

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BABY GENERATION, INC., d/b/a MOCKINGBIRD;
EVENFLO COMPANY, INC.; and
MONAHAN PRODUCTS, LLC, d/b/a UPPABABY,
Petitioner,

v.

BABY JOGGER, LLC,
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 11,192,568

Case No.: IPR2025-01100

PETITIONER'S STIPULATION

Patent Owner, Baby Jogger, LLC, is asserting U.S. Patent No. 11,192,568 (“’568 Patent”) against Petitioner, Baby Generation, Inc., d/b/a Mockingbird; Evenflo Company, Inc.; and Monahan Products, LLC, d/b/a UPPAbaby, in the following district court litigations (collectively, “Litigations”):

- (1) *Baby Jogger, LLC v. Baby Generation, Inc., d/b/a Mockingbird*,
No. 1:24-cv-00725-GBW (D. Del.);
- (2) *Baby Jogger, LLC v. Evenflo Company, Inc.*,
No. 1-24-cv-00723-GBW (D. Del.); and
- (3) *Baby Jogger, LLC v. Monahan Products, LLC, d/b/a UPPAbaby*,
No. 1:24-cv-11582-ADB (D. Mass.).

Pursuant to the Interim Processes for PTAB Workload Management, issued March 26, 2025,¹ the FAQs for Interim Processes for PTAB Workload

¹ https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/InterimProcesses-PTABWorkloadMgmt-20250326.pdf?utm_campaign=subscriptioncenter&utm_content=&utm_medium=email&utm_name=&utm_source=govdelivery&utm_term=.

Management,² and the Board's guidance in the "Learn about the new interim processes relating to institution in AIA proceedings" presentation,³ Petitioner, stipulates as follows. *See Sotera Wireless, Inc. v Masimo Corp.*, IPR2020-01019, Paper 12 at 18-19 (PTAB Dec. 1, 2020 ("*Sotera*")).

Petitioner hereby stipulates, consistent with the stipulation by the petitioner in *Sotera*, that if the PTAB institutes IPR2025-01100, Petitioner will not pursue against the '568 Patent in any of the Litigations (i) the specific invalidity grounds raised in IPR2025-01100, or (ii) any other invalidity ground that was raised or could have been reasonably raised in IPR2025-01100 (i.e., any ground that could be raised under §§ 102 or 103 on the basis of prior art patents or printed publications).

If the PTAB denies institution in IPR2025-01100, or if institution is denied through rehearing or director review, Petitioner reserves the right to pursue any non-instituted ground in the Litigations.

² Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/patents/ptab/faqs/interim-processes-workload-management> (FAQ 14).

³ Presentation, video, and FAQs available at <https://www.uspto.gov/about-us/events/learn-about-new-interim-processes-relating-institution-aia-proceedings>.

Respectfully,

Date: August 1, 2025

/ John P. Rondini /
John P. Rondini (64,949)
LEAD COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
150 W. Second St., Suite 400N
Royal Oak, MI 48067-3846
Email: jrondini@brookskushman.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(4), I hereby certify that on August 1, 2025, I caused a copy of the foregoing **PETITIONER'S STIPULATION** to be served via electronic mail to:

Chrisopher B. Kelly	ckelly@mcciplaw.com
Gregory J. Carlin	gcarlin@mcciplaw.com
Warren J. Thomas	wthomas@mcciplaw.com
John W. Harbin	jharbin@mcciplaw.com
Robert J. Leonard	rleonard@mcciplaw.com
Walter Hill Levie III	tlevie@mcciplaw.com
LitDocketing@mcciplaw.com	

Date: August 1, 2025

/ John P. Rondini /

John P. Rondini (64,949)
LEAD COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
150 W. Second St., Suite 400N
Royal Oak, MI 48067-3846
(248) 358-4400
Email: jrondini@brookskushman.com