

REQUEST FOR REFUND

Reference # <i>(Patent #, Application #, etc.)</i>		Title of Invention or Mark Information	
10,306,667		Duel Fuel Selector Switch	
Attorney Docket # <i>(if applicable)</i>	Payment Date <i>(mm/dd/yyyy)</i>	Refund Request Amount	
04934.0252USWX	06/17/2025	\$ 51,875.00	
Refund Option <i>(Select an option for your refund, if approved)</i>			
<p>☒ Refund to the credit/debit card, electronic funds transfer (EFT), or deposit account associated with the original payment. If the original payment was a U.S. check from a personal or business checking account, I understand the refund will be issued by EFT to the same checking account.</p> <p>☐ Refund to deposit account # _____.</p> <p>☐ There is no deposit account available to issue the refund and the original payment was a foreign check, cashier's check, money order, or wire transfer; or the original payment account is unavailable (e.g., the account is closed). I understand you will contact me with additional instructions using the contact information entered below if the refund is approved.</p>			
Reason for Refund Request <i>Refund requests must generally be filed within 2 years of payment date (37 CFR 1.26 and 2.209)</i>			
<p>☐ Duplicate Payment ☐ No Fee Due ☐ Office Error ☒ Other: Non-Institution</p> <p>☐ Small Entity Later Established* *Must be filed within 3 months of payment date (37 CFR 1.28). There is no refund when micro entity is later established.</p>			
Rationale <i>(Supporting documentation may be submitted with this form)</i>			
The reason for the refund request is because inter partes review IPR2025-01099 was not instituted.			

Requester's Information

Company or Firm Name <i>(if applicable)</i>		
Merchant & Gould, P.C.		
Address Line 1	City	State/Region
150 South Fifth Street	Minneapolis	MN
Address Line 2 <i>(if applicable)</i>	Country	Zip/Postal Code
Suite 2200	USA	55402
Email Address		Phone Number
tstemler@merchantgould.com		(612) 371-5215
Requester's Name		Registration Number <i>(if applicable)</i>
Taylor R. Stemler		79,777
Signature		Date <i>(mm/dd/yyyy)</i>
/Taylor R. Stemler/		02/23/2026

Submit online via Patent Center (Patents customers who are registered users only): <https://patentcenter.uspto.gov> or

Fax to: 571-273-6500, or

Mail to: Mail Stop 16, Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE
FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NOTICE OF DECISIONS ON INSTITUTION

Before JOHN A. SQUIRES, *Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual
Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.*

NOTICE

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), after review of discretionary
considerations, institution of *inter partes* review is denied in the following
proceedings:

IPR2025-01099*	IPR2025-01343	IPR2025-01379
IPR2025-01170	IPR2025-01346	IPR2025-01397
IPR2025-01228*	IPR2025-01365	IPR2025-01411
IPR2025-01335	IPR2025-01368	

* Although previously referred, this case is now discretionarily denied in
view of *Revvo Technologies, Inc. v. Cerebrum Sensor Technologies, Inc.*,
IPR2025-00632, Paper 20 (Director Nov. 3, 2025) (precedential).

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) and § 324(a), after review of discretionary considerations, the following proceedings will be reviewed for merits and non-discretionary considerations:

IPR2025-01218	IPR2025-01272	IPR2025-01367
IPR2025-01219	IPR2025-01326	IPR2025-01374
IPR2025-01220	IPR2025-01336	IPR2025-01391
IPR2025-01267	IPR2025-01356	PGR2025-00070

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), after review of the merits, the petitioner has failed to show a reasonable likelihood of prevailing with respect to at least one of the claims challenged in the petition. Accordingly, institution of *inter partes* review is denied in the following proceeding:

IPR2025-01143

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), after review of the merits, the petitioner has shown a reasonable likelihood of prevailing with respect to at least one of the claims challenged in the petition and no other non-discretionary considerations warrant denial of institution. Accordingly, institution of *inter partes* review is granted in the following proceedings:

IPR2025-01042	IPR2025-01241	IPR2025-01264
IPR2025-01153	IPR2025-01242	IPR2025-01265
IPR2025-01179		