IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PICTIVA DISPLAYS INTERNATIONAL LTD., KEY PATENT INNOVATIONS LIMITED *Plaintiffs,* vs. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., *Defendants.*	§ § § § § § § § § § § § §	CASE NO. 2:23-CV-00495-JRG (LEAD CASE)

FIRST AMENDED DOCKET CONTROL ORDER

Before this Court is the Parties' Joint Motion to Extend Deadlines. (Dkt. No. 223.) Having considered the same, the Court is of the opinion that the motion should be **GRANTED**. Accordingly, the following deadlines are amended as set forth below:

Event	Current Deadline	Amended Deadline
Deadline to Serve Disclosures for Rebuttal Expert Witnesses	April 18, 2025	April 21, 2025 (5 pm PT)
Deadline to File Dispositive Motions; File Motions to Strike Expert Testimony (including *Daubert* Motions)	April 28, 2025	May 5, 2025
Deadline to File Response to Dispositive Motions and Motions to Strike Expert Testimony (including *Daubert* Motions)	May 12, 2025	May 19, 2025
Serve Pretrial Disclosures (Witness List, Deposition Designations, and Exhibit List) by the Party with the Burden of Proof	May 19, 2025	

Serve Objections to Pretrial Disclosures; and Serve Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures	June 2, 2025	
Serve Objections to Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures	June 9, 2025	
File Motions *in Limine* The parties shall limit their motions in limine to issues that if improperly introduced at trial would be so prejudicial that the Court could not alleviate the prejudice by giving appropriate instructions to the jury.	June 9, 2025	
*File Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting. If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and e-mail the Court Reporter, Shawn McRoberts, at shaw_mcroberts@txed.uscourts.gov.	June 16, 2025	
*File Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions, Joint Proposed Verdict Form, Responses to Motions in Limine, Updated Exhibit Lists, Updated Witness Lists, and Updated Deposition Designations	June 23, 2025	
*Notify Court of Agreements Reached During Meet and Confer The parties are ordered to meet and confer on any outstanding objections or motions in limine. The parties shall advise the Court of any agreements reached no later than 1:00 p.m. three (3) business days before the pretrial conference.	June 23, 2025	
*Pretrial Conference – 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas before Judge Rodney Gilstrap	June 30, 2025	

* If a juror questionnaire is to be used, an editable (in Microsoft Word format) questionnaire shall be jointly submitted to the Deputy Clerk in Charge by this date.	July 7, 2025	
*Plaintiff to disclose final election of Asserted Claims with notice of the same filed with the Court.	10 days before Jury Selection	
*Defendant to disclose final invalidity theories, final prior art references/combinations, and final equitable defenses with notice of the same filed with the Court.	7 days before Jury Selection	
*Jury Selection – 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas	August 4, 2025	

(*) indicates a deadline that cannot be changed without showing good cause. Good cause is not shown merely by indicating that the parties agree that the deadline should be changed.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Mediation: While certain cases may benefit from mediation, such may not be appropriate for every case. The Court finds that the Parties are best suited to evaluate whether mediation will benefit the case after the issuance of the Court's claim construction order. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** the Parties to file a Joint Notice indicating whether the case should be referred for mediation **within fourteen days of the issuance of the Court's claim construction order**. As a part of such Joint Notice, the Parties should indicate whether they have a mutually agreeable mediator for the Court to consider. If the Parties disagree about whether mediation is appropriate, the Parties should set forth a brief statement of their competing positions in the Joint Notice.

Summary Judgment Motions, Motions to Strike Expert Testimony, and *Daubert* Motions: For each motion, the moving party shall provide the Court with two (2) hard copies of the completed briefing (opening motion, response, reply, and if applicable, sur-reply), excluding exhibits, in D-three-ring binders, appropriately tabbed. All documents shall be single-sided and must include the CM/ECF header. These copies shall be delivered to the Court within three (3) business days after briefing has completed. For expert-related motions, complete digital copies of
the relevant expert report(s) and accompanying exhibits shall be submitted on a single flash driveto the Court. Complete digital copies of the expert report(s) shall be delivered to the Court no later than the dispositive motion deadline.

Indefiniteness: In lieu of early motions for summary judgment, the parties are directed to include any arguments related to the issue of indefiniteness in their *Markman* briefing, subject to the local rules' normal page limits.

Motions for Continuance: The following excuses will not warrant a continuance nor justify a failure to comply with the discovery deadline:

(a) The fact that there are motions for summary judgment or motions to dismiss pending;

(b) The fact that one or more of the attorneys is set for trial in another court on the same day, unless the other setting was made prior to the date of this order or was made as a special provision for the parties in the other case;

(c) The failure to complete discovery prior to trial, unless the parties can demonstrate that it was impossible to complete discovery despite their good faith effort to do so.

Amendments to the Docket Control Order ("DCO"): Any motion to alter any date on the DCO shall take the form of a motion to amend the DCO. The motion to amend the DCO shall include a proposed order that lists all of the remaining dates in one column (as above) and the proposed changes to each date in an additional adjacent column (if there is no change for a date the proposed date column should remain blank or indicate that it is unchanged). In other words, the DCO in the proposed order should be complete such that one can clearly see all the remaining deadlines and the changes, if any, to those deadlines, rather than needing to also refer to an earlier version of the DCO.

Proposed DCO: The Parties' Proposed DCO should also follow the format described above under "Amendments to the Docket Control Order ('DCO')."

Joint Pretrial Order: In the contentions of the Parties included in the Joint Pretrial Order, the Plaintiff shall specify all allegedly infringed claims that will be asserted at trial. The Plaintiff shall also specify the nature of each theory of infringement, including under which subsections of 35 U.S.C. § 271 it alleges infringement, and whether the Plaintiff alleges divided infringement or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents. Each Defendant shall indicate the nature of each theory of invalidity, including invalidity for anticipation, obviousness, subject-matter eligibility, written description, enablement, or any other basis for invalidity. The Defendant shall also specify each prior art reference or combination of references upon which the Defendant shall rely at trial, with respect to each theory of invalidity. The contentions of the Parties may not be amended, supplemented, or dropped without leave of the Court based upon a showing of good cause.

So Ordered this

Apr 20, 2025

RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE