

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

CREDO SEMICONDUCTOR INC. and	§	
CREDO TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.,	§	
	§	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:25-CV-00297-JRG
	§	
TE CONNECTIVITY PLC,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendant.</i>	§	
	§	

ORDER

Before the Court is the Unopposed Motion to Stay Action Pending ITC Determination (the “Motion”) filed by Defendant TE Connectivity PLC (“Defendant”). (Dkt. No. 11.) In the Motion, Defendant moves under 28 U.S.C. § 1659 to stay the above-captioned case in its entirety, pending the final disposition of the United States International Trade Commission (“ITC”) Investigation No. 337-TA-1446 (the “1446 Investigation”). (*Id.* at 1.) The Motion is unopposed. (*Id.* at 1, 3.)

Under § 1659, a District Court “shall stay” parallel litigation “until the determination of the Commission becomes final” if certain conditions are met. 28 U.S.C. § 1659(a). First, the civil action before the District Court must “involv[e] parties that are also parties to a proceeding before the [ITC].” (*Id.*) Second, the claims for which the stay is requested must “involve[] the same issues” as those before the ITC. (*Id.*) Third, the stay must be requested by a respondent before the ITC within thirty days of being named as a respondent before the ITC, or within thirty days of the District Court action being filed, whichever is later. (*Id.*)

The Court finds that all three requirements for a statutory stay have been met. First, the 1446 Investigation and the above-captioned case involve the same parties because Plaintiffs Credo

Semiconductor Inc. and Credo Technology Group Ltd. (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) are the complainants in the 1446 Investigation and Defendant is the respondent. (Dkt. No. 11 at 2.) Second, all patents asserted in the above-captioned case are the subject of Plaintiffs’ infringement allegations in the 1446 Investigation. (*Id.*) Third, Defendant filed the Motion within 30 days of the ITC’s institution of the 1446 Investigation on April 14, 2025. (*Id.*) The statutory requirements for a stay having been met, the Court finds that the Motion should be and hereby is **GRANTED**.

Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1659, it is **ORDERED** that all action in the above-captioned matter is **STAYED** until further Order of this Court. It is further **ORDERED** that the parties file a joint notice in this case with attached supporting copies of any dispositive or partially dispositive order within ten (10) days of issuance by the ITC.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 16th day of April, 2025.



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE