IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

WILUS INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY INC., Plaintiff, v. HP INC. Defendant.	Civil Case No. 2:25-cv-00069-JRG-RSP [Lead Case] **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**
WILUS INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY INC., Plaintiff, v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. Defendants.	Civil Case No. 2:25-cv-00070-JRG-RSP [Member Case] **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

DEFENDANTS' P.R. 4-1 EXCHANGE OF PROPOSED TERMS AND
CLAIM ELEMENTS FOR CONSTRUCTION

Pursuant to Patent Rule 4-1 and the Court's Docket Control Order (Dkt. No. 51), Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. ("SEC") and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. ("SEA") (collectively, "Samsung" or "Defendants") identify the following list of claim terms, phrases, or clauses which Defendants contend should be construed or found indefinite by the Court and which claim elements Defendants contend should be governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(f).

SAMSUNG 1135
Samsung v. Wilus
IPR2025-01110

Defendants' list was compiled based in part on plaintiff Wilus Institute of Standards and Technology Inc's. ("Wilus's") apparent view of the scope of the claims as identified in its P.R. 3-1 infringement contentions. Defendants reserve the right to modify the list below based on any changes to Wilus's contentions should it seek, and be permitted to, amend its contentions.

In addition, Defendants reserve the right to modify the list below in light of Wilus's list of terms for construction or proposed claim constructions. Defendants also reserve the right to include within its list of proposed terms for construction any terms included on Wilus's list of proposed claim terms for construction. Defendants anticipate that the parties may be able to narrow the list of terms and phrases to be construed during the process culminating in the preparation of a joint claim construction and prehearing statement pursuant to Patent Rule 4-3 and the Court's Docket Control Order.

Additionally, the inclusion of any claim terms, phrases, or clauses on this list is not intended to and does not constitute an admission that the term or phrase is capable of construction and not indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, lacking enablement or adequate written description, or otherwise incapable of construction. Defendants reserve the right to contest any such terms and the validity of any claim of the patents-in-suit.

I. U.S. PATENT NO. 10,911,186

Claim Term	Claims	Indefinite	§ 112(f)
"wherein the trigger frame further includes the padding field when the AID field is set to a specific value."	1, 11	X	
"duplicated bit information"	5, 6, 15, 16,		
"duplicating the predetermined AID field information"	7, 17		

"repeating a specific value"	10		
"a time needed for the one or more terminals to process the trigger frame"	2, 12	X	
"the predetermined AID field"	5, 7, 15, 17	X	
"wherein the AID field is used to identify whether the trigger frame includes a padding field to which padding is applied"	1	X	

II. U.S. PATENT NO. 11,716,171

Claim Term	Claims	Indefinite	§ 112(f)
"wherein the first padding field is used to adjust a length of the frame"	1	X	
"wherein the first padding field is used to extend the length of the frame"	6	X	
"wherein the second padding field is used to set a same lengths of the response frames when two or more terminals transmit response frames in response to the trigger frame"	8	X	

III. U.S. PATENT NO. 11,664,926

Claim Term	Claims	Indefinite	§ 112(f)
"wherein a type of the response frame is determined as one of an Ack frame, a compressed BlockAck frame, and a multi-STA BlockAck frame"	1, 8	X	

IV. U.S. PATENT NO. 12,004,262

Claim Term	Claims	Indefinite	§ 112(f)
"wherein the active BSS color is a BSS color actually used by the wireless communication terminal"	1, 4	X	
"when the format of the PPDU including the trigger frame is a PPDU format in which any	1, 4	X	

physical layer signaling field including the field for indicating the BSS color is not included”			

Dated: October 23, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ralph A. Phillips

Ruffin B. Cordell
TX Bar No. 04820550
cordell@fr.com
Michael J. McKeon
DC Bar No. 459780
mckeon@fr.com
Ralph A. Phillips
DC Bar No. 475571
rphillips@fr.com
Bryan J. Cannon
DC Bar No. 1723657
cannon@fr.com
Payal Patel
DC Bar No. 90019320
ppatel@fr.com
Damien Thomas
DC Bar No. 90018451
dthomas@fr.com
James Young
DC Bar No. 90005769
jyoung@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.
1000 Maine Ave., S.W., Ste. 1000
Washington, DC 20024
Telephone: 202-783-5070
Facsimile: 202-783-2331

Thomas H. Reger II
Texas Bar No. 24032992
reger@fr.com
Rodeen Talebi
TX Bar No. 24103958
talebi@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
1717 Main Street, Suite 5000
Dallas, TX 78766
Telephone: 214-747-5070
Facsimile: 214-747-2091

Aleksandr Gelberg
CA Bar No. 279989
gelberg@fr.com
John-Paul Fryckman
CA Bar No. 317591
fryckman@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.
12860 El Camino Real, Ste. 400
San Diego, CA 92130
Telephone: 858-678-5070
Facsimile: 858-678-5099

Melissa R. Smith
Texas Bar No. 24001351
GILLAM & SMITH, LLP
303 South Washington Avenue
Marshall, Texas 75670
Telephone: 903-934-8450
Facsimile: 903-934-9257
Email: melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants
Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and
Samsung Electronics America, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on October 23, 2025 a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was served on counsel of record for Plaintiff via electronic mail.

/s/ Ralph A, Phillips
Ralph A. Phillips