From:	Director_Discretionary_Decision
To:	Jason Eisenberg; Director_Discretionary_Decision
Cc:	Arner, Erika; Tucker, Daniel; Specht, Kara; Regan, Cara; Kestle, Sydney; Berlenbach, Anthony; Google-Sandpiper-IPRs; Daniel Block; PTAB Account; Steve Pappas; Timothy L. Tang; Todd Thurheimer; Kristina Caggiano Kelly
Subject:	RE: IPR2025-00969, -01010 - Request for Reply to Petitioner Opposition Briefa
Date:	Friday, September 26, 2025 2:34:13 PM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png

Patent Owner is authorized to file a 4-page reply in IPR2025-00969 and IPR2025-01010 due no later than Tuesday, September 30, 2025, limited to addressing the arguments raised below.

From: Jason Eisenberg <jasone@sternekessler.com>
Sent: Friday, September 26, 2025 11:40 AM
To: Director_Discretionary_Decision <Director_Discretionary_Decision@uspto.gov>
Cc: Arner, Erika <erika.arner@finnegan.com>; Tucker, Daniel <Daniel.Tucker@finnegan.com>; Specht, Kara <Kara.Specht@finnegan.com>; Regan, Cara <Cara.Regan@finnegan.com>; Kestle, Sydney <Sydney.Kestle@finnegan.com>; Berlenbach, Anthony <Anthony.Berlenbach@finnegan.com>; Google-Sandpiper-IPRs <Google-Sandpiper-IPRs@finnegan.com>; Daniel Block <DBLOCK@sternekessler.com>; PTAB Account <PTAB@sternekessler.com>; Steve Pappas <SPAPPAS@sternekessler.com>; Timothy L. Tang <TTang@sternekessler.com>; Todd Thurheimer <TThurheimer@sternekessler.com>; Kristina Caggiano Kelly <kckelly@sternekessler.com>
Subject: IPR2025-00969, -01010 - Request for Reply to Petitioner Opposition Briefa

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Board,

Sandpiper requests authorization to file a 4-page reply to Petitioner's discretionary denial opposition brief in IPR2025-00969 and -01010 to respond to Petitioner's *Fintiv* arguments, similar to the request granted in IPR2025-00860.

Good cause exists at least for the following reasons. Since Sandpiper filed its opposition brief, the Acting Director has issued a discretion decision in *Amazon v. Kaifi* (IPR2025-00624 et seq.) addressing the effect of a temporary stay on the discretionary denial analysis, which is relevant here. Sandpiper also requests authorization to respond to Petitioner's *Fintiv* arguments, including addressing Petitioner's mischaracterizations on the likelihood of a stay being granted and the effect of the adjustment of certain interim dates not affecting the trial date (thus not affecting the duration between FWD and trial). Such briefing would also be consistent with fairness and the prior approach in which a patent owner was allowed the last briefing to respond to a petitioner's *Fintiv* arguments.

Thank you,

Jason Eisenberg for Patent Owner

Jason Eisenberg (He/Him/His)
Director, Chair Reissue, Reexamination and Supplemental Examination Practice
Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C.
1101 K Street, NW, 10th Floor,, Washington, DC 20005

Email: jasone@sternekessler.com
Direct: 202.772.8645;
Mobile: 301.448.9871

Notice: The information in this electronic transmission (including any attachments) may contain confidential or legally privileged information and is intended solely for the individual(s) or entity(ies) named above. If you are not an intended recipient or an authorized agent, you are hereby notified that reading, distributing, or otherwise disseminating or copying, or taking any action based on the contents of this transmission is strictly prohibited. Any unauthorized interception of this transmission is illegal under the law. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify the sender by return email and then destroy all copies of the transmission.