

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SECURE COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-01049 (Patent 11,334,918 B2)
IPR2025-01050 (Patent 11,443,344 B2)
IPR2025-01051 (Patent 11,687,971 B2)¹

Before MIRIAM L. QUINN, AMBER L. HAGY, and IFTIKHAR AHMED,
Administrative Patent Judges.

AHMED, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

DECISION
Settlement Prior to Institution of Trial
37 C.F.R. § 42.74

¹ We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be entered in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use a multiple-case caption.

IPR2025-01049 (Patent 11,334,918 B2)
IPR2025-01050 (Patent 11,443,344 B2)
IPR2025-01051 (Patent 11,687,971 B2)

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioners Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Patent Owner Secure Communication Technologies, LLC filed (1) a Joint Motion to Terminate Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72, 42.74 (Paper 8, “Joint Motion”) based on a settlement agreement between them, (2) a copy of their written settlement agreement (Ex. 2001), and (3) a Joint Request for Settlement Agreement to be Treated as Business Confidential Information and be Kept Separate Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74 (Paper 9, “Joint Request”).²

II. DISCUSSION

In the Joint Motion, the parties represent that they have resolved their underlying dispute and have reached agreement to terminate these proceedings. Joint Motion 1. The parties filed what they represent is a true copy of their written settlement agreement. *Id.* The parties certify that there are no collateral agreements or understandings made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of these proceedings. *Id.* The parties contend that termination of these proceedings is appropriate because we have not made a decision on institution in these proceedings. *Id.* at 3–4. The parties indicate that they are moving to dismiss the related district court litigation as well. *Id.* at 4.

² Our citations to Papers and Exhibits are to those filed in IPR2025-01049. Similar Papers and Exhibits have been filed in each of the other identified proceedings.

IPR2025-01049 (Patent 11,334,918 B2)
IPR2025-01050 (Patent 11,443,344 B2)
IPR2025-01051 (Patent 11,687,971 B2)

Generally, the Board expects that a proceeding will terminate with respect to a petitioner after the filing of a settlement agreement.

See 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) (“An inter partes review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.”); 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(a) (the Board may “dismiss any petition,” thus terminating a preliminary proceeding); *see also* Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide at 86 (Nov. 2019)³ (“The Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding.” (citing 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a), 327)). Here, these proceedings are in the preliminary stage and trials have not yet been instituted. Accordingly, we are persuaded that, under these circumstances, termination of these proceedings is appropriate.

The parties also request, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information and kept separate from the file of the involved patent. Joint Request 1. After reviewing the settlement agreement, we find that the settlement agreement contains confidential business information regarding the terms of settlement. Accordingly, we grant the Joint Request to treat the settlement agreement as business confidential information. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) (“At the request of a party to the proceeding, the agreement or understanding shall be treated as business confidential information, shall be kept separate from the file of

³ *Available at* <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2025-01049 (Patent 11,334,918 B2)
IPR2025-01050 (Patent 11,443,344 B2)
IPR2025-01051 (Patent 11,687,971 B2)

the involved patents, and shall be made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause.”); *see also* 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) (same).

This Decision does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

III. ORDER

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate (Paper 8) is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Request to Keep Separate Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74 (Paper 9) is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the settlement agreement (Ex. 2001) be treated as business confidential information, kept separate from the file of the above-referenced patent, and made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c); and

FURTHER ORDERED that these proceedings are terminated as to all parties.

IPR2025-01049 (Patent 11,334,918 B2)
IPR2025-01050 (Patent 11,443,344 B2)
IPR2025-01051 (Patent 11,687,971 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

William M. Fink
Brian Cook
Ben Haber
Nick Whilt
Caitlin Hogan
Rajesh Paul
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
tfink@omm.com
bhaber@omm.com
bcook@omm.com
nwhilt@omm.com
chogan@omm.com
rpaul@omm.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Brent N. Bumgardner
Charles Ginnings
Taryn N. Trusty
NELSON BUMGARDNER CONROY P.C.
brent@nelbum.com
austin@nelbum.com
taryn@nelbum.com