

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN ACTIVE ELECTRICAL
CABLES AND COMPONENTS THEREOF**

Inv. No. 337-TA-1446

**ORDER NO. 21: INITIAL DETERMINATION GRANTING CORRECTED
UNOPPOSED MOTION TO PARTIALLY TERMINATE THE
INVESTIGATION BY WITHDRAWING ALLEGATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO U.S. PATENT NO. 10,877,233**

(September 30, 2025)

On September 25, 2025, complainants Credo Semiconductor Inc. and Credo Technology Group Ltd. (“Credo”) moved (1446-013) pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.21(a) to terminate the investigation with respect to U.S. Patent No. 10,877,233 (“the 233 patent”) by reason of withdrawal, along with a suspension of the procedural schedule as to that patent. The motion represents that “counsel for all remaining Respondents indicated that they do not oppose this motion.” Mot. at 1. And on September 29, 2025, the Commission Investigative Staff (“Staff”) filed a statement in support (“Staff Resp.”).

Credo contends that the requested partial termination will “streamline the Investigation and will conserve the resources of the ALJ as well as the parties” and “[t]here are no extraordinary circumstances that would warrant denial of this motion.” Mot. at 2. Staff agrees. Staff Resp. at 3. In satisfaction of Commission Rule 210.21(a), Complainant states, “there are no agreements, oral, express, or implied, relating to termination of U.S. patent No. 10,877,233.” Mot. at 1; *see* Staff Resp. at 2.

Commission Rule 210.21(a) provides, in relevant part:

Any party may move at any time prior to the issuance of an initial determination on violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 to terminate an investigation in whole or in part as to any or all respondents, on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint or certain allegations contained therein, or for good cause other than the grounds listed in paragraph (a)(2) of this section. A motion for termination of an investigation based on withdrawal of the complaint, or for good cause, shall contain a statement that there are no agreements, written or oral, express or implied between the parties concerning the subject matter of the investigation, or if there are any agreements concerning the subject matter of the investigation, all such agreements shall be identified, and if written, a copy shall be filed with the Commission along with the motion. If the agreement contains confidential business information within the meaning of § 201.6(a) of this chapter, at least one copy of the agreement with such information deleted shall accompany the motion, in addition to a copy of the confidential version. On motion for good cause shown, the administrative law judge may limit service of the agreements to the settling parties and the Commission investigative attorney. The presiding administrative law judge may grant the motion in an initial determination upon such terms and conditions as he deems proper.

19 C.F.R. § 210.21(a)(1). The Commission has further stated that “in the absence of extraordinary circumstances, termination of the Investigation will be granted to a complainant during the prehearing stage of an investigation.” *Certain Ultrafiltration Sys. and Components Thereof, Including Ultrafiltration Membranes*, Inv. No. 337-TA-107, Comm’n Action and Order at 2 (Mar. 11, 1982).

I agree there are no extraordinary circumstances exist that would prevent the requested partial termination of this Investigation. I also find Credo has complied with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(a).

Accordingly, it is my determination that Credo’s motion (1446-013) to terminate all asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 10,877,233 be granted. All procedural schedule deadlines associated with this patent are stayed. This Initial Determination is hereby certified to the Commission.

Pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.42(h), this Initial Determination shall be the determination of the Commission thirty (30) days after the date of service of the initial determination, unless a party files a petition for review of the Initial Determination within five (5) business days after service of the initial determination pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.43(a), or the Commission, pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.44, orders, on its own motion, a review of the Initial Determination or certain issues herein. Any issue or argument not raised in a petition for review, or response thereto, will be deemed to have been abandoned and may be disregarded by the Commission in reviewing the Initial Determination pursuant to 19 C.F.R. §§ 210.43(b) and (c).

SO ORDERED.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Cameron Elliot", is positioned above a horizontal line.

Cameron Elliot
Administrative Law Judge