

Motion Success Data

5 Results

VIEW IN BROWSER

Judges: James Donato Postures of Motion: Motion by a Party Types of Document: Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review Results of Motion: Denied, Denied without prejudice

	Type of Document	Result of ...	Case	Date ▼	Appeal Status	
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review	Denied without prejudice	Linquet Technologies, Inc. v. Tile, Inc. 3-20-cv-05153 (NDCA)	Jun. 09, 2021		 
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review	Denied	CAP Co., Ltd. v. McAfee, Inc. 3-14-cv-05068 (NDCA)	Apr. 11, 2017	Report date available beginning in 2020	 
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review	Denied without prejudice	Fujinomaki v. Google, LLC et al 3-16-cv-03137 (NDCA)	Sep. 19, 2016		 
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review	Denied	Williamson v. Google LLC. 5-15-cv-00966 (NDCA)	Mar. 13, 2015		 
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review	Denied	IPLearn-Focus, LLC v. Microsoft Corporation 3-14-cv-00151 (NDCA)	Dec. 15, 2014		 

Overview

[VIEW IN BROWSER](#)

Document: The Document

NDCA 3-20-cv-05153 Linquet Technologies, Inc. v. Tile, Inc.



#60 Description

ORDER. On the request to extend briefing deadlines, Dkt. No. 59 , the motion to stay, Dkt. No. 54 , is denied without prejudice as premature, and may be renewed if the PTAB institutes inter partes review. The tutorial is continued to October 7, 2021, at 10:00 a.m. California time, and the claim construction hearing is continued to October 21, 2021, at 10:00 a.m. California time. Linquet may file a reply claim construction brief by September 16, 2021. Signed by Judge James Donato on 6/9/2021. (This is a text-only entry generated by the court. There is no document associated with this entry.) (jdlc1S, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 6/9/2021) (Entered: 06/09/2021)

Filers

District Judge James Donato

Annotations	4
Claim Constuctions	0
Determinations	0
Remedies	0

Overview

[VIEW IN BROWSER](#)

Document: The Document

NDCA 3-14-cv-05068 CAP Co., Ltd. v. McAfee, Inc.



#81 Description

ORDER re 78 Status Report filed by McAfee, Inc., 80 Letter filed by CAP Co., Ltd. The request to keep this action open and stayed through the appeal of the PTAB decisions is denied. The case has been pending since 2014 with very little substantive work done here. As the parties acknowledge, the PTAB decisions have resolved all of the disputed claims. In light of the high affirmance rate of PTAB decisions by the Federal Circuit, and the doubtful efficiency, if any, that would be realized by keeping this action open for another year or so pending resolution of the appeal, a stay is not warranted. Defendants are directed to submit a proposed judgment by April 18, 2017. (Donato, James) (Filed on 4/11/2017) (Entered: 04/11/2017)

Filers

District Judge James Donato

Annotations	1
Claim Constuctions	0
Determinations	0
Remedies	0

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Civil Minutes

Date: September 15, 2016

Judge: Hon. James Donato

FTR Time: 1:52-2:06

Case No. **C-16-03137-JD**

Case Name **Fujinomaki v. Google, Inc. et al**

Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s): Barry Bumgardner/Stewart Kellar

Attorney(s) for Defendant(s): David Airen/ Arthur Bobel - Huawei
Betty Chen - Samsung/Jonathan Waldrop - Google, Motorola,
LG, ASUS & Samsung/Marcus Barber - Google, Motorola,
LG, ASUS & Samsung

Deputy Clerk: Lisa Clark

PROCEEDINGS

Case Management Conference - held (not reported)

RESULT OF HEARING

The Court discusses the status of the case with the parties. The parties are directed to review the Court's Standing Order for Patent Cases.

For settlement purposes, plaintiff is directed to send defendants a demand.

Defendants' motion to stay is denied without prejudice to be re-filed if the PTAB grants defendants' IPR petition.

A scheduling order will issue.

Overview

VIEW IN BROWSER

Document: The Document

NDCA 5-15-cv-00966 Williamson v. Google LLC.



#76 Description

ORDER by Judge James Donato denying 53 Motion to Stay; finding as moot 74 Stipulation. The Court denies defendant Google Inc.'s 53 motion to stay pending inter partes review. Google's papers acknowledge that the PTAB has not decided to institute IPR proceedings, and the PTAB is not even scheduled to make that decision until approximately August 2015. Google may renew its motion to stay if and when the PTAB has instituted any IPR proceedings. The parties' 74 stipulation regarding the initial case management conference and substitute briefing for defendant's motion to stay is consequently denied as moot, and the initial case management conference will remain set for June 10, 2015. (This is a text-only entry. There is no document associated with this entry.) (jdlc2S, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 3/13/2015) (Entered: 03/13/2015)

Filers

District Judge James Donato

Annotations	3
Claim Constuctions	0
Determinations	0
Remedies	0

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Civil Minutes

Date: December 12, 2014

Judge: James Donato

Time: 1 Hour and 36 Minutes

Case No. **C-14-00151-JD**

Case Name **IpLearn-Focus, LLC v. Microsoft Corp**

Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s): Robert L. Gerrity/Matt Powers/Yi Chen

Attorney(s) for Defendant(s): Kelly Hunsaker/Jonathan Lamberson/Stacy Quan

Deputy Clerk: Lisa R. Clark

Court Reporter: Rhonda Aquilina

PROCEEDINGS

Claims Construction Hearing - Held

Motion to Stay - Held

RESULT OF HEARING

The Court orders Microsoft to advise the Patent Trial and Appeals Board that Microsoft has taken the position that the claims at issue in this case are indefinite by 12/16/14 at 5:00 p.m. The Court takes the claim construction briefs under submission. The parties will file a joint status report selecting a settlement option two weeks after the claims construction order is issued. The Court hears oral argument on defendant's motion to stay. After carefully considering the parties' arguments and briefing, and largely because the Patent Trial and Appeal Board has not instituted inter partes review, the Court denies the motion to stay.