variant HT control in 9.2.4.6.4.2 for the purpose. Hence, "Trigger" information TBD contained in the MAC Header can be omitted. The sentence is not needed.	EDITOR	Editorials	0.5 2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1773 Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1 25.13.1 52	T	52.03.3	25.13.1	J	41 Does AP support reception of HE MU PPDU on the non-AP STA, if the non-AP STA does not support it? In HE MU PPDU, it is impossible for HE MU PPDU to have UL_FLAG equal to 1.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	2016/11/23 2:05 EDITOR
1774 Po-Kai Huang	23	0.1 25.10.2 50	E	64.55.10	25.10.2	A	Two "or" appear in one sentence.	EDITOR	Editorials	0.2 2016/11/2 20:17 EDITOR

1817 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	10.9	45	36	T	Y	45:39:36	10:9	V	Alied, Lwien, Jeongil	16/11/27/0	73 The H+TC-HE Support subfield Define the H+TC-HE Support has not been defined in the HE subfield in the HE Capabilities element.	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-13 10:43:45Z) - Revised comment. Proposed resolution is to define the subfield in the HE Capabilities element.	No change to draft	EDITOR	0.5	2016/9/29 18:37	EDITOR	Not implemented as instructed. See #2207, which makes substantially the same change.
1818 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.3.2	54	44	T	Y	54:44:44	25:3.2	V	Alied, Ming Gan, Mark Reon, Laurent, Woogin, Narendar	16/04/17/0	106 16 may no longer be valid since the bitmap length may vary.	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-13 09:45:50Z) - Revised comment. Proposed resolution is to add the STA in the HE Capabilities element.	EDITOR	D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	see also #2208
1819 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.3.3	55	1	E	Y	55:01:1	25:3.3	2318 A	Editor		Incorrect reference: 9.6 (Dissemination) should be 10.6 (Dissemination)	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-03 17:17:54Z)	EDITOR	D0.2	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	EDITOR, 2016-05-03 17:18:02Z - see #2218
1820 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.3.3	55	12	E	Y	55:12:12	25:3.3	V	Editor		The "AMPDU" should be "in AMPDU"	REVISED (EDITOR, 2016-05-03 17:20:57Z) - to "in AMPDU" to solicit an immediate response (two are)	EDITOR	D0.2	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	EDITOR, 2016-05-03 17:19:35Z
1821 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.3.3	55	12	E	Y	55:12:12	25:3.3	Editor			I believe the HE STA referred here is the receiver STA but it is not clear which STA is being referred to by this sentence "The receiver STA's HE Capabilities element is 'Z'".	Change "is sent by an HE STA" to "is sent by an HE STA whose HE Capabilities element is 'Z'"	MAC	Fragmental on	2016/5/17 18:34	EDITOR	Editor: this corrected sentence points to a more fundamental problem. The problem: each level of fragmentation support is essentially a different fragmentation procedure. So the receiving STA is responding a certain way because it is operating under a particular block and not because it has put a certain value in its fragmentation support subfield.	
1822 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.3.3	55	24	E	Y	55:24:24	25:3.3	Editor			I believe the HE STA referred to in the sentence "is not clear which STA is being referred to by this sentence" is sent by an HE STA whose HE Capabilities element is "3".	Change "is sent by an HE STA" to "is sent by an HE STA whose HE Capabilities element is '3'"	MAC	Fragmental on	2016/5/17 18:34	EDITOR	See #1821	
1823 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.3.3	55	30	T	Y	55:30:30	25:3.3	V	Alied, Ming Gan, Mark Reon, Laurent, Woogin, Narendar	16/04/17/0	106 Since some of the bits of the fragment number subfield may be used for other purposes (for used to indicate use of dynamic fragmentation), it is incorrect to say "fragment number subfield is 1".	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-13 09:48:00Z) - Revised comment. Proposed resolution is inline with the suggested change.	EDITOR	D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	see also #2208
1824 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.3.3	55	35	T	Y	55:35:35	25:3.3	V	Alied, Ming Gan, Mark Reon, Laurent, Woogin, Narendar	16/04/17/0	106 Calculation of B as shown may not be correct, since the fragment number subfield and the Sequence Number subfield may not be contiguous. Also 14 bits of SC numbers 0 to 3.	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-13 09:48:38Z) - Revised comment. Proposed resolution is inline with the suggested change.	EDITOR	D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	see also #2208
1825 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.5.2.2	57	39	E	Y	57:39:39	25:5.2.2	1829 A	Editor		Type Common should be Common	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-03 18:32:44Z)	EDITOR	D0.2	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	EDITOR, 2016-05-03 18:32:45Z - see #1829
1826 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.5.2.2	57	60	E	Y	57:60:60	25:5.2.2	2824 A	Editor		Grammar: "... unless it is explicitly the referred TXVECTOR parameters to Table 26-1."	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-03 18:32:44Z)	EDITOR	D0.2	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	EDITOR, 2016-05-03 18:32:45Z - see #1829
1827 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	17	E	Y	58:17:17	25:5.2.3	V	Ke Yuo	11/16/14/80	125 None of the referred TXVECTOR parameters exist in Table 26: TXVECTOR and RXVECTOR parameters.	REVISED (PHY, 2016-11-08 02:03:37Z)	EDITOR	D1.0	1	2016/11/29 23:45	EDITOR	EDITOR, 2016-11-22 20:34:57Z
1828 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	19	T	Y	58:19:19	25:5.2.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Kwon, Lei, Tomo, John Yoon, Anton, Junchi, David	11/16/00/293	70 L-SIG Length field is not correct	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-20 04:50:18Z) - Change the TXVECTOR parameter's settings	EDITOR	D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	EDITOR, 2016-05-06 16:52:57Z - see #1774
1829 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	37	E	Y	58:37:37	25:5.2.3	1774 A	Editor		The referenced sub-section 10.42.2.1.2 does not exist.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-05 16:57:11Z)	EDITOR	D0.2	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	EDITOR, 2016-05-06 16:57:15Z - see #1897
1830 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.10.2	64	55	E	Y	64:55:55	25:10.2	1597 A	Editor		Type: as determined	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-05 21:01:44Z)	EDITOR	D0.2	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	EDITOR, 2016-05-05 19:47:58Z - see #1029
1831 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	25.12	66	26	E	Y	66:26:26	25:12	1597 A	Editor		This and the next eight lines are repeat of the earlier nine lines.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-05 21:01:44Z)	EDITOR	D0.2	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	EDITOR, 2016-05-05 19:47:58Z - see #1029
1832 Rojan Chitrakar	23	0.1	26.2.2	72	37	E	Y	72:37:37	26:2.2	1029 A	Editor									

1854	Sanneer Vermani	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	90	T	N	90.00	26.3.7.1	J	Jinsoo, Sharmaz	Joint Motion 8 in March 2016 (motion related to RUs not allowed to be assigned to 20 MHz) was approved but no corresponding spec text is present in the draft	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-07 EDITOR 1542-32Z) - Comment withdrawn	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-29 18:42:41Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05 EDITOR	
1855	Sanneer Vermani	23	0.1	26.3.8	96	E	N	96.00	26.3.8	A	Editor	For in Figure 26-21 need to be fixed	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-19 2214-24Z - see #899	EDITOR: 2016-05-19 2214-24Z - see #899	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-19 2214-24Z - see #899	2016/11/22 20:17 EDITOR	
1856	Sanneer Vermani	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	132	E	N	132.00	26.3.10.1	V	Editor	"Equation (25-57) should refer to the appropriate equation number	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-07-16 1632-10Z	EDITOR: 2016-07-08 1632:10Z	I	EDITOR: 2016-07-08 1632:10Z	2016/11/22 20:17 EDITOR	
1857	Sanneer Vermani	23	0.1	26.3.10.2	134	T	Y	134.00	26.3.10.2	V	Rui Cao	37 Please update Table 26-22	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:39:36Z)	EDITOR: 2016-08-05 18:49:27Z	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-05 18:49:27Z	2016/9/23 18:24 EDITOR	
1858	Sanneer Vermani	23	0.1	26.3.10.7	142	E	N	142.00	26.3.10.7	Editor	Editor	Should delete from "Editor's Note" to Table 25-54	Change to as in the resolution of CID1857 in doc IEEE802.11-16053177.	EDITOR: Editorials	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:20:26Z	2016/4/14 17:16 EDITOR	
1859	Sanneer Vermani	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	144	E	N	144.00	26.3.10.1	A	Editor	Should delete "The LDPC tone is used for the LDPC tone" defined in Table 26-24 (BCC interleaver and LDPC tone mapper parameter)	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:16:57Z)	EDITOR: Editor	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:19:00Z	2016/11/22 20:17 EDITOR	
1860	Sanneer Vermani	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	144	E	N	144.00	26.3.10.1	A	Editor	"in LDCH" to use sub-script	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-23 07:01:42Z)	EDITOR: Editor	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 22:24:19Z	2016/9/23 18:38 EDITOR	
1861	Sanneer Vermani	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	144	T	Y	144.00	26.3.10.1	V	Sanneer, Tianyu Wu	87 LDPC tone mapper for DCM is not consistent with PHY Motion 130 passed in Jan 2016	TGax Editor to make the changes shown in IEEE 802.11-1611761 under all headings that include CID 1861	EDITOR: Editor	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-09 19:45:00Z - see #507	2016/9/23 18:38 EDITOR	
1862	Sanneer Vermani	23	0.1	26.3.12.3	155	T	Y	155.00	26.3.12.3	V	Sriam, Kome, Sanneer	54 PHY Motions 38, 101, 102 and 2016 were approved but no corresponding spec text is present in the draft	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 19:27:32Z)	EDITOR: Editor	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-09 19:45:00Z - see #507	2016/9/23 18:38 EDITOR	
1863	Sanneer Vermani	23	0.1	26.3.12.3	155	E	Y	155.00	26.3.12.3	V	Editor	Should remove "VHT" from section title	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:29:33Z) - Change to "VHT MU PPDU" to "VHT MU PPDU"	EDITOR: Editor	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:27:04Z	2016/11/22 20:17 EDITOR	
1864	Sanneer Vermani	23	0.1	26.1.1	60	58	T	Y	60.58:58	26.1.1	V	Lochan Verna	125 Add 1x LTF + 16 us CP as a mandatory mode	REVISED (PHY: 2016-11-07 08:19:36Z)	EDITOR: Editor	I	EDITOR: 2016-11-18 17:41:22Z	2016/11/29 22:43 EDITOR
1865	Sanneer Vermani	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	129	38	T	Y	129.38:38	26.3.9.10	V	Ming Gan	116 Clarify the mapping of channels. Is 80MHz primary sequence mapped to primary channel even it is the "higher frequency?"	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-15 11:31:15Z)	EDITOR: Editor	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 17:42:42Z	2016/9/23 18:38 EDITOR
1866	Sanneer Vermani	23	0.1	26.3.10.7		T	Y	144.17	26.3.10.7	V	Dawson, Tianyu Wu	14 in Table 26-26, 26d6 for table 26-26, needs to be handled in a note, we might need to say "in the future, depending on a per 80MHz basis."	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:48:01Z)	EDITOR: Editor	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 17:13:05Z	2016/11/22 20:17 EDITOR	
1867	Shuaku Shimada	23	0.1	26.3.10.8	143	37	T	Y	143.37:37	26.3.10.8	V	Sriam, Tianyu Wu	55 Consider DCM as a spreading mechanism, and then introduce appropriate phase alternate inversion, i.e. p ₁ and p ₂ to the overall peak to average ratio.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 23:27:03Z)	EDITOR: Editor	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 18:50:53Z - see #442	2016/9/23 18:38 EDITOR
1868	Shuaku Shimada	23	0.1	26.5.1	160	48	T	Y	160.48:48	26.5	V	Shuaku Shimada	Introduce BPSK with a time domain repetition (BPSK-rep2), of Nseq1, and at least 26 tone repetitions as in IEEE802.11n.	EDITOR: Editor	Need Proposal			2016/11/14 0:58 PHY
The commentor withdrew this CID by email on Nov.1																		

The commentor withdrew this CID by email on Nov 1

1884 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	94.2.213	32	6	T	N	32.06.6	9.4.2.213	J	Matt Fischer	16/12/2063	119 What is the meaning of "MI"? Replace "MI" with "Count"	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 0948332Z - TGAx editor to make the changes has a meaning, although the implied meaning is "minus 1" - the "minus" part of the count would create confusion, because the resulting field name would be NSS count, but the "minus" part of the count would not be the NSS count, but one less than the value of the NSS count, hence the current name of "NSS MI".	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-19 1740182Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05 EDITOR	
1885 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	94.2.213	32	19	T	N	32.19.19	9.4.2.213	V	Matt Fischer	16/12/2063	119 Change "RU allocation values" "RU allocation" is used to "RU sizes"	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 0948332Z - Revise - formal change changed entirely to a blamk, so the problem is resolved in a different manner - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD	Edited in D0.5	I	See #200	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1886 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	94.2.213	32	20	T	N	32.20.20	9.4.2.213	V	Matt Fischer	16/12/2063	119 Are two values enough for the field "RU Count"?	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 0948592Z - Revise - there are now four values - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD	Edited in D0.5	I	See #200	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1887 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	94.2.213	32	30	T	N	32.30.30	9.4.2.213	V	Matt Fischer	16/12/2063	119 Which values of NSS MI and Run are to be included in the PPE Thresholds Info Field?	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 0948592Z - Revise - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD	Edited in D0.5	I	See #200	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1888 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	94.2.213	32	39	T	N	32.39.39	9.4.2.213	V	Matt Fischer	16/12/2063	119 What is the format of "Constellation Index Value"? Add reference to Table 3a-16 if appropriate.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 0948592Z - Revise - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD	Edited in D0.5	I	See #200	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1889 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	94.2.213	32	45	T	N	32.45.45	9.4.2.213	V	Matt Fischer	16/12/2063	119 Elsewhere "minimum duration of the post-FEC padding and packet extension for HE acknowledgement" is replaced with "maximum PE duration" (see e.g. page 152)	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 0948592Z - Revise - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD	Edited in D0.5	I	See #200	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1890 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	94.2.213	32	45	T	N	32.46.45	9.4.2.213	A	Matt Fischer	16/12/2063	119 "combined to encoder" should be "combined to transmitter"	ACCEPTED (MAC: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 150949.28Z - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD	Edited in D0.5	I	See #200	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1891 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	94.2.213	32	46	T	N	32.46.46	9.4.2.213	A	Matt Fischer	16/12/2063	119 "Implicitly" for values of NSS and RU not explicitly indicated in the field - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD If there is an implicit assumption about how missing values are to be interpreted, this should be clarified.	ACCEPTED (MAC: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 150949.32Z - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD	Edited in D0.5	I	See #200	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1892 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	94.2.213	33	10	T	N	33.10.10	9.4.2.213	A	Matt Fischer	16/12/2063	119 Replace "minimum duration of the post-FEC padding and packet extension for HE acknowledgement" with PPDU's" with "maximum PE duration"	ACCEPTED (MAC: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 150949.32Z - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD	Edited in D0.5	I	See #200	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1893 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	94.2.213	33	31	T	N	33.31.31	9.4.2.213	A	Matt Fischer	16/12/2063	119 "RU allocation" should be "RU size"	ACCEPTED (MAC: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 150950.07Z - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD	Edited in D0.5	I	See #200	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1894 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	94.2.213	33	34	T	N	33.34.34	9.4.2.213	A	Matt Fischer	16/12/2063	119 Where are the "RU allocation values" indicated or indicated?	ACCEPTED (MAC: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 150950.15Z - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD	Edited in D0.5	I	See #200	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1895 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	94.2.213	34	32	E	N	34.32.32	9.4.2.213	A	Editor		Replace "quantity of octets" with "number of octets"	EDITOR: 2016-09-14-29 21:23:28Z	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-29 21:23:28Z	0.2	2016/11/22 0:17 EDITOR	
1896 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	94.2.213	34	35	T	N	34.35.35	9.4.2.213	A	Matt Fischer	16/12/2063	119 The paragraph starting at line 35 appears out of place	ACCEPTED (MAC: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 150950.22Z - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-19 1740452Z - see #200	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1897 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	13.3.2.11.42	2	6	T	N	42.06.6	10.3.2.11.42	V	J.J. Yongho, Junchi, Jeongil	16/12/101	114 "MU acknowledgement procedure" is confusing because the procedure creates the impression that both the PPDU and the acknowledgement are in MU. The field for the acknowledgement is in SU.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14) EDITOR 150950.52Z - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD	Edited in D0.5	I	see #410	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1898 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	13.3.2.11.42	2	34	T	N	42.34.34	10.3.2.11.42	V	J.J. Yongho, Junchi, Jeongil	16/12/101	114 Title is confusing because the procedure creates the impression that both the PPDU and the acknowledgement are in MU. The field for the acknowledgement is in SU.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14) EDITOR 150950.52Z - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/2063 under all headings that include OD	Edited in D0.5	I	see #410	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
1899 Sgard Schelstraete	23	0.1	13.3.2.11.43	2	6	T	N	43.06.6	10.3.2.11.43	A	J.J. Yongho, Junchi, Jeongil	16/12/101	114 Title is confusing because the procedure creates the impression that both the PPDU and the acknowledgement are in MU. The field for the acknowledgement is in SU.	ACCEPTED (MAC: 2016-09-14) EDITOR 141907:12Z - TGAx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/12/101.	Edited in D0.5	I	see #410	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR

1900	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.4.1	55	56	T	N	55:56:56	25.4.1	V	George Chenlin, Tomoo, Kison, Lei, Ding Yu, Xiaodi, Reza, Yusuke, Kison	11-16/10263	68 Missing word?	Replaces "An HE STA that supports Multi-STA BA shall examine each received Multi-STA BA with the HE STA that sent it." with "An HE STA that supports Multi-STA BA shall examine each received Multi-STA BA sent by an STA ...". TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/10263 under all headings	REVISED (MAC 2016-05-13 10:19:12Z) - REVISED Agree in principle. See changes in 11-16/10263. Also added Editors note.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1901	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.5.1.1	56	17	T	N	56:17:17	25.5.1.1	V	Simone, Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16/03003	69 Missing subfield in HE Capabilities element	Text refers to "UL OFDMA Capabilities element". No such subfield is currently defined. Add appropriate subfield in HE Capabilities element.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-15 14:25:52Z) Editor's note. Removed sentence "TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/03003"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1902	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.5.1.1	56	22	T	N	56:22:22	25.5.1.1	V	Simone, Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Junchi, David	11-16/03003	69 Missing subfield in HE Capabilities element	Text refers to "UL MU MMIO Capabilities element". No such subfield is currently defined. Add appropriate subfield in HE Capabilities element.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-15 14:26:29Z) Editor's note. Removed sentence "TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/03003"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1903	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.5.1.1	56	26	T	N	56:26:26	25.5.1.1	V	David Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16/03003	69 "half end at the same time" is ambiguous	There is only one transmission even if the PPDU format is MU. 14:27:02Z	REVISED (MU 2016-09-15 14:27:02Z) Editor's note. Removed sentence "TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/03003"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1904	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.5.2.1	56	56	T	N	56:56:56	25.5.2.1	V	Simone, Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16/02693	70 Missing subfield in HE Capabilities element	Text refers to "UL MU OFDMA Capabilities element". No such subfield is currently defined. Add appropriate subfield in HE Capabilities element.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20 04:51:19Z) Editor's note. Removed sentence "TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/02693".	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1905	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.5.2.1	56	59	T	N	56:59:59	25.5.2.1	V	David Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16/03003	70 Missing subfield in HE Capabilities element	Text refers to "UL MU MMIO Capabilities element". No such subfield is currently defined. Add appropriate subfield in HE Capabilities element.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20 04:52:04Z) Editor's note. Defined in doc 930	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1906	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.5.2.1	57	6	T	N	57:06:6	25.5.2.2.1	V	Simone, Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16/02693	70 Is it allowed for a STA to send a trigger frame?	Clarify	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20 04:53:18Z) Editor's note. Removed sentence "TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/02693"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1907	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.5.2.2	57	39	E	N	57:39:39	25.5.2.2	15/29 A	David Editor		Type: Common -> Common	See comment	ACCEPTED (EDITOR 2016-05-04 20:46:13Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
1908	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.5.2.3	57	60	E	N	57:60:60	25.5.2.3	20/24 A	Editor		Type	Replace "unless it is" with "unless it is not".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR 2016-05-04 20:46:13Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
1909	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.5.2.3	58	19	T	N	58:19:19	25.5.2.3	V	Simone, Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Junchi, David	11-16/02693	70 The first bullet states that the L_LENGTH of the frame responding to the Trigger frame should be the same as the L_LENGTH of the trigger frame. The L_LENGTH value determines the length of the transmission. It's unclear that both frames will have the same length. Doing this as written would incur 100% overhead for every trigger-based PPDU.	Text refers to "UL MU OFDMA Capabilities element". No such subfield is currently defined. Add appropriate subfield in HE Capabilities element.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20 04:53:52Z) Editor's note. Removed sentence "TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/02693"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1910	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.5.2.3	58	20	T	N	58:20:20	25.5.2.3	V	Simone, Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Junchi	11-16/02693	70 TBD	Define	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20 04:54:30Z) Editor's note. Removed sentence "TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/02693"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1911	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.5.2.3	58	24	T	N	58:24:24	25.5.2.3	V	Simone, Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16/02693	70 What is SIG-A_COUNT?	Define	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20 04:55:03Z) Editor's note. Removed sentence "TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/02693"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1912	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.5.2.3	58	35	T	N	58:35:35	25.5.2.3	V	David Reza, Kison, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junchi, David	11-16/02693	70 TBD	Define	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20 04:55:32Z) Editor's note. Removed sentence "TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/02693"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1913	Sigurd Schellströme	23	0.1 25.5.2.6	59	60	T	N	59:60:60	25.5.2.6	V	Chito, Kison, Reza, Stephane Braon, Yong Gan, Yunchi	11-16/12221	101 TBD parameter	Define	REVISED (MU 2016-09-21 04:51:22Z) Editor's note. Removed sentence "TGax Editor to make the changes as in the doc 11-16/12221"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
																				see #450	

1914 Sguard Schellstaete	23	0.1	25.5,2.6.1	60	8	T	N	60.08.8	25.5,2.6.1	V	Chito, Kison, Yunoo, Reza, Shapane, Baron, Yong, Gan, Yuchi	11-16/12221	101 TBD	Define	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-21 0431:53Z) TGax Editor to make the changes as in the doc 11- 16/12221	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #450	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
1915 Sguard Schellstaete	23	0.1	25.6	61	28	T	N	61.28.28	25.6	V	Raja, Lwin, Narendar, Rosa, Zhou, Kaiying	11-16/07734	95 Change "NDP Announcement frame" to "HE NDP Announcement frame"	See comment	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15 2016-07-29 10:08:42Z) - Proposed resolution accounts for the suggested change. Note: There has to be somewhere a statement that define the HE NDP Announcement as an HE variant VHT NDP announcement frame. [Ad hoc db editor: Apply the changes listed under CID1915 in 11-16/07734.]	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	Revised in MU session and accepted with document revision #4	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR
1916 Sguard Schellstaete	23	0.1	25.6	61	29	T	N	61.29.29	25.6	V	Raja, Lwin, Narendar, Rosa, Zhou, Kaiying	11-16/07734	95 Why (TBD)?	See comment	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-15 1317/2020 - REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 10:09:07Z) - The TBDs in section 25.6 have been addressed. [Ad hoc editor: Apply the changes listed under CID1916 (or 12227) in 11-16/07734.]	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR, 2016-08-03 changes listed under #1916 or #1222 in cited doc.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1917 Sguard Schellstaete	23	0.1	25.6	61	31	T	N	61.31.31	25.6	V	Raja, Lwin, Narendar, Rosa, Zhou, Kaiying	11-16/13570	127 Reference to undefined field (The UL MU Capable field of the most recently received HE Capabilities element")	Add field to HE Capabilities element	REVISED (MAC, 2016-11-12 0155/072)Agree in principle with the comment. The sentence is part of the old draft D0.1 that survived removal during the comment out by "Editor's Note: Editing instructions in 16/7342 do not apply to the new sub-clause. I'll leave it there until the group decides what they want to do with it. The proposed resolution is to remove that paragraph as the description of the sounding protocol starts from subclause 25.6.1 (General).	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	see #569	1	2016/11/29 22:43	EDITOR
1918 Sguard Schellstaete	23	0.1	25.9,3	63	57	T	N	63.57.57	25.9,3		Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosa, Junchi, Xiaoli, Jing, Georgi, Jing, Yuchi, Gwen, Georgiang, Yasuke, Yuchi, James, Yongho, Laurent Allied		Wrong reference	Fix reference	TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16- 13570 under all headings that include CID 1917.	SR	Spatial Reuse		2016/5/17 16:39	EDITOR		
1919 Sguard Schellstaete	23	0.1	25.11	65	18	T	N	65.18.18	25.11	J	Yongho, Allied	11-16/8623	44 Why is this section on TXVECTOR parameters in clause 25?	TXVECTOR is defined in 26.2.2. This text probably belongs there.	REJECTED (MAC, 2016-07-27) 2253/0320 - Since TXVECTOR parameter is not used in the sublayer, clause 25 is right. (Also refer 10.20 Group ID and partial AID in VHT PPDU)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1920 Sguard Schellstaete	23	0.1	25.11	65	27	T	N	65.27.27	25.11	V	Yongho, Allied	11-16/13521	129 Bullet list describes a number of cases where the RU is intended for a group of STAs. However, it does not seem to cover the case where the HE MU PPDU is intended for a group consists of a selection of STAs from the BSS.	Describe how to configure HE MU PPDU for multiple STAs. ID_LIST for cases where the RU is intended for multiple STAs in the HE MU PPDU for multiple STAs (but not all STAs from the BSS). But, it is required to only one M-BA frame transmission in the HE MU PPDU submission. Please refer the following https://inventor.see.org/802.11/ 2016/11/16/13520000-consultation- 04.htm#the-transmission.pptx	REVISED (MAC, 2016-11-08) 1317/2020 - REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29) 1608/0734 transmit a group addressed M- BA in the HE MU PPDU for multiple STAs (but not all STAs from the BSS). But, it is required to only one M-BA frame transmission in the HE MU PPDU submission. Please refer the following https://inventor.see.org/802.11/ 2016/11/16/13520000-consultation- 04.htm#the-transmission.pptx	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	EDITOR, 2016-11-18 2253.35Z	1	2016/11/29 22:43	EDITOR

1921 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	25.12	66	20	T	N	66:20:20	25.12		Use consistent naming	Replace "minimum duration of the pre-FEC padding and PPOU" with "maximum PE duration".	EDITOR	2016/4/13 3:32	EDITOR
1920 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.1.1	69	35	T	N	69:35:35	26.1.1	V	4 Use microseconds for consistency with symbol durations	REUSED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:38:58Z)	EDITOR	2016-06-01 17:59:57Z	EDITOR
1923 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.2.2	72	14	T	N	72:14:14	26.2.2	V	27 Definition of TXVECTOR and RXVECTOR parameters is missing from this table. Very incomplete	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-26 00:41:42Z)	EDITOR	2016-06-04 20:27:51Z	EDITOR
1924 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.2.2	72	37	E	N	72:37:37	26.2.2	1029 A	Text Duplication	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 19:47:11Z)	EDITOR	2016-05-05 19:47:16Z	EDITOR
1925 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.2.2	72	55	T	N	72:55:55	26.2.2	V	11 Describe concept of Beam Change	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:51:27Z)	EDITOR	2016-06-01 18:37:10Z	EDITOR
1926 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.2	74	7	E	N	74:07:7	26.3.2	J	Figures are using different scales.	REJECTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:30:35Z) - Accurate scale is not practical and not consistent with the use of the variable length fields.	EDITOR	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR
1927 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.2	74	25	T	N	74:25:25	26.3.2	J	79 "HE extended range SU PPOU" should be "HE trigger-based PPOU"	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-06-13 21:00:07Z)	EDITOR	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR
1928 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.2	75	6	E	N	75:06:6	26.3.2	2343 V	Row labeled "Data" in Table 8-2C is duplicated	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:33:52Z) - see #2043	EDITOR	2016-05-05 20:34:04Z	EDITOR
1929 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.2	75	20	T	N	75:20:20	26.3.2	V	80 "HE extended range SU PPOU" should be "HE trigger-based PPOU"	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-21 19:59:21Z)	EDITOR	2016-05-21 19:59:09Z	EDITOR
1930 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.2	75	37	T	N	75:37:37	26.3.2	J	37 "The presence and duration of PE are TBD"	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:07:54Z)	EDITOR	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR
1931 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.3	78	25	T	N	78:25:25	26.3.3	J	13 HE-SIG-B does not have pre-FEC padding	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-06-29 09:35:17Z)	EDITOR	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR
1932 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.4	82	1	T	N	82:01:1	26.3.4	V	125 Empty section	REVISED (PHY: 2016-11-07 08:06:27Z)	EDITOR	2016-11-18 19:51:16Z	EDITOR
1933 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.5	82	6	T	N	82:06:6	26.3.5	V	10 Rewrite first sentence for clarity	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:16:58Z)	EDITOR	2016-06-01 23:25:55Z	EDITOR
1934 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.6	82	42	T	N	82:42:42	26.3.6	V	7 There is no definition of "pre-HE portion"	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:36:35Z)	EDITOR	2016-06-02 16:46:14Z	EDITOR

1949 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7	87	33	T	N	87.33.33	26.3.7	A	Jinsoo, Sharmaz	11-16/12272	117	Clarify sentence	Replace "Using subchannelization, an OFDMA system can potentially allocate different transmit powers to different subcarriers, with "An allocation of subcarriers" as a placeholder for different transmit powers to different subcarriers."	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 1140.36Z	EDITOR 2016-09-26 16.13.25Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1950 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7	87	36	T	N	87.36.36	26.3.7	V	Jinsoo, Sharmaz	11-16/12272	117	remove paragraph	The lines 26-44 are needlessly broad and contain parameters that are not used anywhere else. Remove these lines.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-15) EDITOR 1141.03Z	EDITOR 2016-09-26 16:47.39Z - see #2526	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	
1951 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	87	55	T	N	87.55.55	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Sharmaz	11-16/05592	9	Definition of edge tones	Lines 26...35 are not part of the paragraph which starts at line 36. Removed lines 36-44. It is also indicated in the resolution for CID 2508 in IEEE 802.11-16/2272z.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29) EDITOR 0905.33Z	EDITOR 2016-06-02 21:51.51Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR	
1952 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	87	55	T	N	87.55.55	26.3.7.1	J	Jinsoo, Sharmaz	11-16/05592	9	Replace "resource unit" with "data and pilot tones"	See comment	Modified the original text by the suggested remedy (as shown in 802.11-16/05592z).	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-05-29) EDITOR 0903.33Z	No changes to draft	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1953 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	87	57	T	N	87.57.57	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Sharmaz	11-16/05592	9	Change wording	"RU" is already used in a lot of other PHY subsections and this subsection represents the description of the structure for resource unit (RU), and pilot tones are specifically described in other subsection 26.3.7.3. It's not critically seen we need to change the title here, so the recommendation is to keep the "resource unit" in the title as it is	Change "The OFDMA structure consists of" with "HE defines the following resource units." Modify the original text by the suggested remedy (as shown in 802.11-16/05592z).	EDITOR 2016-05-29 08:49.15Z	See #353	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR
1954 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	88	1	T	N	88.01.1	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Sharmaz	11-16/05592	9	242-RU is also used in 20 MHz	Replace "40 MHz, 80 MHz, 160 MHz and 80+80 MHz OFDMA and MU-MIMO HE PPDUs" with "40 MHz, 80 MHz, 160 MHz and 80+80 MHz OFDMA and MU-MIMO HE PPDUs". This is consistent with e.g. Table 26-9.	9242-REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29) EDITOR 0837.14Z - Modify the original text by the suggested remedy (as shown in 802.11-16/05592z).	See #688	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR	
1955 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	88	2	T	N	88.02.2	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Sharmaz	11-16/05592	9	484-RU is also used in 40 MHz	Replace "80 MHz, 160 MHz and 80+80 MHz OFDMA and MU-MIMO HE PPDUs" with "80 MHz, 160 MHz and 80+80 MHz OFDMA and MU-MIMO HE PPDUs". This is consistent with e.g. Table 26-9.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29) EDITOR 0857.19Z - Modify the original text by the suggested remedy (as shown in 802.11-16/05592z).	See #888	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR	
1956 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	88	4	T	N	88.04.4	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Sharmaz	11-16/05592	9	996-RU is also used in 80 MHz	Replace "160 MHz and 80+80 MHz OFDMA and MU-MIMO HE PPDUs" with "160 MHz and 80+80 MHz OFDMA and MU-MIMO HE PPDUs". This is consistent with e.g. Table 26-9.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29) EDITOR 0857.25Z - Modify the original text by the suggested remedy (as shown in 802.11-16/05592z).	See #888	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR	
1957 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	90	31	E	N	90.31.31	26.3.7.1	A	Editor		typo	Change "position of the pilots (.)" to "positions of the pilots (.)" are	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 13:43.16Z)	EDITOR 2016-05-11 13:43.14Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR		
1958 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	90	32	E	N	90.32.32	26.3.7.1	V	Editor		Add reference to Table 26-9 and 26-10	Current text reads "The location of the 26-subcarrier pilots is defined in Table 26-9 and Table 26-10. This table only applies to 20 MHz."	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 13:46.22Z) - Change to "The location of the 26-subcarrier pilots is defined in Table 26-9 and Table 26-10 and applies to 20 MHz."	EDITOR 2016-05-11 13:47.02Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR		
1959 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	91	28	E	N	91.28.28	26.3.7.1	A	Editor		Improve figures	Figure 26-7 is barely readable and too busy due to the use of different types of shading. Remove shading and increase figure size. Same for Figures 26-15 and 26-16.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-19 22:13.16Z)	EDITOR 2016-05-19 22:13.17Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR		
1960 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	91	47	E	N	91.47.47	26.3.7.1	V	Editor		typo	Change "position of the pilots (.)" to "positions of the pilots (.)" are	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 03:08.54Z) - "The positions of the pilots for ... are"	EDITOR 2016-05-11 03:09.14Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR		
1961 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	92	6	E	N	92.06.6	26.3.7.1	V	Editor		typo	Change "position of the pilots (.)" to "positions of the pilots (.)" are	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 03:10.18Z) - "The positions of the pilots for ... are"	EDITOR 2016-05-11 03:10.18Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR		
1962 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	92	27	E	N	92.27.27	26.3.7.1	V	Editor		typo	Change "position of the pilots (.)" to "positions of the pilots (.)" are	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 03:13.32Z) - "The positions of the pilots for ... are"	EDITOR 2016-05-11 03:13.32Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR		
1963 Sigurd Schellhaase	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	92	42	E	N	92.42.42	26.3.7.1	V	Editor		Duplication	Sentence "The same structure as used in the 80 MHz HE SU frequency segment of the 160 MHz and 80+80 MHz OFDMA HE PPDUs" is repetition of the sentence starting on line 39.	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 03:21.13Z)	EDITOR 2016-05-11 03:21.13Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR		

1964 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	92	45	T	N	92.45.45	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Shahmaz	11-16/06582	9 Guard subcarriers or edge subcarriers?	This paragraph uses the term "guard subcarriers". The title of 26.3.7.1 mentions "edge subcarriers". Harmonize terminology. Modify the original text by the suggested remedy (as above).	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29) D02	EDITOR	Edited in D02	0.2	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-05-29 21:52:11Z - see #1951
1965 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.7.3	93	34	T	N	93.34.34	26.3.7.3	J	Jinsoo, Shahmaz	11-16/12272	117 Why "If pilot tones are present"?	Remove "If pilot tones are present in the HE-LTF field."	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-15) 11:41:48Z	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:29:09Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	
1966 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	95	10	E	N	95.10.10	26.3.8	V	Editor		lowercase -> uppercase	There are two schemes in UL-MU-MIMO CPO tracking, one uses pilots to track CPO, another one does not need pilot in HE-LTF. Therefore there are two cases where pilot tones may not be present.	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11) 13:59:44Z	EDITOR	Edited in D02	0.2	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 13:59:44Z
1967 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	95	40	T	N	95.40.40	26.3.8	A	Rui Cao	11-16/11363	80 mention L_Tx and frequency	change "The actual transmitted signal" to "the actual transmitted signal on transmit chain L_Tx and frequency"	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-09-13) 21:07:54Z	EDITOR	Edited in D05	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:29:09Z
1968 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	95	57	T	N	95.57.57	26.3.8	A	Rui Cao	11-16/11363	80 correct definition of C(leg)	The frequencies of the segments are independent of the PPDU. Change the center frequency of the PPDU transmitted in frequency segment "leg" to "the center frequency of frequency segment 'leg'".	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-09-13) 21:08:03Z	EDITOR	Edited in D05	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:29:07Z
1969 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	96	6	E	N	96.06.6	26.3.8	A	Editor		Labeling in Figure 26-21 is hard to read	Improve labels on arrows at lines 6 and 7	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-14) 21:08:16Z	EDITOR	Edited in D02	0.2	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 21:08:16Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions. The overall figure is smaller so that overall figure is smaller and text is proportionally smaller.
1970 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	96	30	T	N	96.30.30	26.3.8	V	Rui Cao	11-16/11363	80 Formula (26-3) should account for the case where HE-SIG-B is not present.	Starting that HE-SIG-B is undefined (as on line 51) if the PPDU is not MU doesn't work in formula (26-3)	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13) 21:08:14Z	EDITOR	Edited in D05	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:33:22Z
1971 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	97	12	T	N	97.12.12	26.3.8	V	Rui Cao	11-16/11363	80 PE is not defined as an inverse Fourier transform	The text reads "such as the discrete Fourier transform". This is not true for the PE field.	Change to as in the resolution of CID1970 in doc IEEE802.11-1911363.	EDITOR	Edited in D05	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:40:36Z
1972 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	97	13	E	N	97.13.13	26.3.8	A	Editor		Typo	Change "Equalization" to "equalization"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11) 14:13:32Z	EDITOR	Edited in D02	0.2	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 14:13:32Z - see #2158
1973 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	97	17	T	N	97.17.17	26.3.8	J	Rui Cao	11-16/11363	80 subscripts should be "subfield" instead of field	Change to as in the resolution of CID1970 in doc IEEE802.11-1911363.	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:45:10Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.		
1974 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	97	23	T	N	97.23.23	26.3.8	J	Rui Cao	11-16/11363	80 Field -> subfield	Change "each field" to "each subfield and correct subscript in equation (26.5) and on line 23.	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13) 21:08:52Z	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:45:14Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	
1975 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	97	42	E	N	97.42.42	26.3.8	A	Editor		typo	Change "holons" to "subcarriers"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11) 14:15:58Z	EDITOR	Edited in D02	0.2	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 14:15:01Z
1976 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	97	42	T	N	97.42.42	26.3.8	V	Rui Cao	11-16/11363	80 Change "Equation 26-4" to "Equations 26-4 and 26-5"	See comment	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13) 21:09:46Z	EDITOR	Edited in D05	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:45:20Z
1977 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	97	44	T	N	97.44.44	26.3.8	V	Rui Cao	11-16/11363	80 notation not used in (26-4)	Contrary to what is said on line 42, the notation "N" One_Field is not used in (26-4) in the definition of beta_L (page 59)	Change to as in the resolution of CID1976 in doc IEEE802.11-1911363	EDITOR	Edited in D05	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:49:09Z
1978 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	99	11	T	N	99.11.11	26.3.8	V	Rui Cao	11-16/11363	80 K _{ri} and K _{ri} Field are not used in (26-4, only in the definition of beta _L)	Make them to be sub-bullets of the definition of beta _L	1611363	EDITOR	Edited in D05	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:59:24Z
1979 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	99	33	T	N	99.33.33	26.3.8	J	Rui Cao	11-16/11363	80 TBD	Define	Change to as in the resolution of CID1976 in doc IEEE802.11-1911363	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:47:26Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	
1980 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	100	1	T	N	100.01.1	26.3.8	J	Rui Cao	11-16/11363	80 Missing part of sentence	"The HE modulated fields, in all subcarriers" is not a sentence. Something appears to be missing.	CID# 1052	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:47:59Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	
1981 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.2	100	3	T	N	100.03.3	26.3.9.2	V			121 Empty section	It is resolved by resolution of CID# 1052	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:48:04Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.		
1982 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	100	14	T	N	100.14.14	26.3.8	J	Rui Cao	11-16/11363	80 Redefining Gamma_k could be confusing	The comment has been received, refer to the resolution to CID 1052	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:48:06Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.		
1983 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.8	100	36	T	N	100.36.36	26.3.8	J	Rui Cao	11-16/11363	80 TBD	It is resolved by resolution of CID# 1052	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 17:48:22Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.		

1984 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.3	101	14	T	N	101.14.14	26.3.9.3	V	Rui Cao	11-1609377	37	L _{STF} should only sum over "active" 20 MHz subbands	The sum runs over all 20MHz subbands. Following agreement on PHY motion for the standard, the same applies to Equations 26-14, 26-15 and 26-16	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:16:41Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID1984 in doc IEEE802.11-1609377.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	See #525	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1985 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.3	101	18	T	N	101.18.18	26.3.9.3	V	Rui Cao	11-1609377	37.5	$\lambda_{\text{c}}/20$ is not defined in (26-12) see comment	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:28:10Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID1985 in doc IEEE802.11-1609377.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-05 18:32:18Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
1986 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.3	101	27	E	N	101.27.27	26.3.9.3	V	Editor		missing "for"	change "HExtended range SU PPDU" to "for HExtended range SU PPDU"	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:20:18Z), "for an HE extended range SU PPDU"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-05-11 18:20:38Z	0.2	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1987 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.3	101	38	T	N	101.38.38	26.3.9.3	V	Rui Cao	11-1609377	37	Definition of Gamma_k,BW Page 100 states that the values are determined by 26-9, 26-10 and 26-11	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:23:03Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID1987 in doc IEEE802.11-1609377.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-05 16:59:04Z - See #293	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
1988 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.3	101	40	T	N	101.40.40	26.3.9.3	V	Rui Cao	11-1609377	37	wrong reference	Reference to Table 22-8 should be Table 26-13	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:25:04Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID1988 in doc IEEE802.11-1609377.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-05 18:27:10Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1989 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.3	101	54	T	N	101.54.54	26.3.9.3	V	Rui Cao	11-1609377	37.5	$\lambda_{\text{c}}/20$ is not defined in (26-14) see comment	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:28:48Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID1989 in doc IEEE802.11-1609377.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-05 18:32:27Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
1990 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.3	101	60	T	N	101.60.60	26.3.9.3	V	Rui Cao	11-1609377	37	T_Cs,HE(n) is not used in Equation (26-14)	see comment	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:17:41Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID1990 in doc IEEE802.11-1609377.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	See #525	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1991 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.3	101	62	T	N	101.62.62	26.3.9.3	V	Rui Cao	11-1609377	37	Definition of Ok	Clarify that the index of Ok should be the index of the data symbols	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 16:03:37Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID1991 in doc IEEE802.11-1609377.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-05 16:03:37Z - See #1094	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1992 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.4	102	9	T	N	102.09.9	26.3.9.4	V	Rui Cao	11-1609377	37	$\lambda_{\text{c}}/20$ is not defined in (26-15) see comment	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:30:41Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID1992 in doc IEEE802.11-1609377.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-05 18:32:36Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
1993 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.4	102	22	T	N	102.22.22	26.3.9.4	V	Rui Cao	11-1609377	37	Definition of Gamma_k,BW Page 109 states that the values are determined by 26-9, 26-10 and 26-11	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:23:97Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID1993 in doc IEEE802.11-1609377.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-05 16:50:18Z - See #293	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
1994 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.4	102	24	T	N	102.24.24	26.3.9.4	V	Rui Cao	11-1609377	37	wrong reference	Reference to Table 22-8 should be Table 26-13	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:26:32Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID1994 in doc IEEE802.11-1609377.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-05 18:27:25Z - See #1988	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1995 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.4	102	44	T	N	102.44.44	26.3.9.4	V	Rui Cao	11-1609377	37	T_Cs,HE(n) is not used in Equation (26-16)	see comment	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:18:33Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID1995 in doc IEEE802.11-1609377.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	See #525	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1996 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.5	103	2	T	N	103.02.2	26.3.9.5	V	Rui Cao	11-1609377	37	The current definition of "L _{STF} " should be changed to be a multiple of 4. How is this handled in the definition of the Length field?	see comment	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:14:36Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID1996 in doc IEEE802.11-1609377.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-05 18:39:58Z - See #1093	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
1997 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.5	103	26	T	N	103.26.26	26.3.9.5	A	Rui Cao	11-1611373	81	unclear language	"Two at each edge of the subcarriers used by data and pilot tones" is not clear. Either way, it is redundant given the definition of the subcarriers at each edge of the subcarriers used by data and pilot tones".	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 21:37:39Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-26 21:24:38Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
1998 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.5	103	51	T	N	103.51.51	26.3.9.5	J	Rui Cao	11-1611373	81	TBD	TBD has been resolved. See line 26.	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 21:37:46Z) CID #1684	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR, 2016-09-26 21:24:42Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
1999 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.5	104	5	T	N	104.05.5	26.3.9.5	J	Rui Cao	11-1611373	81	missing reference	Change "<references>" to Table 26-13.	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 21:37:58Z) CID #1676	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR, 2016-09-26 21:24:42Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2000 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.5	104	54	T	N	104.54.54	26.3.9.5	J	Rui Cao	11-1611373	81	TBD	Define	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 21:38:30Z) CID #1676	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR, 2016-09-26 21:22:59Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2001 Sigurd Schellstrøme	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	105	8	T	N	105.08.8	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-1611481	83	TBDs	Lots and lots of TBDs in Table 26-15. Define	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 06:31:52Z) Instruction to Editor: Please make the following changes in Tdoc D0.4 P 167: CID #1676, Table 26-15, under subclause 26.3.10.7.2 according to the changes in doc 10-11061	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-27 03:28:59Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR

2002 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	105	14	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/06/10/3	2 Define values for UUDL field E.g. 0 indicates DL, 1 indicates UL, 2 indicates both. Similar for Table 26-16 and 26-17.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:15:23Z) EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 19:59:06Z	0.2	2016/11/23/17:01:17	EDITOR
2003 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	105	21	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/06/10/3	2 Define values for Format field see comment	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:32:35Z) EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #1196	0.2	2016/11/23/17:01:17	EDITOR
2004 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	105	36	26.3.9.7.2	J	Ross	11-16/06/10/3	2 MCS and DCM fields can be combined into a single 4-bit field There are 12 MCS values (0-11) and 4 DCMs that can use combinations of the reserved bits represented by four bits. There is no need to have 4 bits for DCM, and an additional bit for MU cases.	Refer to the resolution to CID1196 as in 11-16/06/10/3 and CID2534 as in 2016-05-29 07:23:21Z EDITOR	No change to draft	N	No changes to draft	2016/11/23/17:01:17	EDITOR	
2005 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	105	38	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/06/10/3	30 Define values for CP+LTF field E.g. 0 indicates 1x + 0.8 usec, 1 indicates 2x + 0.8 usec, 2 indicates 4x + 1.6 usec, 3 indicates 4x + 3.2 usec. Similar for Table 26-16.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-26 20:44:32Z) EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-09 03:38:16Z	0.3	2016/09/23/16:24	EDITOR
2006 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	105	43	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/06/10/3	2 Split Coding field into two fields. There is no reason to aggregate these two fields into a single field. Split into two fields: Indication of BCC/LDPC and "extra" bits. The "extra" field is used for LDPC-QAM, but not for LDPC-QAM with e.g. VHT-SIG-A. Also, "extra" OFDM symbol for LDPC-QAM is not used as a separate field in Table 26-16.	Refer to the resolution to CID1197 as in 11-16/06/10/3 and CID2534 as in 2016-05-29 07:06:54Z EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #1197	0.2	2016/11/23/17:01:17	EDITOR
2007 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	106	4	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/06/10/4	30 DCM only applies to MCS 0, 1, 3 and 4 Mention this restriction in description of DCM field	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:03:14Z) EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-09 03:16:46Z	0.3	2016/09/23/16:24	EDITOR
2008 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	106	11	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/06/10/4	3 Split "packet extension" field into two fields Since this field contains two very different pieces of information, it is better to split it into a "Factor" field and a "PE-disambiguation" field. Same for Table 26-16.	Refer to the resolution to CID2534 as in 11-16/06/10/4 and CID2534 as in 2016-05-29 07:39:48Z EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 20:36:22Z - See #2534	0.2	2016/11/23/17:01:17	EDITOR
2009 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	106	22	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/06/10/4	3 "HT-SIG-A" should be "HE-SIG-A" See comment	Refer to the resolution to CID2537 as in 11-16/06/10/4 and CID2537 as in 2016-05-29 07:32:39Z EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #2535	0.2	2016/11/23/17:01:17	EDITOR
2010 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	106	32	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/11/48/1	83 Delete "NOTE" Note appears to be more of an editorial comment. I do not believe it belongs in the specification.	Refer to the resolution to CID2537 as in 11-16/06/10/4 and CID2537 as in 2016-05-13 05:35:43Z EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-27 03:54:44Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23/17:01:17	EDITOR	
2011 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	106	40	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/11/48/1	83 TBIDs Table 26-16 has lots of TBIDs. These need to be resolved to allow parsing of the HE-SIG-A content.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 06:36:10Z) EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-27 03:28:44Z - see #2001	0.5	2016/09/29/16:35	EDITOR
2012 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	107	41	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/06/10/4	3 "HT-SIG-A" should be "HE-SIG-A" See comment	Refer to the resolution to CID2537 as in 11-16/06/10/4 and CID2537 as in 2016-05-29 07:32:39Z EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #2535	0.2	2016/11/23/17:01:17	EDITOR
2013 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.3	108	1	26.3.9.7.3	V	Ross	11-16/06/10/4	3 CRC definition should be "CRC-16-CCITT" (see comment and Table 26-16 and 26-17) Page 108, line 1 states that "The first bit of the CRC should be set to 1" and "The first bit of the CRC should be set to 1". The first bit of the CRC is explained in 20.3.9.7.1 (CRC calculation for HE-SIG-A). Also, the CRC is not used as an output is stopped at c-1. This should be made consistent.	Refer to the resolution to CID2537 as in 11-16/06/10/4 and CID2537 as in 2016-05-29 07:31:46Z EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	See #2535	0.2	2016/11/23/17:01:17	EDITOR
2014 Sigurd Schellström	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	108	25	26.3.9.7.2	J	Ross	11-16/06/10/4	3 Reserved field value should be set to 0, not TBD Reason: Reserved values in VHT-SIG-A are set to 1. The values of the reserved fields need to be further discussed and defined considering PAPR, etc.	Refer to the resolution to CID2537 as in 11-16/06/10/4 and CID2537 as in 2016-05-29 07:40:32Z EDITOR	No change to draft	N	No changes to draft	2016/11/23/17:01:17	EDITOR	

2016 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.3	108	45	T	N	108.45.45	26.3.9.7.3	V	Ross	11-16/061004	3 Change "products" to "is calculated over"	see comment	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:41:12Z) Instruction to Editor: Please make the following changes in CID 2020: 1. P 108 L4: under subclause 263.9.7.3 according to the changes in doc.051004, 2. P 108 L4: under subclause 263.9.7.3 according to the changes in doc.16-11481	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 20:38:27Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2016 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.3	108	45	T	N	108.45.45	26.3.9.7.3	V	Ross	11-16/11481	63 Define "X"	see comment	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-13 06:38:10Z) Instruction to Editor: Please make the following changes in TGx D0.4 P 168 L3: under subclause 263.9.7.3 according to the changes in doc.16-11481	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-27 18:22:01Z	0.5	2016/06/29 18:35	EDITOR
2017 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.3	108	49	E	N	108.49.49	26.3.9.7.3	Editor			Formula for crc has no Equation number	add equation number	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:41:12Z) Instruction to Editor: Please make the following changes in TGx D0.4 P 168 L3: under subclause 263.9.7.3 according to the changes in doc.16-11481	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 20:39:35Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2017 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.3	108	63	T	N	108.63.63	26.3.9.7.3	V	Ross	11-16/061004	3	add comment above line 48), so reference doesn't seem appropriate.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:41:12Z) Instruction to Editor: Please make the following changes in TGx D0.4 P 168 L3: under subclause 263.9.7.3 according to the changes in doc.16-11481	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 20:39:35Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2019 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.4	109	56	T	N	109.56.56	26.3.9.7.4	J	Rui Cao	11-16/11373	81 Wrong reference	Correct reference in Table 26-2.138.132)	CID 2018 in doc. 061004	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-06-13 21:38:13Z) It is resolved by resolution of CID #676	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 16:55:26Z The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR
2020 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.1	111	31	T	N	111.31.31	26.3.9.8.1	V	Kauzhik, Ross	11-16/00262	61 The notion of "content channel" should be explained in this section, as it is used later on in the description of HE-SIG-B	see comment	CID #676 (PHY: 2016-07-28 23:37:33Z) Instruction to Editor: Please make the following changes shown in 11-16/00262 under all headings that include CID 2020	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 16:35:26Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2021 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.1	111	37	E	N	111.37.37	26.3.9.8.1	Editor			Figure 26-23 is hard to read	Improve figure (at least increase size)	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 23:38:16Z) Instruction to Editor: TGx editor to make the following changes shown in 11-16/00262 under all headings that include CID 2020	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 16:37:13Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2022 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.1	111	52	T	N	111.52.52	26.3.9.8.1	V	Kauzhik, Ross	11-16/00262	61 Replace "multiple" with "one or more"	see comment	CID 2022 (PHY: 2016-07-28 23:45:30Z) Instruction to Editor: TGx editor to make the following changes shown in 11-16/00262 under all headings that include CID 2022	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 16:37:13Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2023 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.2	112	2	T	N	112.02.2	26.3.9.8.2	V	Kauzhik, Ross	11-16/00262	61 It is a specific relationship between content channels and channels matter for MU-BW=20?	The order of the content channels in the primary channel when transmissions, is it correct to assume that the first content channel is the primary channel?	CID 2023 (PHY: 2016-07-28 23:46:07Z) Instruction to Editor: TGx editor to make the following changes shown in 11-16/00262 under all headings that include CID 2023	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 16:37:13Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2024 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.2	112	27	T	N	112.27.27	26.3.9.8.2	V	Kauzhik, Ross	11-16/00262	61 Improve wording	"The 16 and 32 20 MHz bands are not used in every formulation. Find better formulation."	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 23:46:07Z) Instruction to Editor: TGx editor to make the following changes shown in 11-16/00262 under all headings that include CID 2024	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 16:37:13Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2025 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.3	113	30	T	N	113.30.30	26.3.9.8.3	V	Kauzhik, Ross	11-16/00262	61 For CID, add reference to 26.3.9.7.3	see comment	CID 2024 (PHY: 2016-07-28 23:49:03Z) Instruction to Editor: TGx editor to make the following changes shown in 11-16/00262 under all headings that include CID 2024	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 16:40:14Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2026 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.3	113	38	T	N	113.38.38	26.3.9.8.3	V	Kauzhik, Ross	11-16/00262	61 This are added to roundup the number of symbols to the nearest integer"	The number of symbols in HE-SIG-B is communicated in HE-SIG-A.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 23:51:00Z) Instruction to Editor: TGx editor to make the following changes shown in 11-16/00262 under all headings that include CID 2026	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-05 20:23:14Z - see #396	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2027 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.3	113	43	T	N	113.43.43	26.3.9.8.3	V	Kauzhik, Ross	11-16/00262	61 TBD	Define	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 23:49:03Z) Instruction to Editor: TGx editor to make the following changes shown in 11-16/00262 under all headings that include CID 2027	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 16:42:21Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2028 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.3	113	43	T	N	113.43.43	26.3.9.8.3	V	Kauzhik, Ross	11-16/00262	61 Clarify puncturing requirement	The concatenation before the puncturing should also include the HE-SIG-B.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 23:50:28Z) Instruction to Editor: TGx editor to make the following changes shown in 11-16/00262 under all headings that include CID 2028	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 16:44:08Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2029 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.3	113	45	T	N	113.45.45	26.3.9.8.3	V	Kauzhik, Ross	11-16/00262	61 Not clear what "continuously means	"delete 'continuously'"	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 23:50:12Z) Instruction to Editor: TGx editor to make the following changes shown in 11-16/00262 under all headings that include CID 2029	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-08 16:44:17Z - see #2028	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2030 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.3	113	55	E	N	113.55.55	26.3.9.8.3	A	Editor		Start new line at "The number of symbols in HE-SIG-B..."	see comment	CID 2029 (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 21:59:07Z) Instruction to Editor: TGx editor to make the following changes shown in 11-16/00262 under all headings that include CID 2029	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 21:59:15Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2031 Sigurd Schelstraete	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.3	113	56	E	N	113.56.56	26.3.9.8.3	A	Editor		Start new line at "For the c-h content channel..."	see comment	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 22:38:16Z) Instruction to Editor: TGx editor to make the following changes shown in 11-16/00262 under all headings that include CID 2031	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 22:38:11Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR

2032 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.4	116	8	T	N	V	Kauahik, Ross	11-16/10212	32 Notation "10yyyyy" may create Replace with "10yyyyy" in the first line from 100-109. But then the count number of users.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-26 21:02:46Z) Instruction to Editor: Incorporate the edits under the heading "100-109" in CID 2032, 2549, 2133, 2548 and 1009 in 11-16/10212.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-05 20:06:51Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2033 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.4	116	42	T	N	V	Kauahik, Ross	11-16/11490	84 The last paragraph should be a see comment buried in the bullet list above	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 00:41:13Z) The editor has already done this editorial change in 802.11a draft D0.4.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-27 18:23:02Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2034 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5	117	5	E	N		Editor	Figure 26-28 is hard to read	Improve/enlarge figure	EDITOR	Editorials				2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR	
2035 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5	117	30	T	N	V	Kauahik, Ross	11-16/11490	84 TBDs	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 00:41:13Z) Please make the following changes in TGax DO.4 P178 L313,341,749 Table 26-19, CID 2032, 2549, 2133, 2548 and 1009 in 11-16/10212. P182 L31 Table 26-12 under "100-109" should be changed according to the changes in CID 2032, 2549, 2133, 2548 and 1009 in 11-16/10212.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 02:27:55Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2036 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5	117	36	T	N	V	Kauahik, Ross	11-16/00262	61 missing reference	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-26 23:35:13Z) Instruction to Editor: TBD editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/0262 under all headings that include CID 1002	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-05 20:40:35Z - see #1002	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2037 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5	117	51	T	N	V	Kauahik, Ross	11-16/00262	61 Define values of fields	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-26 23:35:13Z) Instruction to Editor: TBD editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/0262 under all headings that include CID 2037	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-05 21:25:25Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2038 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5	117	56	T	N	J	Kauahik, Ross	11-16/00731	31 MCS and DCM fields can be combined into a single 4-bit field	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-07-26 21:10:05Z) Reason: Went to have a discussion with the SU case and MU case.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-08-05 20:17:34Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2039 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5	118	19	T	N	J	Kauahik, Ross	11-16/00731	31 MCS and DCM fields can be combined into a single 4-bit field	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-07-26 21:10:42Z) Reason: Went to have a discussion with the SU case and MU case.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-08-05 20:17:38Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2040 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5	119	2	T	N	J	Kauahik, Ross	11-16/00731	31 Add row with Nuser=1	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-07-26 21:01:52Z) Reason: For non-compressed MU-MIMO, the number of MU-MIMO allocations indicates more than 1 STAs in RU => 100 tones, otherwise, Table 26-19 in 802.11a-SCG user field for a SU allocation would be used.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-08-05 20:17:42Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2041 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	26.3.9.9	119	53	E	N	A	Editor	Formatting: use subscript in THE-STF-NT	see comment	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 23:08:10Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 23:08:14Z - see #312	0.2	2016/11/23 2017	EDITOR
2042 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	26.3.9.9	119	56	E	N	A	Editor	Formatting: use subscript in THE-STF-NT	see comment	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 23:07:19Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 23:07:14Z - see #312	0.2	2016/11/23 2017	EDITOR
2043 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	63.9.9	120	10	T	N	V	Yasu	11-16/13776	125 unclear sentence.	Meaning of "multiplying integer coefficient(s) to each 20 MHz subchannel" is not clear	REVISED (PHY: 2016-11-14 07:13:38Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-11-23 00:09:03Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
2044 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	63.9.9	120	63	T	N	V	Yasu	11-16/13776	125 Wrong references	Agreed in principle. This comment is for Clause 263.9.9, not 63.9.9. Resolution already presented in 16/00561 (for CID 313).	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-11-23 00:09:13Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2045 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	63.9.9	121	20	E	N	V	Editor	Indent lines 20-22	see comment	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-12 12:17:47:36Z) - Change to read "NEXT" is an HE PPDU format. The scaling factor, defined by "n" and indent factor, defined by "m" and indent factor, is used in the equation 0.5-12.23(9-32Z).	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-12 17:47:55Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017	EDITOR
2046 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	63.9.9	121	30	E	N	A	Editor	Indent lines 30-32	see comment	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-12 12:17:47:36Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-12 23:39:33Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017	EDITOR
2047 Sigurd Schelltraele	23	0.1	63.9.9	121	36	T	N	V	Yasu	11-16/13776	125 wrong reference: 25.3, 10, 10, x	REVISED (PHY: 2016-11-14 07:14:22Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-11-23 00:09:21Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	

2080 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.5	141	58	T	N	141.58.58	26.3.10.5	V	Dawson, Tianyu Wu	11-16/06822	14 index k is defined twice	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:45:24Z) TGax Editor: make changes for section 26.3.10.5 as in 11- 16-0682-02-03a CR-for-CD- on-PHY-data-field-ether	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 15:54.12Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2081 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.5	142	5	T	N	142.05.5	26.3.10.5	A	Dawson, Tianyu Wu	11-16/06822	14 Range of k should be 0 to N_CBPSS-1	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:45:11Z) TGax Editor: make the changes shown in IEEE 802.11- 1609.22Z	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 15:55.58Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2082 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.8	143	28	T	N	143.28.28	26.3.10.8	V	Siram, Tianyu Wu	11-16/09222	36 Replace "used" with "define" see comment	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 08:57/05Z) TGax Editor: make the changes shown in IEEE 802.11- 1609.22Z	EDITOR	Edited in D03	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-09 18:19:13Z - see #1782	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2083 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.8	143	29	T	N	143.29.29	26.3.10.8	V	Siram, Tianyu Wu	11-16/09222	36 TBD	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 06:57/19Z) TGax Editor: make the changes shown in IEEE 802.11- 1609.22Z	EDITOR	Edited in D03	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-09 18:19.31Z - see #1789	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2084 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.8	143	35	T	N	143.35.35	26.3.10.8	V	Siram, Tianyu Wu	11-16/09231	55 No need for separate function (qk)	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 23:28.30Z) TGax Editor: make the changes shown in IEEE 802.11- 1609.231	EDITOR	Edited in D03	I	see #482	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2085 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.8	143	50	T	N	143.50.50	26.3.10.8	V	Siram, Tianyu Wu	11-16/09231	55 TBD	TGax Editor: make the changes shown in IEEE 802.11- 1609.231	EDITOR	Edited in D03	I	see #482	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2086 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	144	3	T	N	144.03.3	26.3.10.1 0	V	Dawson, Tianyu Wu	11-16/06822	14 Wrong reference	TGax Editor: make the changes shown in IEEE 802.11- 1609.231	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 17:14.26Z - reference removed with #1659	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2087 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	144	3	T	N	144.03.3	26.3.10.1	A	Dawson, Tianyu Wu	11-16/06822	14 Wrong reference	TGax Editor: make changes for section 26.3.10.10 as in 11- 1609.231	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 17:14.02Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2088 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	145	40	E	N	145.40.40	26.3.10.1	A Editor	Tianyu Wu	11-16/06822	26.3.10.8 segment -> segment	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:48.42Z) TGax Editor: make the changes shown in IEEE 802.11- 1609.231	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 17:14.30Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2089 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	145	42	T	N	145.42.42	26.3.10.1 0	V	Dawson, Tianyu Wu	11-16/06822	14 Wrong reference	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:48.48Z) TGax Editor: make the changes shown in IEEE 802.11- 1609.231	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 17:13.26Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2090 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	146	4	E	N	146.04.4	26.3.10.1	A	Editor	11-16/06822	a RU -> an RU	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016- 05-13 17:22.34Z) TGax Editor: make changes for section 26.3.10.10 as in 11- 1609.231	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:22.37Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2091 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	146	5	E	N	146.05.5	26.3.10.1 1	A Editor	Editor	11-16/06822	Equation -> Equation	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 05-13 17:22.50Z) TGax Editor: make changes for section 26.3.10.10 as in 11- 1609.231	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:22.54Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2092 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	146	9	T	N	146.09.9	26.3.10.1 1	V	Dawson, Tianyu Wu	11-16/06822	14 Wrong reference	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:49.58Z) TGax Editor: make changes for section 26.3.10.10 as in 11- 1609.231	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 17:44.33Z - Some editorial changes with RU and PDU references	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2093 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	146	17	T	N	146.17.17	26.3.10.1 2	J	Bin Tian	11-16/06231	6 Clarify RU numbering	I don't think the RU numbering has been defined explicitly. We need to define it explicitly before referring to it as "the RU". The RU indices have been defined in Tables 26-4, 26-9 and 26-10. This Table is best to read and use (just try finding the pilot tones of the 54th RU for 160 MHz for instance). Some more structure in the Table would help.	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:27.46Z) TGax Editor: make changes for section 26.3.10.10 as in 11- 1609.231	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	No changes to draft	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR
2094 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	146	26	T	N	146.26.26	26.3.10.1 2	J	Bin Tian	11-16/06231	6 Improve Table	This Table and the following ones should be read and used carefully. They are the only other tables in this section that have one to one mapping from RU to subcarrier corresponding pilot subcarrier index set, except for 160MHz, which has a one to one mapping pilot indices in the Table(s) may not be a good option due to large amount of RUs in 160MHz. To the commentor: it stays in the same place. The commentor that the pilot tone locations for 160 MHz or 80+80 MHz shall use the same as 160 MHz. So use the same as 160 MHz. So for 160MHz, pilot subcarrier index set should be decided according to the commentor 80MHz+the interested RU belongs to and the commentor using RUs. What 80MHz+commentor the table in the 80MHz row for pilot index set. Then the final pilot index set is the union of the 100MHz row in the Table (pilot indices) and the 80MHz row in the Table (pilot indices). REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:28.37Z) TGax Editor: make changes for section 26.3.10.10 as in 11- 1609.231	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 18:52.27Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2095 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	149	29	T	N	149.29.29	26.3.10.1 2	V	Bin Tian	11-16/06231	6 Only show values in Table 26- 32, no need for background information	Delete "[pilot tone indices in 80 MHz" and "pilot tone indices in 80 MHz" (9-14)]. Instruction to editor: Please modify the text according to the new table indices and add CID 2905. ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016- 05-14 19:28.00Z) TGax Editor: make changes for section 26.3.10.10 as in 11- 1609.231	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 18:52.27Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2096 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	149	55	E	N	149.55.55	26.3.10.1	3.46 A Editor	Editor	11-16/1304	Typo	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:28.37Z) TGax Editor: make changes for section 26.3.10.10 as in 11- 1609.231	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 19:28.10Z - see #546	0.2	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2097 Sgard Schelstaele	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	150	28	T	N	150.28.28	26.3.10.1 3	A Rui Cao	Editor	11-16/1304	62 Add segment information Add "and segment L_seg" after "N_TX"	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-13 21:47.22Z) TGax Editor: make changes for section 26.3.10.10 as in 11- 1609.231	EDITOR	Edited in D05	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 03:19.26Z	0.5	2016/09/29 18:35	EDITOR

2098 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1	28.3.10.1	150	48	T	N	150.48.48	28.3.10.1	V	Rui Cao	11-16/03/77	37 Wrong reference	Two errors references to be corrected (line 48 and 50)	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 07:40:10Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID099 in doc IEEE802.11-1609.377	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-05 18:58:59Z	0.3	2016/02/3 18:24	EDITOR
2099 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1	28.3.10.1	151	24	T	N	151.24.24	28.3.10.1	V	Rui Cao	11-16/11/384	82 Normalization factor for trigger-based frame	Is the transmitter in the -h RU supposed to know the normalization factors of all other RUs and which RUs are responding to the Trigger Frame? The normalization factor does not have correct properties (if any) also be similar to the Data field?	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 21:47:38Z) Change to as in the resolution of CID099 in doc IEEE802.11-1611384.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-29 03:24:07Z	0.5	2016/02/9 18:35	EDITOR
2100 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1	28.3.10.1	151	60	T	N	151.60.60	28.3.10.1	V	Yun, Meilu	11-16/11/502	85 Average power of Packet extension	"Average power" as the power of the packet extension should be similar to the Data field?	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 06:50:04Z) Instruction to Editor: Please make the following changes in TGax D0.1 P 151 L57(D04 P220.L0) under subclause 28.3.10.15 according to the changes in doc 16-1159/2	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 19:28:42Z	0.5	2016/02/9 18:35	EDITOR
2101 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1	28.3.10.1	151	60	T	N	151.60.60	28.3.10.1	V	Yun, Meilu	11-16/11/502	85 Frequency content of Packet extension	"Content is arbitrary". The Data field should be in an "active" RU. Shouldn't the same be true for the packet extension?	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 06:50:11Z) Instruction to Editor: Same resolution as to CID 2100 in doc 16-1159/2	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 19:25:07Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2102 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1	28.3.10.1	152	5	T	N	152.05.5	28.3.10.1	J	Yun, Meilu	11-16/11/502	85 The requirements on packet extension should be spelled out in text.	A mandatory requirement should not be contained only in 0650.402. The requirements on packet extension are already spelled in Page 150-1.53 and E.g. (28-112) of D0.1.	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 06:50:40Z) Reason: The requirements on packet extension are already spelled in Page 150-1.53 and E.g. (28-112) of D0.1.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 19:25:07Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2103 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1	28.3.10.1	153	16	E	N	153.16.16	28.3.10.1	A	Editor		Typo	Replace "responding the Trigger Frame" with "responding to the Trigger Frame"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:15:04Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:15:04Z Good enough for now, but this should be fixed in the next MAC. Something along the lines of how TXVECTOR parameters are fixed in the preamble to a TXVECTOR frame, preparing to a Trigger frame.	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2104 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1	28.3.10.1	153	18	T	N	153.18.18	28.3.10.1	V	Yun, Meilu	11-16/11/502	85 TBD	Define	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 06:51:07Z) Instruction to Editor: Same resolution as to CID 2100 in doc 16-1159/2	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 19:55:59Z - see #2135	0.5	2016/02/9 18:35	EDITOR
2105 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1	28.3.10.1	153	61	T	N	153.61.61	28.3.10.1	V	Yun, Meilu	11-16/11/503	85 -20° is not needed in (28-113)	20 is divisible by 4, so all angles are multiples of 4. The angle should be simplified to T_PE + 1°_SYM	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 19:07:38Z) Instruction to Editor: Please make the following changes in TGax D0.4 P 222 under subclause 38.3.12 Packet extension, D0.4 P 240 L25 under subclause 28.3.19 HE reserve procedure according to the changes in doc 16-1159/3	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 21:30:58Z	0.5	2016/02/9 18:35	EDITOR
2106 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1	28.3.11	154	16	T	N	154.16.16	28.3.11	V	Lothar Varga	11-16/13/04	125 Wrong references	Misleading references on lines 16, 28 and 30	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-11-07 08:07:08Z) Proposed resolution accounts for the suggested change. Tgax Editor to make the changes shown in IEEE 802.11-2016-11-07-08:07:08 that include CID 2106.	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	EDITOR: 2016-11-29 2:45	1	2016/11/29 2:45	EDITOR
2107 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1	28.4.3	159	23	T	N	159.23.23	28.4.3	J	Rui Cao	11-16/11/352	79 Are we still using N_ES?	N_ES is always 1, remove it from (28-118)	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 21:01:54Z) It is resolved by resolution of CID099 in doc IEEE802.11-1611384	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 16:04:41Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2108 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1	28.4.3	159	23	T	N	159.23.23	28.4.3	V	Rui Cao	11-16/11/352	79 (28-118) duplicates (28-68)	We should not have the same definition in multiple places.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 21:01:54Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-26 16:04:35Z	0.5	2016/02/9 18:35	EDITOR
2109 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1	28.5	160	40	E	N	160.40.40	28.5	V	Editor		Numbering of "Parameters for HE-MCSs"	Is this 28.5 or 28.5.1?	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:42:46Z) - Remove 1	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:42:46Z - see #552	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2110 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1	28.5	160	42	E	N	160.42.42	28.5	A	Editor		Section may be in different font	Update references to Revmc D6.0	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-07-14 20:32:28Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-07-14 20:32:28Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2111 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1				G	N			A	Editor		Update references to Revmc D6.0	Update references to Revmc D6.0	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-07-14 20:32:28Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-07-14 20:32:28Z	0.3	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2112 Sigurd Schellstræle	23	0.1			15	E	N	15		A	Editor		"Task Group ah" should be "Task Group ad"	See comment	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27 18:44:32Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-27 18:44:32Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2113 Sigurd Schellstræle Venkataswari	23	0.1	8.3.1.23	20	7	T	N	20.07.7	9.3.1.23	V	Luen, Reza; Evgeniy, Poshniakov; Kari, Kari; Rossi, Zhou	11-16/07/801	59 Missing elements from Common info field	Adaptation factor - Padding disambiguation bit - Number of HE-LTF - Number of HE-LTF - Number of HE-LTF - Number of HE-LTF	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 18:44:32Z) - Remove 1 included in the Packet extension 1 (Ad hoc de editor: The number of HE-LTF under the CID is 11-16/07/801)	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-01 18:44:32Z - see #101 Additional changes in D1.0	1	2016/11/29 2:45	EDITOR
2114 Sigurd Schellstræle Venkataswari	23	0.1	8.3.1.23	20	47	T	N	20.47.47	9.3.1.23	J	Luen, Reza; Evgeniy, Poshniakov; Kari, Kari; Rossi, Zhou	11-16/07/801	59 Add 1x LTF to CPT LTF size	0.1xLTF + 1.6x CP for full packet in LTF + 1.6x CP for 2.4 current elements 1-3	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-29 18:44:32Z) - Remove 1 included in the Packet extension 1 (Ad hoc de editor: The number of HE-LTF under the CID is 11-16/07/801)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-08-01 18:44:32Z - see #101 Additional changes in D1.0	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	

2151 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.3.9.8.5	116.00	28.3.9.8.5	V	Kauahik, Ross	11-16/02592	61 Please confirm, maximum of one Np will be expected to be associated with a given STA.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-28 2357:53Z) Editor Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 2131:30Z - see #1010	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2152 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.2.2	72.45.45	28.2.2	1029 V	Editor		Enumerated type HE_Trig included text	CID 1010 REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-19 1846:58Z - see #1029) Editor Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 1846:58Z - see #1029	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2153 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.2.2	72.00	28.2.2	V	Bo Sun	11-16/00634	11 BEAM_CHANCE: Applicability of TXVECTORVECTOR not included	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 0922:25Z) Editor Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-01 1805:26Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2154 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.3.5	82.00	28.3.5	J	Eunung	11-16/00591	10 is HE Range extension + STBC possible?	TGax Editor to make the changes shown in IEEE 802.11-16:07352 and add findings that include CID 2153. REJECTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 0917:16Z) Editor No change to draft	N	No changes to draft		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
2155 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.3.5	82	28.3.5	J	Eunung	11-16/00591	10 is following DCM combination allowed? DCM=Nse+1, DCM=all RU size?	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 0917:16Z) Editor No change to draft	N	No changes to draft		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR
2156 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.3.9.8.2	113.00	28.3.9.8.2	A	Editor		Reference not correct	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 21:37:16Z) Editor Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 21:37:16Z - see #507	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2157 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.3.9.8.5	118.00	28.3.9.8.5	V	Kauahik, Ross	11-16/11490	84 Please confirm, load balancing is applicable for both compressed and non-compressed SGB	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 0642:23Z) Editor No change to draft	N	Resolution already presented in IEEE 802.11-1608:361 and 802.11-16109711	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2158 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.3.8	97	28.3.8	V	Editor		Word "Equal" repeated twice	Instruction to Editor: Please refer to CID 2543 in 16-0929. REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 11:41:02Z) - Remove Editor Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 14:12:58Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2159 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.3.12.3	173.29.29	28.3.12.3.2	V	Sriram, Kome, Sameer	11-16/11691	88 PHY Motion 145 was approved in Meeau meeting, but no corresponding spec text is present in the draft	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 0707:44Z) Editor No change to draft	N	Resolution already presented in IEEE 802.11-1608:361 and 802.11-16109711	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2160 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.3.12.3	173.29.29	28.3.12.3.2	V	Sriram, Kome, Sameer	11-16/12592	89 PHY Motion 146 was approved in Meeau meeting, but no corresponding spec text is present in the draft	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 1931:46Z) Editor Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 2225:46Z - see #2061	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2161 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.3.12.3	173.29.29	28.3.12.3.2	V	Sriram, Kome, Sameer	11-16/12592	89 PHY Motion 147 was approved in Meeau meeting, but no corresponding spec text is present in the draft	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 1931:58Z) Editor Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 2225:13Z - Change for the sake of change	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2162 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.3.12.3	173.29.29	28.3.12.3.2	V	Sriram, Kome, Sameer	11-16/11691	88 PHY Motion 148 was approved in Meeau meeting, but no corresponding spec text is present in the draft	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 0707:59Z) Editor No change to draft	N	Resolution already presented in IEEE 802.11-1607:732	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2163 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.3.12.3	173.29.29	28.3.12.3.2	V	Sriram, Kome, Sameer	11-16/11691	88 PHY Motion 149 was approved in Meeau meeting, but no corresponding spec text is present in the draft	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 0708:09Z) Editor No change to draft	N	Resolution already presented in IEEE 802.11-1607:732	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2164 Sriram Venkateswaran	23	0.1	28.3.12.3	173.29.29	28.3.12.3.2	V	Sriram, Kome, Sameer	11-16/12592	89 PHY Motion 150 was approved in Meeau meeting, but no corresponding spec text is present in the draft	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 1932:17Z) Editor No change to draft	N	Resolution already presented in IEEE 802.11-1607:732	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	

2187 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	17	T	Y	59:17:17	25.5.2.4	J	Simone, Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton	16/08/762	50 As a SIFS time is defined in 10.3.7 as $sSIFS_{time} = aRPHYDelay + aMACProcessingDelay + aTCHPHYDelay + aTCHPHYTime + aRAMPONTime + aRAMPONTime + aCCATime$ (8.3.5.2). In the original 802.11 SFS, STAs don't have a time to sense the medium during SIFS. STAAs don't need to perform CCA. STA will (conditionally) have to perform ED-based CCA during SIFS after it receives a trigger frame. If the trigger frame is not received within 11 μs , the STA should include such time.	REJECTED (MU, 2016-07-28) SIFS after a Trigger frame may be interpreted differently (e.g. aRPHYDelay and aTCHPHYDelay may not be needed within the SIFS if MAC padding is included in a Trigger frame, and those can be included for ED-based CCA time).	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR, 2016-08-11 01/07/2017 The resolution on the change is ongoing	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
2188 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	37	T	Y	59:37:37	25.5.2.4	V	Simone, Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton	11-16/08/663	66 The other TID conditions in the Trigger frame CS requirement within SIFS after the Trigger frame will be meaningless.	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-13 1431472) TGx editor to make the Trigger frame within 1600/660 under all headings that include CID 177.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #206	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
2189 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1	25.5.2.5	59	42	T	Y	59:42:42	25.5.2.5	V	Simone, Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton, Yaseu, Junichi	16/08/060	24 The buffer status report needs to be sent after the AP requests. It can be an autonomous report.	REVISED (MU, 2016-07-28) Includes a case that the non-AP STA can autonomously send a BSR after buffer status report.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I		0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2190 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1	25.5.2.5	59	51	T	Y	59:51:51	25.5.2.5	V	David Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton, Yaseu, Junichi, David	16/08/060	24 As now an AMPDU can mix different TIDs, it is not that meaningful that the AP doesn't specify a TID for buffer status report.	REVISED (MU, 2016-07-28) 2155:002) TGx editor to make the Trigger frame within 1600/660 under all headings that include CID 2190.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I		0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2191 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1	25.5.2.5	59	50	T	Y	59:50:50	25.5.2.5	V	Simone, Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton, Yaseu, Junichi, David	16/08/060	The expression of allocating STAs in a SIG field for an MU PPDU and in a Trigger frame should be the same to ease the implementation.	REVISED (MU, 2016-07-28) Under HE control field instead of the Queue Size subfield, 11-1535.522) TGx editor to make the Trigger frame within 1600/660 under all headings that include CID 2191.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I		0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2192 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1			T	Y										EDITOR				2016/11/3 3:32	EDITOR
2193 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1	10.22.2.9	26	T	Y	Y	46:20:26	10.22.2.9	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Kiseon, Lei, Yuchul, Weming, Yaseu	11-16/11772	98 How will the Inter-BSS and Intra-BSS NAVs be treated in the frame body field. This will lack flexibility.	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-20) 0749-142) TGx editor to make the Trigger frame within 1600/660 under all headings that include CID 2193.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
2194 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1	25.5.2.1.57	28	T	Y	Y	57:29:28	25.5.2.2.1	V	Simone, Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton, Yaseu, Junichi, David	11-16/08/293	70 It says "If a Trigger frame is aggregated with other frames in an AMPDU, the Trigger frame shall be the first frame in the AMPDU." But the Trigger frame is not decided to be the first frame in the AMPDU in the SFD.	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-20) 0438-132) TGx editor to make the Trigger frame within 1600/660 under all headings that include CID 2194.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
2195 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1			T	Y				A	Editor		It is already determined that the SIFS between the Trigger frame and the UL MU PPDU is SIFS.	CHANGES TO SIFS and "SIFS" ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-04-27 20:53:55Z. Modified all text instances. Figures still TBD)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-04-27 20:53:55Z. Modified all text instances. Figures still TBD	0.2	2016/11/23 0:17	EDITOR

2210 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 3	14:41:41	9.2.4.6.4. 3	Y	E	Y	Editor	V	Editor	11/23/2017	0.2	2016/11/23/17	EDITOR
2211 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 15 4	15:03:3	9.2.4.6.4. 4	Y	T	Y	Allied, Liwen, Jeongil	MAC	HT Control	2016/517 18:40	EDITOR		
2212 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1 9.3.1.9	16:52	9.3.1.9	Y	T	Y	George Chen, Tomo, Kaiying, Deng Yu, Xiefei	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR		
2213 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1 9.3.1.9.3 16	18:00	9.3.1.9.3	Y	T	Y	George Chen, Tomo, Kaiying, Deng Yu, Xiefei	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR		
2214 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1			E	N		Editor	MAC	Trigger frame format	2016/714 21:37	EDITOR		
2215 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1 9.3.1.23 19	19:01:61	9.3.1.23	Y	T	Y	Liwen, Reza, Yumbo, Siromi, Kai, Kaiying, Reza, Zhou	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR		
2216 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1 9.3.1.23 21	21:01:1	9.3.1.23	Y	T	Y	Liwen, Reza, Yumbo, Siromi, Kai, Kaiying, Reza, Zhou	MAC	Trigger frame format	2016/517 16:38	EDITOR		
2217 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1 9.3.1.23 21	21:25:25	9.3.1.23	Y	T	Y	Liwen, Reza, Yumbo, Siromi, Kai, Kaiying, Reza, Zhou	EDITOR	No change to draft	2016/11/23/21:05	EDITOR		
2218 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1 9.3.1.23 21	21:04:64	9.3.1.23	T	N		Liwen, Reza, Yumbo, Siromi, Kai, Kaiying, Reza, Zhou	MAC	Trigger frame format	2016/517 16:38	EDITOR		

2219 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1	9.3.1.23		T	Y	19.37	9.3.1.23	V	Liuwen, Reza, Yueno, Shiono, Egami, Po-Kai, Kelson, Kato, Rossi, Zhou	56 As there is no explanation for the Basic Trigger and the Beamforming Report Poll Trigger, does it mean that these two don't have Trigger Dependent Common info and Trigger Dependent Per User Info fields?	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 19:50:17Z) - Text added based on document 11-160650. The UL MU CS mechanism is added in 160667 and not in passed in IEEE 802.11 May meeting. [Add comment] The UL MU CS mechanism is added in 11-16072563.]	EDITOR: 2016-08-01 19:07:30Z. Numerous editorial changes. missing nouns (Trigger, STA) are added. Paragraphs to remove redundancy. Naming consistency (Basic Trigger variant, field names).	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
2220 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.1	1	T	Y	22.01.1	9.3.1.23.1	V	Liuwen, Reza, Yueno, Shiono, Egami, Po-Kai, Kelson, Kato, Rossi, Zhou	120 The explanation of the MUBAR variant needs to be reconsidered. Figure 9-51d is completely the same with Figure 9-51c. The UL MU CS mechanism is added in 160667 and not in passed in IEEE 802.11 May meeting. [Add comment] The UL MU CS mechanism is added in 11-16072563.]	Correct the explanation of the MUBAR variant.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 10:03:38Z) - Revised in 11-16-124162	EDITOR: 2016-09-19 22:17:36Z. Editor is unable to make sense of the editing instructions. No instructions to the UL MU CS mechanism. The editing instructions that are present in 16/124162 insert two paragraphs in 25.4	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	
2221 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.1.22	19	E	Y	22.19.19	9.3.1.23.1	A	Editor	"Trigger-dependent per User Info field is defined in ..." should be "Trigger Dependent Per User Info field is defined in ..."	As in comment	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-29 16:56:32Z)	EDITOR: 2016-04-29 16:56:46Z - see #1520	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2222 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.2.22		T	Y	22.00	9.3.1.23.2	V	Liuwen, Reza, Yueno, Shiono, Egami, Po-Kai, Kelson, Kato, Rossi, Zhou	26 How will the Trigger Dependent Common Info and the Trigger Dependent Per User Info fields be? Add such description.	As in comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08 19:55:39Z) Agree in principle with the commenter that the UL MU CS mechanism is added in 160667 and not in passed in IEEE 802.11 May meeting. [Add comment] The UL MU CS mechanism is added in 11-16072563.]	EDITOR: 2016-08-10 22:45:15Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2223 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.2.2	49	T	Y	22.49.49	9.3.1.23.2	V	Liuwen, Reza, Yueno, Shiono, Egami, Po-Kai, Kelson, Kato, Rossi, Zhou	26 It says "The DurationID field is defined in 9.2.5.2 DurationID field (QoS STA)". What is the difference between the one in 9.2.5.2 and the one in 9.2.5.2.2? If it is the same, delete the sentence.	Further, based on the agreement in 16048, CTS solicited by MURTS shall be duplicated in the UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger. The UL MU CS fields used by the MURTS and UL MU CS are treated as reserved.	EDITOR: 2016-08-10 22:50:10Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
2224 Tomoko Adachi	23	0.1	10.3.2.8a		E	Y		10.3.2.8a	A	Editor	Figures 10-a.1, 10-a.2, and 10-a.3 are hard to read. Enlarge the figures in 11-16072563.]	Finally, based on the comment resolution document 16/70, User Identifier field in the Peer-to-peer channel is added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. Also, DurationID field is added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit HE trigger are added in 1953/592/Agree with the commenter. The UL MU CS fields used to solicit					

2249 Wiemin Xing	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 14 2	9.2.4.6.4. 2	14:32:32	Y	T	Y	Alied, Lwenn, Jeongil	V			add a text such as "the UL LSI is a 128-bit period of the L-SIG Length field of the OFDM symbol to cover a wider range, and to additionally indicate the UL LSI length field size to obtain some bits that can be used for other purposes (e.g., AP TX Power, Target RSSI, MCS). TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16009293 and 11-16007664 that include CID 2248.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-08) EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:26	EDITOR
2250 Wiemin Xing	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 15 4	9.2.4.6.4. 4	16:24:24	Y	T	Y	Alied, Lwenn, Jeongil	V			The HE-MCS subfield in link adaptation indicates the recommended HE-MCS but not the recommended HE-MCS is intended? Intended? It is not clear the condition for this paragraph change to "When the HE-MCS subfield is 0, ...". Number subfield is "1".	MAC	HT Control		2016/5/17 18:40	EDITOR
2251 Wiemin Xing	23	0.1 9.3.1.9.7 19	9.3.1.9.7	19:12:12	Y	E	Y	Editor	515 A			change the figure 9-51e as the REUSED (MU: 2016-09-21) EDITOR	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28) EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2252 Wiemin Xing	23	0.1 9.3.1.23.1 22	9.3.1.23.1	22:10:10	Y	T	Y	Lwenn, Reza, Yoon, Yoon, Everyn, Po, Kwon, Ross, Zhou	V			change the figure 9-51e as the REUSED (MU: 2016-09-21) EDITOR "Trigger Dependent Common Info field for MU-BAR variant" Per User Info field for MU-BAR 9.3.1.23.1 is removed and text is added to the document "Figure 9-14e" to "Figure 9-51e" document added. Info field for MU-BAR not common info, the title is wrong. Add the text in L01, ... as define in Figure 9-14e ... "should be "... as define in Figure 9-51e ..."	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2253 Wiemin Xing	23	0.1 9.3.3.5 23	9.3.3.5	23:44:44	Y	G	Y	Matl Fischer	V	16/12/26/63		delete the HE Operation element" in the HE-MCS subfield, required frame, Retransmission Request frame.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15) EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2254 Wiemin Xing	23	0.1 25.3.3 55	25.3.3	55:35:35	Y	T	Y	Alied, Ming Gan, Mark Reza, Yoon, Wooyin, Narendra	V	16/04/10		change this paragraph to "Set the Block Ack Bitmap field to 1" each bit in location B of the Block Ack Bitmap field received fragment and shall set comment. Proposed resolution it to 0 otherwise, with B as that of CID 2270. B= (SN-SSN)/mod 4096 "Y-RN" SN is the value of the sequence number of the MPDU containing the fragment for which the receive status is indicated. FN is the value of the Fragment Number subfield of the MPDU containing the fragment for which the receive status is indicated."Y-a The value of the Starting Sequence Number subfield of the Block Ack frame shall be the value of the BlockAck frame."	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2255 Wiemin Xing	23	0.1 25.5.2.2.1 57	25.5.2.2.1	57:25:25	Y	T	Y	Simone, Reza, Kwon, Lei, Son, Anton, Yaseu, Junichi, David	V	11-16009293		70 in REUSE 0, the product, also clarify BAWACK and Trigger frame of the AMPDU. Clarified the order, which is ACKBA first, then trigger frame. TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16009293	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20) EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2256 Wiemin Xing	23	0.1 25.5.2.2.1 59	25.5.2.2.1	59:04:4	Y	T	Y	Simone, Reza, Kwon, Lei, Tomo, John Son, Anton	J	11-16008553		65 change "A NAV is considered in virtual CS..." to "A NAV is considered busy in virtual CS..." The sentence in P56L77 and P56L78 should be removed. virtual CS indicates busy for UL MU CS. Specifically, the NAV counter needs to be incremented when the STA will indicate busy. Hence, the suggested change is not accurate	REJECTED (MU: 2016-09-13) EDITOR	No change to draft		2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR

2269	Woojin Ahn	23	0.1	25.3.3	53	23	T	Y	53.23.23	25.3.3	J	Alfred, Ming Gao, Mark Reza, Laurent, Woojin, Narendar	16.62860	42	Regardless of the fragmentation support level of the originator, the receiver shall not transmit multiple fragments per MSDU in an A-MPDU as long as the originator has not received an Ack frame when the received fragment is contained in a VHT single MPDU that is not acknowledged. However, the spec can be read as the receiver cannot set to 1 in the Fragmentation Support level field in the BA frame, unless the Fragmentation Support level of the originator is 0. The spec is distributed that an originator with Fragmentation Support level 1 shall not transmit fragments per MSDU in an A-MPDU.	Change the following paragraph at 25.3.3 line 4: "The originator shall not transmit multiple fragments per MSDU in an A-MPDU as long as the originator has not received an Ack frame when the received fragment is contained in a VHT single MPDU that is not acknowledged. However, the spec can be read as the receiver cannot set to 1 in the Fragmentation Support level field in the BA frame, unless the Fragmentation Support level of the originator is 0. The spec is distributed that an originator with Fragmentation Support level 1 shall not transmit fragments per MSDU in an A-MPDU."	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-27) EDITOR	No change to draft	N			2016/11/23 2:05	EDITOR
2270	Woojin Ahn	23	0.1	25.3.3	55	33	T	Y	55.33.33	25.3.3	V	Alfred, Ming Gao, Mark Reza, Laurent, Woojin, Narendar	16.64110	108	The formula of the bit location B is not clear. Replace it with $B = 4 \lfloor (SN - SSN) * FN \rfloor$	Change the following paragraph at 25.3.3 line 32: "Set to 1 each bit in location B of the BlockAck control field that corresponds to a successfully received fragment and shall set it to 0 otherwise. (SN - SSN) + FN, where SN is the sequence number value, SSN is the value of the Starting Sequence Number subfield of the BlockAck Starting Sequence Control subfield. If bit B is the fragment number value."	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-13) EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see also #2268	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
2271	Woojin Ahn	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	57	51	T	Y	57.51.51	25.5.2.3	J	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kisorn, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junichi, David	11-1600293	70	When AP accesses the PPDUs, AP can be aware of the ACs of the fragmenting UL traffic by buffer status reports. For the AP, AP shall consider the ACs of allocable UL traffics reported from the secondary 40 transmits. AP shall consider the ACs of the fragmenting UL traffic and shall also the operation of EDCAT of the corresponding UL traffic. If the rule defined in 25.5.2.4. How	Insert the following at line 57: "The proposed resolution is incomplete. Also, STAs are allowed to send any TD. The proposed resolution would be used by the STA."	REJECTED (MU: 2016-09-20) EDITOR	No change to draft	N			2016/11/23 2:05	EDITOR
2272	Woojin Ahn	23	0.1	25.5.2.5	59	58	T	Y	59.58.58	25.5.2.5	J	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kisorn, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junichi, David	16.68060	24	before a UL STA assigned in secondary 40 transmits. AP shall consider the ACs of the fragmenting UL traffic and shall also the operation of EDCAT of the corresponding UL traffic. If the rule defined in 25.5.2.4. How	Insert the following at line 24: "The proposed resolution is incomplete. Also, STAs are allowed to send any TD. The proposed resolution would be used by the STA."	REJECTED (MU: 2016-07-28) EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 18:23:10Z: The resolution contains no editing instructions.		2016/11/23 2:05	EDITOR
2273	Xiaofei Wang	23	0.1	9.2.4	11	59	T	N	11.59.59	9.2.4	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Kisorn, Lei, Tomoo, John Son, Anton, Yasu, Junichi, David	11-1613611	130	The changes for 9.2.4.5 is missing for the agreements of QoS control fields (e.g. DL MU PPDUs) and policy (BSR)	add the missing insert to the BSR of a specific TD, the STA shall also the operation of EDCAT of the corresponding UL traffic. If the rule defined in 25.5.2.4. How	REVISED (MU: 2016-11-10) EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-11-18 22:29:25Z: The resolution contains no editing instructions.		2016/11/23 2:05	EDITOR
2274	Xiaofei Wang	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	7	T	N	21.07.7	9.3.1.23		Liyun, Reza, Yinbo, Simone, Eugeny Pokorskiy, Kisorn, Rossi, Zhou Yinbo, Reza, Simone, Eugeny Pokorskiy, Kisorn, Rossi, Zhou			Is the trigger for BSR one of the 4 types?	Clarify how BSR trigger is signaled	MAC	Trigger frame format			2016/05/17 18:39	EDITOR	
2275	Xiaofei Wang	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	39	T	N	21.39.39	9.3.1.23		Liyun, Reza, Yinbo, Simone, Eugeny Pokorskiy, Kisorn, Rossi, Zhou			It is not clear how a receiving STA of the trigger frame knows which resource is used for reserved AID in the User Info random access.	Indicate a mechanism to indicate the random access reserved AID in the User Info random access	MAC	Trigger frame format			2016/05/17 18:39	EDITOR	

2276 Xiaofei Wang	23	0.1	94.2.196	26	43	T	N	26.43.43	94.2.196	V	Matt Fischer	16/2663	119 The definition of Broadcast TWT element is contained in a beacon frame. It is broadcast without ambiguity	remove L43-L56	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 09:51:33Z) - Revise - see if Broadcast bit is extended to allow a broadcast TWT response to a request for an individual TWT agreement and to allow an explicit request for a broadcast TWT agreement as well as identifying the specific role of the transmitter of a TWT IE. T Gax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/2663 under all headings that include CID 2276	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR 17:29:33Z - edits in 16/1892 are implemented under #1214	I
2277 Xiaofei Wang	23	0.1	94.2.196	27	16	T	N	27.16.16	94.2.196	V	Matt Fischer	16/2663	119 It is unclear what the value should be set for the TWT command setup field for the TWT element within the beacon	Suggest to add description for the quoted case and use 6 for TWT command setup field to match the draft text changes to resolve the comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 09:51:33Z) - Revise - see if Broadcast bit is extended to allow a broadcast TWT response to a request for an individual TWT agreement and to allow an explicit request for a broadcast TWT agreement as well as identifying the specific role of the transmitter of a TWT IE. T Gax editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/2663 under all headings that include CID 2276	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR 17:29:33Z - edits in 16/1892 are implemented under #1214	I
2278 Xiaofei Wang	23	0.1	94.2.196	28	21	T	N	28.21.21	94.2.196	V	Matt Fischer	16/2663	119 The sentence is confusing and not clear what the wake time is for the TWT response frame is the TBTT of the broadcast TWT, or the actual start time of the TWT SP	Specify the wake time in the TWT response frame with the trigger field set to 1, is the TBTT for the broadcast TWT response to a request for an individual TWT agreement	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 09:51:33Z) - Revised - Agree to allow a broadcast TWT command setup field 16-11891 which makes appropriate draft text changes to resolve the comment	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR 14:47:07Z - see #1524	I
2279 Xiaofei Wang	23	0.1	10.3.1	39	23	T	N	39.23.23	10.3.1	J	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yurbo, Kiseon, Yuchil, Weiming, Xiaofei, Jing, Yau	16/08072	25 The phrase "prior to the actual data frame" is not needed.	remove the phrase	REJECTED (MU: 2016-07-28 23:11:02Z) - Rejected - Agree to allow a broadcast TWT command setup field 16-11891 which makes appropriate draft text changes to resolve the comment	EDITOR No change to draft	2016/11/23 17:05	EDITOR 2016-08-10 22:51:10Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	N	
2280 Xiaofei Wang	23	0.1	10.3.2.Ba	40	31	T	N	40.31.31	10.3.2.Ba.1	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yurbo, Kiseon, Yuchil, Weiming, Xiaofei, Jing, Yau	16/08072	It is not known whether both STA1 and STA2 have replied to the request for the TWT. needed here to ensure that both STA1 and STA2 are ready.	Add procedures to ensure both STA1 and STA2 are ready	EDITOR Need Proposal	2016/4/26 17:56	EDITOR 2016-08-10 22:51:10Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/4/26 17:56	EDITOR	
2281 Xiaofei Wang	23	0.1	10.3.2.Ba	40	54	T	N	40.54.54	10.3.2.Ba.2	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yurbo, Kiseon, Yuchil, Weiming, Xiaofei, Jing, Yau	16/08072	25 The phrase "immediately preceding DL PPDU" is confusing and should be removed, since there may not be a CTS transmitted in the previous frame. If there is no "immediate preceding DL PPDU" to speak of, then there is no "immediate preceding DL PPDU" to speak of.	remove the phrase	REVISED (MU: 2016-07-28 23:08:59Z) - Revised - Agree to allow a broadcast TWT command setup field 16-11891 which makes appropriate draft text changes to resolve the comment	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR 00:21:10Z - see #1644	I
2282 Xiaofei Wang	23	0.1	10.3.2.Ba	40	54	T	N	40.54.54	10.3.2.Ba.2	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yurbo, Kiseon, Yuchil, Weiming, Xiaofei, Jing, Yau	16/08072	25 The phrase "There is at least one STA" is very confusing. what does it mean that there is at least one STA? Is it referring to the STA that is receiving or transmitting? If it is meant that a STA is being requested to transmit on that, then the phrase "There is at least one STA" is not needed. The phrase "There is at least one STA" is not needed.	clarify and rephrase to make the text clear.	REVISED (MU: 2016-07-28 23:10:09Z) - Revised - Agree to allow a broadcast TWT command setup field 16-11891 which makes appropriate draft text changes to resolve the comment	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR 00:29:57Z - see #1644	I
2283 Xiaofei Wang	23	0.1	10.22.2.9	46	25	T	N	46.25.25	10.22.2.9	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yurbo, Kiseon, Yuchil, Weiming, Xiaofei, Jing, Yau	11-16/11772	98 This procedure does not seem to clearly include the concept of the TWT frame. It is not clear how it is implemented. While the concept of Inter-BSS and Intra-BSS NAV are included in the TWT frame, it is not clear how this being handled?	please clarify	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20 07:49:46Z) - Revised - Agree to allow a broadcast TWT command setup field 16-11891 which makes appropriate draft text changes to resolve the comment	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR 00:29:57Z - see #1644	I
2284 Xiaofei Wang	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	52	T	N	63.52.52	25.9.2	Bo Sun,			Draft states "A STA should revise the NAV depending on the conditions at the recipient of the ongoing OBSS frame". This is not clear. It is not clear what conditions are specified to consider a procedure that may revise the NAV depending on the conditions at the recipient of the ongoing OBSS frame."	change "should" to "may" in 1611772 under all headings for CID 2283.	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	2016/5/17 16:35	EDITOR 2016/5/17 16:35	Spatial		

2297	Yasuhiko Ito	23	0.1 92.4.6.4. 14 2	8	G	Y	14.08.8	9.2.4.6.4. 2	V	Allred, Lwien, 11-1677661 Jeongil	20 TBDs in this subclause has to be determined.	Please resolve TBDs.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-09 11:35:01) Zapper in principle with the comment. The proposed resolution is to resolve the TBDs and define the unknowns of this subclause.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2298	Yasuhiko Ito	23	0.1 92.4.6.4. 14 3	38	G	Y	14.38.38	9.2.4.6.4. 3	V	Allred	112 TBDs in this subclause has to be determined.	Please resolve TBDs.	TCx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16077661 under all headings that include CID 2297.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2299	Yasuhiko Ito	23	0.1 92.4.6.4. 15 4	1	G	Y	15.01.1	9.2.4.6.4. 4	V	Allred, Lwien, 11-1677661 Jeongil	TBDs in this subclause has to be determined.	Please resolve TBDs.	TCx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16077661 under all headings that include CID 2298.	MAC	HT Control	2016/5/17 18:40	EDITOR	
2300	Yasuhiko Ito	23	0.1 93.1.9.3 17 29	29	E	Y	17.29.29	9.3.1.9.3 29	A	Editor	NOTE: When the Fragment Number sub-field is equal to 1 then the Block-Ack Bitmap field is split into 16 subbitmaps. The subbitmaps have the same status for 4 fragments of each of the 16 MSDUs.	As in the comment	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 04:28 16:41:07): Revised to match normative text in preceding paragraph. Note that the proposed resolution in the baseline that disallow fragmentation of AMSDUs.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2301	Yasuhiko Ito	23	0.1 93.1.23 19 61	61	T	Y	19.61.61	9.3.1.23 61	V	Lwien, Reza, 11-1607741 Yushiko Ito, 11-1677661 Eugeny, Po-Kei, 11-1677661 Kleoni	58 TBDs to be determined. 1 TBD in a 1000 frame contains the RA field or not.	Please resolve TBDs.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 19:22:42): Proposed resolution resolves these TBDs. [Ad hoc db editor: Yushiko Ito] under this CID in 11-1607741.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2302	Yasuhiko Ito	23	0.1 93.1.23 19.37	37	T	Y	19.37	9.3.1.23 37	V	Rossi, Zhou Lwien, Reza, 11-1607801 Yushiko Ito, 11-1677661 Eugeny, Po-Kei, 11-1677661 Kleoni	59 Clause 9.3.1.23 is very dependent per-user information is contained in the trigger frame. It shall be contained the per-user information field of the trigger frame. I guess some figures and figure captions are not correct.	Please double check entire subclause	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 19:22:42): Revised to resolve these TBDs. [Ad hoc db editor: Yushiko Ito] under this CID in 11-1607801.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2303	Yasuhiko Ito	23	0.1 93.1.23.1 22 3	3	E	Y	22.03.3	9.3.1.23.1 3	V	Editor	Two kinds of expression meaning the same thing: - Trigger Dependent - Trigger-Dependent	Trigger Dependent or Trigger-dependent	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-28 28:22:29.33Z) - Use field name, i.e., Trigger Dependent User Info	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2304	Yasuhiko Ito	23	0.1 93.3.5 93.3.6		T	Y	7.11	6.3	V	Yasuhiko Ito	Use of the same expression is preferred.	ADD HE Operation as a parameter of those service primitives, if necessary.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 08:44:02): Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2305	Yasuhiko Ito	23	0.1 93.3.7 93.3.8	24	T	Y	24.00	9.3.3.7 93.3.8	V	Yasuhiko Ito	75 A primitive parameter corresponding to the HE Operation element has to be REASSOCIATE request, MLME-REASSOCIATE confirm, MLME-REASSOCIATE indication, and MLME-REASSOCIATE response for Reassociation Request/Response.	ADD HE Operation as a parameter of those service primitives, if necessary.	TCx editor to make the changes shown in 11-1608446 under all headings that include CID 2304.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR

2320 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.5.1	56	31	T	Y	56.31.31	25.5.1	J	Simone, Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Yashu, Junichi, David	11-16-136.11	130 In the current draft, specification of the non-HE transmission uses the primary channel (20MHz or 40MHz). The secondary channels are not HE transmission and HE transmission is not allowed. This is a waste of frequency resources and degrades the system level performance. It is necessary to solve this problem since non-HE (legacy) STAs will be used for many years.	For the better utilization of the frequency channels, the 11ax specifications should have a mechanism that allows a non-HE transmission and HE transmission at the same time and the HE PHY does not allow simultaneous non-HE transmissions.	REJECTED (MU, 2016-11-10) 20:59:30Z	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR, 2016-11-22, 18:46:46Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05	
2321 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.5.2.1	57		T	Y	57.00	25.5.2.1	V	Simone, Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Yashu, Junichi, David	11-16/026093	70 Editing expressions are not clear to me. "Trigger information TBD" contained in the MAC Header" in line 19, "Trigger information Field info in MAC header TBD" in line 25.	Clarify, please.	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-20) 05:04:12Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	
2322 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.57	39	E	Y	Y	57.39.39	25.5.2.2.2	1629 A	Editor		Type	"Commin info field" -> "Common info field"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-03) 18:35:11Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-05-03, 18:35:06Z. see #1629	2016/11/23 20:17	
2323 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	43	E	Y	58.43.43	25.5.2.3	A	Editor		Type	"response" -> "response"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-03) 19:26:15Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-05-03, 19:26:15Z	2016/11/23 20:17	
2324 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.5.2.6.1	60	12	E	Y	60.12.12	25.5.2.6.1	V	Editor		The Figure 25-1 is too small.	Please put a bigger figure.	REVISED (EDITOR, 2016-09-23) 19:11:36Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	2016/9/23 18:12	EDITOR	
2325 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.5.3	61	6	E	Y	61.06.6	25.5.3	Editor	Editor		The Figure 25-2 is too small.	Please put a bigger figure.	EDITOR	Editorials	Editorials	I	2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR	
2326 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.6	61	42	E	Y	61.45.42	25.6	Editor	Editor		The Figure 25-3 is too small.	Please put a bigger figure.	EDITOR	Editorials	Editorials	I	2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR	
2327 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.6.1	62	9	E	Y	62.09.9	25.6.1	A	Editor		Wrong reference.	"10.41 (Notification of operating mode changes)" shall be "10.41 (Notification of operating mode change)".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-03) 20:02:25Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-05-03, 20:02:29Z	2016/11/23 20:17	
2328 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.6.1	62	10	G	Y	62.10.10	25.6.1	V	Jarkko, Jaysh, Weiming, Alfred, Reza	1688112	104 ROM should be listed in 3.4	As in the comment	REVISED (MAC, 2016-05-13) 11:30:40Z - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	0.5	2016/5/29 16:35	
2329 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.6.2	62	56	E	Y	62.56.56	25.6.2	A	Editor		Wrong reference.	"9.19.24 (Multiple frame transmission)" should be "9.19.24 (Multiple frame transmission in an EDCA TXOP)".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-04) 21:07:46Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-05-04, 21:07:46Z. see #1671	2016/11/23 20:17	
2330 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.6.2			T	Y	62.33	25.6.2	V	Jarkko, Jaysh, Weiming, Alfred, Reza	1688112	104 Clause 25.6.2 has some TBDs that have to be resolved.	Please resolve TBDs.	REVISED (MAC, 2016-05-13) 11:30:40Z - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	0.5	2016/5/29 16:35	
2331 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.9.2	63		E	Y	63.28	25.9.2	V	Editor		"An STA determines whether ..."	As in the comment	REVISED (EDITOR, 2016-05-04) 21:13:21Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-05-04, 21:13:21Z	2016/11/23 20:17	
2332 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	36	T	Y	63.36.36	25.9.2	V	Bo Sun, Weiming, Reza, Junichi, Liwen, Jie, Jiang, Yashu, Owen, Geopeng, Yashu, Yuchi, James, Weiming, Editor	8891	48 There are some TBDs that have to be resolved.	Please resolve TBDs in this subclause.	REVISED - Agreed to the comment. Please change to the Resolution for CID 703.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	
2333 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.9.2	63		E	Y	63.00	25.9.2	V			This subclause use "OBSS_PD" while "OBSS_PD" is used in other parts of the draft.	Please use the same expression throughout the draft.	REVISED (EDITOR, 2016-05-04) 21:10:12Z - Use "OBSS_PD" throughout	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-05-04, 21:10:27Z	2016/11/23 20:17	
2334 Yasufuko house	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	42	E	Y	63.42.42	25.9.2	A	Editor		Type	".., that is greater than the minimum receiver sensitivity level."	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-05-05) 15:14:13Z	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-05-05, 15:14:17Z	2016/11/23 20:17	
														The minimum receiver sensitivity level" shall be "The minimum receiver sensitivity level".							

2333	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 25.9.3	63	62	T	Y	63.62.62	25.9.3	Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosi, Junchi, Liven, Xiangfei, Jing, Jiongji, Yashu, Guen, Gengfeng, Yashu, Yashu, James, Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosi, Junchi, Liven, Xiangfei, Jing, Jiongji, Yashu, Guen, Gengfeng, Yashu, Yashu, Laurent	A	Editor	As in the comment. signal detect CCA or ... Following technical terms have to be determined before being used: - CCA ED level - 802.11 signal detect CCA	SR	Spatial Reuse	2016/517 1839	EDITOR
2334	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 25.9.3	63	63	T	Y	63.63.63	25.9.3	Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosi, Junchi, Liven, Xiangfei, Jing, Jiongji, Yashu, Guen, Gengfeng, Yashu, Yashu, James, Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosi, Junchi, Liven, Xiangfei, Jing, Jiongji, Yashu, Guen, Gengfeng, Yashu, Yashu, Laurent	A	Editor	Please resolve TBD. The constraints on selecting threshold values are TBD.	SR	Spatial Reuse	2016/517 1839	EDITOR
2337	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 25.10.1	64	8	E	Y	64.08.8	25.10.1	Editor	A	Editor	... that includes QoS Data when there is two or more different TFS, ... that includes QoS Data when there is more than one different TFS, ... Wrong inference. Type? "X,Y Spatial Reuse" shall be "25.9 (Spatial Reuse)" Type? "X,Y Spatial Reuse" shall be "25.9 (Spatial Reuse)" include a minimum post-FEC padding determined by the post-FEC padding factor/...	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2338	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 25.11	65	55	E	Y	65.55.55	25.11	Editor	A	Editor	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:04:41Z)	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2339	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 25.12	66	26	E	Y	66.26.26	25.12	Editor	1597 V	Editor	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:04:41Z)	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2340	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 25.13.2	67	15	E	Y	67.15.15	25.13.2	Editor	1029 A	Editor	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:04:41Z)	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2341	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 26.2.2	72	23	E	Y	72.23.23	26.2.2	Editor	1029 A	Editor	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 16:04:41Z)	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2342	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 26.2.2	72	58	T	Y	72.58.58	26.2.2	Bo Sun	J	Editor	11-16/06/63/4	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2343	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 26.3.2	75	3	E	Y	75.03.3	26.3.2	Editor	A	Editor	11-16/06/63/4	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2344	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 26.3.2	74	25	E	Y	74.25.25	26.3.2	Editor	1032 V	Editor	11-16/06/63/4	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2345	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 26.3.6	85	56	T	Y	85.56.56	26.3.6	Bin Tian	V	Editor	11-16/06/63/4	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2346	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 26.3.7.1	88	50	T	Y	88.50.50	26.3.7.1	Jinoo, Shaimaz	V	Editor	11-16/06/63/4	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2347	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 26.3.9.7.4	110	40	E	Y	110.40.40	26.3.9.7.4	Editor	Editor	Editor	11-16/06/63/4	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2348	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 26.3.10.2	134	2	E	Y	134.02.2	26.3.10.2	Editor	V	Editor	11-16/06/63/4	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2349	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 26.3.10.2	134	3	E	Y	134.03.3	26.3.10.2	Editor	V	Editor	11-16/06/63/4	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2350	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 26.3.15	158	32	T	Y	158.32.32	26.3.15	Qinghua Li, Yashu, Yashu, Chen	V	Editor	11-16/06/63/4	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR
2351	Yusufkio house	23	0.1 26.3.13.1	168	3	T	Y	158.03.3	26.3.13.1	Dawson	V	Editor	11-16/06/63/4	EDITOR	EDITOR	2016/11/23/2017	EDITOR

2352	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.1.1	69	31	T	Y	69.31.31	26.1.1	V	Sameer, Bo Sun	11-16006141	4 It is seemed that "Non-contiguous channels" in this sentence has the different context from the original definition.	Change "non-contiguous channels" to "non-contiguous RUs".	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:59:23Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-01 18:01:21Z - see #679	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2353	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.1.1	70	10	E	N	70.10.10	26.1.1	V	Editor		Not only "addition" but also "revisions" may be expected.	Change "have additions" to "have additions and/or revisions."	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:57:58Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:57:58Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2354	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.1.2	70	16	E	Y	70.16.16	26.1.2	V	Editor		"Training format" -> "Frame format"	As in comment.	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:59:52Z) - A "PSDU" is a unit of data transfer between the MAC and the PHY. It is not transferred between STAs (that is PPDU). "Frame" is a term synonymous with MPDU in 802.11 and "frame format" is not used in IEEE 802.11. Change the sentence so that it is not used in IEEE 802.11. The PSDU received from the MAC into a PPDU for transmission to one or more receiving STAs."	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 19:24:42Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2355	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.2.2	72	37	E	Y	72.37.37	26.2.2	1029 A	Editor		There are erroneously duplicated values (from L37 to L45).	Delete them.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 19:47:42Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 19:47:48Z - see #1029	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2356	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.2.2	73	31	E	N	73.31.31	26.2.2	V	Editor		As well as clause 21, user index of "u" should be capitalized.	As in comment.	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:02:46Z) - Use italic for "u".	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:02:56Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2357	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.2	75	43	E	N	75.43.43	26.3.2	A	Editor		The sentence should start with "As in comment."	As in comment.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:38:48Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:38:51Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2358	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.2	75	43	E	N	75.43.43	26.3.2	V	Editor		"L" should be "LENGTH"	As in comment.	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:41:02Z) - Change the value of the LENGTH field in the L-SIG field (adding articles as well)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:41:24Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2359	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.3	76	39	E	N	76.39.39	26.3.3	Editor		"Duplicate" should be replaced with "Replicate" as well as Figure 21-5.	As in comment.	EDITOR	Editorials			2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR			
2360	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.3	77	10	E	N	77.10.10	26.3.3	V	Editor	11-16006395	13 In Figure 26-7, output of the block of "Multiply by 1st column the block." Multiply by 1st column of PP is 2, however, the figure suggests that there may be 3 or more spatial streams.	Fix the number of the column of "Multiply by 1st column the block." Multiply by 1st column of PP is 2.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:35:32Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 16:20:18Z - Updated with Vello file from Xiangang Chen (email)	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2361	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.3	80	15	E	Y	80.15.15	26.3.3	Editor		As well as Figure 21-7, the first user index should be zero.	As in comment.	EDITOR	Editorials			2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR			
2362	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.3	80	19	T	Y	80.19.19	26.3.3	V	Xiangang Chen	11-16006545	13 As well as Figure 21-7, CSD should be applied to the first spatial stream for user N _u (user).	As in comment.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:35:41Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 18:48:24Z - see #277	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2363	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.3	80	26	E	Y	80.26.26	26.3.3	Editor		N _u " should be replaced with "N _u (user)".	As in comment.	EDITOR	Editorials			2016/4/14 17:16	EDITOR			
2364	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.6	85	41	T	Y	85.41.41	26.3.6	J	Bin Tian	11-16006592	7 Both "L" and "M" should be replaced with "M" and "L" respectively. The transmission which does not have RU index.	As in comment.	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 08:41:26Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	No changes to draft	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2365	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.7	87	36	G	N	87.36.36	26.3.7	A	Jinsoo, Sharmaz	11-16112712	117 The information of this sentence is redundant.	Delete the two sentences.	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-05-15 11:41:58Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-26 16:20:59Z	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
2366	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.7	87	45	T	Y	87.45.45	26.3.7	A	Jinsoo, Sharmaz	11-16112712	117 Whether FFT is used or not is implementation dependent.	Replace "FFT" with "DFT".	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-05-15 11:42:55Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-26 16:22:44Z	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
2367	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	87	55	T	N	87.55.55	26.3.7.1	J	Jinsoo, Sharmaz	11-16006582	9 The text of this subsection is redundant.	Shrink the section.	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 09:53:58Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	No changes to draft	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2368	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.7.3	93	47	E	N	93.47.47	26.3.7.3	V	Editor		The number "13" is hidden by red arrow in Figure 26-16.	Revise it.	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-14 21:08:36Z) - Reverse Figures 26-16 to 26-20 so that arrows are black and behind text	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 21:08:36Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2369	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.8	95	7	E	N	95.07.7	26.3.8	A	Editor		"n" in "1-comp-N _{sc} [col]" should be italic font.	As in comment.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 13:55:06Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 13:55:09Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2370	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.8	99	60	T	Y	99.60.60	26.3.8	J	Rui Cao	11-16113693	80 There is no table for cyclic shift.	Add it.	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-05-13 21:13:07Z)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-05-26 17:47:47Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2371	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.9.4	103	3	E	Y	103.03.3	26.3.9.4	J	Editor		"p ₀ " should not be capitalized.	As in comment.	REJECTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:49:41Z) - There is no change to draft.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:50:27Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2372	Yasuaki Takatori	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.4.11	111	11	E	N	111.11.11	26.3.9.7.4	A	Editor		R should be replaced with R _n .	As in comment.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 21:26:50Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 21:26:54Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR

2373 Yongqiang Fang	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.1	11	4	E	Y	11.04.4	9.2.4.6.1	V	Editor	The VHT and HE variant control field should be in the Table 9-9a, not 9-9b.	change Table 9-9a to Table 9-9ba	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-27) 0.2 2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-27 23:27.47Z	0.2	2016/11/23/2017 EDITOR
2374 Yongqiang Fang	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.1	25	25	E	Y	11.25.25	9.2.4.6.4.1	V	Editor	Add the "A-Color" in the Abbreviations and acronyms table. Clarify the meaning of "binding" in the CTS or CTS + Blooming PPDUI7	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-13) 0.5 2016/09/29 18:35 EDITOR	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-22 17:57.47Z - see #257.9	0.5	2016/09/29 18:35 EDITOR	
2375 Yongqiang Fang	23	0.1	9.2.5.2	14	15	T	Y	14.15.15	9.2.5.2	V	Po-Kai	58 In order to make backward compatibility, the RA field of Trigger frame is TBD. The RA field of the HE variant field is not defined. The RA field could be set to BSSID or the group address of receiving STAs.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29) 0.3 2016/09/23 18:24 EDITOR	I	EDITOR: 2016-07-29 23:25.00Z- See #102	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24 EDITOR	
2376 Yongqiang Fang	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	17	23	T	Y	17.23.23	9.3.1.23	V	Liuwen, Reza, Yuhao, Kison, Wang, Xuefeng, Jing, Yashu, Zhou	119 The BSS Color is included in HE-SIGCA. Please clarify why it is not defined in the HE variant field. The HE variant field is not defined						

2385	Yongqiang Fang	23	0.1	25.93	50	9	T	N	50.09.9	25.93	V	Bo Sun, Yongqiang, Rosa, Junchi, Jingyi, Xiaohu, Jing, Jongil, Yasu, Guan, Georgiang, Yuan, Yuan, Yuan, James, Chen, Kiaon, Yunbo, Reza, Yunbo, Reza, Yunbo, Reza, Biao, Yong Gan, Yuichi	12236	76 It needs to clarify what transmit power control to be used.	REVISED Agree in principle with the adjustment. The text for the adjustment rule in document 12236 clarifies this.	EDITOR D0.5	EDITOR, 2016-09-20 21:54:16Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
2387	Yongqiang Fang	23	0.1	25.32	53	14	T	Y	53.14.14	25.13.2	V	Chenb, Kiaon, Yunbo, Reza, Yunbo, Reza, Yunbo, Reza, Biao, Yong Gan, Yuichi	11-16/14560	127 how does AP indicate the target trigger frame transmit time in the beacon is not clear. The text needs to define the IE and procedure in the spec	REVISED (MAC: 2016-11-12 014300Z)The revised text illustrates that the indication of one or multiple start times are in the TWT element. No additional IEs are required for such signaling.	EDITOR D1.0	EDITOR, 2016-11-22 1752:43Z	1	2016/11/29 23:43	EDITOR
2388	Yongho Kim	23	0.1	92.4.6.4	13	63	T	Y	13.63.63	9.2.4.6.4	V	Alied, Liwen, 11-16/08060 Jongil	11-16/08060	127 Since the request for buffer status from AP and buffer status report from STA are sent as in 25.2.5.2 (HE) buffer status feedback operation UL MU), request for buffer status and Invert Buffer Status Report with the mentioned concepts of (UL MU) need to be added to be included in Control Information	REVISED (MAC: 2016-11-08 061345Z) Agree in principle with the comment. The text needs to define a BSR-A-Control field inline with the mentioned concepts of (UL MU) and report in HE Control field), and Report in HE BSRp variant	EDITOR D0.5	EDITOR, 2016-11-08 see #824	0.5	2016/11/23 21:06	EDITOR
2389	Yongho Kim	23	0.1	93.1.9.7	19	1	T	Y	19.01.1	9.3.1.9.7	J	George Tomoo, Kaiyng, Deng Yu, Alien	11-16/13560	127 When all MPDUs are received by the STA, the Block ACK can be sent without Block ACK Starting Sequence Control enabled and Block ACK Control enabled. The STA can send Block ACK to all the allocated STA when all MPDUs are received by the STA. The STA can send Block ACK to all the allocated STA when all MPDUs are received by the STA. The STA can send Block ACK to all the allocated STA when all MPDUs are received by the STA.	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-11-08 09524Z) The text needs to be changed to clarify the STA for which the Pwr STA each STA in the multi-STA blockack frame. The addition of Block ACK to all the allocated STA when all MPDUs are received by the STA is not necessary in the previous UL MU transmission.	EDITOR No change to draft	EDITOR, 2016-11-18 1447:37Z - see #1524	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2390	Yongho Kim	23	0.1	94.2.196	28	1	T	N	28.01.1	9.4.2.196	V	Matt Fischer	16/12/2663	119 The words TWT requesting/responding STA are used interchangeably in some subclause, whether they are distinguished or not. Clarification is needed.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 09524Z) - Revised - Agree in principle with the comment - TCx editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/2663 under all headings that include CID 2660	EDITOR D0.5	EDITOR, 2016-09-16 1447:37Z - see #1524	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR

2400	Yongho Kim	23	0.1	0.44	50	2	T	N	50.02	10.44	V	Allied, Ma, Jiangjun, Jiangbo, Jiangli	16/11/8962	113 Rules for TWT scheduled STA needs to be defined	Insert the following after 10.4.4.3 (Negotiation of TBTT and last line interval): "10.4.4.4 Rules for TWT scheduled STA: A TWT scheduled STA receives TWT element in a Beacon frame at TBTT. In TWT element in Beacon frame, one or more TWT parameter set can be indicated. The TWT scheduled STA shall change shown in 11-15.3.2.2Z under all headings that include CID 2400. AdjustedMinimumTWTWakeDuration shall be the minimum TWT Wake Duration minus the elapsed time from the scheduled start of the TWT SP to the actual start of the SP (for each TWT SP indicated by the TWT element in the TWT element in a Beacon frame). The TWT scheduled STA should only send frames that satisfy the TWT flow identifier condition shown in Table 8.24.6.1 (TWT Flow Identifier) during the TWT element) during the TWT element) Fix a type	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-14 14:51:59Z) - Revised -	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
2401	Yongho Saek	23	0.1	3.4	3	16	E	Y	3.16	16	A	Editor		Change <NA> to <NA>-1 for a reserved subtype	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-04-27 22:19:58Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-04-27 22:20:02Z	2016/11/23 2017	EDITOR	
2402	Yongho Saek	23	0.1	9.2.4.1.3	11	27	E	Y	11:27	27	J	Editor		Change HE variant HE Control field to HE variant HT Control field. Change <NHT Mode> to <NHT Mode>-1 for a reserved subfield	REVISED (MAC, 2016-04-27 23:31:26Z) - <NA> is just a tag that will be updated when number assignment	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR, 2016-04-27 23:32:07Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2403	Yongho Saek	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.1	12	30	E	Y	12:30	30	S80 A	Editor		Change HE variant HE Control field to HE variant HT Control field. Change <NHT Mode> to <NHT Mode>-1 for a reserved subfield	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-04-27 23:35:34Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-04-27 23:35:38Z - see #960	0.2	2016/11/23 2017	EDITOR
2404	Yongho Saek	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.1	12	11	E	Y	12:11	11	S48 A	Editor		Change HE variant HE Control field to HE variant HT Control field. Change <NHT Mode> to <NHT Mode>-1 for a reserved subfield	ACCEPTED (EDITOR, 2016-04-27 23:42:24Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR, 2016-04-27 23:42:28Z - see #548	0.2	2016/11/23 2017	EDITOR
2405	Yongho Saek	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4	14	31	T	Y	14:31	31	V	Allied, Liwen, 11-16/7661 Jiangli		20 Please specify the unit of the UL PDU Length subfield (in microseconds), i.e., in octets, or in microseconds.	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-08 11:54:23Z) Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution clarifies the unit in symbols.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	see #1	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR
2406	Yongho Saek	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4	14	31	T	Y	14:31	31	V	Allied, Liwen, 11-16/7661 Jiangli		20 "The UL PDU Length subfield indicates the length of the HE trigger-based PPDU response that is transmitted while that is TBD." Specify the TBD condition. It shall be greater than or equal to the minimum fragment size.	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-08 11:54:23Z) Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution clarifies the unit in symbols.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	see #1	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR
2407	Yongho Saek	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4	14	65	T	Y	14:65	65	V	Allied	16/88/203	112 For supporting 80+80 MHz, change "3 for 160 or 80+80 MHz."	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-14 14:04:11Z) - Revised -	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see also #95	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
2408	Yongho Saek	23	0.1	9.2.5.1	15	46	T	Y	15:46	46	V	Po-Kai	11-16/08902	67 The estimated time required for the pending transmission is too broad and item 1 is covering it.	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-13 15:29:26Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-23 17:59:12Z - see #6279	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
2409	Yongho Saek	23	0.1	9.2.5.1	15	47	T	Y	15:47	47	V	Po-Kai	11-16/08902	67 In Trigger control frame sent by As per comment	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-13 15:32:42Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-22 17:51:38Z	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR

2429 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	97.3	35	43	T	Y	35.43.43	97.3	V	Yongho, Liwen, John Sun, Tomo	16.660r1	43 The DurationID fields in the MAC headers of all MPDUs in an A-MPDU carry the same value as the DurationID in the MAC headers of MPDUs in A-MPDUs carried in the same VHT MU PPDU all carry the same value."	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27 21:08:19Z) - Agree in principal. TGax editor makes changes as shown in the as specified in 11-1606601.	EDITOR: Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 18:59:50Z	0.3	2016/02/3 18:24	EDITOR
2430 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	97.3	35	43	T	Y	35.43.43	97.3	V	Yongho, Liwen, John Sun, Tomo	16.660r1	43 The DurationID fields in the MAC headers of all MPDUs in an A-MPDU carry the same value as the DurationID in the MAC headers of MPDUs in A-MPDUs carried in the same VHT MU PPDU all carry the same value."	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27 21:08:19Z) - Agree in principal. TGax editor makes changes as shown in the as specified in 11-1606601.	EDITOR: Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-02 18:59:50Z	0.3	2016/02/3 18:24	EDITOR
2431 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	26.44	160	32	T	Y	160.32.32	26.44	V	Lochan Verma	11-161256r0	125 A support of the Mid-packet CCA from the HE PPDU is not required. Delete sCCAMidTime from Table 22-36 or define the Mid-packet CCA mechanism for the HE PPDU.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-11-08 01:58:34Z) 11-161370r0 proposes the Mid-packet CCA mechanism. So, TGax editor does not need any change for CID 2431.	EDITOR: No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-11-18 20:45:57Z. The resolution makes no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2432 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	10.44.2	48	51	E	Y	48.51.51	10.44.2	684 A	Editor		"...as described in "reference" (UL MU operation)..." 5.9.2 Fix the reference to 25.9.2. As per comment Sub-clause 25.9.2 (Color code of the CCA rule based on detecting COLOR or MAC address, as specified in the first paragraph, in the following conditions is detected frame is an inter-BSS or an intra-BSS frame by using BSS color or MAC address in the following conditions is true: As specified in 802.11ax Spec Framework, the Partial AID field of VHT PPDU can be used to determine whether a frame is an inter-BSS or an intra-BSS. One suggestion is "Spatial Reuse CCA rule for HE STA".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:10:14Z)	EDITOR: Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-02 19:10:22Z - #684	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2433 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	26	T	Y	63.26.26	25.9.2		Bo Sun, Yongmoon, Ross, Junschi, Liwen, Xuelei, Jing, Jieqiang, Yuesi, Owen, Gyeongling, Yuchi, James, Laurent		As per comment The CCA rule based on detecting the COLOR or MAC address, as specified in the first paragraph, in the following conditions is detected frame is an inter-BSS or an intra-BSS frame by using BSS color or MAC address in the following conditions is true: As specified in 802.11ax Spec Framework, the Partial AID field of VHT PPDU can be used to determine whether a frame is an inter-BSS or an intra-BSS. One suggestion is "Spatial Reuse CCA rule for HE STA".		SR	Spatial Reuse			2016/5/17 18:39	EDITOR
2434 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	29	T	Y	63.29.29	25.9.2	V	Bo Sun, Yongmoon, Ross, Junschi, Liwen, Xuelei, Jing, Jieqiang, Yuesi, Owen, Gyeongling, Yuchi, James, Laurent	869r1	48 "An STA determines whether a detected frame is an inter-BSS or an intra-BSS frame by using BSS color or MAC address in the following conditions is true: As specified in 802.11ax Spec Framework, the Partial AID field of VHT PPDU can be used to determine whether a frame is an inter-BSS or an intra-BSS. One suggestion is "Spatial Reuse CCA rule for HE STA".	REVISED - Agree to the comment. Several RAVECTOR parameters (BSS COLOR, GROUP ID, PARTIAL_ID, etc) and address fields can be used in determining inter or intra BSS frame. TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-1606601 under all headings for CID 2434.	EDITOR: Edited in D0.3	I		0.3	2016/02/3 18:24	EDITOR

2433 Yongho Sook	23	0.1 25.9.2	63	29	T	Y	63.29.29	25.9.2	V	Bo Sun, Youngboon, Rosa, Junchi, Liuwen, Xiaoqi, Jing, Jieongji, Yasu, Gwen, Geonjong, Yusaku, Yuchi, James, Laurent	8891	48 "The BSS color in the detected frame is the same as the BSS color associated AP". The meaning of the BSS color is not changed. Change it as the following: "The RAVECTOR parameter BSS_COLOR in the detected frame is the same as the BSS color associated AP".	As per comment	REVISED - Agreed to the comment. RAVECTOR parameter BSS_COLOR describes a STA's behaviour more clearly. TGxx editor to make the changes shown in 11-1608891 under all headings for CD 2435.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2434 Yongho Sook	23	0.1 25.9.2	63	32	T	Y	63.32.32	25.9.2	V	Bo Sun, Youngboon, Rosa, Junchi, Liuwen, Xiaoqi, Jing, Jieongji, Yasu, Gwen, Geonjong, Yusaku, Yuchi, James, Laurent	8792	47 As specified in 022.1aa Sync Framework, the VHT PPDU can be also detected as an intra-BSS by using the Partial AID. Add the following condition: "The RAVECTOR parameter PARTIAL_BSS_COLOR in the detected frame is the same as the RAVECTOR parameter GROUP_ID equal to 63 is same as the Partial BSS color announced by its associated AP". Define a new RAVECTOR parameter PARTIAL_BSS_COLOR in Table 21-1.	As per comment	REVISED - Agree in principle with the comment. Add the following condition: "The RAVECTOR parameter PARTIAL_BSS_COLOR can be embedded in AID. The partial AID is used to determine whether the received VHT DL PPDU is intra-BSS or inter-BSS. The RAVECTOR parameter BSS_COLOR is not used. If it is not used, if PARTIAL_AID is used, if PARTIAL_AID is N-181 is same as Partial BSS Color assigned by AP, the STA assumes that the frame is intra-BSS frame. See the proposed text in doc 11-16/8792	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2437 Yongho Sook	23	0.1 25.9.2	63	32	T	Y	63.32.32	25.9.2	V	Bo Sun, Youngboon, Rosa, Junchi, Liuwen, Xiaoqi, Jing, Jieongji, Yasu, Gwen, Geonjong, Yusaku, Yuchi, James, Laurent	8792	47 Because the Downlink VHT PPDU can be also detected as an intra-BSS by using the Partial AID. Similarly, the Uplink VHT PPDU can be detected as an intra-BSS by using the Partial BSSID in VHT-SIGA. "The RAVECTOR parameter PARTIAL_AID in the detected VHT PPDU that has the VHT-SIGA parameter GROUP_ID equal to 0 is same as the BSSID947 of its associated AP".	As per comment	REVISED - Agree in principle with the comment. Partial AID in VHT UL PPDU (GD ->0) is Partial BSS ID and it can be used to determine whether the received frame is an intra-BSS or an inter-BSS frame. See the proposed text in doc 11-16/8792	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2438 Yongho Sook	23	0.1 25.9.2	63	33	T	Y	63.33.33	25.9.2	V	Bo Sun, Youngboon, Rosa, Junchi, Liuwen, Xiaoqi, Jing, Jieongji, Yasu, Gwen, Geonjong, Yusaku, Yuchi, James, Laurent	8891	48 The below rule is not referring the BLS or TDS DATA frame. In the detected frame, the detected frame is same as the BSSID or its bandwidth signaling variant of its associated AP is identified by TBD Multiple BSSID element and the RA or TA is the same as one of the BSSID or its bandwidth signaling variant identified by TBD Multiple BSSID element. If the detected frame is DLS or TDS DATA frame, the RA or TA is used to detect an intra-BSS. Change the corresponding conditions as the following: "The RAVECTOR parameter Address 3 (if present) of the detected frame is same as the BSSID or its bandwidth signaling variant of its associated AP". "- Its associated AP is identified by TBD Multiple BSSID element and the RA or TA is the same as one of the BSSID or its bandwidth signaling variant of its associated AP".	As per comment	REVISED - Agreed to the comment. There are cases that the RA or TA is not the same as BSSID in the detected frame, in which case RA and TA is not enough for checking whether the detected frame is intra-BSS frame. TGxx editor to make the changes shown in 11-1608891 under all headings for CD 2438.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2439 Yongho Sook	23	0.1 25.9.2	63	41	T	Y	63.41.41	25.9.2	V	Bo Sun, Youngboon, Rosa, Junchi, Liuwen, Xiaoqi, Jing, Jieongji, Yasu, Gwen, Geonjong, Yusaku, Yuchi, James, Laurent	8792	47 Address 3 (if present) of the detected frame is same as the BSSID element and the RA or TA is the same as one of the BSSID or its bandwidth signaling variant of its associated AP. When partial BSS Color AID is used, if it should be same as Partial BSS Color assigned by AP, the frame is intra-BSS frame. Intra-BSS PPDU detection for inter-BSS frame is enabled only when partial BSS Color AID assignment rule is used. AID is not same as the BSSID [38-47] of its AP, then the received PPDU is inter-BSS frame. See the proposed text in 8792	As per comment	REVISED - Agree in principle with the comment. When partial BSS Color AID is used, if it should be same as Partial BSS Color assigned by AP, the frame is intra-BSS frame. Intra-BSS PPDU detection for inter-BSS frame is enabled only when partial BSS Color AID assignment rule is used. AID is not same as the BSSID [38-47] of its AP, then the received PPDU is inter-BSS frame. See the proposed text in 8792	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR

2468 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	10.22.2.2	46	19	T	Y	46.19.19	10.22.2.2	V	Yongho	16/11/00	107	802.11 base specification is saying the following: "In addition, the backoff procedure may be invoked for an EDCAF when the transmission of the MPDUs in the selected RU is not successful. In this case, the TXOP holder fails."	As per comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 12:33:58Z) - Revised- Agree in principle. In July 2016 TGax F2F meeting, the following MU was discussed: -More to add the following to the next revision of the TG draft: -Insert the following at the end of 10.22.2.2: When an HE STA successfully receives the corresponding acknowledgement frame in HE trigger based PPOU, the EDCAF resumes the backoff counter countdown -Insert the following at the end of 10.22.2.2: When an HE STA does not receive the corresponding acknowledgement frame in HE trigger based PPOU, the EDCAF resumes the backoff counter countdown -Insert the following at the end of 10.22.2.2: When an HE STA does not receive the corresponding acknowledgement frame in HE trigger based PPOU, the EDCAF resumes the backoff counter countdown	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-20 19:42:00Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05	EDITOR	
2469 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	25.8.2	63	6	T	Y	63.06.6	25.8.2	V	Alied	16/08/203	112	The responding HE STA shall not transmit a subsequent PPOU to the transmitting HE STA until the end of a number of spatial stream not indicated as currently supported by the transmitting HE STA. Clarify whether the responding HE STA can transmit a Trigger frame (as a TXOP holder PPOU) to the responding HE STA and assigns higher bandwidth than the value indicated by the Rx Channel Width.	As per comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:04:43Z) - Revised – Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution clarifies that the Rx Channel Width applies to the Tx channel width as well. TGax editor to make the change in the next revision of 1009823 under all headings that include CID 2469.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see also #95	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
2470 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	10.22.4.2	46	37	T	Y	46.37.37	10.22.4.2	V	Yongho	16/11/00	107	802.11 base specification is saying the following: "If the used_time value reaches or exceeds the corresponding EDCAF shall no longer transmit QoS Data in the selected RU. The STA using the EDCA parameters for that AC as specified in the QoS Parameter Set element in the HE trigger-based PPOU 11 base specification, any transmission that is not triggered by the EDCAF (such as, RD operation and LL MU operation) is possible even though the used_time value reaches or exceeds the admitted_time value. Please clarify whether the HE trigger-based PPOU can be transmitted when the used_time value reaches or exceeds the admitted_time value."	As per comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 12:33:58Z) - Revised- Agree in principle. Since a contention based RU selection procedure is widely commercialized by the Wi-Fi Alliance (e.g., such as IEEE 802.11ac and 802.11ax), the EDCA parameters (program), a clarification of an admission control procedure for the HE trigger-based PPOU is needed. TGax editor makes changes as follows: -Insert the as specified in 11-16/11/00.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-20 19:41:01Z.	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
2471 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	25.5.2.6.1	60	48	T	Y	60.48.48	25.5.2.6.1	V	Chibo, Kiamon, Yimbo Reza, Bapko, Biao, Yung Gam, Yuchi	11-16/11/003	95	The OBO counter for an HE STA is a zero value or if the OBO counter decrements to 0, randomly selects any one of the assigned RUs for random access and transmit its UL PPOU in the selected RU."	As per comment	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20 16:07:43Z) - Revised- Agree in principle with the comment. We need to clarify the RU selection rule based on the proposed text of 11-16/11/003. See the discussion and adopt the proposed text of 11-16/11/003.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 16:07:43Z. - see #787	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR

2472 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	173.5.5	69	1	T	Y	69.01.1	173.5.5	V	Ke Yao	11-16/14490	125 Table 17-8 (TXVECTOR CH_BANDWIDTH_IN_NON_H parameter) is for a dynamic bandwidth operation in VHT. Does HE STA operating in 2.4 GHz support the a dynamic bandwidth operation? If not, please make a new table for HE STA operating in 2.4 GHz. For channel access, please refer to Table 17-8a (TXVECTOR_CH_BANDWIDTH_IN_NON_H T values in 2.4 GHz).	REVISED (PHY: 2016-11-08 0234:02Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	I	EDITOR: 2016-11-17 2224:14Z	1	2016/11/29 2245	EDITOR
2473 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	252.1	53	57	T	Y	53.57.57	252.1	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yunbo, Yuchi, Weiming, Xiaoli, Jing, Yabo	11-16/11062	72 For all other received HE-SIG-A that are identified by the STA as intra-BSS, the STA shall not update the NAV when the received value of the TXOP Duration field is greater than the STA's current Intra-BSS NAV. When all HE trigger-based PPDU's have errors in PSDUs (e.g., due to PHY errors), the HE AP/TXOP holder updates its rule of the TXOP holder (i.e., NAV from the TXOP Duration field in the HE-SIG-A, the PIFS recovery is always failed). Since the TXOP holder shall not update its Intra-BSS NAV even if the received value of the TXOP Duration field is greater than the STA's current Intra-BSS NAV value, please update the NAV update constraint from the TXOP Duration field in the HE-SIG-A for the TXOP holder.	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20 0545:02Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/09/29 1635	EDITOR
2474 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	263.10.6	142	10	T	Y	142.10.10	263.10.6	V	Dawoon, Tianyu Wu	11-16/06822	14 For a 160 MHz and 80+80 MHz HE MU PPDU, HE MU PPDU, and 80+80 MHz HE MU PPDU, the output bits of each stream parser are segment parsed as described in 22.3.10.7. The segment parser is used only for the 26985-tone RU, as specified in 52.2.11a. SFD is not used for HE MU PPDU and 80+80 MHz HE MU PPDU transmitted in OFDMA downlink. Please add a sentence to change the corresponding sentence as the following: For a 160 MHz and 80+80 MHz HE MU PPDU, HE MU PPDU, and 80+80 MHz HE MU PPDU with the RU spanning the entire PPDU bandwidth, and a HE trigger-based PPDU with the RU spanning the entire PPDU bandwidth, the output bits of each stream parser are segment parsed as specified in 22.3.10.7.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 0947:06Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 1735:58Z - see #2568	0.2	2016/11/22 2017	EDITOR
2475 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	97.3	36	5	T	Y	36.05.5	97.3	V	Yongho, Liwen, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 Table 9-42d needs to be updated because the HE trigger-based PPDU including the following A-MPDU can be transmitted from a non-TXOP holder. Please add a new Response - Control Responses - Trigger Control frame. Table reference of A-MPDU Contexts is wrong. Change Table Index 9-409 to 9-408.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 0742:02Z) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #605	0.5	2016/09/29 1635	EDITOR
2476 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	97.3	36	5	E	Y	36.05.5	97.3	A	Editor		Agree in principal. TXOP editor makes changes as follows: The table as specified in 11-16/11861.	Agree in principal. TXOP editor makes changes as follows: The table as specified in 11-16/11861.							
2477 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	97.3	36	27	T	Y	36.27.27	97.3	V	Yongho, Liwen, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 in Table 9-421 A-MPDU contents in the data enabled immediate response context), add Trigger control frame.	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-04-29 21:31:26Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-29 21:31:26Z	0.2	2016/11/22 2017	EDITOR
2478 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	97.3	36	27	T	Y	36.27.27	97.3	V	Yongho, Liwen, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 in Table 9-421 A-MPDU contents in the data enabled immediate response context), add Trigger control frame.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 0742:11Z) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #605	0.5	2016/09/29 1635	EDITOR
2478 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	97.3	36	27	T	Y	36.27.27	97.3	V	Yongho, Liwen, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118 in Table 9-422 A-MPDU contents in the data enabled immediate response context), add Trigger control frame.	Agree in principal. TXOP editor makes changes as follows: The table as specified in 11-16/11861.	Agree in principal. TXOP editor makes changes as follows: The table as specified in 11-16/11861.			See #605	0.5	2016/09/29 1635	EDITOR

2479 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	9.7.3	36	27	T	Y	38.27.27	9.7.3	V	Yongho, Luen, John Son, Tomo	16/11/1861	118 in Table 9-42 (A-MPDU contents MPDUs in the control response context), add Trigger control frame.	As per comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 07:43:52) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #605	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2480 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	9.7.3	36	27	T	Y	38.27.27	9.7.3	V	Yongho, Luen, John Son, Tomo	16/11/1861	118 in Table 9-42 (A-MPDU contents in the VHT single MPDU context), add Trigger control frame as a conditional content. The condition is that Trigger frame can be present in S-MPDU if it is contained in the HE PPDU. The HE PPDU is also used for HE PPDU. The HE PPDU also can have the ECF Padding. Change the corresponding sentence as the following: "The ECF Padding field is present only in a VHT and HE PPDU. This is shown in Figure 9-36 (ECF Padding field format). This is present only in a VHT and HE PPDU."	As per comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 07:43:52) - Agree in principal.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	See #605	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2481 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	9.7.1	35	21	T	Y	35.21.21	9.7.1	V	Yongho, Luen, John Son, Tomo	16/86/01	43 802.11 base specification is saying the following: "The ECF Padding field is present only in a VHT and HE PPDU. This is shown in Figure 9-36 (ECF Padding field format). This is present only in a VHT and HE PPDU."	As per comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27 21:07:38.2) - Agree in principal.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-02 18:58:46Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2482 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	9.7.1	35	21	T	Y	35.21.21	9.7.1	V	Yongho, Luen, John Son, Tomo	16/86/01	43 802.11 base specification is saying the following: "The ECF Padding field is present, as determined by the rules in 10.13.6 (A-MPDU padding for VHT PPDU)." The HE PPDU also can have the ECF Padding. Change the corresponding sentence as the following: "In a VHT and HE PPDU, the following padding is present, as determined by the rules in 10.13.6 (A-MPDU padding for VHT PPDU)."	As per comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27 21:07:38.2) - Agree in principal.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-02 18:58:46Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2483 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	9.7.1	35	21	T	Y	35.21.21	9.7.1	V	Yongho, Luen, John Son, Tomo	16/86/01	43 802.11 base specification is saying the following: "The ECF Padding field is present, as determined by the rules in 10.13.6 (A-MPDU padding for VHT PPDU)." The HE PPDU also can have the ECF Padding. Change the corresponding sentence as the following: "End of frame indication. Set to 1 in an A-MPDU subframe that has 0 in the MPDU Length field and that is used to pad the A-MPDU in a VHT PPDU as determined by the rules in 10.13.6 (A-MPDU padding for VHT PPDU)." The HE PPDU also can have the ECF Padding. Change the corresponding sentence as the following: "End of frame indication. Set to 1 in an A-MPDU subframe that has 0 in the MPDU Length field and that is used to pad the A-MPDU in a VHT and HE PPDU as determined by the rules in 10.13.6 (A-MPDU padding for VHT PPDU)."	As per comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27 21:07:38.2) - Agree in principal.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-02 18:58:52Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2484 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	9.7.1	35	21	T	Y	35.21.21	9.7.1	V	Yongho, Luen, John Son, Tomo	16/86/01	43 802.11 base specification is saying the following: "Length of the MPDU in octets. Set to 0 in an A-MPDU subframe with 0 in the MPDU Length field is used to pad the A-MPDU in a VHT PPDU. (Minimum MPDU Start Spacing field) to meet the minimum MPDU start spacing. The HE PPDU also can have the ECF Padding. Change the corresponding sentence as the following: "Length of the MPDU in octets. Set to 0 in an A-MPDU subframe with 0 in the MPDU Length field is used to pad the A-MPDU in a VHT and HE PPDU as defined in 10.13.6 (A-MPDU padding for VHT PPDU)."	As per comment	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-27 21:06:53.2) - Agree in principal.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-02 18:58:52Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2485 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	10.2.1	39	3	T	Y	39.03.3	10.2.1				Update Figure 10-1 (Non-DMG STA MAC architecture) for including the UL MU channel data unit sizes (in octets) and data unit sizes (in octets) for including the HE PPDU.	As per comment		MAC	General		2016/5/17 16:36	EDITOR		
2486 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	9.2.4.7.1	15	26	T	Y	15.26.26	9.2.4.7.1				Update Table 9-19 (Maximum data unit sizes (in octets) and data unit sizes (in octets) for including the HE PPDU.	As per comment		MAC	General		2016/5/17 16:36	EDITOR		

2487 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	10.3.2.11.42	3	Y	42.37.37	10.3.2.11.3	J	J.J. Yongho, Jiwon, Jeongil	11-16/06/12	As per comment	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-27) EDITOR 2243.2322 VHT MU PPDUs solicit an immediate response in UL MU format from the target HE STAs. Given that it is not an additional complexity to the receiver.	N	2016/11/23 2:05 EDITOR
2488 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	10.3.2.11.43	3	Y	43.01.1	10.3.2.11.3	J	J.J. Yongho, Jiwon, Jeongil	11-16/06/12	As per comment	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-27) EDITOR 2244.4522 - The T Gas draft does not allow the following text to be added: -HE AP transmits the VHT MU PPDUs that is destined to VHT STAs and HE STAs, the VHT MU PPDUs to contain the immediate responses from the target HE STAs with Ack Policy '01'.	N	2016/11/23 2:05 EDITOR
2489 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	9.7.3	35	43	T	Y	38.43.43	9.7.3	16/06/01	As per comment	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-27) EDITOR 2197.5122 - Discussion: Allowing MU acknowledgement to be used in the VHT implementation complicate. It is better that HE implementer doesn't create any change to 11ac type.	N	2016/11/23 2:05 EDITOR
2490 Yongho Seok	23	0.1	11.8.6	52	1	T	Y	52.01.1	11-16/13/5v1	Yongho	As per comment	REVISED (MU: 2016-11-10) EDITOR 2031.5922 - Discussion: The changes shown in the as specified in 11-16/13/5v1	I	EDITOR: 2016-11-22 17:32:16Z 1 2016/11/29 2:43 EDITOR

2526 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.7	87	36	T	N	87.36.36	26.3.7	A	Jinsoo, Shammaz	11-16/12272	117	OFDMA is a method to add multiple subcarriers to a single carrier and has been deployed by other wireless standards. In IEEE 802.11 WLAN networks". This sentence is redundant to the previous paragraphs. Besides, it is not clear what other standards have done. Also the subsequent sentence and equation 26-11 are not clear. It should be removed.	Remove the paragraph L3.36-38, including Equation 1142.A32.	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 EDITOR D0.5	EDITOR, 2016-09-26 16:21:15Z	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
2527 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.7	87	57	T	N	87.57.57	26.3.7	V	Jinsoo, Shammaz	11-16/06582	9	What is an "OFDMA structure"? Does it refer to HE_MU PPDU?	Clarify what an "OFDMA structure" is. If possible, use "defined" terminology such as HE_MU PPDU.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 EDITOR 0908.132)	See #353	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2528 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	87	58	T	N	87.58.58	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Shammaz	11-16/06582	9	1992-subcarrier RU is missing. HE-SIG-A Common Content (HE-SIG-A CC) is 1992-subcarriers RU. Also add 1992-subcarrier RU. Modify the original text by the suggested remedy (as shown in 802.11-16/06582).	Change "484-subcarrier RU and 1992-subcarrier RU" to "484 and 1992-subcarrier RU". Also add 1992-subcarrier RU. Modify the original text by the suggested remedy (as shown in 802.11-16/06582).	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 EDITOR 0908.132)	See #353	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2529 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	87	63	T	N	87.63.63	26.3.7.1	V	Jinsoo, Shammaz	11-16/06582	9	What are "OFDMA HE PPDU", "HE_MU HE PPDU" and "HE_SU HE PPDU"? And HE_MU PPDU and HE_SU PPDU are distinguished an "OFDMA" vs. "MU-MIMO" PPDU per-se. HE_MU PPDU is not out there?	Shouldn't we be using the term "HE_MU PPDU" instead? Not all HE_MU PPDU are "OFDMA" (as shown in 802.11-16/06582).	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 EDITOR 0908.132)	See #888	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2530 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.7.1	87	15	T	N	87.15.15	26.3.7.1	A	Jinsoo, Shammaz	11-16/06582	9	Clear the sentence "Cases of HE_MU PPDU allocation". Also change "HE_MU PPDU" to "HE_SU PPDU".	Erase the sentence "Cases of HE_MU PPDU allocation". Also change "HE_MU PPDU" to "HE_SU PPDU".	ACCEPTED (PHY: 2016-05-29 EDITOR 0908.132)	See #888	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2531 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.8	101	53	T	N	101.53.53	26.3.8	V	Rui Cao	11-16/11374	81	What is N _{STS} (J _{STS}) in L-STF?	Define what N _{STS} (J _{STS}) is in the context of L-STF. This also 21.37.222.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 EDITOR 21:49:02Z)	EDITOR, 2016-09-26 21:49:02Z	0.5	2016/09/29 16:35	EDITOR
2532 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.9.5	103	1	T	N	103.01.1	26.3.9.5	V	Rui Cao	11-16/03777	37	Unless VHT, TXTIME is not always a multiple of 4 used in HE.	Add a call function to Equation (26-17). Specifically, Length = ceil((TXTIME - 20) / 4) * 3 - 3 - m.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-07-28 EDITOR 07:35:38Z)	EDITOR, 2016-08-05 18:41:02Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2533 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	105	43	T	N	105.43.43	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/061093	2	Adopt the same LDPC Extension field to two separate extensions for BCC vs. LDPC. One bit for LDPC extra symbol.	Split the 2bits Coding field into two separate extensions for BCC vs. LDPC. One bit for LDPC extra symbol.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 EDITOR 07:37:58Z)	See #1197	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2534 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	106	11	T	N	106.11.11	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/061094	3	Split the 3 bits Packet Extension field to two separate extensions for BCC vs. LDPC. One bit for LDPC extra symbol.	Split the 3 bits Packet Extension field to two separate extensions for BCC vs. LDPC. One bit for LDPC extra symbol.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 EDITOR 07:39:05Z)	EDITOR, 2016-06-02 20:36:03Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2535 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	106	21	E	N	106.21.21	26.3.9.7.2	V	Editor			Change "HT-SIG-A" to "HE-SIG-A" and read "CRC of bit 0-4 of the HE-SIG-A field. See 26.3.9.7.3 (CRC compilation). The first bit is reserved and is 0 as explained in 26.3.9.7.3 (CRC compilation)."	Change "HT-SIG-A" to "HE-SIG-A" and read "CRC of bit 0-4 of the HE-SIG-A field. See 26.3.9.7.3 (CRC compilation). The first bit is reserved and is 0 as explained in 26.3.9.7.3 (CRC compilation)."	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 19:29:53Z)	EDITOR, 2016-05-11 19:29:53Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR
2536 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2	106	21	E	N	106.21.21	26.3.9.7.2	V	Editor			Incorporate section references "20.3.9.7.1" to "26.3.9.7.3". Same comment to HE-SIG-A format for other HE PPDU types.	Change "20.3.9.7.1" and "20.3.9.7.3" to "26.3.9.7.1" and "26.3.9.7.3". Same comment to HE-SIG-A format for other HE PPDU types.	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 19:30:40Z)	EDITOR, 2016-05-11 19:30:53Z	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR

2537 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2.106	23	T	N	106.23.23	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/061093	3.26.3.9.7.3 says <i>C</i> is transmitted first.	Change "The first bit to be transmitted is a <i>C</i> preamble" to "The first bit to be transmitted is bit 0". Same comment to HE-SIG-A format for other HE-PDU types.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:26:01Z) Instruction to Editor: Please make the following changes in Table 26.3.9.7.2: L23 Table 26.3.106 subclause 26.3.9.7.2 according to the changes as the document CID 2537 as in doc 06104 Please make the following changes in Table 26.3.9.7.2: L40 Table 26.3.107 subclause 26.3.9.7.2 according to the changes as the document CID 2537 as in doc 06104 Please make the following changes in Table 26.3.9.7.2: L27 Table 26.3.17 under subclause 26.3.9.7.2 according to the changes as the document CID 2537 as in doc 06104	EDITOR	Edited in D02	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR	See #2535
2538 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.2.107	17	T	N	107.17.17	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/061093	2 "SIG-B Number of symbols" should change meaning when it is not interleaving when is 1.	Change the name of the field "SIG-B Number of symbols" so that it is not misleading when "SIG-B Compression" field is 1.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 07:19:57Z) Instruction to Editor: Refer to the resolution to CID #2183.	EDITOR	Edited in D02	0.2	2016/11/23 20:17	EDITOR	See #256
2539 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.9.7.3.109	1	T	N	109.01.1	26.3.9.7.3	A	Ross	11-16/061094	3 CRC should be 4 bits.	Change "transmitted from <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ " with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇ first to <i>c</i> ₅ to <i>c</i> ₇ with <i>c</i> ₇							

2563 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.10.2	133	9	T	N	133.09.9	26.3.10.2	J	Rui Cao	11-16/11384	82	Hard to understand "... HE" in the previous paragraph. Should be "HE" instead of "HE" in the output bit stream of the last OFDM symbol(s) into 4 symbol segments".	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 EDITOR: 2016-09-13) No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 19:29:58Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR
2564 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.10.2	133	11	T	N	133.11.11	26.3.10.2	V	Rui Cao	11-16/11384	82	"a" factor is not a good name. The name gives no indication of what it means, and it can be replaced by "alpha" instead "one" of several factors.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 EDITOR: 2016-09-13) Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-29 03:16:18Z	0.5 2016/09/29 16:35 EDITOR
2565 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.10.2	134	3	E	N	134.03.3	26.3.10.2	V	Editor	11-16/11384	14	Incorrect section reference	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 EDITOR: 2016-09-13) Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 09:14:32Z - #113	0.2 2016/11/23 20:17 EDITOR
2566 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.10.6	142	9	T	N	142.09.9	26.3.10.6	V	Dawson, Tianyu Wu	11-16/08522	14	In case of HE160 or HE80+80, does the first bit of segment 16 use the same polarity as the 80 MHz lower in frequency?	REVISED (PHY: 2016-05-29 EDITOR: 2016-05-29) Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-06-03 17:04:53Z	0.2 2016/11/23 20:17 EDITOR
2567 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	151	44	E	N	151.44.44	26.3.10.1	V	Editor	11-16/11502	85	Is it "Dual Carrier Modulation" or "Dual Subcarrier Modulation"?	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 EDITOR: 2016-09-13) Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:03:58Z	0.2 2016/11/23 20:17 EDITOR
2568 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	151	64	T	N	151.64.64	26.3.10.1	J	Yun, Meili	11-16/11502	85	What the recipient requests is the minimum PE duration, not the maximum.	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 EDITOR: 2016-09-13) No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 19:29:58Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR
2569 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	162	1	T	N	162.01.1	26.3.10.1	V	Yun, Meili	11-16/11502	85	Correct name is "PPE Thresholds".	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 EDITOR: 2016-09-13) Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 21:31:30Z - see #1459	0.5 2016/09/29 16:35 EDITOR
2570 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	162	7	T	N	162.07.7	26.3.10.1	J	Yun, Meili	11-16/11502	85	The PPE Threshold indicates the minimum Post-FEC padding + PE the receiver needs. See 25.12 (PPE-LT) includes a packet extension that yields a total post-FEC padding duration means that a PE field of 16 μ s, 0 μ s, 4 μ s, 8 μ s, and 16 μ s are appended at the end of the PPDU, corresponding to a-factor being 1, 2, 3 and 4.	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 EDITOR: 2016-09-13) No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 19:29:58Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR
2571 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	163	4	T	N	163.04.4	26.3.10.1	J	Yun, Meili	11-16/11502	85	Change "AP shall choose the longest PE duration long as the longest PE duration which is at least as long as the longest PE duration required among all users".	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 EDITOR: 2016-09-13) No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 19:29:58Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR
2572 Youhan Kim	23	0.1	26.3.11	164	10	T	N	164.10.10	26.3.11	V	Lochan Varma	11-16/15404	125	References for NOM-HT duplicate is incorrect.	REVISED (PHY: 2016-11-07 EDITOR: 2016-11-07) Edited in D1.0	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 19:29:58Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	1 2016/11/29 2:45 EDITOR

2584	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	20	30	T	Y	20:30:30	9.3.1.23	V	Liwen, Reza, Yimbo, Shione, Egenvy, Po-Kai, Kaiying, Kison, Rossi, Zhou	74	Response to a Trigger frame can be not only in Trigger based PPDU format but also in other PPDU format. For example, in case MURTS is solicited by HE-SIG-A, the Trigger frame will most likely be in non-HT (duplicate) frame format. Therefore, how to set HE-SIG-A field in the response frame is not in Trigger based PPDU format shall be described.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20: 05:50:38Z) - Agree in principle with the commenter that MURTS solicited by HE-SIG-A is not a Trigger frame. Hence, the subfield in MURTS used to solicit HE Trigger frame is not a Trigger frame. The MURTS is a Trigger frame and is treated as reserved.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR	2016-09-20 18:35	EDITOR	18:00:44Z - see #2222	0.5	2016/09/29 18:35	
2585	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.1.22	4	T	Y	22:04:4	9.3.1.23.1	V	Liwen, Reza, Yimbo, Shione, Egenvy, Po-Kai, Kaiying, Kison, Rossi, Zhou	99	Wrong figure. Figure 9-4-1d is Per User Info field.	Modify the text to "If the Trigger frame is an MU-BAR variant, the Trigger Dependent field is not present", and delete Figure 9-51d document added.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-21: 16:09:02Z) - Current text in section 9.3.1.23.1 is removed and text as specified in current document added.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR	2016-09-21 18:35	EDITOR	see #5	0.5	2016/09/29 18:35	
2586	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.1.22	20	T	Y	22:20:20	9.3.1.23.1	V	Liwen, Reza, Yimbo, Shione, Egenvy, Po-Kai, Kaiying, Kison, Rossi, Zhou	120	Wrong figure. It should be Figure 9-51e.	Modify the text from "... defined in Figure 9-14e" to "... defined in Figure 9-51e".	REVISED (MAC 2016-09-15: 10:03:43Z) - Revised in 11-16-124192	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR	2016-09-19 22:12:36Z. Editor is unable to make sense of the editing instructions. No instructions to remove anything in 9.3.1.23.1. The editing instructions that are present in 16124192 insert two paragraphs in 26.4	EDITOR	2016-09-19 22:12:36Z - see #110	0.5	2016/09/29 18:35	
2587	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.2.22	43	T	Y	22:43:43	9.3.1.23.2	V	Liwen, Reza, Yimbo, Shione, Egenvy, Po-Kai, Kaiying, Kison, Rossi, Zhou	26	There's no indication which frame format the MURTS frame solicits.	Add an information subfield that indicates the frame format that the MURTS frame solicited by MURTS shall be carried in non-HT or non-HT duplicate PPDU. Hence, delete the "if" statement.	REVISED (MAC 2016-08-10: 19:56:58Z) - Based on the comment, the MURTS frame solicited by MURTS shall be carried in non-HT or non-HT duplicate PPDU. Hence, delete the "if" statement.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	EDITOR	2016-08-10 22:47:02Z - see #110	EDITOR	2016-08-10 22:47:02Z - see #110	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	
2588	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.2.22	48	T	Y	22:48:48	9.3.1.23.2	V	Liwen, Reza, Yimbo, Shione, Egenvy, Po-Kai, Kaiying, Kison, Rossi, Zhou	26	In case non-Trigger based MURTS frame is solicited by frame transmission, lots of subfield in both Common Info field and Per User Info field are not used. So, these fields need to be removed or set to default values.	Define the Common Info field and Per User Info field clearly in case the MURTS frame is solicited by the non-Trigger based PPDU format. Based on the current agreement, there is no Trigger Dependent Per-User Info or Trigger Dependent Common Info. Hence, the frame format will follow Figure 9-51b and 9-51c and latest agreement in 16079 and 16811 without Trigger Dependent Per-User Info or Trigger Dependent Common Info.	REVISED (MAC 2016-08-10: 20:16:00Z) - Further, based on the agreement in 16048, CTS solicited by MURTS shall be carried in non-HT or non-HT duplicate PPDU. Hence, the MURTS frame format of non-Trigger based PPDU are not used by the MURTS and will be treated as reserved.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	EDITOR	2016-08-10 22:47:31Z - see #2222	EDITOR	2016-08-10 22:47:31Z - see #2222	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	
2589	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	9.3.3.6	24	19	T	Y	24:19:19	9.3.3.6	V	Zhou, Yau, Jayh	103	As unassociated STA can participate Trigger based MURTS frame, the random access procedure is not needed. The TWT element in Association Response frame for broadcast TWT operation, the TWT element is present in the Association Request frame. However, calling TWT element elicited the Association Response frame. To "The element is optionally present if the TWT element is present in the Association Request frame".	In Table 9-30 of TGran D6.0, modify the Notes for TWT from "The TWT element is present in the Association Request frame and the TWT element is present in the Association Response frame" to "The TWT element is present in the Association Request frame and the TWT element is present in the Association Response frame if the TWT element is present in the Association Request frame".	REVISED (MAC 2016-09-12: 15:04:05Z) - Revised on principle with the Agreement with the commenter that unassociated STA can participate Trigger based MURTS frame. However calling TWT element in the Association Response frame will not help an STA to know the TWT element is present in the Association Response to the TWT element in the Association Request frame.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	EDITOR	2016-09-12 15:02:09Z - Updated new rev to strawpoll revision (2).	EDITOR	2016-09-12 15:02:09Z - Updated new rev to strawpoll revision (2).	0.5	2016/09/29 18:35

2590	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	9.3.3.8	24	60	T	Y	24.60.00	9.3.3.8	V	Zhou, Yashu, Jaygh	16.66/962	103	As unassociated STA can participate in TXOP based random access, there's no reason not to include TWT element in the Reassociation Request frame for broadcast TWT operation.	In Table 9-32 of T80106.0, modify the Notes for TWT from 1504:302 - Revised	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-12 15:04:302) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	MAC: 2016-09-12 15:02:062 - Updated rev to strawpoller revision (2).	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	see #1315
2591	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	9.3.3.10	25	40	T	Y	25.40.40	9.3.3.10	V	Zhou, Yashu, Jaygh	16.66/962	103	As unassociated STA can participate in TXOP based random access, there's no reason not to include TWT element in the Reassociation Request frame for broadcast TWT operation.	Similar to Beacon frame, add the following new row into the table: Order: TBD Information: TWT Notes: The TWT element is optionally present when the 6011TWTOptionActivated is true, otherwise it is not present in the Reassociation Response frame. Reassociation Response to initiate broadcast TWT has an individual TWT agreement established by TWT element in Association Request. TGxw editor to make changes shown in 11-16/896/2 under all headings that include CID 2590.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-12 15:04:352) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	MAC: 2016-09-12 15:02:062 - Updated doc and strawpoller revision (2).	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	see #1315
2592	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	9.7.3	37	24	T	Y	37.24.24	9.7.3	V	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118	Multi-STA BlockAck frame is a variant of a BlockAck frame. Therefore, including both BlockAck frame and Multi-STA BlockAck frame is not consistent.	Removing a row for Multi-STA BlockAck frame and includes explanation on Multi-STA BlockAck frame and Multi-STA BlockAck frame in the row for BlockAck frame.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 07:43:192) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	MAC: 2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR	See #805		
2593	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	9.7.3	38	8	T	Y	38.08.8	9.7.3	J	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118	A trigger frame always solicits immediate response frame. Therefore, the trigger frame cannot be included in this context.	Delete the row for "Trigger frame" in Table 9-42b6.	16/11/861 (MAC: 2016-09-15 07:43:262) - Rejected.	EDITOR	No change to draft	EDITOR, 2016-09-20 17:03:222. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR	EDITOR	
2594	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	9.7.3	38	43	T	Y	38.43.43	9.7.3	J	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118	"can" is not appropriate in clause 9.	Modify the text from "One or more Trigger frames can be included" to "A control frame of subtype 'Trigger'".	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-09-15 07:43:332) - Rejected.	EDITOR	No change to draft	EDITOR, 2016-09-20 17:03:222. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR	EDITOR	
2595	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	9.7.3	38	47	T	Y	38.47.47	9.7.3	V	Yongho, Lwien, John Son, Tomo	16/11/861	118	Normative text "may" is not appropriate in clause 9.	Modify the text from "One or more MPDUs with trigger frame may be included" to "MPDU with trigger information in the MAC header".	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-15 07:43:422) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	See #805	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR	See #805
2596	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.3.2.1	39	39	T	Y	39.39.39	10.3.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yashu, Yuchil, Weming, Yashu	16/09/552	48	TXOP duration information is included in HE-SIGA field. Additionally, NAV can also be supported in HE-SIGA field, information in HE-SIGA field.	At the end of the paragraph (line 48), add the following text: "23:19:48Z".	16/11/861 (MU: 2016-07-28 23:19:48Z) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	EDITOR, 2016-08-10 22:55:24Z	0.3	2016/9/23 18:34	EDITOR	EDITOR
2597	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.3.2.1	39	39	T	Y	39.39.39	10.3.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yashu, Yuchil, Weming, Yashu	11-16/11220	74	TXOP duration information is included in HE-SIGA field. However, in some cases the DurationID field in the MAC header is not used for TXOP, but for other PS-Poll frame, in which case the DurationID field is used to indicate TXOP duration. In this case, TXOP duration shall indicate Duration information, not the AID information.	At the end of the second paragraph of 10.3.2.4 of HE-SIGA field, add the following text: "The TXOP duration sub-field in HE-SIGA of the HE-PPDU carries PS-Poll frame, in which case the duration value equal to the time required to transmit one SIFS. In this case, TXOP duration shall indicate Duration information, not the AID information."	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-20 03:51:372) - Revised.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.4	EDITOR, 2016-09-23 18:14:062 - previously implemented from 1605312 (see #2596)	0.4	2016/9/23 18:34	EDITOR	EDITOR

2604 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.3.2.11.2	42:16:16	10.3.2.11.2	V	J.J. Yongho, Junchi, Jeongli	11-16/1350/1	129 For VHT MU PPDU sent to HE STA that is in the same BSS as the VHT MU PPDU, the following subfields are not included in the soliciting non-immediate responses: BlockAckReq BlockAckReq frames by the AP.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-11-08) Agree in principal. It is resolved by the CD 1073. https://mentor.see.org/802.11/draft/161116-1332-03-00a-acknowledgment-procedure.doc.	EDITOR D1.0	1	2016/11/29 22:43	EDITOR	see #2454	1	2016/11/29 22:43	EDITOR
2605 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.3.2.11.2	42:26:26	10.3.2.11.2	V	J.J. Yongho, Junchi, Jeongli	11-16/1350/1	129 For VHT MU PPDU sent to HE STA that is in the same BSS as the VHT MU PPDU, the following subfields are not included in the soliciting non-immediate responses: BlockAckReq BlockAckReq frames by the AP.	TGax editor does not need any change for CID 2604. REVISED (MAC: 2016-11-08) Agree in principal. It is resolved by the CD 1073. Please refer the following submission. See org/802.11/draft/161116-1332-03-00a-some-cids-on-miscellaneous-procedure.doc.	EDITOR D1.0	1	2016/11/29 22:43	EDITOR	see #2454	1	2016/11/29 22:43	EDITOR
2606 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.3.2.11.42	42:37:37	10.3.2.11.3	V	J.J. Yongho, Junchi, Jeongli	11-16/861/2	45 Not all MPDU has QoS Control field	Modify the text to "A non-AP STA that is the recipient, within a HE MU PPDU, of a QoS Control field in an immediate response with Ack Policy '01' in QoS Control field, shown in the as specified in 11-160861/2." REVISED (MAC: 2016/07/27 22:43:052) - Agree in principal.	EDITOR D0.3	1	2016/08-02	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-08-02 22:26:35Z - 802.11 styles uses "QoS Data frame" rather than "QoS Data MPDU"	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2607 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.3.2.11.43	43:01:1	10.3.2.11.3	V	J.J. Yongho, Junchi, Jeongli	11-16/861/2	45 UL MU OFDMA Capable and UL MU MIMO Capable subfields are not defined in the subclause in subclause 9.4.2.2.3. (9.4.2.2.3).	Add UL MU OFDMA Capable and UL MU MIMO Capable subfields are not defined in the subclause in subclause 9.4.2.2.3. (9.4.2.2.3). REVISED (MAC: 2016/07/27 22:44:182Z) - "An AP shall not set Ack Policy to '01' in the QoS Control field in MPDUs of the HE MU PPDU that is the UL OFDMA Capable (1515) subfield and the UL MU-MIMO (1527) Capable subfield of the HE MU PPDU that is the receiver of the MPDUs are set to 0 (if 404)." Because UL MU OFDMA is a mandatory feature, the above condition is not happened. Delete that sentence. TGax editor makes changes as shown in the as specified in 11-160861/2.	EDITOR D0.3	1	2016-08-02	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-08-02 22:27:57Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2608 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.3.2.11.43	43:08:8	10.3.2.11.4	V	J.J. Yongho, Junchi, Jeongli	11-16/861/2	45 Ack to UL MU frames does not need to be limited to OFDMA frames (or ACK frames) in HE MU PPDU or	Modify the text to " as AP frames (or ACK frames) in HE MU PPDU or" REVISED (MAC: 2016/07/27 22:45:132Z) - Agree in principal.	EDITOR D0.3	1	2016-08-02	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-08-02 22:28:08Z	0.3	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2609 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.7.6.5.2.45	45:01:1	10.7.6.5.2	V	Po-Kei, Yuen, Yuen, Kieron, Yuen, Xianfeng, Wang, Xianfeng, Jing, Yau	11-16/1185/3	100 As a MU-RTS frame is a MCS subfield for each Per User Info field of all participating STA, there is no problem of each participating STA using different MCS level before RTT continuing. In a variant of a Trigger frame, there's no reason to restrict the MCS of simultaneous CTS frames to be the primary rate.	Delete the first paragraph of the sub-clause. The sentence of (page 41 line 19) in subclause 10.3.2.8a.3. TGax editor makes changes as shown in the 11-161185/3. REVISED (MU: 2016-09-21 16:08:61Z) Agree in principal.	EDITOR D0.5	1	2016-09-21	EDITOR	see #603	0.5	2016/09/29 18:35	EDITOR
2610 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.7.6.5.2.45	45:16:16	10.7.6.5.2	V	Editor		Wrong grammar.	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-04-01 01:02:11Z) Agree to "that is correct" see #23	EDITOR D0.2	1	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-04-30 01:02:11Z	0.2	2016/11/22 20:17	EDITOR
2611 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.9.45	45:36:36	10.9	V	Allied, Liwen, Jeongli	16/1127/0	73 ~HTC-HE Support~ subfield is not defined in the HE Capabilities in subclause 9.4.2.2.3.	Define the HTC-HE Support subfield in HE Capabilities in subclause 9.4.2.2.3. Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution is to define the subfield in the HE Capabilities element. TGax editor to make the change with the heading 16/1127/0 under 11 headings that include CID 2611.	EDITOR No change to draft	N	2016/09/29 18:37	EDITOR	Not implemented as instructed. See #200, which makes substantially the same change.	0.5	2016/09/29 18:37	EDITOR

2812 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.9	46	11	T	Y	46.11.11	10.9	J	Allred, Lwren, Jeongil	73 As HE Control field can have multiple indication for different different MPDUs in an A-MPDU having HE variant HT Control field contents.	Modify the text to "The HT Control field shall contain the HE variant HT Control field information in the same A-MPDU as the HE variant HT Control field information in the HE variant HT Control field is carried in the MPDU".	REJECTED (MAC, 2016-09-13) - Rejected 1043:132) - Rejected	N	EDITOR, 2016-09-20 19:34:72: The resolution changes the existing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:10:5	EDITOR
2813 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.22.2.9	46	32	T	Y	46.32.32	10.22.2.9	V	Po-Kai, Yoon, Kwon, Jeongil, Xuelei, Jing, Yau	98 It is not clear if the STA reset NAV when the CF-End originated from the STA's BSS or the PPDU that BSS is a PPDU that BSS is unknown.	Clarify the operation when the most recent NAV update was due to a PPDU that BSS is unknown.	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-20 07:50:52Z)	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-20 18:35:20	2016/09/29 18:35	EDITOR
2814 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.22.2.9	46	37	T	Y	46.37.37	10.22.2.9	V	Po-Kai, Yoon, Kwon, Jeongil, Xuelei, Jing, Yau	98 TXOP's duration rule for two NAV case is missing.	Add explanation on the operation to two NAV cases.	REVISED (MU, 2016-09-20 07:50:52Z)	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-20 18:35:20	2016/09/29 18:35	EDITOR
2815 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.24.10	47	55	T	Y	47.55.55	10.24.10	A	George Chenan, Kwon, Jeongil, Deng Yi, Xuelei, Yaukai, Kwon	38 [TBD FFS] should be SIFS.	Delete "in case of a BSS/NAVReq frame or a [TBD FFS] in case of an MU-BAR".	ACCEPTED (MAC, 2016-07-27 03:11:47Z)	I	EDITOR, 2016-08-02 20:56:34Z	2016/09/23 18:24	EDITOR
2816 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.44.2	49	11	T	Y	49.11.11	10.44.2	V	Allred, Mat, Kwon, Jeongil, Jason, Jayh, Kailyn, Jankko, Jeongil	113 (TWT) is used for triggering the first frame in a TWT SP. As it does not make sense to set the Flow Type to 0 to the first frame in a TWT SP, the first frame in a TWT SP shall be set to 1 if the Trigger subfield in the TWT SP is set to 1. Therefore, the exception that the first frame in a TWT SP shall be set to 1 if the Trigger subfield in the TWT SP is set to 1 is better to clarify that Flow Type shall be set to 1 for Trigger operation.	Delete "frame, except for a BSS/NAVReq frame or a [TBD FFS] in case of an MU-BAR".	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-14 14:52:15Z) - Revised -	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-14 14:52:15Z	2016/09/29 18:35	EDITOR
2817 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.44.3	49	38	T	Y	49.38.38	10.44.3	V	Allred, Mat, Ming Can, Kwon, Jeongil, Jason, Jayh, Kailyn, Jankko, Jeongil	113 Cascade Indication field equal to 0 simply implies that there is no cascade frame in the TWT SP. As the Trigger frame is used only for UL MU transmission, it has no cascade frame in the TWT SP. Therefore, it is not appropriate to set the Cascade Indication field to 0.	Modify the text to "... if it receives a Trigger frame from the STA, it shall not respond with a cascade frame in the TWT SP. As a Cascade Indication field equal to 0 that is not intended to it for UL MU transmission, it has no cascade frame in the TWT SP. Therefore, it is not appropriate to set the Cascade Indication field to 0."	REVISED (MAC, 2016-09-14 14:52:15Z) - Revised -	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-14 14:52:15Z	2016/09/29 18:35	EDITOR

2819 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.44.1.49	55	T	Y	49:55:55	10.44.4.1	V	Alied, Maiz, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayin, Kaying, Jarkko, Jeonggi	16/11/8992	113 Broadcast TWT operation can be used for unassociated STA beacon management frames before association. Therefore, there's no reason not to include TWT frames (such as Probe Response, Authentication, Association Request/Response) to indicate TWT schedule.	Modify the text to "that includes REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:52:22Z) - Revised - The TWT element is already allowed as part of the (Re-) association. Therefore, there's no reason not to include TWT frames as part of the individual TWT agreement process. Broadcast TWT can only be used for unassociated STA beacon management frames not individually addressed ones.	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
2819 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.44.2.50	33	T	Y	50:33:33	10.44.4.2	V	Alied, Maiz, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayin, Kaying, Jarkko, Jeonggi	16/11/8992	113 Broadcast TWT operation can be used for unassociated STA beacon management frames before association. Therefore, there's no reason not to include TWT frames (such as Probe Response, Authentication, Association Request/Response) to indicate TWT schedule.	Modify the text to "A TWT scheduling STA may include a TWT element in a TWT frame scheduled as a TBTT, Probe Response frame, and Association Request/Response (Re)association Response frame."	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
2820 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.44.2.50	43	E	Y	50:45:43	10.44.4.2	V	Editor		Wrong English	Modify the text to "The TWT scheduling STA shall schedule for transmission of a Trigger frame intended to ..."	EDITOR Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/23/017	EDITOR
2821 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.44.2.50	62	T	Y	50:62:62	10.44.4.2	V	Alied, Maiz, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayin, Kaying, Jarkko, Jeonggi	16/11/8992	113 Periodic TWT is valid only within a beacon period.	Modify the text to "The TWT parameters are valid for each successive TWT of the scheduled TWT until the next TBTT."	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
2822 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	10.44.3.51	13	T	Y	51:13:13	10.44.4.3	V	Alied, Maiz, Ming Gan, Jason, Jayin, Kaying, Jarkko, Jeonggi	16/11/8992	113 There's no indication that TWT is used for unassociated STA Broadcast TWT, and it may not be enough for an AP to figure out only based on the TWT element in the TWT value interval.	Specify in the TWT element that TWT is used for unassociated STA Broadcast TWT, and it may not be enough for an AP to figure out only based on the TWT element in the TWT value interval.	EDITOR Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 16:35	EDITOR
2823 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	T	Y	53:33:33	25.2.1	V	Phidai, Young Hoon, Yonbo, Kison, Xuefei, Jing, Yashu	16/09/35/1	53 When a STA correctly receives a valid HE-SIG-A in a HE PPDU and identifies TXOP Duration field in the HE-SIG-A, it shall not be invoked if valid TXOP Duration information is present in the frame that would have caused an EIFS, such as when even in case the STA fails to decode the TXOP Duration field in the HE-SIG-A already because TXOP Duration value is not updated for EIFS in the HE-SIG-A already. However, in case of the TXOP Duration value in HE-SIG-A is updated for EIFS not being invoked. For this end, extending current spec. is necessary.	Modify the final paragraph of 10.2.2.3.7 EIFS sub-clause (in REVMc D0.2) to "EIFS shall not be invoked if valid TXOP Duration information is present in the frame that would have caused an EIFS, such as when even in case the STA fails to decode the TXOP Duration field in the HE-SIG-A already because TXOP Duration value is not updated for EIFS in the HE-SIG-A already. However, in case of the TXOP Duration value in HE-SIG-A is updated for EIFS not being invoked for an A-MPDU if one or more of its frames are received correctly."	EDITOR Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 16:24	EDITOR

2824	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1 25.2.1	53	42	T	Y	53.45.42	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Yung Hoon, Kwon, Yano, Kiseon, Yuchi, Xieoli, Jang, Yasu	11-16/130290	130	As CTS frame and ACK frame are sent, the STA receives a regular NAV even though these frames are from the same BSS. To avoid confusion, the STA should not update its intra-BSS NAV and wrong NAV setting, if a NAV with the information from the Duration field is successfully received needed for a STA to check the soliciting frame's BSS in case of a STA that receives a frame is sent from intra-BSS and the remaining TXOP duration of the control response frame is immediately preceding frame. Also, A STA that receives a NAV from other STA that does not have TA field can update its intra-BSS NAV and still not update its regular NAV. Therefore, the Duration field in an immediately following frame is sent from intra-BSS and the control response frame is the same with that of the control response frame."	REVISED (MU 2016-11-10) Editor: 2016-11-22	EDITOR	Edited in D1.0	1	EDITOR, 2016-11-22 17:36:02 - see #2738	1	2016/11/29 22:43	EDITOR
2825	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1 25.2.1	53	48	T	Y	53.45.48	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Yung Hoon, Kwon, Yano, Kiseon, Yuchi, Xieoli, Jang, Yasu	11-16/110662	72	Last four paragraphs of the text are not necessary additional meaning compared to those sentences described above.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20) Editor: 2016-09-20	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	1	see #164	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2826	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1 25.3.1	54	17	T	Y	54.17.17	25.3.1	V	Alfred, Ming Gan, Mark Reon, Laurent, Woogin, Narendar	16-02/860	42	Based on current RE/MC DS2 spec (10.2.7), "Dynamic fragmentation is allowed for an MSDU or MPDU transmitted within an A-MPDU shall not be fragmented even if its length is greater than or equal to HTFragmentationThreshold. However, to efficiency schedule MU PPDU, it is necessary to allow fragmentation within an A-MPDU. In this sense, this exception needs to be clarified in the subclause.	REVISED (MAC 2016-07-27) Editor: 2016-07-27	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	1	see #164	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2827	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1 25.3.1	54	17	T	Y	54.17.17	25.3.1	V	Alfred, Ming Gan, Mark Reon, Laurent, Woogin, Narendar	16-02/860	42	Based on current RE/MC DS2 spec (10.2.7), "Dynamic fragmentation is allowed for an MSDU or MPDU transmitted within an A-MPDU shall not be fragmented even if its length is greater than or equal to HTFragmentationThreshold. However, to efficiency schedule MU PPDU, it is necessary to allow fragmentation for MSDUs under (HE variant of) HT-immediate block ack. In this sense, this exception needs to be clarified in the subclause.	REVISED (MAC 2016-07-27) Editor: 2016-07-27	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	1	see #164	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2828	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1 25.3.3	55	6	T	Y	55.06.6	25.3.3	V	Alfred, Ming Gan, Mark Reon, Laurent, Woogin, Narendar	16-02/860	42	In case a retransmitted fragment is scheduled in a BA frame, the STA should not receive the MPDU together with MPDUs from other STA, a STA that receives a BA frame is in multi-STA BA frame, where the response occupies one per HT-immediate block ack. In this sense, this exception needs to be clarified in the subclause.	REVISED (MAC 2016-07-27) Editor: 2016-07-27	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	1	see #164	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2829	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1 25.3.3	55	20	T	Y	55.20.20	25.3.3	V	Alfred, Ming Gan, Mark Reon, Laurent, Woogin, Narendar	16-02/860	42	When Fragmentation Support subfield is set to 2, as BA frame, the STA should not receive the Sequence Number after receiving a fragment for an MSDU, if the subsequent fragment is delayed and away but in a delayed fashion, it is unclear how to manage the BA bitmap. Therefore, the STA should not receive the Fragmentation level 2.	REVISED (MAC 2016-07-27) Editor: 2016-07-27	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	1	see #164	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2830	Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1 25.3.3	55	30	T	Y	55.30.30	25.3.3	V	Alfred, Ming Gan, Mark Reon, Laurent, Woogin, Narendar	16-04/110	108	Fragment Number subfield may be used not only for indicating Fragment level 3 but also for indicating Fragment level 2. Therefore, it may be helpful to describe the indication of Fragment Number subfield in different way.	REVISED (MAC 2016-04-13) Editor: 2016-04-13	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	1	see also #2298	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR

2839 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1.57	17	T	Y	57.17.17	25.5.2.2.1	V	11-1600293	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yaseu, Junchi, David	70 User ID allocation for random access is missing.	Describe user ID allocation rule for random access channel.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2840 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.1.57	28	T	Y	57.28.28	25.5.2.2.1	V	11-1600293	Reza, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yaseu, Junchi, David	70 In current REvMc, an ACK/BA frame is present at the start of the AMPDU in the control response context. Therefore, it is included in an AMPDU. In an AMPDU having ACK/BA frame, clarification has to be made that the frame is present at the start of the AMPDU.	Clarify which frame is present at the start of the AMPDU in case Trigger/ACK/BA are included in an AMPDU. TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-1600293	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2841 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.3.57	56	T	Y	57.56.56	25.5.2.2.3	V	11-1600293	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yaseu, Junchi, David	70 This sentence describes a transmission access rule for MU PPDU. The frame in a DL PPDU that contains trigger frames in a DL PPDU needs to be further described.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20 05:10:59Z) Clarified the order, which is ACK/BA first, then trigger changes shown in 11-1600293	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2842 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	25.5.2.2.3.57	56	T	Y	57.56.56	25.5.2.2.3	V	11-1600293	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yaseu, Junchi, David	70 APs behave in terms of channel access. More specifically, need to be described in this sub-clause. More specifically, transmission bandwidth for UL channel that is not occupied by the trigger frame in a DL PPDU that contains trigger information, in each 20 MHz channel occupied by the trigger frame, shall be described. DL PPDU that contains trigger information, there is at least one allocated subchannel.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20 05:10:59Z) TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-1600293	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2843 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	60	E	Y	57.60.60	25.5.2.3	2824 A	Editor		70 Typo in the sentence	Change "is it" to "it is".	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/2 20:17	EDITOR
2844 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	T	Y	58.05.5	25.5.2.3	V	11-1600293	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yaseu, Junchi, David	70 It is not clear which AC of UL MU PPDU a STA can include into a Trigger frame, and add the corresponding text in this sub-clause.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20 05:12:43Z) A STA may include MPDUs with any type of HE trigger frame in a DL PPDU to a Trigger Frame or to an HE Variant HT Control field	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2845 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	T	Y	58.05.5	25.5.2.3	V	11-1600293	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yaseu, Junchi, David	70 In current spec. (REvMc_DS2), after transmitting a frame that contains trigger information, the STA shall perform ack procedure. If the retry count value shall be greater than zero, the time transmission of a frame fails (see 10.22.2.11.1). However, in case of UL MU transmission, as a immediate response to a trigger frame, the STA shall handle the retry count, how to handle the retry count.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20 05:13:34Z) Draft 0.4 includes resolution to handle the retry count in clause 10.22.2.2 Also, See presentation 16080	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2846 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	58	T	Y	58.07.7	25.5.2.3	V	11-1600293	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton, Yaseu, Junchi, David	70 With this condition, random access is not allowed.	REVISED (MU 2016-09-20 05:14:29Z) Added TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-1600293	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2847 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	58	T	Y	58.54.54	25.5.2.4	V	16/08/792	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton	50 In subclause 10.32.1 of REvMc_DS2, there's description on ED-based CCA, MU CS mechanism, individual PHY specifications, and the ED-based CCA described in chapter 26 of the draft spec. Therefore, there's no description on ED-based CCA to be used for HE STA.	REVISED (MU 2016-07-28 23:35:36Z) TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-1600792 under all headings that include CID 2647.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2848 Young Hoon Kwon	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	T	Y	59.07.7	25.5.2.4	A	11-1600853	Simone, Rakesh, Kiseon, Lei, Tomo, John, Son, Anton	65 The first bullet is a subset of the first bullet.	ACCEPTED (MU 20 16-09-13 14:03:04Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR

2702 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	48	T	Y	53.48.48	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoan, Yuen, Kison, Lai, Yuchi, Tomo, John, Xiao, Jng, Yau	11-16/11062	72 "For all other received HE-SIG-A frames..." Other than what? This text seems to be a duplication of page 53, line 23 Intra-BSS..."	Remove sentence starting "For all other received HE-SIG-A frames that are identified by the STA as Intra-BSS..." Agree in principle with the subclause is organized with normative behavior.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	see #164
2703 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	52	T	Y	53.52.52	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoan, Yuen, Kison, Lai, Yuchi, Tomo, John, Xiao, Jng, Yau	11-16/11062	72 "For all other received HE-SIG-A frames..." Other than what? This text seems to be a duplication of page 53, line 25 Intra-BSS..."	Remove sentence starting "For all other received HE-SIG-A frames that are identified by the STA as Intra-BSS..." Agree in principle with the subclause is organized with normative behavior.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	see #164
2704 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	57	T	Y	53.57.57	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoan, Yuen, Kison, Lai, Yuchi, Tomo, John, Xiao, Jng, Yau	11-16/11062	72 "For all other received HE-SIG-A frames..." Other than what? This text seems to be a duplication of page 53, line 33 Intra-BSS..."	Remove sentence starting "For all other received HE-SIG-A frames that are identified by the STA as Intra-BSS..." Agree in principle with the subclause is organized with normative behavior.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	see #164
2705 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	25.2.1	53	62	T	Y	53.62.62	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Young Hoan, Yuen, Kison, Lai, Yuchi, Tomo, John, Xiao, Jng, Yau	11-16/11062	72 "For all other received HE-SIG-A frames..." Other than what? This text seems to be a duplication of page 53, line 36 Intra-BSS..."	Remove sentence starting "For all other received HE-SIG-A frames that are identified by the STA as Intra-BSS..." Agree in principle with the subclause is organized with normative behavior.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	see #164
2706 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	25.5.2.1	57	28	T	N	57.28.28	25.5.2.1	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Xiao, Jng, Yau, David	11-16/09293	70 "What happens if the frame exchange initiated by the trigger frame is "successful"?"	Remove sentence starting "If a frame exchange initiated by the trigger frame is..." Agree in principle with the subclause is organized with normative behavior.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	see #164
2707 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	57	56	T	N	57.56.56	25.5.2.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Xiao, Jng, Yau, Son, Anton	11-16/09293	50 "For improved spectral efficiency, the ED should only be conducted for allocated UL RUs. For example 10MHz subchannel should be conducted in the 10MHz subchannel."	Remove sentence starting "For improved spectral efficiency, the ED should only be conducted for allocated UL RUs. For example 10MHz subchannel should be conducted in the 10MHz subchannel." Agree in principle with the subclause is organized with normative behavior.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	see #164
2708 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	7	T	N	59.07.7	25.5.2.4	A	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Xiao, Jng, Yau, Son, Anton	11-16/08653	65 "The NAV was set by a frame originating from the AP". This rule in line 12 "The NAV was set by a frame originating from the AP" should be enough.	Remove: "The NAV was set by a frame originating from the AP. This rule in line 12 "The NAV was set by a frame originating from the AP" should be enough." Agree in principle with the subclause is organized with normative behavior.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	see #164
2709 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	22	T	Y	59.22.22	25.5.2.4	J	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Xiao, Jng, Yau, Son, Anton	16/08/762	50 "For improved spectral efficiency, the ED should only be conducted for allocated UL RUs. For example 10MHz subchannel should be conducted in the 10MHz subchannel."	Remove: "The NAV was set by a frame originating from the AP. This rule in line 12 "The NAV was set by a frame originating from the AP" should be enough." Agree in principle with the subclause is organized with normative behavior.	EDITOR	No change to edit	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-08-11 09:08:02. This regulation contains no editing instructions.	
2710 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	25.5.2.4	59	26	T	Y	59.26.26	25.5.2.4	J	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Xiao, Jng, Yau, Son, Anton	16/08/762	50 "The STA shall not transmit UL RUs that are allocated to other STAs that are idle."	Remove sentence starting "The STA shall not transmit UL RUs that are allocated to other STAs that are idle." Agree in principle with the subclause is organized with normative behavior.	EDITOR	No change to edit	2016/11/23 1:05	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-08-11 09:08:02. This regulation contains no editing instructions.	
2711 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	25.5.2.5	59	43	T	N	59.43.43	25.5.2.5	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Xiao, Jng, Yau, Son, Anton, Yau, David	16/08/690	24 "What is a broadcast Trigger frame?"	Define broadcast Trigger frame.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR	
2712 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	25.5.2.6.1	160	12	E	N	60.12.12	25.5.2.6.1	V	David Editor		What OBO stands for in the appearance of OBO should be defined in text.	REMOVED (EDITOR: 2016-05-03 19:46:26Z) HE-STA that maintains an internal counter termed "Access Procedure Counter" and HE-STA that uses the random access procedure maintains an internal OPDMA backoff (OBO) counter"	EDITOR	Edited in D0.2	0.2	2016/11/23 10:17	EDITOR	EDITOR: 2016-05-03 19:46:26Z

2728 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	26.3.10.2	133	3	T	N	133.03.3	26.3.10.2	2681 J	Rui Cao	11-16/11384	52 Is there no case where padding Remove the word "a" is not necessary?	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-13 EDITOR: 2116-36Z) It says that "A two step padding process is applied to all HE frames" and that "padding is not necessary, you have to apply the padding process first to determine if padding is needed or not."	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-09-28 19:53:4Z The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR
2727 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	139	46	E	N	139.46.46	26.3.10.4	2682 A	Editor		Forti in equation seems larger	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:08:52Z). Convert all equations to 10 ⁻⁶ format	Edited in D0.2	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:08:52Z	0.2 2016/11/22 20:17 EDITOR
2728 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	145	42	E	N	145.42.42	26.3.10.1		Editor		missing reference	add reference	Editorials			2016/4/14 17:16 EDITOR
2729 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	150	50	E	N	150.50.50	26.3.10.1	V	Editor		missing reference	add reference	Editorials			2016/11/22 20:17 EDITOR
2730 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	26.3.12.4	156	30	E	N	156.30.30	26.3.12.4	2685 A	Editor		"transmitter" is ambiguous in the text. It seems to mean one component of this paragraph array.	Replace "transmitters" with "transmitters" in the text. expression of the beginning of this paragraph	Editorials	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 19:53:04Z	0.2 2016/11/22 20:17 EDITOR
2731 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	26.3.12.4	156	61	E	N	156.61.61	26.3.12.4		Editor		missing reference	add reference	Editorials			2016/4/14 17:16 EDITOR
2732 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	26.3.12.4	156	61	E	N	156.61.61	26.3.12.4	2687	Editor		Reference error	Refer correct section number	Editorials			2016/4/14 17:16 EDITOR
2733 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	26.3.12.4	157	2	E	N	157.02.2	26.3.12.4	V	Editor		missing reference	add reference	Editorials			2016/11/22 20:17 EDITOR
2734 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	26.3.12.4	157	25	T	N	157.25.25	26.3.12.4	2689 J	Sriram, Korne, Sameer		19 Required accuracy should be defined with dynamic range.	Define multiple values corresponding to multiple dynamic ranges	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-08-09 19:46:10Z The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 21:05 EDITOR
2735 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	26.5.1	178	40	E	N	178.40.40	26.5.1	V	Editor		Missing "*" in sub clause heading	change to "26.5.1"	Editorials			
2736 Yuchi Morioke	23	0.1	26.5.1	182		E	N	182.00	26.5.1		Editor		Table 26-42 to Table 26-92: No consistency of the thousand separator in Table 26-42 to Table 26-92.	Use always thousand separator in Table 26-42 to Table 26-92.	Editorials	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-14 20:44:38Z see #652	0.2 2016/11/22 20:17 EDITOR
2737 yujinroh	23	0.1	9.4.2.213	31	11	E	Y	31.11.11	9.4.2.213	725 A	Editor		number of reserved bits in frame is not defined such that Zyxfile - 5 bits = 11 bits	change 12 to 11	Editorials	I	EDITOR: 2016-04-29 23:04:02Z see #725	0.2 2016/11/22 20:17 EDITOR
2738 yujinroh	23	0.1	10.2.2.2.46	25	25	T	N	46.25.25	10.2.2.2.9	V	Po-Kai, Yung Hoon, Yoon, Kiwon, Yuchi, Yuhui, Xiefei, Jing, Yau	11-16/13820	130 Confirmed by RTS from associated AP is considered "intra-BSS NAV" NAV configured by CTS from a STA (intra-BSS NAV) and the same BSS is considered a "regular NAV". STA in the same BSS exchange information with both intra-BSS NAV (by RTS) and regular NAV (by CTS). End from the AP and the intra-BSS NAV and regular NAV is not meet even though it is not meet for the same TXOP source	Correct the TXOP transaction behavior based on submitted contribution in T Gax.	Editorials	I	EDITOR: 2016-11-12 21:16:58Z	1 2016/11/29 22:45 EDITOR
2739 yujinroh	23	0.1	25.21	53	33	T	Y	53.33.33	25.2.1	V	Po-Kai, Yung Hoon, Yoon, Kiwon, Yuchi, Yuhui, Xiefei, Jing, Yau	11-16/11062	72 When it comes to reception of HE PS-Poll, a STA shall not transmit the value which required to transmit one ACK frame plus TXOP duration. This happens to receive HE PS-Poll frame incorrectly with payload error such that there is no clear TXOP duration in SIG-A	add this sentence "In HE PS-Poll frame, the value which required to transmit one ACK frame plus TXOP duration shall be required to transmit one ACK frame plus SIFS." TXax editor to make the TXOP duration in SIG-A that include CID 1759.	Editorials	I	see #164	0.5 2016/02/9 18:35 EDITOR
2740 yujinroh	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4	15	5	T	Y	15.05.5	9.2.4.6.4	V	Yujin Noh	11-16/11391	92 Gax has agreed to add the reference payloadsize for the draft.	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-09-14 21:53:52Z) TXax Editor make changes according to this document 11-16-1195-01-00ax CCO-HE variant HT control.	Editorials	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-23 22:18:21Z	0.5 2016/02/9 18:35 EDITOR
2741 yujinroh	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4	15	5	T	Y	15.05.5	9.2.4.6.4		Alfred, Luen, Jongsil		HE link adaptation field format is not defined in the VHT link adaptation field format and contents cannot be interpreted in the field of HT control due to field size changes and lack of Group ID in HE-SIG.	define HE link adaptation field format and its contents	HT Control			2016/5/17 18:46 EDITOR

2742	Yujinoh	23	0.1 25.92	63	45	T	Y	63.45.45	255.2	V	Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosa, Junchi, Liven, Xiaoqi, Jing, Jongrak, Yasu, Gwen, Geonjong, Yuchul, James, Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosa, Junchi, Liven, Xiaoqi, Jing, Jongrak, Yasu, Gwen, Geonjong, Yuchul, James,	12236	76 RSSI can be measured in L-1 and/or HE-LTF. The HE-LTF and HE-LTF can be radically different. For STA, draft needs to clarify future what "receiving powerRSSI" refers to.	clarify "receiving powerRSSI"	REVISED - Agree in principle with the comment. Change the text as proposed in document 12236.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #2386	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2743	Yujinoh	23	0.1 25.92	63	45	T	Y	63.45.45	255.2	V	Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosa, Junchi, Liven, Xiaoqi, Jing, Jongrak, Yasu, Gwen, Geonjong, Yuchul, James,	12236	76 SR operation for wider bandwidths (i.e. 40MHz or larger) is not clearly specified. It is not clear whether HE-LTF have identical channel access rules as non-SR operation.	clarify SR operations for 40/80/160 MHz transmissions with the comment. The adjustment rule is defined for channel under SR rules. 12236.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	see #2386	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR	
2744	Yujinoh	23	0.1 25.92	63	45	T	Y	63.45.45	255.2	V	Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosa, Junchi, Liven, Xiaoqi, Jing, Jongrak, Yasu, Gwen, Geonjong, Yuchul, James, Laurent	11780	77 L-1, L-2 is power boosted in extended range SU PPDU. Then measured RSSI in legacy range SU PPDU cases. part could be increased with 3dB when comparing OBSS frame. If the trigger RSSI is expected to be ready for SR or not. If boosted measured RSSI is not reflected, system would not be able to use the medium for SR.	Clarify "receiving powerRSSI" measurement in extended range SU PPDU. comment. As the legacy preamble part of extended range SU PPDU is 3dB higher than the preamble part of the PPDU, it is reasonable to de-boost the measured power when it is compared with OBSS_L-1/D level. Tjake editor to make the adjustment in the HE-LTF. 1911780 under all headings for CID 2144.	REVISED - Agreed to the comment. As the legacy preamble part of extended range SU PPDU is 3dB higher than the preamble part of the PPDU, it is reasonable to de-boost the measured power when it is compared with OBSS_L-1/D level. Tjake editor to make the adjustment in the HE-LTF. 1911780 under all headings for CID 2144.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-21 21:21:15Z - see #2664	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2745	Yujinoh	23	0.1 26.65	82	25	T	Y	82.25.25	266.5	V	Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosa, Junchi, Liven, Xiaoqi, Jing, Jongrak, Yasu, Gwen, Geonjong, Yuchul, James, Laurent	11780	121 Dual sub-carrier modulation scheme for the HE-SIG-B and Data fields. DCM is only applied to BPSK, QPSK and 16-QAM modulations. DCM description for MCS 2 is left out.	Add DCM description for MCS 2	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-15 06:05:56Z) To Tjake editor: make changes based on passed "Tjake PHY" and make changes to make changes as in 11-1607.360.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR, 2016-09-23 22:39:44Z - Changed "TBD mandatory" to "optional" in table headings. The resolution contains no editing instructions in 1677361 and 1677361 are not explicit and seem to expect the editor to find all the editor to			

2753 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.2.2	72	56	E	Y	72.56.56	263.2	J	Ke Yao	11-16/14480	125 need to modify the beam number and the start of the beam indication as 1 is shown in the figure. The beam is enabled/disabled	o indicates that the beam is changed between the two patterns.	REJECTED (PHY: 2016-11-08 EDITOR: 2016-05-05) 0.234.24Z	Referring to ad-hoc. No action for pre-0 means and this comment no editing instructions.	EDITOR: 2016-11-18 19:51.29Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05 EDITOR
2754 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.2.2	72	47	E	N	72.47.47	263.2	J	Editor		reference may be needed how to get PE duration	add reference number with 26.3.10.15	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 19:50.11Z. Avoid unnecessary forward referencing. Individual references are moved from the subclauses where they are used	N	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 19:51.29Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05 EDITOR
2755 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.3.2	74	25	E	Y	74.25.25	263.2	V	Editor		need to modify the format type and its explanation from HE extended range SU PPOU format to HE Trigger-based PPOU format. It is sent in HE Trigger-based PPOU format. The format of HE Trigger-based PPOU format is not present in the HE-SIG-B field	The format of the HE Trigger-based PPOU is defined as in Figure 26-4. (HE Trigger-based PPOU format). It is sent in HE Trigger-based PPOU format. The format of HE Trigger-based PPOU format is not present in the HE-SIG-B field	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 19:50.11Z. See #1035. No need to mention the lack of HE-SIG-B field since this is clear from the figure.	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 20:31.22Z. see #1032	0.2 2016/11/23 1:07 EDITOR
2756 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.3.2	75	43	E	Y	75.43.43	263.2	V	Editor		"PU" format of the second field "is an HE SU PPOU as implied by the value of L-length field in L-SIG field" is not clear	make it clear to explain the HE NDP PPOU properties	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 12:05.36Z). Since we only define 4 PPOU formats (not 5) in the HE-SIG-B field, we use an HE SU PPOU as the format. Data field contains zero OFDM symbols. A description along with the format of HE-SIG-B field to one would identify such a burst look for HE SU PPOU where the LENGTH field in L-SIG field is 0. The format of HE-SIG-B field is defined as in Figure 26-4. The format of HE NDP PPOU is shown in Figure 26-5. (HE NDP PPOU format) is not present in the HE-SIG-B field. The format of HE-SIG-B field contains zero OFDM symbols. Remove the last sentence in the HE-SIG-B field properties since this is implied by the statement that an HE NDP PPOU is a HE SU PPOU.	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 21:13.38Z	0.2 2016/11/23 1:07 EDITOR
2757 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.6.5	83	15	E	Y	83.15.15	266.5	A	Editor		reference Table xx needs to be fixed	Table xx needs to be fixed with Table 26-13	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 22:06.52Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 22:06.00Z	0.2 2016/11/23 1:07 EDITOR
2758 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.3.7	90	24	E	Y	90.24.24	263.7	A	Editor		RULE is spread over 17.500	17.500 needs to be changed by 17.500	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 13:42.06Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 13:42.11Z	0.2 2016/11/23 1:07 EDITOR
2759 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.3.7	90	33	E	Y	90.33.33	263.7	A	Editor		reference Figure number miss the symbol	Figure 26-15 and Figure 26-17 need to be changed to Figure 26-15, Figure 26-16 and Figure 26-17	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 03:06.30Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 03:06.30Z	0.2 2016/11/23 1:07 EDITOR
2760 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.3.8	98	52	E	N	98.52.52	263.8	A	Editor		Kr needs to be changed with bold and italic letter K and the symbol	Table 26-14 needs to be fixed with Table 26-14	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 17:46.38Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 17:45.38Z	0.2 2016/11/23 1:07 EDITOR
2761 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.3.8	100	5	E	Y	100.05.5	263.8	A	Editor		HE modulated field consist of 16 subcarriers. The HE-SIG-B field which needs to be identical to the definition with the line number 53 on page 89	HE modulated fields consist of 16 subcarriers. The HE-SIG-B field which needs to be identical to the definition with the line number 53 on page 89	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 17:46.38Z)	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 17:46.38Z	0.2 2016/11/23 1:07 EDITOR
2762 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.3.9.1	100	58	E	Y	100.58.58	263.9.1	A	Editor		number during the draft specification. In 15.1, there is a reference to use the descriptions with 22.3.10.11.1 (Transmission in VHT format) (Transmission in HE format) to have proper reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	It may be defined with 19.3.11.11.2 (Spatial mapping) or 26.3.10.13 (Transmission in HE format)	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:51.38Z). O.K. Seg is described in 26.3.8. See #1094	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:52.06Z. see #1094	0.2 2016/11/23 1:07 EDITOR
2763 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.3.9.3	101	62	E	Y	101.62.62	263.9.3	V	Editor		On(Seg) needs to be defined to have proper reference number during the draft specification. In 15.1, there is a reference to use the descriptions with 22.3.10.11.1 (Transmission in VHT format) (Transmission in HE format) to have proper reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	It may be defined with 19.3.11.11.2 (Spatial mapping) or 26.3.10.13 (Transmission in HE format)	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:51.38Z). O.K. Seg is described in 26.3.8. See #1094	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:52.06Z. see #1094	0.2 2016/11/23 1:07 EDITOR
2764 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.3.9.4	102	46	E	Y	102.46.46	263.9.4	V	Editor		On(Seg) needs to be defined to have proper reference number during the draft specification. In 15.1, there is a reference to use the descriptions with 22.3.10.11.1 (Transmission in VHT format) (Transmission in HE format) to have proper reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	It may be defined with 19.3.11.11.2 (Spatial mapping) or 26.3.10.13 (Transmission in HE format)	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:51.38Z). O.K. Seg is described in 26.3.8. See #1094	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:52.06Z. see #1094	0.2 2016/11/23 1:07 EDITOR
2765 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.3.9.5	104	31	E	Y	104.31.31	263.9.5	V	Editor		On(Seg) needs to be defined to have proper reference number during the draft specification. In 15.1, there is a reference to use the descriptions with 22.3.10.11.1 (Transmission in VHT format) (Transmission in HE format) to have proper reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	It may be defined with 19.3.11.11.2 (Spatial mapping) or 26.3.10.13 (Transmission in HE format)	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:51.38Z). O.K. Seg is described in 26.3.8. See #1094	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:52.06Z. see #1094	0.2 2016/11/23 1:07 EDITOR
2766 yljnroh	23	0.1 26.3.9.7.4	110	17	E	Y	110.17.17	263.9.7.4	V	Editor		On(Seg) needs to be defined to have proper reference number during the draft specification. In 15.1, there is a reference to use the descriptions with 22.3.10.11.1 (Transmission in VHT format) (Transmission in HE format) to have proper reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	It may be defined with 19.3.11.11.2 (Spatial mapping) or 26.3.10.13 (Transmission in HE format)	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:51.38Z). O.K. Seg is described in 26.3.8. See #1094	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 18:52.06Z. see #1094	0.2 2016/11/23 1:07 EDITOR

2766	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5	118.33.33	26.3.9.8.5	A	Editor	Naser needs to be changed with bold and italic letter N and subscript italic user	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 22:59:16Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 22:59:16Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2767	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.8.5	118.39.39	26.3.9.8.5	A	Editor	Naser needs to be changed with bold and italic letter N and subscript italic user	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 23:03:46Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 23:03:46Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2768	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	122.13.13	26.3.9.10	A	Editor	NHE-LTF needs to be changed with bold and italic letter N and subscript italic HELTf	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:09:44Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:09:47Z - see #922	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2769	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	122.14.14	26.3.9.10	A	Editor	NHE-LTF needs to be changed with bold and italic letter N and subscript italic HELTf	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:12:02Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:12:05Z - see #922	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2790	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	122.15.15	26.3.9.10	A	Editor	NHELTf needs to be changed with bold and italic letter N and subscript italic HELTf	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:12:12Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:12:15Z - see #922	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2791	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	122.16.16	26.3.9.10	A	Editor	NHELTf needs to be changed with bold and italic letter N and subscript italic HELTf	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:12:26Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:12:28Z - see #922	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2792	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	122.14.14	26.3.9.10	A	Editor	reference table of Nds is missed	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:11:52Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:11:55Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2793	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	122.45.45	26.3.9.10	A	Editor	THE-LTF needs to be changed with bold and italic letter T and subscript italic HELTf	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:17:47Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:17:50Z - see #925	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2794	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	131.33.33	26.3.9.10	A	Editor	set of subcarrier indices needs to be linked to proper reference	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:23:56Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:24:00Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2795	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	131.34.34	26.3.9.10	A	Editor	There is the minor typo such as "NHELTf" instead of "NHELTf=5.6"	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:25:26Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:25:28Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2796	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	131.44.44	26.3.9.10	V	Lochan Verma	125 in Equation 26-59, two HELTf PDU6, NHELTf=5.6 number is missing such as 5 and 7	REVISED (PHY: 2016-11-07 08:08:02Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D10	I	Technical comment (although I suspect those options are not applicable)	1	2016/11/20/23:43	EDITOR	
Proposed resolution accounts for the suggested change.																		
TGen Editor: to make the change, please refer to IEEE 802.11-1813404 under all headings that include CID 2796																		
2797	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	131.49.49	26.3.9.10	A	Editor	Wrong reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	Equation (20-17), Equation (22-ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:30:07Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:30:02Z - see #929/8	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2798	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	131.55.55	26.3.9.10	A	Editor	Equation (26-60) misates hyphen	Equation (21-46) needs to be changed with Equation (26-60)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:39:59Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2799	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.9.10	132.22.22	26.3.9.10	A	Editor	Table 25-x needs to be replaced with the proper reference number	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:30:46Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 00:35:12Z - see #476	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2800	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.10.1	132.45.46	26.3.10.1	V	Editor	Wrong reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	Equation (25-4) needs to be fixed with Equation (2-1-54)	EDITOR	Edited in D03	I	EDITOR: 2016-07-08 16:53:41Z - See #1625	0.3	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2801	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.10.2	134.45.45	26.3.10.2	J	Editor	In Table 26-22, NSD SHORT needs to be changed with bold and italic letter N and subscript italic SD SHORT	REJECTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 01:17:16Z) - The text in the table is already bold and italicized. The reference is automatically generated. In drafts this helps catch referencing errors, but won't appear in the final standard.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	2016/11/23/10:58				
2802	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.10.2	135.34.34	26.3.10.2	A	Editor	Equation (26-68) and Equation (26-73) miss the hyphen	Equation (26-68) and Equation (26-69) and Equation (26-73)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 01:20:04Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2803	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	136.22.22	26.3.10.4	A	Editor	Wrong reference	26.3.10.2 (LDPC coding) needs to be fixed with Equation (26-73)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 01:24:52Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2804	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	136.44.44	26.3.10.4	A	Editor	Wrong reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	26.3.10.2 (LDPC coding) needs to be changed with Equation (26-73)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 01:25:37Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR	
2805	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	136.47.47	26.3.10.4	A	Editor	Wrong reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	20.3.11.6 (Binary convolutional coding and puncturing) needs to be fixed with 21.3.10.5.3 (Binary convolutional coding and puncturing)	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 01:26:44Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 01:29:24Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR
2806	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	137.08.8	26.3.10.4	A	Editor	Wrong reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	20.3.11.7 (LDPC codes) needs to be fixed with 19.3.11.7 (LDPC codes)	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 01:27:46Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 01:27:44Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR
2807	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	137.36.36	26.3.10.4	A	Editor	Wrong reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	20.3.11.7.5 (LDPC PPDU encoding process) needs to be changed with 05-13 01:26:16Z	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 01:26:16Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 01:29:22Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR
2808	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	137.46.46	26.3.10.4	A	Editor	Wrong reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	Equation (20-39) and (20-40) need to be fixed with Equation (20-39) and (19-40)	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 16:33:41Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 16:33:44Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR
2809	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	138.01.1	26.3.10.4	A	Editor	Wrong reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	20.3.11.7.5 (LDPC PPDU encoding process) needs to be changed with 05-13 16:34:26Z	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 16:34:26Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 16:34:34Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR
2810	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	138.17.17	26.3.10.4	A	Editor	Wrong reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	20.3.11.7 (LDPC codes) needs to be fixed with 19.3.11.7 (LDPC codes)	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:04:42Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:04:46Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR
2811	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	139.29.29	26.3.10.4	A	Editor	Wrong reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	20.3.11.7.5 (LDPC PPDU encoding process) needs to be fixed with 19.3.11.7.5 (LDPC PPDU encoding process)	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:08:27Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:08:30Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR
2812	ylljlnoh	23	0.1	26.3.10.4	139.31.31	26.3.10.4	A	Editor	Wrong reference according to ERMvc_DS.2	20.3.11.7.5 (LDPC PPDU encoding process) needs to be fixed with 19.3.11.7.5 (LDPC PPDU encoding process)	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:08:50Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-13 17:08:53Z	0.2	2016/11/20/17	EDITOR

23	01	10.44.3	51	28	E	N	51:29:28	10.44.3	V	Editor	11-16/13590	127 Reference error and incorrect session title	Refer "10.44.3 (Rules for TWT scheduling STA)"	REVISED (MAC: 2016-11-08 05:57:54)2 Agree in principle with the comment. The reference was corrected in 11-16/13590 and the comment resolution process and now refers to 25.7.3.3 (Rules for TWT scheduling STA).	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-11-22 17:43:21. The resolution contains no editing instructions. Intended reference no longer exists	2016/11/23 1:05:00	
23	01	25.3.1	66	37	E	N	66:37:37	25.3.1	A	Editor		Capital letters and small letters should align with Section 11.2.2.2 (RE:Vmc DS.0)	Replace "STA Power Management mode" with "STA power management mode".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:00:32Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:00:32Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	25.3.1	66	42	E	N	66:42:42	25.3.1	V	Editor		STA may close during ongoing receiving PPDU, not received PPDU	Replace "received" with "receiving".	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:03:31Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:03:31Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	25.3.1	66	62	T	Y	66:62:62	25.3.1	J	Alien JiangJin	1684:42	41 To further improve the power management mechanism, the save mechanism should be defined.	Add the following rule at Line 1684:42: "An HE-AP may indicate that the NAV will cause issues since the NAV may need to be updated. If the STA received the PPDU, the HE non-AP STA may enter the NAV state until the end of the NAV."	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-27 05:15:17)	EDITOR	No change to draft	N		2016/11/23 2:10:08 EDITOR	
23	01	25.3.1	66	63	E	N	66:63:63	25.3.1	V	Editor		A STA may support multiple NAV times	Replace "NAV timer" with "NAV times".	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:10:42Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:10:42Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	25.3.1	67	1	E	N	67:01:1	25.3.1	A	Editor		A STA may support multiple NAV times	Replace "NAV timer" with "NAV times".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:11:51Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:11:51Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	25.3.1	67	12	E	N	67:12:12	25.3.1	V	Editor		Capital letters of terms should align with each other in this section	Replace "Tiger frame (TF)" with "Tiger frame (TF) Start time".	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:16:40Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:16:40Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	25.3.1	67	27	E	N	67:27:27	25.3.1	28:52	V	Editor	Capital letters of terms should align with each other in this section	Replace "Tiger frame (TF) Start time" with "Tiger frame (TF) Start time".	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:16:42Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 17:16:42Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	26.3.9.3	101	38	E	N	101:38:38	26.3.9.3	A	Editor		Incorrect referred Equation number	Replace "Equation (22-17)" with "Equation (22-17)".	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:25:02Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:25:02Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	26.3.9.5	102	55	E	N	102:55:55	26.3.9.5	A	Editor		Incorrect referred Figure number (RE:Vmc DS.0)	Replace "Figure 16-5" with "Figure 16-5".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:44:34Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:44:34Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	26.3.9.5	102	58	E	N	102:58:58	26.3.9.5	A	Editor		Incorrect referred Figure number (RE:Vmc DS.0)	Replace "Figure 16-5" with "Figure 16-5".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:44:34Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:44:34Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	26.3.9.5	102	59	E	N	102:59:59	26.3.9.5	A	Editor		Incorrect referred Session number (RE:Vmc DS.0)	Replace "Session 16.3.4.2" with "Session 16.3.4.2".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:44:34Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:44:34Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	26.3.9.5	103	11	E	N	103:11:11	26.3.9.5	A	Editor		Incorrect referred Session number (RE:Vmc DS.0)	Replace "Session 16.3.4.3 LENGTH field" with "16.3.4.3 (PHY LENGTH)".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:50:41Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:50:41Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	26.3.9.5	103	22	E	N	103:22:22	26.3.9.5	A	Editor		Incorrect referred Session number (RE:Vmc DS.0)	Replace "Session 16.3.5.6" with "16.3.5.6".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:51:58Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:51:58Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	26.3.9.5	103	26	E	N	103:26:26	26.3.9.5	A	Editor		Incorrect referred Session number (RE:Vmc DS.0)	Replace "Session 16.3.5.9" with "16.3.5.9".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:52:04Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:52:04Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	26.3.9.5	104	1	E	N	104:01:1	26.3.9.5	A	Editor		Incorrect referred Session number (RE:Vmc DS.0)	Replace "Session 16.3.5.10" with "16.3.5.10".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:52:04Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:52:04Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	26.3.9.5	104	12	E	N	104:12:12	26.3.9.5	A	Editor		Incorrect referred Session number (RE:Vmc DS.0)	Replace "Session 16.3.5.10" with "16.3.5.10".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:52:04Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-05 18:52:04Z	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR
23	01	26.3.9.7.2	105	14	T	Y	105:14:14	26.3.9.7.2	V	Ross	11-16/11481	83 How should the UL/DL field be added to the STA STA-then-dS request/responses?	REVISED (PHY: 2016-09-13 00:37:54Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I	EDITOR: 2016-09-27 03:23:32Z	0.5	2016/9/29 18:36 EDITOR	
23	01	26.3.9.5	109	14	E	N	109:14:14	26.3.9.5	A	Editor		Incorrect referred Session number (RE:Vmc DS.0)	Replace "16.3.5.6" with "17.3.5.6", "16.3.5.9" with "17.3.5.9", "16.3.5.10" with "17.3.5.9", "16.3.5.9" with "17.3.5.9".	ACCEPTED (EDITOR: 2016-05-11 20:51:53Z)	EDITOR	Edited in D02	I	EDITOR: 2016-05-11 20:51:57Z - see #2771	0.2	2016/11/23 2017 EDITOR

2880	Zhou.Lan	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	19	T	Y	19.00	9.3.1.23	V	Liuwen, Reza, 16/07/00 Yunbo, Shimone, Eugeny, Po- Kai, Kaiyng, Kleoni, Rossi, Zhou	51 Motion 75 was approved but no add spec list present in the draft. Motion 75 says the draft specification shall specify that M-Trigger needs to be padded to allow sufficient UL PPDU transmission preparation time, the padding shall be at the end of the trigger frame and shall not include an FCS.	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 18:31:12) - Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution accounts for the suggested changes. TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-1608/700 under all headings that	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-07-30 00:00:15Z	0.3	2016/923 18:24	EDITOR
2881	Zhou.Lan	23	0.1	25.1	64	T	Y	64.00	25.1	T	Shimone, Rakesh, Kleoni, Kleoni, Lai, Tomo, John Sen, Anton, Kai, Kaiyng, Jiangji, David Editor	Motion 76 was approved but no add spec list corresponding spec text is present in the draft. Motion 76 says the draft specification shall specify that M-BABA/ACK may be included in an AMPDU without accompanying Data. The last field in figure 9-51b should be Type-specific common info	MU	MU	MU	operation		2016/517 18:36	EDITOR	
2882	Zhou.Lan	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	20	E	Y	20.06.6	9.3.1.23	J	Editor	The last field in figure 9-51b should be Type-specific common info	REJECTED (EDITOR: 2016-07-14 21:04:18Z) - Commenter has not identified a problem.	N	EDITOR: 2016-07-14 21:05:42Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions		2016/1123 21:05	EDITOR		
2883	Zhou.Lan	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	21	E	Y	21.30.30	9.3.1.23	V	Editor	The last field in figure 9-51c should be Type-specific per user info	REVISED (EDITOR: 2016-07-14 21:13:24Z) - Keep field name. Change reference to name of RA field in agreement in 16/079 RA field	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-07-14 21:13:58Z - See #613	0.3	2016/1122 20:11	EDITOR
2884	Zhou.Lan	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.2.22	38	T	N	22.38.38	9.3.1.23.2	V	Liuwen, Reza, 11-16/08082 Yunbo, Shimone, Eugeny, Po- Kai, Kaiyng, Kleoni, Rossi, Zhou	26 The content of RA field of a MU-RTS is missing	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-08-10 22:46:38Z	0.3	2016/923 18:24	EDITOR	
2885	Zhou.Lan	23	0.1	9.3.1.23.2.22	38	T	Y	22.38.38	9.3.1.23.2	T	Liuwen, Reza, 11-16/08082 Yunbo, Shimone, Eugeny, Po- Kai, Kaiyng, Kleoni, Rossi, Zhou	MU-RTS as a variation of trigger frame carries a long list of STAD to indicate the STA's who need to reply CTS. A lot of info is not needed for the RTS/CTS exchange. Group address is more efficient than a list of Per User Info	EDITOR	Need Proposal		2016/506 16:41	EDITOR			
2886	Zhou.Lan	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	19	T	Y	19.00	9.3.1.23	V	Liuwen, Reza, 16/07/00 Shimone, Eugeny, Po- Kai, Kaiyng, Kleoni, Rossi, Zhou	51 The spec shall specify the mechanism for MU-RTS to show STA's to prepare UL MU transmission	REVISED (MAC: 2016-07-29 18:31:12) - Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution accounts for the suggested changes. TGax editor to make the changes shown in 11-1608/700 under all headings that include CID 2894.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	EDITOR: 2016-07-30 00:00:04Z - See 42890	0.3	2016/923 18:24	EDITOR
2887	Zhou.Lan	23	0.1	25.1	64	T	Y	64.00	25.1	T	Zhou	It is allowed to have MU-RTS extension to support power saving optimization should be considered when parsing long TWT should be improved to support individual group of STA's to sleep or wake up for their TWTs	MAC	MAC	General		2016/517 18:36	EDITOR		
2888	Zhou.Lan	23	0.1	10.44	48	1	T	48.01.1	10.44	T	Alied, Mat, Ming Gan, Kleoni, Jayh, Kleoni, Jayh, Jiangji	TWT should be improved to support individual group of STA's to sleep or wake up for their TWTs	MAC	TWT			2016/517 18:36	EDITOR		
2889	Zhou.Lan	23	0.1	10.44	48	1	T	48.01.1	10.44	V	Jiangji, Alied, Mat, Ming Gan, Kleoni, Jayh, Kleoni, Jayh, Jiangji	113 No mechanism defined to terminate broadcast TWT	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-14 14:53:24Z) - Revised	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/929 18:35	EDITOR
2900	Zhou.Lan	23	0.1	9.3.1.23	19	T	Y	19.49.49	9.3.1.23	J	Liuwen, Reza, 16/07/293 Yunbo, Shimone, Eugeny, Po- Kai, Kaiyng, Kleoni, Rossi, Zhou	56 trigger frame contains type specific info which can be achieved by aggregating trigger frame and control frame.	REJECTED (MAC: 2016-07-29 18:37:44Z) - This is asking to remove type specific info from the trigger frame. Aggregating leads to overhead, and would not allow the Trigger frame to be sent in legacy format.	N	EDITOR: 2016-08-01 17:52:17Z - The resolution contains no editing instructions		2016/1123 21:05	EDITOR		
2901	Zhou.Lan	23	0.1	9.2.4.6.4.2	14	T	Y	14.21.21	9.2.4.6.4.2	V	Alied, Liuwen, 11-16/7661 Jiangji	20 X bits of RU Allocation are the same as that of Per User Info in the trigger frame?	REVISED (MAC: 2016-09-08 11:50:30Z) Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution is to clarify that the X bits is equal to 8.	I	see #1	0.3	2016/923 18:24	EDITOR		

2002 Zhou Lan	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 14 2	Y	14:21:21	9.2.4.6.4. 2	V	Alied, Lwien, 11-16/7661 Jiongji	20 UL PPDU Length has only 9 bits while the length field of Common info in the trigger has 12 bits. Clarify the difference	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08) 11:51:54: Agree in principle with the comment that we need to clarify the difference. In order to gain some bits to use in the next section, propose to have the UL PPDU Length equal to 5 bits and specify that its value is in units of OFDM symbols.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	see #1	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2003 Zhou Lan	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 21 2	Y	14:22:22	9.2.4.6.4. 2	V	Alied, Lwien, 11-16/7661 Jiongji	20 UL MU responses scheduling (in the case of MU-MIMO) have SS allocation field. Clarify if spatial stream is not allowed in this case	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08) 11:52:41: Agree in principle with the comment that clarifications are needed. In subclause 25.2.2.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	see #1	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2004 Zhou Lan	23	0.1 9.2.4.6.4. 21 2	Y	14:09:22	9.2.4.6.4. 2	V	Alied, Lwien, 11-16/7661 Jiongji	20 Clarify how UE variant of UL MU responses scheduling will be used if trigger frame with RU and SS allocation info carried	REVISED (MAC: 2016-08-08) 11:59:22: Agree in principle with the comment that clarifications are added in subclause 25.2.2.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.3	I	see #1	0.3	2016/9/23 18:24	EDITOR
2005 Zhou Lan	23	0.1 10.3.2.8a 40	Y	40:31:31	10.3.2.8a	V	Po-Kai, 11-16/11732 Young Hoon, Yunbo, Kiseon, Yuchi, Warming, Xiaoli, Jing, Yashu	102 NAV setting rules for MU RTS is not specified in the spec. If the setting NAV setting rules for MU RTS, STA 1 and STA 2 will set their NAV because the RA doesn't match. Then the RA assume 11 is a new rule of existing NAV of looking at the STA ID	REVISED (MU: 2016-09-21) 04:44:38: Agree in principle with the comment that the setting rule needs to be clarified for trigger frame in general. Tgap editor to make the changes shown in 11-16/11732 under all headings that include CID 2004.	EDITOR	Edited in D0.5	I		0.5	2016/9/29 18:35	EDITOR
2006 Zhou Lan	23	0.1 10.3.2.8a 40 2	Y	40:59:59	10.3.2.8a 2	V	Po-Kai, 16/08/072 Young Hoon, Yunbo, Kiseon, Yuchi, Warming, Xiaoli, Jing, Yashu	25 The RU Allocation subfield in the Per-User info field addressed to the STA shall be set to a value indicating either primary 20 MHz channel, primary 40 MHz channel, primary 80 MHz channel, 160 MHz channel, or 80+80 MHz channel. The current RU allocation indication can not differentiate 80+80 from 160.	REVISED (MU: 2016-07-28) 22:15:59: Similar to VHT AP HE AP will determine the BSS bandwidth with a 20MHz, 40 MHz, 80 MHz, 160 MHz, or 80+80 MHz BSS bandwidth. Hence, there is no need to change the current RU allocation indication. The RU allocation subfield is sufficed.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR, 2016-08-11 00:21:46: The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR	
2007 Zhou Lan	23	0.1 10.3.2.8a 40 2	Y	40:59:59	10.3.2.8a 2	J	Po-Kai, 16/08/062 Young Hoon, Yunbo, Kiseon, Yuchi, Warming, Xiaoli, Jing, Yashu	26 The RU Allocation subfield in the Per-User info field addressed to the STA shall be set to a value indicating either primary 20 MHz channel, primary 40 MHz channel, primary 80 MHz channel, 160 MHz channel, or 80+80 MHz channel. The current RU allocation indication can't differentiate 80+80 from 160.	REVISED (MU: 2016-07-28) 23:16:20: Based on the agreement for the frame format of trigger frame (See 16/379), RU Allocation subfield is not allocated to Type dependent Per User Info. Hence, RU allocation subfield in Per-User info field exists for every variant of trigger frame and always have 8 bits.	EDITOR	No change to draft	N	EDITOR, 2016-08-10 22:49:42: The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 2:105	EDITOR	
2008 Guido Hertz	23	0.1 3.4 3	E	3:24:24	3.4		Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rosal, Junchi, Lwien, Xiaoli, Jing, Jiongji, Yashu, Gaojing, Yuchi, Yashu, James, Laurent	Missing abbreviation	PD	Power Detection	Spatial Reuse	The abbreviation PD is used in the Spatial reuse operation subclause but not defined			2016/5/17 18:39	EDITOR

2009 Guido Hertz	23	0.1	10.3.2.4	39	52	T	Y	39.52.52	10.3.2.4	J	Po-Kai, Young Hoon, Yoon, Kiseon, Yuchi, Jeong, Xie, J. J. Yau	11-16/139r1	130 RTS/CTS response based on node type in the enterprise scenario for UUL synchronization.	If an AP detects an RTS sent by a STA, it shall respond with a CTS sent from an OBSS STA, i.e. To DS = 1, if the commentor wants to be the AP, does not set NAV, and if the commentor wants to be the STA, does not set NAV. Specifically, in 9.2.4.1.4 To DS and From DS subfields in Draft 1.0, the commentor should not set NAV.	REJECTED (MU, 2016-11-10) 22/53/07Z	No change to draft	N	EDITOR: 2016-11-18 23:11:56Z. The resolution contains no editing instructions.	2016/11/23 1:05 EDITOR		
2010 Guido Hertz	23	0.1	25.5.2.3	57	52	T	Y	57.52.52	25.5.2.3	V	Simone, Rakesh, Reza, Li, Tomo, John Son, Anton, Junchi, David	11-16-138r1	130 AC of TF is not specified	A Trigger Frame shall apply TB0 EDC parameters.	REVISED (MU, 2016-11-10) 21/04/52	EDITOR	Edited in D10	I	See CID number or #759	1	2016/11/29 2:43 EDITOR
2011 Guido Hertz	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	52	T	Y	63.52.52	25.9.2		Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rossi, Junchi, Liwen, Xie, J. J. Jeong, Yau, Owen, Geonjong, Yuchi, James, Laurent		If the detected inter-BSS frame is a Trigger Frame from an AP, the STA shall wait until the channel is busy and then start, otherwise the STA's channel access shall be deferred until the channel is busy and do not transmit.	If the detected inter-BSS frame is a Trigger Frame and the CS is not in the same BSS as the STA that started, otherwise the STA's channel access shall be deferred until the channel is busy and do not transmit.	SR	Spatial Reuse			2016/5/17 18:38 EDITOR		
2012 Guido Hertz	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	41	T	Y	63.41.0	25.9.2		James, Laurent, Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rossi, Liwen, Xie, J. J. Jeong, Yau, Owen, Geonjong, Yuchi, Yuseke, Laurent		Addressing the TBD condition to apply spatial reuse: If the detected frame is an inter-BSS frame, when the inter-BSS frame is not modulated with the highest MCS, the PD level that is greater...	If the detected frame is an inter-BSS frame, when the inter-BSS frame is not modulated with the highest MCS, the PD level that is greater...	SR	Spatial Reuse			2016/5/17 18:39 EDITOR		
2013 Guido Hertz	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	41	T	Y	63.41.0	25.9.2	Y	Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rossi, Junchi, Liwen, Xie, J. J. Jeong, Yau, Owen, Geonjong, Yuchi, James, Laurent	12246	76 OBSS PD is not specified	OBSS PD level is one or more dB higher than the CCA ED level. If the proposed change in CCA or TXPWR threshold values.	REVISED - Agree in principle with the comment. The proposed change in CCA or TXPWR threshold values.	EDITOR	Edited in DO.5	I	see #2386	0.5	2016/9/29 18:35 EDITOR
2014 Guido Hertz 2015 Guido Hertz	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	4	E	Y	63.40.0 63.41.0	25.9.2 25.9.2	Y	James, Laurent, Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rossi, Junchi, Liwen, Xie, J. J. Jeong, Yau, Owen, Geonjong, Yuchi, Yuseke, Laurent		'early' is vague	remove "early"	EDITOR	Editorial Spatial Reuse			2016/4/21 18:20 EDITOR 2016/5/17 18:39 EDITOR		
2016 Guido Hertz	23	0.1	25.9.2	63	41	T	Y	63.41.0	25.9.2	Y	James, Laurent, Bo Sun, Younghoon, Rossi, Junchi, Liwen, Xie, J. J. Jeong, Yau, Owen, Geonjong, Yuchi, Yuseke, Laurent		Addressing the TBD condition to apply spatial reuse: MU transmission involves overhead to establish and should be protected	If the detected frame is an inter-BSS frame, when the inter-BSS frame is not modulated with the highest MCS, the PD level that is greater...	SR	Spatial Reuse			2016/5/17 18:39 EDITOR		

