

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ORCA SECURITY LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

WIZ, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-01087
Patent 12,003,529

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE
INTER PARTES REVIEW**

Patent Owner Wiz, Inc. and Petitioner Orca Security Ltd. (collectively, “the Parties”) have reached a settlement. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72 and 42.74, the Parties jointly request termination of this *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 12,003,529 (“Patent-in-Suit”). The Parties were authorized to file this Joint Motion by the Board via email on January 9, 2026.

As required under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.74(b), a true copy of the confidential settlement agreement (Ex. 2005) that resolves the disputes in this *inter partes* review relating to the Patent-in-Suit is filed herewith as a confidential exhibit. There are no other collateral agreements, whether written or oral, between the Parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination sought. Exhibit 2005 forms the complete agreement resolving the disputes in the *inter partes* review between the Parties. The Parties have conferred on this matter, and the Parties are in agreement with this procedure.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), the Parties are concurrently filing a Joint Request to Keep Separate, which asks the Board to treat the agreement as business confidential information, and to keep it separate from the files of this proceeding and the files of the Patent-in-Suit.

I. Statement Of Precise Relief Requested

The Parties jointly request that the Board terminate this *inter partes* review in its entirety.

II. Reasons Why Termination Is Appropriate

The Parties have reached an agreement resolving the underlying dispute in this *inter partes* review proceeding. Ex. 2005. Accordingly, termination of this *inter partes* review proceeding is appropriate because all disputes between the Parties regarding the Patent-in-Suit have been resolved. Motions to terminate based on a joint request are routinely granted in the pre-final written decision time frame. *See, e.g., Comcast Cable Communications, LLC v. Rovi Guides, Inc.*, IPR2019-01431, Paper 39 at 2 (PTAB Nov. 20, 2020) (terminating case given parties settlement and agreeing with the parties that terminating proceeding would serve the interests of judicial economy and the mutual interest of the parties); *Cox Communications, Inc. v. AT&T Intellectual Property II, L.P.*, IPR2015-01536, Paper 65 at 2 (PTAB Dec. 8, 2016) (terminating case given parties' settlement and noting "[w]hen, as here, we have not entered a Final Written Decision on the merits, we generally expect that trial will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement."); *Office Patent Trial Practice Guide*, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012) ("There are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a proceeding."). As a final written decision has not issued, termination is appropriate.

III. Conclusion

The Parties have settled all disputes relating to the Patent-in-Suit, and the Board has not issued a final written decision on the merits in this proceeding.

Accordingly, the Parties respectfully request the Board to terminate this proceeding in its entirety.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 12, 2026

By: / Matthew A. Argenti /

Matthew A. Argenti (Reg. No. 61,836)
margenti@wsgr.com
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Tel: 650-354-4154
Fax: 650-493-6811

*Counsel for Patent Owner
Wiz, Inc*

Dated: January 12, 2026

By: / Robin A. Snader /

Robin A. Snader (Reg. No. 66,085)
robin.snader@eriseip.com
ERISE IP, P.A.
7015 College Blvd., Suite 700
Overland Park, KS 66211
Telephone: 913.777.5600
Fax: 913.777.5601

*Counsel for Petitioner
Orca Security Ltd.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), I certify that on this 12th day of January, 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Joint Motion to Terminate *Inter Partes* Review** was served by electronic mail on Petitioner's lead and backup counsel at the following email addresses:

Robin A. Snader
Eric A. Buresh
Kathleen Fitterling
Chris Schmidt
robin.snader@eriseip.com
eric.buresh@eriseip.com
kathleen.fitterling@eriseip.com
chris.schmidt@eriseip.com

ERISE IP, P.A.
PTAB@eriseip.com

By: / Matthew A. Argenti /

Matthew A. Argenti (Reg. No. 61,836)
margenti@wsgr.com
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Tel: 650-354-4154
Fax: 650-493-6811

*Counsel for Patent Owner
Wiz, Inc.*