

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASUSTEK COMPUTER INC. and
ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL,
Petitioner,

v.

NOKIA TECHNOLOGIES OY,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-01153
Patent 10,536,714 B2

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.71 and 42.74, and the Board's authorization email of July 15, 2026, Petitioner and Patent Owner ("the Parties") jointly move to terminate IPR2025-01153 ("the Present IPR Proceeding") in its entirety.

II. BACKGROUND

(a) Status of the Present IPR Proceeding

The Present IPR Proceeding was initiated by the filing of a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,536,714 ("the '714 Patent") on June 16, 2025. A filing date was accorded to the Petition on July 7, 2025 and institution was granted on December 11, 2025. The proceeding was stayed on May 27, 2026 subject to Director Review. The deadline for a Final Written Decision is still months away.

(b) Related Matters Between the Parties

The '714 Patent has been the subject of litigation between the Parties, captioned as *Certain Video-Capable Laptop, Desktop Computers, Handheld Computers, Tablets, Televisions, Projectors, and Components and Modules Thereof*; Inv. No. 337-TA-1448 (Violation), 337-TA-1448 (ITC), filed April 11, 2025 and *Nokia Technologies Oy v. ASUSTeK Computer Inc. et al.*, 2-25-cv-03053 (CDCA), filed April 07, 2025.

(c) Filing of Litigation Agreement, and Certification of No Collateral Agreements or Understandings

The Parties entered into a Patent License Agreement that was fully executed on June 22, 2026, which addresses the parties' disputes involving the '714 Patent through a final and binding arbitration. The parties are concurrently filing a true copy of the Patent License Agreement as Exhibit 1067, along with a Joint Request to Treat Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

The Parties certify that no collateral agreements or understandings exist in connection with, or in contemplation of, dismissal and termination of the Present IPR Proceeding beyond the Patent License Agreement filed as Exhibit 1067, which memorializes the Parties agreement to settle their dispute with a final and binding arbitration.

III. GOOD CAUSE JUSTIFIES TERMINATION

In view of the agreement that addresses the parties' disputes involving the '714 Patent through a final and binding arbitration, the Parties respectfully submit that termination of the Present IPR Proceeding is warranted to conserve resources of the Board, to conserve resources of the Parties, and to promote a speedy and just resolution of the Present IPR Proceeding. The Board has recognized that "[t]here are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a

proceeding,” and as such, the Board “expects” a proceeding to be terminated at the parties’ request “unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding.” Consolidated Trial Practice Guide, November 2019, p. 86.

The Board should terminate this proceeding, as the Parties jointly request, for the following reasons.

First, the Parties have met the statutory requirement that they file a “joint request” to terminate before the Office “has decided the merits of the proceeding.” 35 U.S.C. § 317(a). Under section 317(a), an *inter partes* review shall be terminated upon such joint request “unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” There are no other preconditions recited in 35 U.S.C. § 317(a).

Second, the Parties have reached a resolution to resolve the dispute in this proceeding. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), the agreement made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of the proceeding is in writing, and a true and correct copy is being filed concurrently herewith as Exhibit 1067.¹ The Parties are also filing concurrently herewith a joint request under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) to treat this agreement

¹ The agreement is being filed via the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Case Tracking System (PTACTS) system with access to the “Parties and Board.”

as business confidential information and keep it separate from the files of the '714 patent. The Parties certify that there are no other agreements, oral or written, between the Parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of this proceeding with a final and binding arbitration.

Third, termination would save significant further expenditure of resources by the Parties. Termination upon settlement, as requested, would also further the purpose of *inter partes* review proceedings, which seek to provide an efficient and less costly alternative forum for patent disputes. Further, maintaining the proceeding would discourage further resolutions, as patent owners in similar situations would have a strong disincentive to resolve the dispute if they perceived that an *inter partes* review would continue regardless of a resolution.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, the Parties jointly and respectfully request termination of the Present IPR Proceeding.

Dated: July 17, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/Jeremy J. Monaldo/

Jeremy J. Monaldo, Reg. No. 58,680
Fish & Richardson P.C.

Counsel for Petitioner

Proceeding No.: IPR2025-01153
Attorney Docket: 54587-0016IP1

/Scott W. Hejny/

Scott W. Hejny, Reg. No. 45,882
MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.

Counsel for Patent Owner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 42.6(e)(4), the undersigned certifies that on July 17, 2026, a complete and entire copy of this Joint Motion to Terminate was provided by email to the Patent Owner by serving the correspondence address of record as follows:

Scott W. Hejny
Eric S. Hansen
Joseph W. Micheli
R. Mitch Verboncoeur, Admitted *pro hac vice*
MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.
300 Crescent Court, Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75201

Email: Nokia-AsusIPR@mckoolsmith.com

/Anastasia Renard/
Anastasia Renard
Fish & Richardson P.C.
60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200
Minneapolis, MN 55402
renard@fr.com