

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

FRACTUS, S.A.,	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	
VERIZON CONNECT INC. and CELLCO	§	CASE NO. 2:24-CV-01009-JRG-RSP
PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON	§	(Lead Case)
WIRELESS,	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	
FRACTUS, S.A.,	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	CASE NO. 2:24-CV-01008-JRG-RSP
GEOTAB INC.	§	(Member Case)
<i>Defendant.</i>	§	
	§	

ORDER

Before the Court is the Claim Construction Order (“Order”) of Magistrate Judge Payne dated March 18, 2026. (Dkt. No. 104). Plaintiff Fractus, S.A. (“Plaintiff”) has filed Objections to the Order (Dkt. No. 110). After considering the reasoning provided in the Order, the underlying claim construction briefing, and Plaintiff’s Objections, the Court agrees with the conclusions reached within the Order and finds Plaintiff’s arguments within its Objections unpersuasive. Accordingly, the Court **OVERRULES** Plaintiff’s Objections and **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Payne’s Claim Construction Order.

So Ordered this

Apr 4, 2026



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Geotab Exhibit 1102
Geotab v. Fractus
IPR2025-01026