5. **Regarding claims 1 and 21,** the claims limit the first wall and the second wall as being defined by one or more edges. However, the specification only describes that each of the first wall and the second wall includes superior and inferior edges (see [0035]). Therefore, the present application does not disclose the option of having a single or **one edge** on each of the first wall and the second wall.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2 December 2022 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

7. **Regarding claim 1 and 21** rejection, applicant argues that even when the specification does not specifically describe one edge for the first wall and second wall, the applicant is entitled to claim one or more edges.

8. The Office disagrees, the applicant is entitled to the invention as described in the original specification and the original claims. Any other limitation describing something different is considered new matter.

9. In this case, the applicant is describing in the amended claims that the first wall and the second wall is defined by one or more edges, is indicating that both walls can be defined by one edge. But, the specification as originally filed only discloses that "a set of holes may also form a mesh along the walls of the superior and inferior edges". The Office understands that each wall includes a superior edge and an inferior edge, making the wall to have two edges.

10. Therefore, by describing that each of the walls can have one edge is not found in the present disclosure.