

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

IN RE MATTER OF:	)	
MARVELL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,	)	
Petitioner,	)	
vs.	)	
CREDO TECHNOLOGY GROUP, LTD.,	)	IPR2025-01220
Patent Owner.	)	

DEPOSITION OF DR. TIM ARTHUR WILLIAMS
REMOTE VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE
TUESDAY, JULY 21, 2026

Stenographically Reported by:

HEATHER J. BAUTISTA, CSR, CRR, RPR, CLR

Realtime Systems Administrator

California CSR License #11600

Oregon CSR License #21-0005

Washington License #21009491

Texas CSR License #10725

DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP
1730 M. Street, NW, Suite 812
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 232-0646

1 DEPOSITION of DR. TIM ARTHUR WILLIAMS,
2 taken before Heather J. Bautista, CSR No. 11600, a
3 Certified Shorthand Reporter for the state of
4 California, with principal office in the county of
5 Santa Clara, commencing on TUESDAY, JULY 21, 2026,
6 9:02 a.m., remotely via videoconference.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

2 For Petitioner:

3 Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP

4 BY: FANGZHOU QIU, ESQ.

5 WENTAO WU, ESQ.

6 1540 El Camino Real

7 Suite 120

8 Menlo Park, California 94025

9 Phone: (650) 815-2652/Fax: (650) 815-4683

10 fqi@sheppard.com

11 ww@sheppard.com

12

13 For Patent Owner:

14

15 Benesch Friedlander Coplan & Aronoff LLP

16 BY: MIRCEA TIPESCU, ESQ.

17 71 South Wacker Drive

18 Suite 1600

19 Chicago, Illinois 60606

20 Phone: (312) 212-4949

21 mtipes@beneschlaw.com

22

1

INDEX OF EXAMINATION

2

PAGE

3

DR. TIM ARTHUR WILLIAMS

4

EXAMINATION BY MR. QIU

6

5

6

7

8

--000--

9

10

11

12

NO EXHIBITS MARKED DURING THIS DEPOSITION

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 TUESDAY, JULY 21, 2026

2 9:02 a.m.

3 --oOo--

4 THE STENOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are on
5 the record. Today's date is July 21, 2026, and the
6 time is 9:02 a.m.

7 This is the deposition of Dr. Tim Williams
8 in the matter of Marvell Semiconductor, Inc., v.
9 Credo Technology Group, Ltd., Case No.
10 IPR2025-01220, venued in the United States Patent
11 and Trademark Office.

12 My name is Heather Bautista, and I am a
13 Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed by the State
14 of California. My license number is 11600.

15 This deposition, and any transcript
16 produced therefrom, will be handled pursuant to
17 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Section 30.

18 As the deposition officer, I will be
19 retaining my duties and responsibilities under the
20 Code.

21 At this time, I'll ask all counsel to state
22 your appearance for the record, starting with the

1 noticing attorney, please.

2 MR. QIU: Yes. This is Fangzhou Qiu with
3 the law firm Sheppard. I represent the petitioner,
4 Marvell, in this case.

5 MR. TIPESCU: And this is Mircea Tipescu
6 with the Benesch law firm representing patent owner,
7 Credo, in this case.

8 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.

9 Dr. Williams, can you please raise your
10 right hand so I can swear you in.

11 DR. TIM ARTHUR WILLIAMS,
12 having been first duly sworn, was examined and
13 testified as follows:

14 THE WITNESS: I do.

15 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.
16 Please state your full name for the record.

17 THE WITNESS: Tim Arthur Williams.

18 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.

19 Counsel, you can begin.

20 EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. QIU:

22 Q. Good morning, Dr. Williams.

1 A. Good morning.

2 Q. Let me start with the ground rules. I know
3 you have been going over this many times, but let's
4 just have them for the record.

5 So you understand you're under oath to tell
6 the truth today?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. For this deposition, we'll need verbal
9 responses from you, because it is being transcribed;
10 understood?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And we can take breaks today when you need
13 to. I'll just ask that there's no question pending
14 when you request a break; is that okay?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. You understand you are not allowed to
17 discuss your testimony with your counsel during
18 breaks today?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. If you don't understand a question, let me
21 know, and I'll rephrase. Unless you say otherwise,
22 I'll assume you understood the question; is that

1 fair?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. You have to answer my questions today
4 unless your attorney instructs you otherwise;
5 understood?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Is there any reason you wouldn't be able to
8 provide accurate and truthful testimony today?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Are you here to give testimony in the Inter
11 Partes Review proceedings involving U.S. Patent No.
12 11,032,111?

13 A. I am.

14 Q. Today, we'll refer to the patent as the
15 '111 patent; is that all right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. What documents do you have with you?

18 A. I'm in front of my computer, so I have all
19 the documents.

20 Q. What do you mean by "all the documents"?

21 A. I have the documents -- if you let me open
22 my file, I can tell you the numbers.

1 Q. Um-hum.

2 A. Is that okay?

3 Q. Sure.

4 A. I have electronic versions of the petition,
5 the decision grant, the patent owner's response,
6 Exhibit 1001 through Exhibit 1030, and Exhibit 2017
7 to 2027.

8 Q. Is that all the documents that you have?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Are those all the documents that have been
11 filed in this proceeding?

12 A. I don't know.

13 Q. Are all the documents clean copies?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Do any of the documents have notations or
16 markings?

17 A. Not that I know of.

18 Q. Dr. Williams, I just ask that when you
19 access a document, please let me know during the
20 deposition.

21 A. You can give them to me if you want. That
22 would be fine.

1 Q. Right. Yeah, I'll give you documents, but
2 if you access -- like, decide to open a certain
3 document, please announce it.

4 A. Yeah. In general, I don't do that.

5 Q. So one of the things that we are probably
6 going to talk about today is the person of ordinary
7 skill in the art. Are you familiar with that
8 phrase?

9 A. I am.

10 Q. If we use the term "POSITA," P-O-S-I-T-A,
11 will you understand that refers to the person of
12 ordinary skill in the art?

13 A. I will.

14 Q. Are there any errors in your declaration or
15 anything you want to change?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. What is that?

18 A. Can I have the declaration?

19 Q. Yes, please. I'll upload it to the chat
20 box. And it's Exhibit 2017.

21 (Stenographer clarification.)

22 MR. QIU: I think it's not necessary since

1 they're already marked; like, filed in this case.

2 (Stenographer clarification.)

3 THE STENOGRAPHER: We're off the record.

4 The time is 9:10 a.m.

5 (Recess taken from 9:10 a.m. to 9:20 a.m.)

6 THE STENOGRAPHER: We're back on the
7 record. The time is 9:20 a.m.

8 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) And so, Dr. Williams, what's
9 the error or errors that you were talking about?

10 A. In Exhibit 2017, Page 48 of 98, native
11 Page 45, so that's PDF Page 48.

12 Q. Okay.

13 And the --

14 A. The third -- sorry.

15 Q. Go ahead.

16 A. Yeah.

17 The third line down from the top, Ran's,
18 R-a-n, apostrophe, s; strike that and insert
19 Cornelius.

20 Q. Okay.

21 Is that the only error, or are there any
22 other errors in your declaration?

1 A. Not that I know of at this time.

2 Q. How much time did you spend preparing your
3 declaration?

4 A. Don't recall.

5 Q. What did you review when preparing your
6 declaration?

7 A. The -- the patents, the complaint, the
8 documents cited in the complaint, Dr. Chen's report,
9 the file wrapper, and that's about the extent of it.
10 Basically, the materials in the case.

11 Q. Did you consider anything that's not filed
12 as an exhibit or paper in this case?

13 A. Not that I haven't cited.

14 Q. In total, how much time have you spent
15 reviewing the '111 patent?

16 A. Don't recall.

17 (Stenographer clarification.)

18 MR. QIU: Sure.

19 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Have you ever reviewed the
20 entire specification of the '111 patent?

21 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Do you believe you have an
2 adequate understanding of the '111 patent?

3 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

4 THE WITNESS: Yes.

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is there anything you think
6 is unclear about the '111 patent?

7 A. Not at this time.

8 Q. Your declaration states you have over
9 40 years of professional experience in wireless
10 communications and telecom technology; is that
11 accurate?

12 A. Yes, over 40; and actually 50 this month.

13 Q. Congratulations.

14 Would you describe your primary area of
15 professional expertise as wireless communications?

16 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

17 THE WITNESS: No. All forms of commun- --
18 of electronic communications.

19 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Are there differences between
20 wired and wireless communications with respect to
21 equalization?

22 A. Yes. Both disciplines require equalization

1 for high-speed data transfer. Wireless is more
2 complex and more variable and requires higher
3 computational requirements.

4 But both are -- use similar algorithms,
5 both use similar structures, and learnings in one
6 area relate directly to the other.

7 Q. Are equalization algorithms for wired
8 communication transferable to wireless
9 communication?

10 A. Yes.

11 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

12 THE WITNESS: Yes.

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does the difference in the
14 media used play differences in the -- in terms of
15 equalization?

16 A. To -- sorry. In the what?

17 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

18 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Yes. Does the difference in
19 the media used create differences in terms of
20 equalization techniques?

21 MR. TIPESCU: Same objection.

22 THE WITNESS: As I just described, wireless

1 is more complex, but the basics are still there.

2 There's --

3 (Stenographer clarification.)

4 THE WITNESS: -- still dispersion in both
5 media. There are still frequency selective
6 responses in each media. So the basic tenets are
7 the same between the media.

8 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Would a POSITA have found it
9 obvious to apply equalization techniques in wireless
10 communication to wired communication?

11 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

12 THE WITNESS: You mean the legal
13 interpretation of obvious, or the industrial --
14 standard usage of the word "obvious"? Don't
15 understand the question.

16 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Dr. Williams, you mentioned
17 there's a legal interpretation of "obvious" and
18 industrial usage of the word "obvious." What's the
19 difference in terms of its meaning?

20 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

21 THE WITNESS: I'm not an attorney. I can't
22 speak to the legal bound- -- boundary of obvious,

1 but obviousness is a criteria for invalidity of
2 claims. Obvious, in common usage, has a different
3 meaning.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What's the difference?

5 A. Again, I'm not an attorney. I can't
6 specify the exact boundaries --

7 (Stenographer clarification.)

8 THE WITNESS: -- of each.

9 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Let's -- let me rephrase the
10 question to not use that word.

11 Was it a common practice for a POSITA to
12 use equalization techniques in wireless
13 communication in wired communication applications?

14 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

15 THE WITNESS: Can I have the question
16 again, please.

17 MR. QIU: Reporter, could you repeat the
18 question.

19 (Record read.)

20 THE WITNESS: Yes.

21 MR. TIPESCU: Same objection.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) But there are significant
2 differences in the hardware architecture between
3 wired communication and wireless communication;
4 isn't that correct?

5 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

6 THE WITNESS: I don't have examples in
7 mind. Do you?

8 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So it is your opinion that
9 the hardware architecture for wired communication
10 and wireless communication are generally similar?

11 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

12 THE WITNESS: That's not my testimony.

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Are you familiar with the
14 hardware architecture used for wired serial
15 communications?

16 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

17 THE WITNESS: For wired communications over
18 conductive media, yes. I've built products in that
19 space.

20 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Are you familiar with the
21 hardware architecture for wireless communication --
22 wireless serial communication?

1 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes. I've built products in
3 that space.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So is it your opinion that
5 the hardware architecture for wired serial
6 communication and wireless serial communication are
7 similar to each other?

8 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

9 THE WITNESS: Are they identical? No,
10 because the media is different. As I just
11 testified, the media has different characteristics
12 between wire line and wireless; wireless being more
13 complex and requiring more sophisticated processing.
14 However, the basics are the same.

15 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What are some of the
16 differences in the hardware architecture for wired
17 and wireless serial communications?

18 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

19 THE WITNESS: I don't have examples in
20 mind.

21 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So you cannot identify a
22 single example in terms of the differences in

1 hardware architecture for wired and wireless serial
2 communications --

3 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- is that right?

5 A. That's not my testimony.

6 Q. So you can identify examples in terms of
7 the differences in hardware architecture for wired
8 and wireless serial communications.

9 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

10 THE WITNESS: Given the hardware
11 architectures that you're going to show me, yes.

12 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Can you give me example in
13 terms of the difference in hardware architecture for
14 wired and wireless serial communications?

15 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form. Asked and
16 answered.

17 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't have specific
18 architectures in mind.

19 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Can you give me an example
20 architecture for wired communication?

21 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

22 THE WITNESS: Architecture for what?

1 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) For wired serial
2 communication.

3 MR. TIPESCU: Same objection.

4 THE WITNESS: For -- for which aspect of
5 wired serial communications?

6 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What are the aspects that you
7 have in mind?

8 A. Well, speaking generally, there's -- there
9 would be input buffer receiving data to be
10 transmitted, either in parallel or serial form.

11 There would be a structure that breaks that
12 serial or parallel form down into lanes or -- lanes
13 is the best word.

14 There would be a coding and modulation
15 block; there would be a pre-equalization block; and
16 then there would be a physical media interface for
17 the transmitter. For the receiver, there would be a
18 physical media interface, sometimes a continuous
19 equalizer, followed by a -- by a equalizer and
20 slicer followed by a --

21 (Stenographer clarification.)

22 THE WITNESS: -- decision feedback

1 equalizer, leading to a buffer for presentation of
2 the data to the output.

3 That would be a -- a general architecture
4 for a transceiver for wired line communications.

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) For wireless serial
6 communications, will the transceiver have the same
7 components?

8 A. It can.

9 Q. Is there any differences between wired and
10 wireless communications in terms of those
11 components?

12 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

13 THE WITNESS: Well, as I've testified
14 several times, devil's in the details. The media is
15 different, so the impairments are different.

16 The -- there are common types of
17 impairments in the channels, so the processing for
18 wireless is more sophisticated than wired line, in
19 general.

20 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What additional processing is
21 there for wireless that is not in wired
22 communication?

1 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

2 THE WITNESS: Can I have the question
3 again, please.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Sure.

5 What additional processing is there for
6 wireless communication that is not in wired
7 communication?

8 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

9 THE WITNESS: Wireless communications,
10 depending on the type of wireless, you sometimes
11 have doppler shift effects. So compensation --
12 rotation of the phaser for doppler shifts can be
13 included in wireless communications, depending on
14 the type of communications we're talking about.

15 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does a wireless transceiver
16 technically have components that is not found in a
17 wired transceiver?

18 A. Don't under- --

19 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

20 THE WITNESS: Don't understand the word
21 "components."

22 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So by "components," I mean

1 the parts of -- like, that composes a transceiver.

2 So the question is: Does a wireless
3 transceiver technically have parts that are not
4 found in a wired transceiver?

5 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

6 THE WITNESS: By "parts," you mean
7 hardware?

8 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) That's correct.

9 MR. TIPESCU: Same objection.

10 THE WITNESS: They can have -- both can
11 have identical hardware. Depends on the application
12 they're in.

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What is the field --
14 (Stenographer clarification.)

15 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- of the '111 patent?

16 A. Can I have the patent, please.

17 Q. Yes.

18 It is Exhibit 1001, and I'm uploading it
19 into the chat box.

20 A. Can I have the question again, please.

21 Q. Let me cancel that question. I want to
22 move on to the next questions.

1 Can you explain to me what is equalization.

2 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

3 THE WITNESS: Equalization is an attempt to
4 compensate for effects of the channel.

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What are the effects of the
6 channel?

7 A. Well, dispersion is one. The frequency --
8 the frequency characteristic of the channel can be
9 stable or unstable. And commonly, in a wired
10 environment, the higher frequencies attenuate
11 quickly with distance relative to the lower
12 frequencies.

13 Q. Are these all the effects of the channel?

14 A. No.

15 Q. What other effects are there?

16 A. Well, you have cross-talk; you have --
17 (Stenographer clarification.)

18 THE WITNESS: I'll try to speak slower.

19 You have cross-talk; you have self-induced
20 energy; you have near-end reflections; you have
21 far-end reflections from impedance and mismatch in
22 the terminations.

1 You have temperature effects; you have --
2 you have induced -- capacitively induced energy from
3 other sources impinging on the wired media.

4 Those are some of them.

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Do these effects cause
6 degradation or impairments to the signal being
7 transmitted over the channel?

8 A. Yes. "Impairments" is the commonly used
9 term.

10 Q. What are equalization coefficients?

11 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

12 THE WITNESS: They are values to be used in
13 particular architecture for an equalizer.

14 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What are the equalization
15 coefficients used for?

16 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

17 THE WITNESS: The coefficients define
18 the -- essentially, the frequency response of the
19 equalizer.

20 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is the goal to have the
21 equalizer's frequency response compensate for the
22 impairment that -- let me -- let me reframe that

1 question.

2 Is the goal of the equalizer for the
3 equalizer's frequency response to compensate for
4 impairments on the channel?

5 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

6 THE WITNESS: Some of the impairments, yes.

7 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is it fair to say that a -- a
8 set of equalization coefficients has good
9 performance when they match the properties of the
10 channel?

11 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

12 THE WITNESS: Well, there's several things
13 wrong with that question, but I'll try to answer it
14 this way: Performance is measured by watt; and then
15 typically, the equalizer is inverting the
16 characteristic of the channel.

17 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So the equalizer typically
18 creates good performance when it is a close
19 inversion of the characteristics of the channel.

20 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

21 THE WITNESS: That's the theoretical best
22 equalizer for frequency variation in the channel --

1 frequency-based gain variation in the channel.

2 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) How do those skilled in the
3 art typically quantify the performance of the
4 equalizer?

5 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

6 THE WITNESS: For fixed equalizer, it would
7 just be the -- a measurement like a Means Squared
8 Error --

9 (Stenographer clarification.)

10 THE WITNESS: -- or a Bit Error Rate or a
11 Block Error Rate.

12 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) How is the Means Squared
13 Error calculated?

14 A. It would be the delivered waveform compared
15 to the original waveform in a computation of average
16 error squared over the duration of the symbol.

17 Q. Is this value calculated based on the
18 amplitude of the signal over time?

19 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

20 THE WITNESS: "This value," you mean the
21 Means Squared Error value?

22 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) That's correct; the Means

1 Squared Error.

2 A. Yes. Basically, yes.

3 Q. How is the Bit Error Rate calculated?

4 A. Well, you would compare the original bit
5 sequence with the delivered bit sequence and
6 determine the number of errors over a period of
7 time.

8 Q. How is the Block Error Rate calculated?

9 A. Because blocks are typically coded with
10 error correct- -- detection and correction
11 mechanisms, you would observe the errorred -- the
12 delivered errorred bits after the block has been
13 error detected and corrected.

14 Q. What are blocks in this context?

15 A. So a block is a collection of bits to be
16 transmitted. So you could collect 1,000 bits and
17 transmit that as a block and code over those
18 thousand bits a particular error detection and
19 correction code. And you transmit the code and the
20 block -- and the bits themselves.

21 Q. Going back to the calculation of the Bit
22 Error Rate, you mentioned the term "bit sequence."

1 How is the bit sequence generated?

2 A. For the test?

3 Q. Sure.

4 A. I don't understand the question.

5 Q. Let me ask, what does the bit sequence
6 mean?

7 A. Simply a sequence of bits, timed sequence
8 of bits. Bits being discrete in time.

9 Q. Is the bit sequence related to the waveform
10 of the signal transmitted?

11 A. In what? In what type of system?

12 Q. What are the types of systems --

13 MR. TIPESCU: Objection.

14 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- that are different?

15 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

16 THE WITNESS: Let's go back to basics. So
17 in the architecture I described, the bits are
18 presented to the transceiver for transmission at the
19 input buffer. Those bits are coded and modulated.

20 And the modulation process is the mapping
21 of bits to symbols. And then the symbols are
22 communicated down the media in analog form.

1 So the analog waveform that actually -- the
2 wave that actually propagates down the media is
3 related to the bits via the modulation process.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Okay.

5 Is it correct to say that the bit sequence
6 is generated by modulating the analog waveform?

7 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

8 THE WITNESS: No. So the bit sequence is
9 the information the user wishes to convey from
10 transmitter to receiver, for example.

11 In a test, like we were talking about
12 earlier for determining Bit Error Rate, that
13 sequence would be a known sequence. So the sequence
14 would be known a priori between transmitter and
15 receiver.

16 The sequence could also be just randomly
17 inserted bits. Those bits become symbols, the
18 symbols are discrete in time, and then those
19 discrete-in-time symbols are converted into analog
20 waveforms for presentation to the media, in that the
21 media propagates the carrier plus the modulated bits
22 to the other end of the media.

1 So in analog domain, what you would see, if
2 you put an oscilloscope on the wire, is you'd see an
3 analog waveform that contained both the carrier wave
4 and the modulated bit wave.

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is it correct to say that the
6 analog waveform can be converted into a bit
7 sequence?

8 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

9 THE WITNESS: Wow. Okay.

10 So the analog waveform that -- the wave
11 that is propagating down the media is the analog
12 waveform, and that -- just seeing that that's
13 comprised of a carrier and modulated information.

14 So now at the receiver, we need to remove
15 the carrier and obtain the modulated information and
16 then map the analog information to particular
17 levels, called "slicing," in order to determine the
18 bit representation of that particular analog
19 waveform.

20 And then once we do that, then we have a
21 bit representation. Then we can go through
22 decoding, error detection and correction, and,

1 finally, present the transmitted information to the
2 user.

3 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Got it. I appreciate that
4 explanation.

5 Was the techniques for mapping analog
6 information to particular levels by slicing
7 well-known before 2018?

8 A. Oh, sure.

9 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

10 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Those were known since
11 the 1930s.

12 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) For the methods to quantify
13 the performance, including Means Squared Error, Bit
14 Error Rate, and the Block Error Rate, were they
15 well-known before 2018?

16 A. Oh, yes. I used them in the '70s, '80s,
17 '90s, '00s.

18 Q. Is the eye diagram another way to measure
19 the performance of an equalizer?

20 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

21 THE WITNESS: Sure. The eye diagram is
22 just a -- a look at the levels -- the analog levels

1 of the received waveform after it's been passed
2 through the equalizer.

3 And the -- the -- what you're plotting is a
4 collection of 1s and 0s as they're arriving at the
5 receiver, and you're seeing the levels of those
6 waveforms. And the more distinct those levels are,
7 the easier it is for the slicer to determine whether
8 the symbol that was sent is this symbol or the other
9 symbol.

10 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is the term "margin" another
11 way to measure the performance of the equalizer?

12 A. Margin? In what context? I mean,
13 "margin's" a pretty generic word.

14 Q. Sure. Okay.

15 Let me ask another question.

16 So is it true that the -- the Means Squared
17 Error and the eye diagram are both derived from the
18 analog signals passing through the channel?

19 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

20 THE WITNESS: The eye diagram, yes. Means
21 Squared Error can be calculated from the same
22 waveform, yes.

1 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Are you familiar with the
2 feet-forward equalizer, or the abbreviation FFE?

3 A. I am. I've built many, many of them.

4 Q. FFE is a type of equalization filter;
5 right?

6 A. It is.

7 Q. Would you agree that by 2018, FFE was a
8 well-known and widely used equalization technique in
9 high-speed serial communications?

10 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

11 THE WITNESS: It was a widely known
12 technique, yes.

13 Q. (By Mr. Tipescu) What is pre-equalization?

14 A. Pre-equalization is compensation at the
15 transmitter for the effects of the channel for the
16 waveform about to be transmitted.

17 Q. Can FFE be implemented at the transmitter
18 side to perform pre-equalization?

19 A. Typically, an FFE is used in the receiver.
20 I suppose you could use it in the transmitter.

21 Q. Are you familiar with the term "link
22 training" in the context of high-speed serial

1 communications?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. What does link training mean?

4 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

5 THE WITNESS: It means characterizing the
6 link.

7 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What are typical steps
8 involved in link training?

9 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

10 THE WITNESS: There are many different
11 types of link training.

12 I'll describe one particular methodology,
13 which is transmit a -- transmit a known sequence,
14 known a priori to the transmitter and receiver,
15 determine the effects of that transmission process,
16 and then compensate for it in either the receiver or
17 the transmitter.

18 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So in this process, does the
19 receiver measure the received signal to determine
20 the effects of the transmission process?

21 A. Depends on what you mean by "measure the
22 received signal." It determines the -- it

1 determines the effects of the channel.

2 Q. How does it determine the effects of the
3 channel?

4 A. By -- well, one method is by determining
5 the Means Squared Error of the waveforms. The other
6 is determining the Bit Error Rate or the Block Error
7 Rate. Another way is to measure the eye opening.

8 Q. After the determination of the effects of
9 the channel, does the receiver communicate with the
10 transmitter regarding the --

11 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

12 (Stenographer clarification.)

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- determined effects?

14 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

15 THE WITNESS: Depends on the architecture,
16 but in -- in some architectures, yes.

17 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) In the architectures that
18 involve communication from the receiver to the
19 transmitter, does the transmitter change the
20 equalization settings based on the communication
21 from the receiver?

22 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

1 THE WITNESS: It can or it cannot. It is
2 capable of changing the transmissions, yes. Not
3 required to.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Can you give me an example
5 of -- based on communication from the receiver, the
6 transmitter changes the equalization settings --

7 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

8 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- and how that works.

9 A. A hypothetical?

10 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

11 THE WITNESS: Are you talking about a
12 hypothetical architecture?

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Yes.

14 A. Okay.

15 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

16 THE WITNESS: So in one potential
17 architecture, the receiver would receive the a
18 priori's known sequence, would determine a
19 particular Bit Error Rate and would determine a -- a
20 relative figure of merit for that particular setting
21 on the transmitter and receiver equalizers and then
22 would communicate. The -- in the case where the

1 receiver is the decision-maker, the receiver would
2 communicate back to the transmitter the selection of
3 a different set of values for the equalizers in the
4 transmitter and select its own receiver equalizers
5 as well.

6 And then there would be an initiation of
7 another test to determine the figure of merit of
8 that new setting of equalizer values.

9 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) In this process, what does
10 the transmitter do?

11 A. The process I described, the transmitter
12 transmits. The transmitter receives the
13 communication being fed back from the receiver.

14 The receiver is the decision-maker, so the
15 transmitter simply implements the decisions of the
16 receiver in its own structures and then initiates a
17 subsequent transmission.

18 Q. How does the transmitter implement the
19 decision of the receiver in this case?

20 MR. TIPESCU: Again, objection to form.

21 THE WITNESS: There are many variations
22 there. I'll just pick one and describe it.

1 There could be a known table -- a table of
2 values known between the transmitter and the
3 receiver a priori, and the receiver could just
4 indicate a particular table index number for the
5 transmitter to use.

6 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) And the transmitter uses the
7 index number to pick the set of values to use; is
8 that right?

9 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

10 THE WITNESS: In the hypothetical
11 architecture we're talking about, the receiver has
12 made the decision to use a particular index number
13 into the table for the transmitter. So the
14 transmitter's being told to use that set of values
15 as indicated by the index number.

16 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Was the process you just
17 described well-known and used by 2018?

18 A. Where?

19 Q. Are you asking for geographical location?

20 A. No. What field of endeavor?

21 Q. In high-speed serial communications.

22 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form. Objection

1 to form.

2 THE WITNESS: I don't have an example in
3 mind.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So you are not sure whether
5 the link training process that you described was
6 used in serial communications before 2018?

7 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

8 THE WITNESS: I don't have an example in
9 mind. Certainly, the -- the concept was known.

10 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So let's not ask about the
11 specific process you talked about. Let's just say
12 the -- was link training used in high-speed serial
13 communications before 2018?

14 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

15 THE WITNESS: I don't have an example in
16 mind.

17 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Are you familiar with the
18 concept of back-channel adaptation?

19 A. In several contexts. So in what context
20 are you asking?

21 Q. In the context of serial channel
22 communications.

1 A. Well, basically, that's what we've just
2 been talking about.

3 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether
4 back-channel adaptation was used in serial
5 communications before 2018?

6 A. I don't have an example in mind.

7 Q. What are the typical hardware components of
8 an equalization circuit?

9 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

10 THE WITNESS: In what period of time?
11 Using what technology? For what purpose? Don't
12 understand the question.

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) For the period of time, let's
14 say, just before 2018, for wired and serial
15 communication channel, what are the typical hardware
16 components of an equalization circuit?

17 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

18 THE WITNESS: I don't have specific
19 architectures in mind. None have been presented in
20 this case. But implementation of these equalizers
21 can take many, many forms.

22 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) How would those skilled in

1 the art before 2018 implement a filter?

2 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

3 THE WITNESS: Just a filter? You can

4 implement -- in discrete time or analog time?

5 What -- I don't understand the question.

6 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Would an equalization circuit
7 include parts that correspond to the equalization
8 filter that's being applied?

9 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

10 THE WITNESS: Seems like a circular
11 question. I don't understand the question.

12 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What -- what is the meaning
13 of "equalization circuit"?

14 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

15 THE WITNESS: Well, I'm interpreting your
16 words to being a circuit that implements
17 equalization.

18 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does the circuit typically
19 include devices that store the coefficients or
20 tap values used for the filter?

21 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

22 (Stenographer clarification.)

1 MR. QIU: Tap, T-a-p.

2 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

3 THE WITNESS: Which domain are we talking
4 about?

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Using domain equalization in
6 the context of high-speed serial communications.

7 MR. TIPESCU: Same objection.

8 THE WITNESS: In analog domain, no. In
9 discrete time domain, maybe.

10 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What hardware component
11 typically is used to implement link training?

12 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

13 THE WITNESS: The collection of hardware
14 components? Is that your question?

15 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Sure.

16 I want -- I'm asking for the technical
17 hardware components or hardware components that are
18 typically used to implement link training over a
19 serial communication channel.

20 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

21 THE WITNESS: A wired channel?

22 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) A wired channel. Sure.

1 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

2 THE WITNESS: There's many, many ways to
3 build that. I don't have a particular architecture
4 in mind.

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is a -- some type of
6 controller a pretty technical hardware component for
7 implementing link training?

8 MR. TIPESCU: Again, objection to form.

9 THE WITNESS: By "controller," you mean a
10 software instruction-based machine that implements
11 control?

12 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) I mean, it can even -- either
13 be a hardware circuit or be a software
14 instruction-based machine.

15 MR. TIPESCU: Same objection.

16 THE WITNESS: There needs to be some
17 establishment of state, so, in general, yes.

18 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) When there is a back-channel
19 adaptation or link training, does that require a
20 hardware component that manages communications
21 through the back channel with the link partner?

22 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

1 THE WITNESS: In the receiver?

2 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Sure. In the receiver.

3 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

4 THE WITNESS: Depends on the architecture.

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So some architecture would
6 have such a hardware component that manages
7 communications between the receiver and the
8 transmitter?

9 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

10 THE WITNESS: By "manages," you mean what?
11 Causes the initiation of?

12 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Yeah, that causes the
13 initiation of the communication. Sure. That's a
14 good one.

15 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

16 THE WITNESS: Yeah, there has to be some
17 piece of intelligence in the receiver that causes
18 the information it's obtained to be transmitted back
19 to the transmitter.

20 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does there need to be some
21 hardware parts in the transmitter that causes the --
22 that receives the information from the receiver?

1 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

2 THE WITNESS: I don't think that sentence
3 is -- that question is well-formed. Could you --

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Let me rephrase.

5 You mentioned that there has to be some
6 pieces of intelligence in the receiver that causes
7 the information to be transmitted back to the
8 transmitter.

9 Based on that, my question is: Does the
10 transmitter need to have some hardware component for
11 receiving that information from the receiver?

12 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

13 THE WITNESS: The transmitter has to have
14 some piece of intelligence to interpret what's being
15 told to it by the receiver and to implement what's
16 being either instructed by the receiver or implement
17 its algorithms to determine what to do next.
18 Depending on where the -- who the decision-maker is,
19 the transmitter or the receiver.

20 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Are you familiar with the
21 design of serial channels?

22 (Stenographer clarification.)

1 THE WITNESS: I don't know what you mean.

2 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Do you not understand what
3 "design of serial channels" mean?

4 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

5 THE WITNESS: Yes.

6 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What are the types of devices
7 that can provide high-speed serial channels in the
8 time frame of 2017/2018?

9 A. I don't have any in mind. I don't think
10 any have been produced in this case.

11 Q. Is an active cable a type of device that
12 can provide high-speed serial channels?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. So let's talk about active cable in the
15 time frame of, for example, 2017 and 2018.

16 What are the components of a typical active
17 cable?

18 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

19 THE WITNESS: I don't have one in mind.
20 None has been produced in this case.

21 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does an active cable
22 typically include a wire?

1 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

2 THE WITNESS: If it's wire-based. Could be
3 optical-based.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What is the component for
5 optical-based active cable?

6 A. I don't have one in mind.

7 Q. So let's talk about the wire-based
8 scenario.

9 Does the active cable typically have two
10 plugs on the two ends of the cable?

11 A. A plug on each end of the cable? Yes.

12 Q. Does a plug typically include a chip inside
13 if the plug is an active plug?

14 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

15 THE WITNESS: I don't have an example in
16 mind. None has been produced in this case.

17 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) How does an active plug get
18 connected to a host connector?

19 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

20 THE WITNESS: Through a receptacle, a jack.

21 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) And how is the signal
22 transmitted from the receptacle through the active

1 cable?

2 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

3 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the
4 question.

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What do you not understand?

6 A. How is it transmitted?

7 Q. So, let's say, if there's an active cable,
8 there are signals transmitted through the active --
9 to the active plug into the host, and there are also
10 signal transmitted from the host to the active plug;
11 is that correct?

12 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

13 THE WITNESS: Yeah, some people call that
14 chip-to-module communication.

15 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What -- what is the media
16 that the signal for chip-to-module communication
17 goes through?

18 A. Well, it can go through many types of
19 media, but, typically, printed circuit board traces
20 and connectors in the jack and the plug and then
21 copper on the plug side.

22 Q. Are you familiar with the design process

1 for a chip-to-module communication channel?

2 A. The design --

3 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

4 THE WITNESS: Don't understand "design
5 process."

6 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Have you designed a pluggable
7 module?

8 A. No.

9 MR. TIPESCU: Fred, we've been on the
10 record for just a little over an hour, I think. Can
11 we -- can we take a break?

12 MR. QIU: Sure. Yes. Let's take a break.

13 THE STENOGRAPHER: We're off the record.

14 The time is 10:31 a.m.

15 (Recess from 10:31 a.m. to 10:51 a.m.)

16 THE STENOGRAPHER: We're back on the
17 record. The time is 10:51 a.m.

18 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Okay.

19 Dr. Williams, can you please go to
20 Paragraph 66 of your declaration.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. In that paragraph, you said, "Berke

1 purportedly already" --

2 (Stenographer clarification.)

3 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- "operates in a SerDes,"

4 S-e-r-D-e-s, "context."

5 A. Capital S, capital D.

6 You read that correctly.

7 Q. So you used "purportedly" in that sentence.

8 Does that mean you disagree that Berke teaches

9 SerDes?

10 A. I'm not proving that Berke applies to

11 SerDes. I'm accepting -- I'm commenting on

12 Dr. Chen's comment, which is the purpose of my

13 report.

14 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether Berke

15 teaches SerDes?

16 A. Not that I've expressed.

17 Q. I'm sharing with you Exhibit 1004, which is

18 Berke.

19 Please let me know when you have access.

20 A. I have it.

21 Q. Okay.

22 Can you please go to Paragraph 14 of

1 Exhibit 1004.

2 A. Yes. I'm there.

3 Q. Can you please read the first sentence of
4 Paragraph 14.

5 A. Okay. Out loud?

6 Q. Sure.

7 A. "Transmitter 110 includes a channel output
8 module 112, feed-forward equalization (FFE) module
9 114, a channel management module 116, and an
10 equalization co-efficient whitelist 118."

11 Q. Here, the feed-forward equalization
12 module 114, is that the module for performing
13 equalization?

14 A. It is.

15 Q. Please go to Paragraph 68 of your
16 declaration, which is Exhibit 2017.

17 A. I'm there.

18 Q. Can you please review this paragraph.

19 A. I've reviewed it.

20 Q. This paragraph, you commented that several
21 elements of Berke do not exist in Cornelius' cable
22 plug; is that correct?

1 A. I say "none of these elements."

2 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

3 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Right.

4 So you said, "None of these elements exists
5 in Cornelius' cable plug"; is that accurate reading?

6 A. That's -- you read that correctly.

7 Q. What analysis did you perform to reach the
8 conclusion that none of these elements exists in
9 Cornelius' cable plug?

10 A. I examined Dr. Chen's statements, I
11 examined Berke's documentation, as well as
12 Cornelius' documentation, and reached a conclusion.

13 Q. Did you consider any elements in Cornelius'
14 cable plug in reaching this conclusion?

15 A. Of course.

16 Q. What elements did you -- did you consider?

17 A. Can I have Cornelius.

18 Q. Sure.

19 Cornelius, I believe it's Exhibit 1005.
20 I'll share it in the chat box.

21 A. Can I have that question again, please.

22 MR. QIU: The court reporter, could you

1 repeat my question.

2 (Record read.)

3 THE WITNESS: All of the disclosed
4 embodiments.

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Did you mention any of the
6 elements you considered in your declaration?

7 A. Yes. None of the elements.

8 Q. Can you give me example of the elements
9 that you considered in Cornelius.

10 A. So in Cornelius, I don't see disclosure of
11 Berke's FFE module, Berke's channel management
12 module, Berke's equalization coefficient whitelists,
13 and the registered base selection, the training
14 architecture described in Berke.

15 So I don't see an equivalent set of
16 capabilities in Cornelius.

17 Q. In your analysis, did you compare any
18 elements that exist in Cornelius' cable plug with
19 the enumerated elements of Berke?

20 A. Can I have the question again, please.

21 MR. QIU: Court reporter, could you please
22 repeat the question.

1 (Record read.)

2 THE WITNESS: As I say in my declaration,
3 none of these elements exist, so it's impossible to
4 directly compare Berke's disclosures with Cornelius'
5 disclosure.

6 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So is it right that you did
7 not compare any element in Cornelius' cable plug to
8 determine whether they are similar or perform the
9 same function as Berke's elements?

10 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

11 THE WITNESS: I attempted to do that.
12 However, I identified no elements that exist in
13 Cornelius' cable that relate to Berke's disclosures.

14 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What are the elements in
15 Cornelius' cable plug?

16 A. You can see those in Figures 5 and 6 of
17 Cornelius.

18 Q. What does "CDR" stand for?

19 A. Clock and data recovery.

20 Q. Does your declaration include a comparison
21 between CDR and any element in Berke?

22 A. My declaration is in response to Dr. Chen's

1 declaration; and to the extent that he did not do
2 that, I did not do that.

3 Q. Did you analyze whether Cornelius has any
4 element that is similar to Berke's FFE module?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Can you point me to that analysis in your
7 declaration.

8 A. I can point you to the conclusion. "None
9 of these elements exist in Cornelius' cable plug,"
10 Paragraph 68, Line 5.

11 Q. So, Doctor, I understand that Berke's
12 elements does not exist in Cornelius' system, since
13 they are different systems, to the extent that's
14 what you mean.

15 But I guess my question is: Did you
16 analyze whether elements similar to Berke's elements
17 exist in Cornelius' system?

18 A. I don't remember Dr. Chen doing that
19 analysis. So to the extent I'm commenting on
20 Dr. Chen's analysis, no.

21 Q. Please go to Exhibit 1005, which is
22 Cornelius. Please go to Column 6 and the Line 50 to

1 57.

2 A. Yes. I'm there.

3 Q. Can you please review that paragraph for
4 yourself.

5 A. I have.

6 Q. In this paragraph, what -- in this
7 paragraph, what does "operational parameters" mean?

8 A. The parameters controlling the operation of
9 the device.

10 Q. Can you give me an example of an
11 operational parameter for a plug circuitry.

12 A. Well, this disclosure is for multiple -- in
13 Paragraph -- in Column 6, Lines 42 to 49, they're
14 talking about configuration of the cable, and these
15 are examples of operational parameters that can be
16 set.

17 In addition, Lines -- Column 6, Lines 58 to
18 62 describe other types of operational parameters.

19 Q. Is -- are parameters for controlling
20 equalization part of the operational parameters?

21 A. It's not defined.

22 Q. Would a POSITA read this sentence saying

1 operational parameters of plug circuitry -- what

2 would a POSITA understand it to include?

3 A. What I've testified to.

4 Q. So I didn't hear your answer. Could you

5 repeat it.

6 A. What I have testified to previously.

7 Q. What does "modes" mean?

8 A. I'm sorry?

9 Q. What does "modes" mean --

10 A. What does --

11 Q. -- in this sentence -- in -- in the

12 paragraph --

13 A. "Most"?

14 Q. -- between Lines 50 to 57.

15 A. "Modes," M-o-d-e-s.

16 Q. That's right.

17 A. That's -- would be a mode of operation of

18 the circuit.

19 Q. Can you give me an example of a mode of

20 operation.

21 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

22 THE WITNESS: I don't think any have been

1 specified in Cornelius.

2 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) When a POSITA reads modes of
3 operation, what a POSITA would have understood?

4 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

5 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't think
6 Dr. Chen opined on this.

7 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What are parameters for
8 control in this paragraph?

9 A. They are settings that affect the control
10 of the circuits in this --

11 (Stenographer clarification.)

12 THE WITNESS: -- embodiment.

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is it limited to certain
14 aspects of the circuit or the control of all aspects
15 of the circuits?

16 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

17 THE WITNESS: Not specified; not commented
18 on by Dr. Chen; haven't reached a conclusion here.

19 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What are parameters for
20 diagnostics?

21 A. Not specified; not disclosed by Dr. Chen or
22 analyzed by Dr. Chen; don't have an opinion.

1 Q. Is it right that you don't have an opinion
2 about what the parameters for diagnostics are?

3 A. As disclosed by Cornelius? No.

4 Q. Do you have opinion about what the
5 parameters for tests are?

6 A. As disclosed by Cornelius and commented on
7 by Dr. Chen? No.

8 Q. Do you have an opinion as to what the
9 parameters for configuration are in Cornelius?

10 A. Same answer.

11 Q. So could you state your answer.

12 A. As disclosed in Cornelius and commented on
13 by Dr. Chen? No.

14 Q. Do you have an opinion about what the
15 parameters for circuit monitoring are in Cornelius?

16 A. As disclosed by Cornelius and analyzed by
17 Dr. Chen? No.

18 Q. At the end of this paragraph, there's a
19 sentence stating, quote, "The ability to configure a
20 cable in this way allows cables to adapt to new
21 hosts and devices as the cable is used in various
22 system applications," end of quote.

1 Do you see that sentence in that paragraph?

2 A. You read that correctly.

3 Q. What does "adaptation to new hosts" mean?

4 A. That the cable is able to be configured to
5 interface with new hosts, whatever -- whatever "new"
6 means.

7 Q. So what kind of configurations are relevant
8 to adapting to a new host?

9 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

10 THE WITNESS: Physical form factor,
11 electrical amplitudes, currents, pin-outs, speeds,
12 modulation types, lane assignments. Those are sorts
13 of things that might be configured but aren't
14 disclosed by Cornelius or discussed by Chen.

15 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Please go to Column 6,
16 Lines 63 to 67 of Cornelius, which is Exhibit 1005.
17 Can you please review that paragraph for yourself.

18 Please let me know when you are finished.

19 A. I've finished.

20 Q. Do you see the term "other signal pins" in
21 this paragraph?

22 A. No.

1 Q. It's -- it's at the -- the end of Line 66
2 and the beginning of Line 67.

3 A. My Line 66 says, "State may include
4 providing" --

5 (Stenographer clarification.)

6 THE WITNESS: -- "a supply voltage of 3.3
7 volts, while the high-power state may include
8 providing a supply of 12."

9 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Are you -- are you looking at
10 Exhibit 1005 at Column 6?

11 A. Oh. Column 6. Okay.

12 Column 6, Lines 63 to 67?

13 Q. That's correct.

14 A. Okay.

15 I was looking at Column 5. Can I have your
16 question again, please.

17 Q. Sure.

18 Do you see the term "other signal pins" in
19 this paragraph?

20 A. I do.

21 Q. What are those other signal pins in
22 Cornelius?

1 A. Well, presumably, it's pins other than
2 Pins 9 and 11.

3 Q. Is it your opinion that the signals on
4 Pins 9 and 11 are low-speed?

5 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

6 THE WITNESS: That's Cornelius'
7 characterization.

8 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Do you know whether the
9 signals on the other pins are high-speed or
10 low-speed?

11 A. Cornelius Column 6, Lines 16 to 20, says,
12 "Specifically, active plug 500 provides high-speed
13 transmission signals on Pins 3 and 5 and receives
14 high-speed signals on Pins 4 and 6."

15 Q. So Cornelius teaches that the other signal
16 pins provide high-speed signals; is that correct?

17 A. Cornelius --

18 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

19 THE WITNESS: Cornelius teaches that there
20 are pins in his implementation that provide
21 high-speed transmission and reception.

22 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Please go to Exhibit 1004,

1 which is Berke, at Paragraph 19.

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And can you please read Paragraph 19 to
4 yourself.

5 I realize it's a long paragraph. You're
6 free to read it to yourself, but I want to point to
7 a certain sentence in the paragraph.

8 A. Give me the sentence.

9 Q. It is -- it is on Page 3. If you count the
10 left column, four lines from that in that left
11 column, at the end of Line 4, starting with, "In a
12 particular embodiment, management module 116 and
13 channel management module 142 represent a separate
14 side-band communication channel for communication --
15 communicating adaptive instructions -- adaptation
16 instructions between transmitter 110 and
17 receiver 130."

18 Do you see that sentence?

19 A. I do.

20 Q. What are the adaptation instructions in
21 this sentence?

22 A. So these are instructions conveyed from the

1 transmitter to the receiver for adapting the
2 operation of the receiver.

3 Q. Does it include any instructions from the
4 receiver to the transmitter?

5 A. Not that I see.

6 Q. How -- how do you tell that the
7 instructions are from the transmitter to the
8 receiver?

9 A. The sentence says, "A -- represent a
10 separate side-band communication channel for
11 communicating adaptation instructions between a
12 transmitter and the receiver" -- "between
13 transmitter and receiver."

14 Q. So because it says "between transmitter and
15 receiver," you interpret it to be one-directional
16 from transmitter to the receiver; is that correct?

17 A. Yes.

18 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

19 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does Berke impose any
20 requirements on how the adaptation instructions
21 should be transmitted?

22 A. Don't understand the question.

1 Q. So the question is: Does Berke specify any
2 requirements on how the adaptation instructions
3 should be transmitted?

4 A. Sounds like the same question. I don't
5 understand the question.

6 Q. What do you not understand?

7 A. The extent of the disclosure you're asking
8 about.

9 Q. Yeah. I'm asking about what Berke
10 discloses or teaches.

11 And the question is: Does Berke specify
12 any requirements on how the adaptation instructions
13 should be transmitted?

14 A. That's the third time you asked the same
15 question. I don't understand the question.

16 Q. I thought, like, you asked for
17 clarification about whether it's about Berke's
18 teaching, and I confirmed it is for Berke's
19 teaching. So what do you not understand in that
20 question?

21 A. I need clarification on how.

22 Q. Uh-huh.

1 A. You're talking about an algorithm? You're
2 talking about a physical mechanism? You're talking
3 about software process? You're talking about a
4 management process? What are you talking about?

5 Q. Let's go through them one by one.

6 Does -- does Berke impose any requirements
7 on the algorithm for transmitting the adaptation
8 instructions?

9 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

10 THE WITNESS: Don't understand the
11 question. Berke talks about an implementation and
12 embodiment.

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does Berke specify a minimum
14 requirement on the channel that is needed to
15 transmit adaptation instructions?

16 A. I don't recall.

17 Q. Did you form an opinion as to whether
18 Berke's -- sorry. Strike that question.

19 Did you form an opinion as to whether Berke
20 provide any minimum requirement on the channel that
21 is required to transmit the adaptation instructions?

22 A. All of my expressed opinions are included

1 in my report.

2 Q. Can you point me to your opinion as to
3 whether Berke provide any minimum requirement on the
4 channel that is required to transmit the adaptation
5 instructions in your report.

6 A. No.

7 Q. And sitting here, do you currently have an
8 opinion as to whether Berke specifies a minimum
9 requirement on the channel that is used to transmit
10 the adaptation instructions?

11 A. I have not formed that opinion.

12 Q. Please go to Exhibit 1004, and I want to go
13 to Figure 1 on that exhibit.

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Do you see a transmitter and a receiver on
16 Figure 1?

17 A. I see block labeled 110 as the transmitter
18 and block labeled 130 as the receiver.

19 Q. Are the transmitter and receiver on the
20 same device?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Please go to Paragraph 97 of your

1 declaration, which is Exhibit 2017.

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Can you please read that paragraph to
4 yourself.

5 Please let me know when you finish reading
6 it.

7 A. I'm done.

8 Q. What does "endpoint-to-endpoint operation"
9 mean in this paragraph?

10 A. Can I have the question again, please.

11 Q. Sure.

12 What does "endpoint-to-endpoint operation"
13 mean?

14 A. It means a -- as I've discussed in
15 Paragraph 96, that Cornelius "does not constitute a
16 suggestion to implement Berke's elaborate
17 coefficient whitelist, register selection, and
18 training architecture."

19 So the -- in Paragraph 97, "a single
20 information handling system and receiver has full
21 observability and control of the channel's effects
22 on the transmitted signal."

1 So it's a more sophisticated implementation
2 embodiment in Berke.

3 Q. That doesn't quite answer my question.

4 So let me ask this: What do you mean by
5 "endpoint"?

6 A. Delivered bits to the cable and receive
7 bits from the cable.

8 Q. So endpoint refers to some bits?

9 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

10 THE WITNESS: The purp- -- the purpose of
11 the invention is to deliver user information from
12 one point to another.

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does Berke teach an endpoint?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. What -- what is the -- the device that
16 Berke teaches is the endpoint?

17 A. Elements -- as we were just looking at the
18 figure, element 1- -- 110 is one element; 130 is
19 another element.

20 Q. Okay.

21 So in Figure 1 of Exhibit 1004, which is
22 Berke --

1 A. I misspoke.

2 110 is one endpoint; 130 is another
3 endpoint.

4 Q. Okay.

5 So -- so you're saying the transmitter and
6 the receiver are both endpoints; is that correct?

7 A. Yes, basically.

8 Q. So is any operation between a transmitter
9 and a receiver an endpoint-to-endpoint operation?

10 A. No.

11 Q. So how do you tell if something is an
12 endpoint-to-endpoint operation?

13 A. Well, as we just discussed, Berke has much
14 more sophisticated process for information sharing
15 between the transmitter and the receiver, and that
16 is communicating between the endpoints.

17 Q. So what kind of -- sorry -- strike that.

18 What kind of process is required for an
19 operation to be endpoint-to-endpoint operation?

20 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

21 THE WITNESS: Well, in this case, Berke
22 discloses a coefficient whitelist, a registration

1 selection, and training architecture that is an
2 endpoint-to-endpoint architecture.

3 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So an endpoint-to-endpoint
4 architecture must have a whitelist, registration
5 selection, and training architecture?

6 A. That's not my testimony.

7 Q. Is that true?

8 A. That is not --

9 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

10 THE WITNESS: That is not my testimony.

11 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is it your opinion that an
12 endpoint-to-endpoint architecture must have a
13 whitelist, registered selection, and training
14 architecture?

15 A. That is not my opinion.

16 Q. Is there any component that an
17 endpoint-to-endpoint architecture must have?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. What is such a component?

20 A. Communication between the receiver and the
21 transmitter regarding operation.

22 Q. So as long as there is communication

1 between receiver and transmitter regarding
2 operation, we can say that the architecture is an
3 endpoint-to-endpoint architecture; is that correct?

4 A. In general, yes, as I use the term.

5 Q. And in Paragraph -- let's go back to
6 Paragraph 97 of your declaration, which is
7 Exhibit 2017.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. There, you mentioned the phrase "full
10 observability and control of the channel's effect on
11 the transmitted signal."

12 Do you see that phrase?

13 A. I do.

14 Q. What does that mean?

15 A. That the receiver has full observability
16 and control of the channel's effect on the
17 transmitted signal.

18 Q. Is it your opinion that Berke's receiver
19 controls how the channel affects the transmitted
20 signal?

21 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

22 THE WITNESS: No. Berke's receiver has

1 observability of the effects impinging on the
2 transmitted signal and control of the transmitted
3 signal.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Which passage in Berke led to
5 your conclusion that there is full observability and
6 control?

7 A. The entire disclosure.

8 Q. What mechanism allows the receiver to have
9 full observability and control in Berke?

10 A. The training architecture.

11 Q. Does that include the back-channel
12 adaptation process in Berke?

13 A. As disclosed by Berke, yes.

14 Q. Why does that training process provide full
15 observability and control?

16 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

17 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by that,
18 "process"?

19 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Sorry. Let me rephrase my
20 question.

21 Why does that training architecture provide
22 full observability and control?

1 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

2 THE WITNESS: Because the receiver has
3 observability into the effects caused by the channel
4 on the transmitted waveform, and it has control of
5 the transmitted waveform via the feedback channel.

6 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) How does a transmitter get
7 observability into the effects caused by the channel
8 on the transmitted waveform?

9 A. By implementing the training process.

10 Q. Does that involve the receiver receiving
11 and measuring the signals transmitted by the
12 transmitter?

13 A. What do you mean by that?

14 Q. So how does the training process give the
15 receiver full observability?

16 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

17 THE WITNESS: Through the training process,
18 which results in a figure of merit for various
19 transmitter settings.

20 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Let's go back to Paragraph 97
21 of your declaration.

22 You mentioned a term called "cable-to-host

1 boundary"; is that correct?

2 A. I do.

3 Q. What does cable-to-host boundary mean?

4 A. It's the -- the side of the communication
5 between a host and a cable that is the plug and
6 jack.

7 Q. It is your opinion that there cannot be
8 endpoint-to-endpoint operations across a
9 cable-to-host boundary; correct?

10 A. Not my opinion.

11 MR. QIU: Counsel, I think the deposition
12 has been going for a while. Do you think this is a
13 good time -- and definitely, Dr. Williams, do you
14 think this is a good time for break or lunch break?

15 THE WITNESS: That would be good. Let's do
16 45 minutes.

17 MR. QIU: 45 minutes. So we come back
18 at --

19 THE WITNESS: 12:45.

20 MR. QIU: Okay.

21 THE STENOGRAPHER: We are off the record.
22 The time is 11:52 a.m.

1 (Lunch taken from 11:52 a.m. to 12:48 p.m.)

2 THE STENOGRAPHER: We're back on the
3 record. The time is 12:48 p.m.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Dr. Williams, did you
5 communicate with anybody during the break that just
6 happened?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Please go to Exhibit 1001, which is the
9 '111 patent.

10 Can you please go to Claim 1 of this
11 exhibit.

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Do you see the limitation "selecting one of
14 multiple registers to specify initial pre-equalizer
15 coefficient values, each of the" --

16 (Stenographer clarification.)

17 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- "multiple registers
18 corresponding to a different channel model"?

19 A. You read that correctly.

20 Q. What does the term "model" mean?

21 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

22 THE WITNESS: What does the term "multiple"

1 mean?

2 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) "Model."

3 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

4 THE WITNESS: Are you saying "model" or
5 "multiple"?

6 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) "Model."

7 A. "Model."

8 Well, the channel model is a mathematical
9 representation of the characteristics -- of
10 frequency characteristics of the channel.

11 Q. How is a -- let's see.

12 What do you mean by "frequency
13 characteristics"?

14 A. Exactly that.

15 Q. What does --

16 (Stenographer clarification.)

17 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What does it mean for a set
18 of pre-equalizer coefficients to correspond to a
19 channel model?

20 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

21 THE WITNESS: Do you see those words? I
22 don't understand the question.

1 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Claim 1 states that -- okay.

2 Claim 1 states that "each of the multiple
3 registers corresponding to a different channel
4 model"; is that correct?

5 A. Yes. You read that correctly.

6 Q. What does "corresponding" mean in this
7 sentence?

8 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

9 THE WITNESS: It means that the contents of
10 the multiple registers relate to different channel
11 models, and each register relates to a different
12 channel model.

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) How would a POSITA determine
14 whether a set of coefficient values correspond to a
15 channel model?

16 A. To any channel model?

17 Q. Sure.

18 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

19 THE WITNESS: Inherently, equalizer
20 coefficients would correspond to some channel model.

21 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So a -- a set of equalizer
22 coefficients always correspond to a channel model?

1 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

2 THE WITNESS: Given a set of coefficients,
3 those coefficients correspond to some channel model.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Claim 1 recites "selecting
5 one of multiple registers to -- register to specify
6 initial pre-equalizer coefficient values"; correct?

7 A. You read that correctly.

8 Q. Is Claim 1 limited to a certain manner of
9 selecting?

10 A. No.

11 Q. According to Claim 1 of the '111 patent,
12 once you select the initial pre-equalizer
13 coefficient values, do you further modify the
14 values?

15 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

16 THE WITNESS: Are you asking if there's a
17 temporal relationship between selecting and
18 updating?

19 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) I -- I think my question did
20 not specifically refer to a temporal relationship.

21 But I guess I can -- does Claim 1 require
22 further modifying the pre-equalizer coefficient

1 values after selecting the initial values?

2 A. There is a temporal relationship between
3 selecting and updating.

4 Q. In this process, what is the process of the
5 updating step -- sorry. Let me clarify that.

6 Regarding the temporal relationship, which
7 occurs earlier and which occurs later?

8 A. Selecting followed by updating.

9 Q. What is the purpose of the updating step?

10 A. There's no stated purpose in the claim.

11 Q. Would a POSITA have understood there to be
12 a purpose for the updating step?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. What would the POSITA understand to be the
15 purpose?

16 A. An attempt to improve the performance of
17 the pre-equalizer.

18 Q. How does the updating step improve the
19 performance of the pre-equalizer?

20 A. Doesn't necessarily do so.

21 Q. How would a POSITA expect the updating step
22 to achieve its goal in improving the performance of

1 a pre-equalizer?

2 A. So instead of taking initial pre-equalizer
3 coefficient values in the first claim element and
4 executing based on those, the -- Claim 1 calls for
5 the updating of those coefficients based on
6 information gained during a training phase.

7 Q. Is one of the motivation for doing the
8 training phase that the actual channels'
9 characteristics does not actually match those of the
10 channel model?

11 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

12 THE WITNESS: I think I heard the question
13 directly, but could you please repeat it.

14 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Yeah. Sure. Sure.

15 So my understanding is that you need a --
16 like, one needs a training phase, because the
17 characteristics of an actual channel model -- sorry.
18 Strike that question.

19 Let me try it again.

20 My understanding is that one needs a
21 training phase, because the characteristics of the
22 actual channel often does not exactly match that of

1 a channel model; is that a correct understanding?

2 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

3 THE WITNESS: Well, by modifying the
4 pre-equalizer coefficients, you are changing the
5 relationship to create a new -- a correspondence to
6 a different channel model.

7 So to the extent that what the initial
8 values store are some set of values that were
9 established earlier in time, the updating provides a
10 mechanism to adjust those coefficient values based
11 on information obtained by actually probing and
12 measuring the channel during the training phase.

13 It's not to say that the training phase
14 will actually improve the performance. May or may
15 not, but that's not a requirement of the claim; just
16 that there be an updating.

17 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does Claim 1 allow the
18 possibility that multiple candidate set of initial
19 pre-equalizer coefficient values are -- can act as a
20 starting point for a channel?

21 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes. So it says "selecting

1 one of multiple registers."

2 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Please go to your
3 declaration, which is Exhibit 2017. Please go to
4 Paragraph 75.

5 A. Yes. I'm there.

6 Q. This paragraph mentions a term named --
7 (Stenographer clarification.)

8 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- "model-based register" --
9 (Stenographer clarification.)

10 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- "organization."

11 What does this term mean?

12 A. Claim 1 of the '111 requires a structure
13 with multiple registers, and those registers are
14 differentiable by their relationship to different
15 channel models.

16 Q. How do you -- how would a POSITA tell if
17 registers are organized in a way that is
18 model-based?

19 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

20 THE WITNESS: Because the -- the value
21 contained within the registers represents different
22 channel models.

1 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does it require a data
2 structure that stores the --

3 (Stenographer clarification.)

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- representation
5 information?

6 A. What is "it"?

7 Q. "It" means the model -- the term you used,
8 the model-based register organization.

9 Does this term require a data structure
10 that stores, like, information regarding which
11 register represents which channel model?

12 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

13 THE WITNESS: I've not expressed that
14 opinion.

15 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So how would a POSITA know
16 that the value contained within the registers
17 represents different channel models?

18 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

19 THE WITNESS: As we discussed earlier,
20 different equalizer coefficient values represent
21 different channel models.

22 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Please go to Paragraph 77 of

1 your declaration.

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. There, you mention the term called "input
4 characterization."

5 My question is: What does that term mean?

6 A. So Berke -- Berke's whitelist is based on
7 experientially observed performance and just
8 remembers what has occurred in the past, as opposed
9 to determining a particular channel model and
10 obtaining coefficients for that channel model.

11 Q. I understand that's a -- that's opinion on
12 Berke; what Berke teaches.

13 But my question is: What -- what does
14 "input characterization" mean?

15 (Stenographer clarification.)

16 MR. QIU: That's correct.

17 THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 So Berke is trying something and observing
19 the output, and the claim calls for characterizing
20 what a channel -- potential channel model is as an
21 input. So Berke -- as a result, the claim calls for
22 actually a priori looking at different channel

1 models.

2 So the concrete example, a particular wire
3 that is a certain length, certain gauge, a certain
4 metal composition, will have a particular frequency
5 response and free space. That is mathematically
6 determined.

7 A set of coefficients for a pre-equalizer
8 can be a priori determined for that cable at a
9 certain operating temperature.

10 Q. (By Mr Qiu) So the --

11 (Stenographer clarification.)

12 MR. QIU: Sorry. It's my accent.

13 THE WITNESS: The metal composition.

14 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Yeah.

15 Regarding the properties like the wire's
16 length, gauge, and metal composition, are those
17 properties that are known during the design and
18 manufacturing of the channel?

19 A. Of a cable? Could be.

20 Q. Sure.

21 A. Could be. If it's a wired cable.

22 Q. And you also mentioned the operating

1 temperature. Is that something only known during
2 operation of the cable?

3 A. Well, engineeringwise, there would be a set
4 of specs for operating environment.

5 Q. Oh. So, basically, during design, the
6 engineers can specify the -- a range of operating
7 temperature for the cable; is that correct?

8 A. Correct. That's just a concrete example.

9 Q. Is it your opinion that Claim 1 requires a
10 process where one must first determine an -- let me
11 strike that question. Sorry.

12 Are the ones you mentioned earlier, are
13 they the only input characterization, or are there
14 other input characterizations?

15 A. You mean are there other parameters that
16 affect the channel model? Is that what you --

17 Q. No. I mean, I'm specifically asking for
18 the meaning of your input characterization term.

19 A. Oh. It's what we're talking about. So
20 given I'm -- given that you're building a two-meter
21 cable with particular gauge of wire with a
22 particular -- with a particular wrap pattern for the

1 wire with a particular set of insulation with
2 particular impedance setting, et cetera, that -- you
3 can mathematically characterize what you think the
4 channel model should be as an initial value, and
5 then you can adapt from there based on training.

6 That's -- that's fundamentally what the
7 claim is talking about.

8 Q. Are properties like insulation and the
9 impedance also things that are specified and known
10 when a wire is designed?

11 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

12 THE WITNESS: Specified as known? Yes,
13 they can be.

14 Again, we're just -- we're talking about a
15 concrete example. There are other examples here.

16 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Can you think of other
17 characterizations that would fall under input
18 characterization?

19 A. Well, if we go on to the dependent Claim 2,
20 we're talking about a chip-to-model channel. So in
21 that case, it would be the type of printed circuit
22 board, the -- the trace characterization, the

1 connector pins characterization, the -- the wire
2 from the plug to the actual transmitter. Those
3 sorts of details would all affect the channel model.
4 In other words, the physical -- the physical
5 parameters of how that wave is going to be
6 transmitted and received.

7 Q. Are -- are these physical parameters all
8 known at the time the hardware that provides the
9 channel is designed or -- I don't know,
10 manufactured?

11 A. Some are --

12 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Objection to
13 form.

14 THE WITNESS: Some are; some aren't.

15 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Can you please tell me which
16 ones are knowable -- are known at the design stage
17 and which are not known at the design stage.

18 A. Well, off the top of my head, I don't think
19 I can give you a comprehensive list. But, in
20 general, the -- the distance of the wire, the
21 characteristics of the connectors, the composition
22 of the wire, the gauge of the wire, the -- the

1 frequency that the cable is intended to convey.

2 These are all aspects that are known as you
3 build the cable or design the cable. The operating
4 temperature, you can specify range, like we
5 discussed, but the actual operating temperature of
6 course will change.

7 The relationship with the cable to other
8 cables for capacitive injection of energy into the
9 cable, the injection of noise from other elements of
10 the printed circuit board, the -- if you're plugging
11 the cable into multiple different types of hosts,
12 the actual design of the host electronics will
13 change its layout, its composition, et cetera.

14 Q. Okay.

15 So the -- the categories that you mentioned
16 later, such as temperature and testing the injection
17 of energy and injection of noise, those are things
18 that are only known during operation of the cable;
19 is that correct?

20 A. In my example, yes.

21 Q. Is it your opinion that Claim 1 requires
22 one to first characterize all of those features of a

1 channel and then select a set of pre-equalizer
2 coefficients?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Is there any of these characterizations
5 that must be determined before one selects a set of
6 pre-equalizer coefficients under Claim 1?

7 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

8 THE WITNESS: No.

9 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) In that case, isn't it true
10 that Claim 1 does not require one to first determine
11 any characterization before selecting the
12 pre-equalizer coefficients?

13 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

14 THE WITNESS: Claim 1 doesn't require
15 characterization of the channel.

16 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Please go to Paragraph 78 of
17 your declaration.

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. It mentions a term "discrete channel
20 characteristics"; is that correct?

21 A. It does.

22 Q. How do you know when channel

1 characteristics are discrete or not?

2 A. I guess you could put -- instead of
3 "discrete," you could put particular channel
4 characteristics in that sentence.

5 "Discrete" here just means that they're
6 identifiable characteristics causing the creation of
7 the channel model. For example, linked to the
8 cable.

9 So Berke -- Berke has no concept of how
10 long the cable is, for example, a priori. Berke
11 just gains experiential knowledge based on his
12 testing.

13 Q. You talked about physical properties of the
14 channel and the environment. What about electrical
15 properties of the channel such as, like, insertion
16 loss and the frequency response? Are these discrete
17 channel characteristics?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. What are these properties -- sorry. That's
20 a bad question. Scratch that.

21 Are these properties knowable during the
22 design of a channel or only during operation of the

1 channel?

2 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

3 THE WITNESS: Let's take them one at a
4 time.

5 Insertion loss depends on the environment
6 that the cable's been placed in. So that's not
7 knowable at manufacturing time. But there are
8 ranges of insertion loss for particularly --
9 particular impedance-matching designs. So there's
10 a -- there's a compromise value there.

11 And your other one was frequency response?

12 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) That's correct.

13 A. Frequency response -- the frequency
14 response is the channel model. So not sure I
15 understand what your question is there.

16 Q. So what other electrical properties are
17 assessed and known during design of a channel?

18 A. Frequency of operation, the insulator,
19 electrical characteristics, the physical -- the
20 physical cable electrical characteristics in terms
21 of susceptibility to outside noise, voltage range;
22 things like that.

1 Q. And are there electrical properties that
2 are knowable -- knowable only during operation of
3 the channel?

4 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

5 THE WITNESS: Yes.

6 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Can you give me a few
7 examples.

8 A. We talked about energy injection from
9 capacitive coupling; reflection coefficients, near
10 end and far end; the insertion loss. Those are all
11 particular electrical characteristics of the actual
12 operating environment that the cable finds itself
13 in.

14 Q. How about package loss?

15 A. Packet loss?

16 Q. Package loss.

17 A. Package loss? Please clarify.

18 Q. So my understanding of package loss is a --
19 a signal loss caused by the packaging of the
20 cable --

21 A. Oh. Well, that's what we've been talking
22 about -- sorry. That's what we've been talking

1 about. The -- the size of the insulators, the
2 creation of the -- the number of twists per linear
3 foot of the cable, the composition of the -- the
4 connectors, the pin composition; those sorts of
5 things are all characteristics of the physical build
6 of the cable.

7 Q. And those -- those features were known at
8 the design of the cable, I would assume; is that
9 right?

10 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

11 THE WITNESS: They're intended to be, yeah.
12 Um-hum. So you can -- given -- given the design,
13 you can calculate what you think the channel model
14 should be. You don't have to experimentally
15 determine it like Berke does.

16 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is it your opinion that
17 Claim 1 excludes experimentally determining channel
18 models?

19 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

20 THE WITNESS: I have seen no disclosure in
21 this case that would indicate that is true.

22 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) And then in Paragraph 78, it

1 also mentions a phrase called "discrete model."

2 Does that refer to the mathematical concept
3 of the numbers being discrete or continuous?

4 A. "Discrete" just meaning separate from the
5 others.

6 Q. And "separate" here means different?

7 A. Yes. In Claim 1, there has to be different
8 channel model -- there have to be registers
9 corresponding to different channel models.

10 Q. And Paragraph 78 also mentions "define,
11 characterize, or index to discrete models."

12 What does "defining a discrete model" mean?

13 A. So like we talked about, as you design the
14 cable, you can -- you can create a channel model
15 based on your design parameters. So it's defining a
16 channel model.

17 Q. Is it your opinion that Claim 1 requires a
18 step of defining a channel model?

19 A. I've not expressed that opinion.

20 Q. Sitting here, do you have an opinion on
21 that topic?

22 A. Claim 1 requires that there be an initial

1 set of pre-equalizer coefficient values. That
2 initial set has to correspond to different channel
3 models, so that that is -- as opposed to Berke's
4 method of experimentally determining the
5 pre-equalizer coefficient values.

6 Q. What does "characterizing a channel model"
7 mean?

8 A. "Characterize" can be either mathematically
9 characterize or characterize a test, a manufacturing
10 test.

11 Q. And how -- how does characterizing by
12 manufacture test work?

13 A. There's a test jig that establishes a known
14 environment for the cable, and a set of training
15 capabilities is performed, the results of which are
16 modeled to a channel model.

17 The results of that channel model would be
18 inserted into one of the multiple registers of
19 Claim 1.

20 Q. What does the "results of the channel
21 model" mean?

22 A. The coefficient values for the particular

1 architecture you're using for the pre-equalizer.

2 Q. So is it correct for me to understand that
3 a channel model can be characterized either
4 mathematically or using tests?

5 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

6 THE WITNESS: A channel model is a
7 mathematical model. So you would create the
8 mathematical model based on -- based on design
9 criteria.

10 You could also create the mathematical
11 model based on experiential tests.

12 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What does "indexing to
13 channel models" mean?

14 A. In Berke, there's no concept of an index to
15 a discrete channel model. It's just -- Berke just
16 remembers "I did this before and it had this result,
17 so I'm going to" -- "going to save that result."

18 Q. Thank you for your opinion on Berke, but
19 I'm more interested in what you mean by "indexing to
20 channel models."

21 How -- how would a POSITA tell that there
22 is an index to channel models?

1 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

2 THE WITNESS: To discrete models?

3 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Sure.

4 A. They would -- they would see a structure to
5 allow an index to specify different channel models.

6 Q. Is that a data structure stored in memory?

7 A. Could be. There could be other ways to do
8 that.

9 Q. What are other ways that you can think of?

10 A. Physical registers, rotary switches,
11 resistors and capacitors. Lots of -- lots of ways
12 to do discrete logic.

13 Q. Is it your opinion that Claim 1 requires a
14 data structure representing an index that is saved
15 somewhere?

16 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

17 THE WITNESS: My opinion is that Claim 1
18 requires multiple registers and the ability to
19 select those multiple registers.

20 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) That doesn't quite answer my
21 question. Let me try again.

22 Is it your opinion that Claim 1 requires a

1 data structure representing an index to that --
2 strike that.

3 Is it your opinion that Claim 1 requires a
4 data structure index to discrete models that is
5 stored in some storage medium?

6 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

7 THE WITNESS: I don't see that in the
8 claim.

9 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) And then please go to
10 Paragraph 79.

11 That paragraph mentions a term, "predefined
12 channel representation."

13 Do you see that term?

14 A. I do.

15 Q. What is a channel representation?

16 A. Channel representation is a set of
17 pre-equalizer coefficients that are representative
18 of the channel model.

19 Q. How -- what does "predefined" mean in this
20 term?

21 A. In the claim?

22 Q. Not in the claim; in -- in your

1 declaration, Paragraph 79, the term "predefined."

2 A. As we discussed before, the input-based
3 creation of the equalizer -- the pre-equalizer
4 coefficient values, as opposed to experimentally
5 determined pre-equalizer values.

6 Q. Does predefined require certain time?

7 A. Before now.

8 Q. Is it correct for me to understand that
9 "predefined channel representation" means
10 pre-equalizer coefficients that are derived based on
11 characteristics of the channel?

12 (Stenographer clarification.)

13 MR. QIU: Predefined channel
14 representation.

15 MR. TIPESCU: Objection -- I'm sorry. Go
16 ahead.

17 (Stenographer clarification.)

18 (Record read.)

19 THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Paragraph 79 of your
21 declaration includes a phrase -- oh, actually, it's
22 a sentence, that, "The organizing principle in Berke

1 is output quality" --

2 (Stenographer clarification.)

3 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- "(robust eye performance),
4 not an input model of the channel"; is that correct?

5 A. You read that correctly.

6 Q. How does Berke organize coefficients based
7 on output quality?

8 A. Don't recall.

9 Q. So you concluded that the organizing
10 principle in Berke is output quality, but you do not
11 know how it organizes coefficients based on output
12 quality; is that correct?

13 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

14 THE WITNESS: No. You're importing
15 structural requirements into the word "organizing."

16 So the basic principle in Berke is output
17 quality, not an input model of the channel.

18 Organizing means -- that doesn't mean
19 structurally -- creating structure.

20 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) How do you tell what the
21 organizing principle is in Berke?

22 A. Read Berke and understand what he's talking

1 about.

2 Q. How -- how would a POSITA know that the
3 organizing principle is an input model of the
4 channel?

5 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

6 THE WITNESS: Because the pre-equalizer
7 coefficients would be designed to model different
8 channels.

9 And as I say in Paragraph 79, there's no
10 disclosure in Berke that each whitelisted set of
11 coefficients is organized around, indexed by, or
12 defined to match a defined model of the channel or
13 its characteristics as alleged by the petition.

14 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) How do you tell if a set of
15 coefficients are indexed based on models of the
16 channel?

17 A. In the claim, it's that each of the
18 registers corresponds to a different channel model.

19 Q. I understand your reading of the claim, but
20 my question is: How would a POSITA know that a
21 certain system have sets of coefficients indexed
22 based on models of the channel?

1 A. They would look at the register contents
2 and observe that those registered contents relate to
3 pre-equalizer coefficients that are corresponding to
4 different channel models.

5 Q. What are the -- what is the registered
6 contents?

7 A. The pre-equalizer coefficient values.

8 Q. By looking at the pre-equalizer coefficient
9 values, how does a POSITA know that -- whether they
10 are indexed or not indexed to different channel
11 models?

12 A. If they are different, they are indexed to
13 different channel models.

14 Q. Please go to Paragraph 80 of your
15 declaration.

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. There, you mention a term called
18 "model-based selection."

19 Do you see that term?

20 A. I do.

21 Q. What does "model-based selection" mean?

22 A. As I say in the declaration, "The claims

1 imply a model-based selection where the system
2 identifies or assumes a channel model and selects
3 the register that corresponds to it. Berke provides
4 no mechanism for identifying a channel model or
5 mapping an identified model to a particular
6 register."

7 Q. How does a system identify a channel model?
8 Is that by certain software programs?

9 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

10 THE WITNESS: That's outside the scope of
11 the claim. Don't understand why you're asking that
12 question.

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) I ask that because you
14 mentioned it, and I'm a little confused about what
15 you mean by "the system identifies or assume a
16 channel model."

17 I think you represent that as a limit -- a
18 requirement by Claim 1. So I want to understand
19 what you mean by that term.

20 A. I don't import that limitation. So let's
21 talk about a specific case. Maybe that will clarify
22 things.

1 This is just an example. If I plug a
2 1-meter cable into a host, that host is capable
3 of -- and the cable are capable of understanding
4 that the cable is a 1-meter cable. And the channel
5 models corresponding to a 1-meter cable can be
6 prestored in the cable for communication between the
7 host and the cable.

8 So that intelligence, that algorithm that
9 you're looking for is not part of the claim, but
10 that's an example of how a POSITA would -- would use
11 it.

12 Q. So model-based selection would mean -- I
13 don't want to put words in your mouth, but my
14 understanding is that you used model-based selection
15 to mean that the selection of a set of pre-equalizer
16 coefficients is based on knowledge about certain
17 characteristics of a model. Is that a --

18 A. Yes. Yes, that's what I mean by
19 input-based rather than output-based.

20 So Berke just tries whatever he can and --
21 and captures whatever the result was, rather than
22 looking at the mathematics of the situation.

1 Q. Is it -- is it your opinion that Claim 1
2 requires model-based selection?

3 A. As opposed to experimental-based selection,
4 yes; that's my -- that's one of the distinctions
5 with Berke and the -- and the '111 patent.

6 Q. Is it your understanding that Claim 1
7 excludes trying multiple sites of pre-equalizer
8 coefficients and taking the best from them by
9 experimental measurements?

10 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

11 THE WITNESS: In situ?

12 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) I didn't hear.

13 A. In situ?

14 Q. In situ?

15 A. In place. Operating.

16 Q. Sure. Sure. Let's say in situ.

17 A. Can I have a clean question.

18 (Stenographer clarification.)

19 MR. QIU: Maybe I should rephrase it.

20 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is it your opinion that
21 Claim 1 excludes the approach of running and testing
22 multiple sets of pre-equalizer coefficients in situ

1 and selecting one of them based on experimental
2 measurements?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Please go to Paragraph 153 of your
5 declaration.

6 A. I'm there.

7 Q. One of the sentence near the end is that,
8 "One could simply select the preset that corresponds
9 to the current channel model which would be much
10 more efficient."

11 Do you see that sentence?

12 A. I do.

13 Q. What does the term "one" refer to?

14 A. A POSITA.

15 Q. What does the phrase "the current channel
16 model" mean?

17 A. The -- the model corresponding to the
18 environment that the cable is currently being
19 deployed in.

20 Q. So in that sentence, are you assuming that
21 there is already a preset that perfectly matches
22 this model?

1 A. Doesn't have to be perfect.

2 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

3 THE WITNESS: That's why you update in the
4 claim.

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) And how do you -- how would a
6 POSITA select the preset that corresponds to the
7 current channel model in that scenario?

8 A. Well, as we discussed before, knowledge
9 that you're dealing with a 1-meter cable and you're
10 plugging into a Dell server, for example, that gives
11 you a particular set of information about what the
12 channel model is.

13 Q. So is it correct to understand that you can
14 pick the corresponding preset by determining certain
15 characteristics of the current channel and use them
16 to pick the preset?

17 A. Certain --

18 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

19 THE WITNESS: Certain characteristics of
20 the current environment, not the channel.

21 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Right.

22 A. So all that intelligence is outside of the

1 claim; need the algorithm to figure that out.

2 Q. Please go to Paragraph 75 of your
3 declaration. There, you mention the phrase called
4 "general background awareness of channel
5 conditions" --

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. -- is that right?

8 What does "general background awareness of
9 channel conditions" mean?

10 A. Answering your question, "Is that right?"
11 yes, those words are in that.

12 Q. Sorry. I should wait for you to read it.

13 A. Yeah. What does that mean?

14 Q. Um-hum.

15 (Stenographer clarification.)

16 THE WITNESS: Please ask another question.

17 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Sure, sure.

18 What does "general background awareness of
19 channel conditions" mean?

20 A. In other words, the general understanding
21 of the temperature, the operation, the noise
22 environment, the electrical environment; that sort

1 of thing.

2 Q. Please go to Paragraph 82 of your
3 declaration.

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. There's a sentence -- it's the second
6 sentence, "But awareness that channel
7 characteristics influence equalization is
8 fundamentally different from defining discrete
9 channel models and indexing equalization coefficient
10 registers to those models." Do you see that
11 sentence?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. What is the difference between background
14 awareness and channel models?

15 A. So in one case, you're -- you're aware of
16 the characteristics of the channel; in the other
17 case, you have a model based on the mathematics of
18 the situation that is defining the pre-equalization
19 coefficients.

20 Q. If you see a system with multiple sets of
21 pre-equalizer coefficients stored, how do you tell
22 those coefficients are generated based on background

1 knowledge of the -- based on background awareness of
2 channel conditions or whether they are created based
3 on channel models?

4 A. Well, as we talked about before, any set of
5 register values would relate to a channel model, but
6 the initial pre-equalizer coefficient values that -
7 are corresponding to different channel models are -
8 different than initial pre-equalizer coefficient -
9 values that were just randomly determined. -

10 Q. Is the key requirement the -- the procedure -
11 to determine the pre-equalizer coefficient values? -

12 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form. -

13 THE WITNESS: I have not expressed that -
14 opinion. -

15 (Stenographer clarification.) -

16 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does Claim 1 require deriving -
17 a mathematical model for a channel? -

18 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form. -

19 THE WITNESS: Deriving -- as I testified, -
20 the channel model is a mathematical model, and that -
21 relationship between the pre-equalizer -- the -
22 pre-equalizer values that are contained with the -

1 multiple registers initially and the channel models -

2 must be present. -

3 MR. QIU: Okay. -

4 I think we can take a break. -

5 THE STENOGRAPHER: We're off the record. -

6 The time is 2:02 p.m. -

7 (Recess taken from 2:02 p.m. to 2:17 p.m.)

8 THE STENOGRAPHER: We're back on the

9 record. The time is 2:17 p.m.

10 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Dr. Williams, can you please

11 go to Exhibit 1004, which is Berke, and

12 Paragraph 13.

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. There's a sentence -- the first sentence of

15 this paragraph, it says, "Serial channel 100

16 operates to provide back-channel adaptation where

17 transmitter 110 and the receiver 130 communicate

18 with each other to optimize and adjust various

19 compensation values within the transmitter and the

20 receiver to compensate for the insertion loss and

21 other signal degradation of transmission

22 channel 120."

1 Is that an accurate read of that sentence?

2 A. You read that correctly.

3 Q. So a POSITA reading this, how would a
4 POSITA understand the nature of the communication
5 between the transmitter and the receiver in this
6 mechanism?

7 A. The mechanism of the communication --

8 Q. Um-hum.

9 A. -- is not specified.

10 Q. Would a POSITA have a general understanding
11 of back-channel adaptation?

12 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

13 THE WITNESS: Well, not that term
14 specifically, but they would have an understanding
15 of providing a back channel to convey information
16 between the two ends of the channel.

17 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Was it a common approach for
18 the receiver to send instructions to the transmitter
19 requesting the transmitter to modify its
20 equalization coefficients?

21 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

22 THE WITNESS: At what point in time? In

1 what technology?

2 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) In serial communication, and
3 in the 2017/2018 time frame.

4 A. Don't recall.

5 Q. Does a back channel allow the receiver to
6 directly modify the coefficients used by the
7 transmitter?

8 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

9 THE WITNESS: Depends on the
10 characteristics of the back channel and the
11 algorithms, which aren't specified.

12 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Are you able to give me an
13 example as to how the transmitter and the receiver
14 can communicate with each other to optimize and
15 adjust compensation values?

16 (Stenographer clarification.)

17 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

18 MR. QIU: Compensation values.

19 THE WITNESS: You want me to invent a
20 methodology? I don't understand the question.

21 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) No, I'm not asking you to
22 invent a methodology.

1 But let me ask this way: Do you know of
2 any method where the transmitter and the receiver
3 can communicate with each other to optimize and
4 adjust various compensation values that was known
5 before 2018?

6 A. In the field of adaptive cables?

7 Q. Sure.

8 A. Not that I recall.

9 Q. Please go to Paragraph 19 of Berke.

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Let me see where that is.

12 Please go to -- and it's on Page 3 -- one,
13 two, three -- about 15 lines from the top on the
14 left, there's a sentence starting with "In another
15 embodiment."

16 A. Paragraph what? 19?

17 Q. Yes.

18 A. Page 3 -- oh, Page 3. 15 from the top.
19 "In another embodiment, management module 116"?

20 Q. That's correct, yes.

21 A. Okay. I'm there.

22 Q. That sentence reads, "In another

1 embodiment, management module 116 and" --

2 (Stenographer clarification.)

3 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- "channel management

4 module 142 represent management traffic between

5 transmitter 110 and receiver 130 that is

6 communicated over transmission channel 120 and an

7 additional transmission channel from receiver 130 to

8 transmitter 110."

9 A. You read that correctly.

10 Q. What do you understand "management traffic"

11 to mean?

12 A. Well, typically, in the -- in a

13 communication -- in all communication environments,

14 there's two types of information: One is user

15 information; the other is structural management

16 overhead information, control information.

17 That's -- it's talking about nonuser

18 information.

19 Q. Why does there need to be management

20 traffic?

21 A. In what?

22 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

1 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) In the system. Why does
2 there need to be management traffic in the system of
3 Berke?

4 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

5 THE WITNESS: In the system of Berke, there
6 has to be a way of communicating the decisions of
7 the receiver back to the transmitter.

8 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What is that decision?

9 A. Don't recall.

10 Q. Please go to Paragraph 33 of Berke.

11 Can you please review this paragraph for
12 yourself and let me know when you finish.

13 A. I've read it.

14 Q. Is the control logic module 140 located at
15 the transmitter or the receiver?

16 A. Figure 1 shows element 140 in the receiver.

17 Q. The paragraph also -- also mentions
18 equalization coefficient whitelist 118 and 144.
19 Where are they located?

20 A. Figure 1 shows element 118 in the
21 transmitter, and Figure 1 shows element 144 in the
22 receiver.

1 Q. And let's go back to Paragraph 33, the last
2 sentence in that paragraph.

3 It states, "If the performance of serial
4 channel 100 falls below the desired performance
5 level, then control logic module 140 selects a
6 second wireless set of equalization coefficients
7 from equalization coefficient whitelist 118 and
8 144."

9 Is that an accurate read of that sentence?

10 A. It is.

11 Q. After the control module -- control logic
12 module selects -- strike that question.

13 How does the control logic module select
14 whitelist set of equalization coefficients from the
15 whitelist 118 when the control logic module is on
16 the receiver and the whitelist is on the
17 transmitter?

18 A. I don't understand the question. The
19 whitelist is present in both transmitter and
20 receiver.

21 Q. Yeah, I'm -- let me reframe the question.

22 I want to focus on the whitelist 118 on the

1 transmitter.

2 A. Yes. But, presumably, 118 and 144 contain
3 duplicate copies of each other. So these are
4 known -- this is known information at each end of
5 the channel.

6 Q. After the selection of the second whitelist
7 set -- let me -- strike that.

8 Please go to Exhibit 1001. It is the
9 '111 patent.

10 The passage I want you to read is Column 14
11 from Line 12 to Line 56.

12 A. 12 to 56.

13 Q. That's correct. The column is 14.

14 A. Yes. Okay.

15 Q. Is it fair to say that this passage
16 describes a process for selecting initial
17 pre-equalizer coefficients and then adapting the
18 coefficients?

19 A. Yes.

20 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

21 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Please read the sentence at
22 Lines -- Column 14, Lines 47 to 51, starting with

1 "In block 820."

2 A. Yes. Read it out loud?

3 Q. No. Just read to yourself. That's fine.

4 A. Okay.

5 Q. Is it correct to say that the controller is
6 located at the receiver side?

7 A. It is.

8 Q. Please read the sentence at Column 14,
9 Lines 38 to 40, starting with, "Then, in Block 812."

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Which component provides the instruction to
12 the remote transmitter?

13 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

14 THE WITNESS: Which component? You mean
15 which end of the -- of the channel?

16 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) That's fine too.

17 A. The receiver.

18 Q. Here, does the transmitter or the receiver
19 select the best register?

20 A. The decision-maker is the receiver -- is in
21 the receiver.

22 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form on the last

1 question too.

2 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Please read the next sentence
3 at Column 14, Lines 40 to 43 -- let me read it.

4 "The remote transmitter programs the
5 pre-equalizer with the preset coefficients from the
6 selected register and transmits a" --

7 (Stenographer clarification.)

8 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- "training" --

9 THE WITNESS: Pattern.

10 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- "pattern and/or data."

11 A. You read that correctly.

12 Q. In this step, does the remote transmitter
13 select the coefficients in the register for
14 programming the pre-equalizer?

15 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

16 THE WITNESS: It's not clear from that
17 sentence.

18 What is clear is the decision the -- the
19 decision made in the receiver is communicated back
20 to the transmitter, which causes new pre-equalizer
21 coefficients to be established in the training -- in
22 the transmitter and, subsequently, the transmitter

1 transmits the training pattern and/or user data.

2 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Who establishes the new
3 pre-equalizer coefficients in the transmitter?

4 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

5 THE WITNESS: The actual values? Not --
6 it's not clear from this sentence.

7 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Please go to Column 14,
8 Lines 51 to 56.

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. I'll read the sentence.

11 "The adaptation information may be
12 applicable to the CTLE filter, any FFE filter that
13 may be present, and the feedback filter of the DFE
14 (referred to herein as 'local' adaptation
15 information info), as well as to the
16 pre-equalization" --

17 (Stenographer clarification.)

18 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- "filter of the remote node
19 (referred to herein as 'remote' adaptation info)."

20 A. You read that correctly.

21 Q. Which device applies adaptation information
22 to the pre-equalization filter of the remote node?

1 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

2 THE WITNESS: Can I have the question
3 again, please.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Which device applies
5 adaptation information to the pre-equalization
6 filter of the remote node?

7 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

8 THE WITNESS: The transmitter.

9 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Please go to Paragraph 87 of
10 your declaration, which is Exhibit 2017.

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Is it your opinion that Claim 1 requires
13 one transceiver to perform all three steps, [1a],
14 [1b], and [1c]?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Is it your opinion that no other
17 transceiver can participate in this process?

18 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

19 THE WITNESS: The claim calls for a
20 transceiver.

21 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) So it is your opinion that no
22 other transceiver can participate in this process;

1 correct?

2 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

3 THE WITNESS: It's my opinion that the --

4 any transceiver means a singular article

5 transceiver, not a transceiver -- not transmitter

6 and receiver spread across the channel.

7 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does Claim 1 preclude another

8 transceiver from contributing to performing the

9 steps of [1a], [1b], and [1c]?

10 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

11 THE WITNESS: Calls for a legal

12 interpretation. I'm not a lawyer.

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Sitting here, do you have an

14 opinion as to whether Claim 1 precludes another

15 transceiver from contributing to performing these

16 steps?

17 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

19 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What is your opinion?

20 A. That these steps have to be performed

21 within a single transceiver.

22 Q. So your opinion is that Claim 1 does

1 preclude another transceiver from performing Steps

2 [1a], [1b], and [1c]; correct?

3 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

4 THE WITNESS: No. My opinion is that a

5 transceiver has to be -- has to be present for

6 selecting in -- and performing the steps of the

7 method of selecting --

8 (Stenographer clarification.)

9 THE WITNESS: -- for the method comprising

10 selecting, updating, and using.

11 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does Claim 1 preclude another

12 transceiver from being present for performing the

13 steps of selecting, updating, and using?

14 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form. Asked and

15 answered.

16 THE WITNESS: Calls for a legal conclusion.

17 I'm not an attorney.

18 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Sitting here, do you have an

19 opinion regarding whether Claim 1 precludes another

20 transceiver from being present for performing the

21 steps of selecting, updating, and using?

22 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form. Asked and

1 answered.

2 THE WITNESS: I've already answered that
3 question.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What was your answer?

5 A. See the transcript.

6 Q. I don't believe you have answered that
7 question, so -- because you made multiple statements
8 about which of those statements apply to the answer
9 of this question.

10 A. All of them.

11 MR. TIPESCU: Object -- hold on.

12 Objection to form.

13 There's no question pending.

14 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Does Claim 1 require all
15 three steps be exclusively performed by one
16 transceiver?

17 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

19 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Can you please open
20 Exhibit 1001, which is the '111 patent.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Please go to Claim 11, which is on

1 Column 16 of the document.

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. There's a limitation in Claim 11 stating
4 that, "A controller having multiple registers, each
5 of the multiple registers containing a set of
6 initial coefficient values for which the port
7 transceiver determines a performance
8 characteristic"; is that correct?

9 A. You read that correctly.

10 Q. What does "performance characteristic"
11 mean?

12 A. Some figure of merit --

13 MR. TIPESCU: Objection -- object to form.

14 THE WITNESS: Some figure of merit relative
15 to the performance of -- of the circuit.

16 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Can you give me an example of
17 a figure of merit.

18 A. Bit Error Rate.

19 Q. Are there any other examples that you can
20 give?

21 A. Means Squared Error.

22 Q. Anything else?

1 A. Block Error Rate.

2 Q. Anything else?

3 A. Packet Error Rate.

4 Q. Anything else?

5 A. Frame Error Rate.

6 Q. Anything else?

7 A. Can't think of anything else.

8 Q. How are the performance characteristics
9 measured, typically, in the field?

10 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

11 THE WITNESS: Depends which characteristic
12 we're talking about.

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Let's talk about Bit Error
14 Rate. How -- how is the Bit Error Rate measured?

15 A. We discussed that this morning.

16 Q. Are the performance characteristics
17 measured based on signals received by the receiver?

18 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

19 THE WITNESS: Depending on the
20 characteristic, can be.

21 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Which of the characteristics
22 is measured based on signals received by the

1 receiver?

2 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

3 THE WITNESS: Bit Error Rate, Block Error
4 Rate, Packet Error Rate, Frame Error Rate.

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is eye diagram generated
6 based on signals received by the receiver?

7 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

8 THE WITNESS: Generated? It is observed.

9 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is eye diagram observed based
10 on signals received by the receiver?

11 A. Can be.

12 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

13 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is there any performance
14 characteristic that is not measured based on signals
15 received by the receiver?

16 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

17 THE WITNESS: Delivered power,
18 signal-to-noise ratio, doesn't require a receiver.

19 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Anything else?

20 A. Peak-to-average power.

21 Q. Anything else?

22 A. Not that I can think of.

1 Q. Please go to Paragraph 106 of your
2 declaration, which is Exhibit 2017. It's a very
3 long paragraph. I'm looking at the last passage at
4 the bottom of Page 45.

5 A. Okay.

6 Q. There's a sentence in this passage saying,
7 "But Berke performs this evaluation and selection
8 within a single endpoint system where the receiver
9 directly observes the transmitter signal."

10 Do you see that sentence?

11 A. I do.

12 Q. What does this "single endpoint system"
13 term refer to?

14 A. A system with a transmitter and a receiver
15 in different locations. Not a -- not a transceiver.

16 Q. What is the single endpoint in this term?

17 A. A single transmitter, a single receiver.

18 Q. Is Berke's transmitter receiver separated
19 by a channel?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. So I'm confused -- or, actually, strike
22 that.

1 What do you mean by, "The receiver directly
2 observes the transmitter signal"?

3 A. Can I have the question again, please.

4 Q. Sure.

5 What do you mean by, "The receiver directly
6 observes the transmitter signal"?

7 A. In both Berke and Cornelius, the -- the
8 transmitter and the receiver are separated by a
9 channel, and the receiver is directly listening to
10 the transmitter, as opposed to the claim where this
11 is a chip-to-module communications where there's a
12 transceiver that's coupled to the pluggable module
13 transceiver. There's a port transceiver coupled to
14 the pluggable module transceiver.

15 The pluggable module transceiver itself
16 includes the transmitter and the receiver, and the
17 controller is implementing coefficient values for
18 the port transceiver based on information obtained
19 at the pluggable module transceiver. These are
20 different architectures.

21 Q. For the architecture that you mentioned for
22 the claim, is there a channel between the pluggable

1 module transceiver and the port transceiver?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. So what's the difference of that --

4 (Stenographer clarification.)

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- architecture from the
6 architecture of Berke and Cornelius?

7 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

8 THE WITNESS: So the decision-maker in
9 11 is the port transceiver, not a pluggable module
10 transceiver receiver. The intelligence is at the
11 other end of the channel.

12 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) And is it your opinion that
13 for Berke and Cornelius, the decision-maker is in
14 the pluggable module transceiver?

15 A. No. They don't disclose pluggable module
16 transceivers. They just disclose the transmitter
17 and receiver, and their decision-makers are the
18 receivers.

19 Q. Is there any difference between the
20 architecture of the Claim 11 and the architecture of
21 Berke and Cornelius?

22 A. Yes.

1 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

2 Just hold on. I'm sorry. Just give me a
3 chance to object.

4 So objection to form on that one.

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What are -- what are other
6 differences?

7 A. Well, principal one is who is the
8 decision-maker. Also, Berke and Cornelius are not
9 transceivers.

10 Q. Anything else?

11 A. There are other things. I can't think of
12 them right off the top of my head.

13 Q. Can you please go to the bottom of Page 45.

14 There's a sentence, "Under Petitioner's
15 mapping for Claim 11, this process must occur across
16 the chip-to-module boundary. The port transceiver
17 (host receiver) must evaluate coefficient sets
18 stored in the module's controller and select among
19 them."

20 Do you see that sentence?

21 A. I do.

22 Q. How does the port transceiver evaluate

1 coefficient sets stored in the module's controller?

2 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

3 THE WITNESS: There's no restriction in the
4 claim on how it determines that.

5 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Can it -- well, strike that
6 question.

7 A. Sorry. Let me extend --

8 Q. Sorry. Go ahead.

9 A. Let me extend that.

10 Dependent Claims 12, 13 -- yeah, 12 and 13,
11 talk about particular performance characteristics.

12 Q. Does this evaluation allow the port
13 transceiver to observe the signals from the module
14 transceiver and use that to evaluate the coefficient
15 sets at the module transceiver?

16 A. Yes.

17 MR. TIPESCU: Object to form.

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

19 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Please go to Exhibit 1009,
20 which is the Mejia reference.

21 I'm sharing it in the chat box. Please let
22 me know when you get access.

1 A. Have it.

2 Q. Okay.

3 Can you please go to Paragraph 31 of
4 Exhibit 1009.

5 A. I'm sorry. 31?

6 Q. Yeah. 31.

7 A. All right. Okay.

8 I'm there.

9 Q. Can you please read this paragraph to
10 yourself.

11 A. Okay.

12 Q. The last sentence states, "Some embodiments
13 may also recommend the best equalization preset
14 values based on information from the RX (Receive)
15 circuits."

16 Is that an accurate read of that sentence?

17 A. Yes, it is.

18 Q. What does "information from the RX
19 (Receive) circuits" refer to?

20 A. Can I have the question again, please.

21 Q. Sure.

22 What does "information from the RX

1 (Receive) circuits" refer to?

2 A. Sorry. I've lost the paragraph. 31?

3 Q. Yes. It is the last sentence of

4 Paragraph 31.

5 A. I apologize. Can I have the question one
6 more time.

7 Q. Sure.

8 What does -- what does "information from
9 the RX, or receive, circuits" in this sentence refer
10 to?

11 A. Answer: It's unclear.

12 Q. Do you have an opinion as to what this
13 information refers to?

14 A. Not that I haven't expressed.

15 Q. Please go to Paragraph 162 of your
16 declaration.

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Let's see. There's a sentence in this
19 paragraph. I'll read it.

20 "Whatever entity provides the
21 recommendation in Mejia, it clearly is not the
22 RX (Receive) circuits, because Mejia explains that

1 the recommendation may be based on information from
2 the RX (Receive) circuits."

3 Is that a correct reading of that sentence?

4 A. It is.

5 Q. Am I correct to understand that you are
6 saying that Mejia's (Receive) circuit only
7 determines the information used to make the
8 recommendation but does not provide the
9 recommendation itself; is that correct?

10 A. Yes.

11 THE WITNESS: I'd like to take a break.

12 MR. QIU: Okay.

13 Let's take a break then.

14 THE STENOGRAPHER: We're off the record.

15 The time is 3:16 p.m.

16 (Recess taken from 3:16 p.m. to 3:30 p.m.)

17 THE STENOGRAPHER: We're back on the
18 record. The time is 3:30 p.m.

19 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Dr. Williams, can you please
20 go to Paragraph 114 of your declaration.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Can you please read this paragraph to

1 yourself.

2 A. I did.

3 Q. Okay.

4 What does "one-tap-at-a-time" mean in this
5 paragraph?

6 A. Can I have the Ran.

7 (Stenographer clarification.)

8 THE WITNESS: Can I have the Ran reference.

9 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Sure. Sure. You can access
10 Ran reference, which is Exhibit 1006.

11 A. From my files, or are you going to give
12 them to me?

13 Q. Let me see. Just give me a minute. Yeah,
14 let me -- let me upload it. Sorry.

15 A. Can I have the question again, please.

16 Q. The question. What does the term
17 "one-tap-at-a-time" mean?

18 A. The algorithm of Ran is just incrementing
19 and decrementing a value and its exploration of
20 the -- of the equalizer space.

21 Q. So -- so if adaptation mechanism can change
22 more than one tap at a time, it would not be

1 one-tap-at-a-time; is that correct?

2 A. Seems like a tautology.

3 Q. So do you agree with that?

4 A. Is more than one, more than one? Yes.

5 Q. So is it your opinion that Ran's
6 equalization adaptation protocol allows changing, at
7 most, one equalization coefficient at a time; is
8 that right?

9 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

10 THE WITNESS: Can I have the question
11 again, please.

12 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Yes.

13 It is your opinion that Ran's equalization
14 adaptation protocol allows changing, at most, one
15 equalization coefficient at a time; correct?

16 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

17 THE WITNESS: Correct.

18 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) What does the term
19 "comprehensive sweep" mean in the paragraph -- let
20 me give the paragraph number -- in Paragraph 114 of
21 your declaration?

22 A. In other words, changing multiple variables

1 at the same time and then measuring the result and
2 then changing another set of variables and measuring
3 that result.

4 Q. Does it require the training process to
5 never change an individual equalization coefficient
6 at a time?

7 A. You mean -- what is "it"?

8 Q. Does comprehensive sweep require the
9 training process to never change an equalization
10 coefficient at a time?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. It is your opinion that Berke does not
13 allow changing one equalization coefficient at a
14 time; correct?

15 A. It's not disclosed in Berke.

16 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether Berke
17 allows changing one equalization coefficient at a
18 time?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. What is your opinion?

21 A. Berke requires a comprehensive sweep
22 changing multiple parameters simultaneously.

1 Q. Next, please go to Paragraph 113 of your
2 declaration.

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Can you please read this paragraph to
5 yourself.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. This -- this paragraph includes a sentence
8 stating "Berke's system operates within a single
9 endpoint"; is that right?

10 A. Yes. We discussed that before.

11 Q. What is the single endpoint in this
12 sentence?

13 A. It operates in the receiver or in the
14 transmitter, each of which is a single endpoint; not
15 a transceiver.

16 Q. Again, this paragraph includes a
17 sentence -- let me see. Where is it?

18 Is the key difference between Berke and
19 Ran's systems that Ran's communication is not direct
20 but includes a device that facilitates the
21 communication?

22 MR. TIPESCU: Objection to form.

1 THE WITNESS: You could say that.

2 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is there any other difference
3 between the two systems?

4 A. Well, other than what I described in my
5 declaration, not that I can think of.

6 Q. Please go to Paragraph 147 of your
7 declaration.

8 A. Yes. Yes.

9 Q. There, you mention Ran's --
10 (Stenographer clarification.)

11 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- five-step request response
12 selection procedures.

13 A. I do.

14 Q. What are the five steps?

15 A. Those are outlined in Paragraph 116 in my
16 report.

17 Q. Paragraph 116 in your declaration?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. This is a procedure for communicating an
20 adjustment to equalizer settings from a receiver to
21 a transmitter; correct?

22 A. What is "this"?

1 Q. Ran's five-step selection process.

2 A. Can I have the question again.

3 Q. Sure.

4 Ran's five-step request response selection
5 procedures is a procedure for communicating an
6 adjustment to equalizer settings from a receiver to
7 a transmitter; correct?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Does the five steps involve making the
10 decision as to which preset to select?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Does the five steps involve evaluating the
13 performance of different presets of equalization
14 coefficients?

15 A. No.

16 MR. QIU: Can we take a quick break. Also,
17 I'll check whether I have any additional questions
18 for Dr. Williams.

19 THE STENOGRAPHER: We're off the record.
20 The time is 3:52 p.m.

21 (Recess taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:06 p.m.)

22 THE STENOGRAPHER: We're back on the

1 record. The time is 4:06 p.m.

2 MR. QIU: I just have a few more questions
3 for Dr. Williams.

4 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Doctor, have you heard about
5 the term --

6 (Stenographer clarification.)

7 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- "Root Means Square"?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. In what context did you hear about it?

10 A. My education.

11 Q. What is the concept "Root Means Square"
12 used for?

13 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

14 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the
15 question.

16 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) In what context do people
17 calculate Root Means Square?

18 MR. TIPESCU: Objection. Form.

19 THE WITNESS: Voltage, current, power,
20 et cetera. It's just a -- just a formula.

21 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) Is the use of Root Means
22 Square limited to calculating random --

1 (Stenographer clarification.)

2 Q. (By Mr. Qiu) -- jitter?

3 A. No. It's just a -- it's just a formula.

4 MR. QIU: Okay.

5 That's all my questions for Dr. Williams.

6 (Stenographer clarification.)

7 MR. TIPESCU: I have no question -- or

8 questions for Mr. Williams.

9 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.

10 Before we go off the record, Counsel,

11 Mr. Tipescu, would you like to order a copy of

12 today's transcript?

13 MR. TIPESCU: Yes, please.

14 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.

15 Is it okay to go off the record?

16 MR. QIU: Yes.

17 MR. TIPESCU: Yes.

18 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.

19 We are off the record. The time is

20 4:08 p.m.

21 (Whereupon, the deposition of DR. TIM

22 ARTHUR WILLIAMS concluded at 4:08 p.m.)

1 I, HEATHER J. BAUTISTA, CSR No. 11600,

2 Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify:

3 That the foregoing proceedings were taken
4 before me at the time and place therein set forth,
5 at which time the witness declared under penalty of
6 perjury; that the testimony of the witness and all
7 objections made at the time of the examination were
8 recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter
9 transcribed under my direction and supervision;

7 That the foregoing is a full, true, and correct
8 transcript of my shorthand notes so taken and of the
9 testimony so given;

9 () Reading and signing was requested/offered.

10 (XX) Reading and signing not requested/offered.

11 () Reading and signing was waived.

12 I further certify that I am not financially
13 interested in the action, and I am not a relative or
14 employee of any attorney of the parties, nor of any
15 of the parties.

15 I declare under penalty of perjury under the
16 laws of California that the foregoing is true and
17 correct.

18 Dated: July 27, 2026

19

20



21

HEATHER J. BAUTISTA, CSR, CRR, RPR, CLR

22

1 Case: Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. vs Credo Technology Group Ltd.

2 Witness Name: Dr. Tim Williams

3 Deposition Date: 07/21/2026

4

5

6 Please be advised that the transcript in the above

7 referenced matter is now complete and ready for signature.

8 The deponent may come to this office to sign the transcript,

9 a copy may be purchased for the witness to review and sign,

10 or the deponent and/or counsel may waive the option of

11 signing. Please advise us of the option selected.

12 Please forward the errata sheet and the original signed

13 signature page to counsel noticing the deposition, noting the

14 applicable time period allowed for such by the governing

15 Rules of Procedure. If you have any questions, please do

16 not hesitate to call our office at (202)-232-0646.

17

18 Sincerely,

19 Digital Evidence Group

20 Copyright 2026 Digital Evidence Group

21 Copying is forbidden, including electronically, absent

22 express written consent.

1 Digital Evidence Group, L.L.C.
1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812
2 Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 232-0646
3

4 SIGNATURE PAGE

5 Case: Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. vs Credo Technology Group Ltd.

6 Witness Name: Dr. Tim Williams

7 Deposition Date: 07/21/2026

8 I do hereby acknowledge that I have read
9 and examined the foregoing pages
10 of the transcript of my deposition and that:

11 (Check appropriate box):

12 () The same is a true, correct and
13 complete transcription of the answers given by
14 me to the questions therein recorded.

15 () Except for the changes noted in the
16 attached Errata Sheet, the same is a true,
17 correct and complete transcription of the
18 answers given by me to the questions therein
19 recorded.

20 _____
DATE

WITNESS SIGNATURE

21

22 _____
DATE

NOTARY

1 Errata Sheet

2 NAME OF CASE: Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. vs Credo Technology Group Ltd.

3 DATE OF DEPOSITION: 07/21/2026

4 NAME OF WITNESS: Dr. Tim Williams

5 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record.

6 2. To conform to the facts.

7 3. To correct transcription errors.

8 Page ____ Line ____ Reason ____

9 From _____ to _____

10 Page ____ Line ____ Reason ____

11 From _____ to _____

12 Page ____ Line ____ Reason ____

13 From _____ to _____

14 Page ____ Line ____ Reason ____

15 From _____ to _____

16 Page ____ Line ____ Reason ____

17 From _____ to _____

18 Page ____ Line ____ Reason ____

19 From _____ to _____

20

21 _____

22 DR. TIM WILLIAMS

	120:4	114:17	12:48 77:1, 3
0	1001 9:6	118:5, 8	13 114:12
00s 32:17	23:18 77:8	111 8:15	136:10
0s 33:4	121:8	12:15, 20	130 64:17
	128:20	13:2, 6	68:18
1	1004 51:17	23:15 77:9	70:18 71:2
	52:1 63:22	80:11	114:17
1 68:13, 16	68:12	84:12	118:5, 7
70:21	70:21	108:5	14 51:22
77:10	114:11	121:9	52:4
79:1, 2	1005 53:19	128:20	121:10, 13,
80:4, 8, 11,	56:21	112 52:8	22 122:8
21 82:4	61:16	113 143:1	123:3
83:17	62:10	114 52:9, 12	124:7
84:12 88:9	1006 140:10	139:20	140 119:14,
91:21	1009 136:19	141:20	16 120:5
92:6, 10, 14	137:4	116 52:9	142 64:13
96:17	1030 9:6	64:12	118:4
97:7, 17, 22	106 132:1	117:19	144 119:18,
98:19	10:31 50:14,	118:1	21 120:8
100:13, 17,	15	144:15, 17	121:2
22 101:3	10:51 50:15,	11600 5:14	147 144:6
106:18	17	118 52:10	15 117:13,
108:1, 6, 21	11 63:2, 4	119:18, 20	18
113:16	128:22	120:7, 15,	153 109:4
119:16, 20,	129:3	22 121:2	16 63:11
21 125:12	134:9, 20	11:52 76:22	129:1
126:7, 14,	135:15	77:1	162 138:15
22 127:11,	11, 032, 111	12 62:8	19 64:1, 3
19 128:14	8:12	121:11, 12	117:9, 16
1,000 28:16	110 52:7	136:10	1930s 32:11
1- 70:18	64:16	120 114:22	1a 125:13
1-meter	68:17	118:6	126:9
107:2, 4, 5	70:18 71:2	12:45 76:19	
110:9			
100 114:15			

127:2	7	132:4	62:10, 11,
1b 125:14	2:17 114:7,	135:13	12 63:11,
126:9	9	47 121:22	14
127:2		48 11:10, 11	62 57:18
1c 125:14	3	49 57:13	63 61:16
126:9	3 63:13	4:06 145:21	62:12
127:2	64:9	146:1	66 50:20
1s 33:4	117:12, 18	4:08	62:1, 3
	3.3 62:6	147:20, 22	67 61:16
2	30 5:17		62:2, 12
2 89:19	31 137:3, 5,	5	68 52:15
20 63:11	6 138:2, 4	5 55:16	56:10
2017 9:6	33 119:10	56:10	7
10:20	120:1	62:15	
11:10	38 122:9	63:13	70s 32:16
47:15	3:16	50 13:12	75 84:4
52:16 69:1	139:15, 16	56:22	111:2
73:7 84:3	3:30	58:14	77 85:22
125:10	139:16, 18	500 63:12	78 92:16
132:2	3:52	51 121:22	96:22
2017/2018	145:20, 21	124:8	97:10
47:8 116:3		56 121:11,	79 101:10
2018 32:7,	4	12 124:8	102:1, 20
15 34:7		57 57:1	104:9
39:17	4 63:14	58:14	8
40:6, 13	64:11	58 57:17	
41:5, 14	40 13:9, 12		80 105:14
42:1 47:15	122:9	6	80s 32:16
117:5	123:3	6 55:16	812 122:9
2026 5:5	42 57:13	56:22	82 112:2
2027 9:7	43 123:3	57:13, 17	820 122:1
21 5:5	45 11:11	61:15	
2:02 114:6,	76:16, 17		

87	125:9	accurate	8:8	140:21	107:8
		13:11	53:5	141:6,14	111:1
	9	115:1		adapting	140:18
		120:9		61:8	65:1
9	63:2,4	137:16		121:17	algorithms
90s	32:17	achieve			14:4,7
96	69:15	81:22		adaptive	46:17
97	68:22	act	83:19	64:15	116:11
	69:19	active		117:6	alleged
	73:6			addition	104:13
	75:20	47:11,14,		57:17	allowed
98	11:10	16,21			7:16
9:02	5:6	48:5,9,13,		additional	amplitude
9:10	11:4,5	17,22		21:20	22:5
9:20	11:5,7	49:7,8,9,		118:7	amplitudes
		10	63:12	145:17	61:11
		actual	82:8,	adequate	analog
	A	17,22	90:2	13:2	29:22
		91:5,12		adjust	30:1,6,19
a.m.	5:6	95:11		83:10	31:1,3,6,
	11:4,5,7	124:5		114:18	10,11,16,
	50:14,15,			116:15	18
	17	adapt	60:20	117:4	32:5,22
	76:22	89:5		adjustment	33:18
	77:1	adaptation		144:20	42:4
abbreviation		40:18	41:4	145:6	43:8
	34:2	44:19	61:3	affect	analysis
ability		64:15,20		59:9	53:7
	60:19	65:11,20		88:16	54:17
	100:18	66:2,12		90:3	56:6,19,20
accent	87:12	67:7,15,21		affects	analyze
accepting		68:4,10		73:19	56:3,16
	51:11	74:12		agree	analyzed
access	9:19	114:16		34:7	59:22
	10:2	115:11		141:3	60:16
	51:19	124:11,14,		ahead	and/or
	136:22	19,21		11:15	123:10
	140:9	125:5		102:16	124:1
				136:8	announce
				algorithm	10:3
				67:1,7	

Answering	39:11 44:3	96:8	114:8
111:10	45:4, 5	106:15	115:15
apologize	54:14	assumes	116:5, 10
138:5	69:18	106:2	119:7
apostrophe	72:1, 2, 4,	assuming	120:1
11:18	5, 12, 14, 17	109:20	123:19
appearance	73:2, 3	attempt 24:3	139:17
5:22	74:10, 21	81:16	145:22
applicable	99:1	attempted	back-channel
124:12	133:21	55:11	40:18 41:4
application	134:5, 6, 20	attenuate	44:18
23:11	architectures	24:10	74:11
applications	19:11, 18	attorney 6:1	114:16
16:13	36:16, 17	8:4 15:21	115:11
60:22	41:19	16:5	background
applied 42:8	133:20	127:17	111:4, 8, 18
applies	area 13:14	average	112:13, 22
51:10	14:6	27:15	113:1
124:21	arriving	aware 112:15	bad 93:20
125:4	33:4	awareness	base 54:13
apply 15:9	art 10:7, 12	111:4, 8, 18	based 27:17
128:8	27:3 42:1	112:6, 14	36:20 37:5
approach	Arthur 6:11,	113:1	46:9 82:4,
108:21	17 147:22		5 83:10
115:17	article		86:6 89:5
architecture	126:4	B	93:11
17:2, 9, 14,	aspect 20:4	back 11:6	97:15
21 18:5, 16	aspects 20:6	28:21	99:8, 11
19:1, 7, 13,	59:14 91:2	29:16	102:10
20, 22 21:3	assessed	38:2, 13	103:6, 11
25:13	94:17	44:21	104:15, 22
29:17	assignments	45:18 46:7	107:16
36:15	61:12	50:16 73:5	109:1
37:12, 17	assume 7:22	75:20	112:17, 22
		76:17 77:2	113:1, 2
			130:17, 22

131:6, 9, 14	12, 18, 21	30:5, 8, 12	135:16
133:18	93:9, 10	31:4, 6, 18,	box 10:20
137:14	96:15	21 32:13	23:19
139:1	99:14, 15,	36:6 37:19	53:20
basic 15:6	18 102:22	129:18	136:21
103:16	103:6, 10,	130:13, 14	
	16, 21, 22	131:3	break 7:14
basically	104:10		50:11, 12
12:10 28:2	106:3	bits 28:12,	76:14 77:5
41:1 71:7	107:20	15, 16, 18,	114:4
88:5	108:5	20 29:7, 8,	139:11, 13
	114:11	17, 19, 21	145:16
basics 15:1	117:9	30:3, 17, 21	
18:14	119:3, 5, 10	70:6, 7, 8	breaks 7:12,
29:16	132:7		18 20:11
Bautista	133:7	block 20:15	buffer 20:9
5:12	134:6, 13,	27:11	21:1 29:19
begin 6:19	21 135:8	28:8, 12,	
	142:12, 15,	15, 17, 20	build 44:3
beginning	16, 21	32:14 36:6	91:3 96:5
62:2	143:18	68:17, 18	
		122:1, 9	building
Benesch 6:6		130:1	88:20
	Berke's	131:3	
Berke 50:22	53:11		built 17:18
51:8, 10,	54:11, 12	blocks 28:9,	18:2 34:3
14, 18	55:4, 9, 13	14	
52:21	56:4, 11, 16	board 49:19	
54:14, 19	66:17, 18	89:22	
55:21 64:1	67:18	91:10	
65:19	69:16		
66:1, 9, 11	73:18, 22	bottom 132:4	
67:6, 11,	86:6 98:3	135:13	
13, 19	132:18	bound- 15:22	
68:3, 8	143:8		
70:2, 13,		boundaries	
16, 22	bit 27:10	16:6	
71:13, 21	28:3, 4, 5,	boundary	
74:4, 9, 12,	21, 22	15:22	
13 86:6,	29:1, 5, 9	76:1, 3, 9	

87:8, 19, 21	called 31:17	38:19	13 25:7
88:2, 7, 21	75:22 86:3	41:20	26:4, 10,
91:1, 3, 7,	97:1	47:10, 20	16, 19, 22
9, 11, 18	105:17	48:16	27:1 33:18
93:8, 10	111:3	71:21	34:15
94:20	calls 82:4	89:21 92:9	36:1, 3, 9
95:12, 20	86:19, 21	96:21	40:21
96:3, 6, 8	125:19	106:21	41:15
97:14	126:11	112:15, 17	43:19, 21,
98:14	127:16	categories	22 44:21
107:2, 3, 4,	cancel 23:21	91:15	50:1 52:7,
5, 6, 7		caused 75:3,	9 54:11
109:18	candidate	7 95:19	64:13, 14
110:9	83:18		65:10
cable's 94:6	capabilities	causing 93:6	67:14, 20
cable-to-host	54:16	CDR 55:18,	68:4, 9
75:22	98:15	21	73:19
76:3, 9	capable 37:2	Certified	75:3, 5, 7
cables 60:20	107:2, 3	5:13	77:18
91:8 117:6	capacitive	cetera 89:2	78:8, 10, 19
calculate	91:8 95:9	91:13	79:3, 10,
96:13	capacitively	146:20	12, 15, 16,
146:17	25:2	chance 135:3	20, 22 80:3
calculated	capacitors	change 10:15	82:10, 17,
27:13, 17	100:11	36:19	22 83:1, 6,
28:3, 8	capital 51:5	91:6, 13	12, 20
33:21	captures	140:21	84:15, 22
calculating	107:21	142:5, 9	85:11, 17,
146:22	carrier	changing	21 86:9,
calculation	30:21	37:2 83:4	10, 20, 22
28:21	31:3, 13, 15	141:6, 14,	87:18
California	case 5:9	22 142:2,	88:16
5:14	6:4, 7 11:1	13, 17, 22	89:4, 20
call 49:13	12:10, 12	channel	90:3, 9
	37:22	24:4, 6, 8,	92:1, 15,
			19, 22
			93:3, 7, 14,
			15, 17, 22

94:1, 14, 17	134:11	characterizati	chip-to-model
95:3	channel's	on 63:7	89:20
96:13, 17	69:21	86:4, 14	chip-to-module
97:8, 9, 14,	73:10, 16	88:13, 18	49:14, 16
16, 18	channels	89:18, 22	50:1
98:2, 6, 16,	21:17	90:1	133:11
17, 20	46:21	92:11, 15	135:16
99:3, 6, 13,	47:3, 7, 12	characterizati	circuit
15, 20, 22	104:8	ons 88:14	41:8, 16
100:5	channels'	89:17 92:4	42:6, 13,
101:12, 15,	82:8	characterize	16, 18
16, 18	characteristic	89:3 91:22	44:13
102:9, 11,	24:8 26:16	97:11	49:19
13 103:4,	129:8, 10	98:8, 9	58:18
17 104:4,	130:11, 20	characterized	59:14
12, 16, 18,	131:14	99:3	60:15
22 105:4,	characteristic	characterizing	89:21
10, 13	s 18:11	35:5 86:19	91:10
106:2, 4, 7,	26:19	98:6, 11	129:15
16 107:4	78:9, 10, 13	chat 10:19	139:6
109:9, 15	82:9, 17, 21	23:19	circuitry
110:7, 12,	90:21	53:20	57:11 58:1
15, 20	92:20	136:21	circuits
111:4, 9, 19	93:1, 4, 6,	check 145:17	59:10, 15
112:6, 9,	17 94:19,	Chen 56:18	137:15, 19
14, 16	20 95:11	59:6, 18,	138:1, 9, 22
113:2, 3, 5,	96:5	21, 22	139:2
7, 17, 20	102:11	60:7, 13, 17	circular
114:1, 15,	104:13	61:14	42:10
22 115:15,	107:17	Chen's 12:8	cited 12:8,
16 116:5,	110:15, 19	51:12	13
10 118:3,	112:7, 16	53:10	Civil 5:17
6, 7 120:4	116:10	55:22	claim 77:10
121:5	130:8, 16,	56:20	79:1, 2
122:15	21 136:11	chip 48:12	80:4, 8, 11,
126:6			
132:19			
133:9, 22			

21 81:10	23:14	close 26:18	78:18
82:3, 4	24:17 27:9	co-efficient	79:20, 22
83:15, 17	36:12	52:10	80:2, 3
84:12	42:22		82:5 83:4
86:19, 21	46:22 51:2	code 5:20	86:10 87:7
88:9 89:7,	59:11 62:5	28:17, 19	92:2, 6, 12
19 91:21	66:17, 21	coded 28:9	95:9
92:6, 10, 14	77:16	29:19	101:17
96:17	78:16		102:10
97:7, 17, 22	84:7, 9	coding 20:14	103:6, 11
98:19	85:3 86:15	coefficient	104:7, 11,
100:13, 17,	87:11	54:12	15, 21
22 101:3,	102:12, 17	69:17	105:3
8, 21, 22	103:2	71:22	107:16
104:17, 19	108:18	77:15	108:8, 22
106:11, 18	111:15	79:14	112:19, 21,
107:9	113:15	80:6, 13, 22	22 115:20
108:1, 6, 21	116:16	82:3	116:6
110:4	118:2	83:10, 19	120:6, 14
111:1	123:7	85:20	121:17, 18
113:16	124:17	98:1, 5, 22	123:5, 13,
125:12, 19	127:8	102:4	21 124:3
126:7, 14,	134:4	105:7, 8	145:14
22 127:11,	140:7	112:9	
19 128:14,	144:10	113:6, 8, 11	collect
22 129:3	146:6	119:18	28:16
133:10, 22	147:1, 6	120:7	collection
134:20		129:6	28:15 33:4
135:15	clarify 81:5	133:17	43:13
136:4	95:17	135:17	
	106:21	136:1, 14	column 56:22
claims 16:2		141:7, 15	57:13, 17
105:22	clean 9:13	142:5, 10,	61:15
136:10	108:17	13, 17	62:10, 11,
	clear		12, 15
clarification	123:16, 18	coefficients	63:11
10:21 11:2	124:6	25:10, 15,	64:10, 11
12:17 15:3		17 26:8	121:10, 13,
16:7 20:21	clock 55:19	42:19	22 122:8

123:3	145:5	133:11	composes
124:7			23:1
129:1	communication	compare 28:4	
comment	14:8, 9	54:17	composition
51:12	15:10	55:4, 7	87:4, 13, 16
	16:13		90:21
commented	17:3, 9, 10,	compared	91:13
52:20	21, 22 18:6	27:14	96:3, 4
59:17	19:20 20:2	comparison	
60:6, 12	21:22	55:20	comprehensive
	22:6, 7		90:19
commenting	36:18, 20	compensate	141:19
51:11	37:5 38:13	24:4 25:21	142:8, 21
56:19	41:15	26:3 35:16	
	43:19	114:20	comprised
common 16:2,	45:13	compensation	31:13
11 21:16	49:14, 16	22:11	comprising
115:17	50:1 64:14	34:14	127:9
commonly	65:10	114:19	compromise
24:9 25:8	72:20, 22	116:15, 18	94:10
commun-	76:4 107:6	117:4	computation
13:17	115:4, 7	complaint	27:15
	116:2	12:7, 8	
communicate	118:13	complex 14:2	computational
36:9 37:22	143:19, 21	15:1 18:13	14:3
38:2 77:5			computer
114:17	communications	component	8:18
116:14	13:10, 15,	43:10	
117:3	18, 20	44:6, 20	concept
	17:15, 17	45:6 46:10	40:9, 18
communicated	18:17	48:4	93:9 97:2
29:22	19:2, 8, 14	72:16, 19	99:14
118:6	20:5 21:4,	122:11, 14	146:11
123:19	6, 10 22:9,		concluded
	13, 14 34:9	components	103:9
communicating	35:1 39:21	21:7, 11	147:22
64:15	40:6, 13, 22	22:16, 21,	
65:11	41:5 43:6	22 41:7, 16	conclusion
71:16	44:20 45:7	43:14, 17	53:8, 12, 14
119:6		47:16	56:8 59:18
144:19			

74:5	54:6, 9	44:6, 9	134:6, 13,
127:16	constitute	122:5	21 135:8
concrete	69:15	129:4	Cornelius'
87:2 88:8	contained	133:17	52:21
89:15	31:3 84:21	135:18	53:5, 9, 12,
conditions	85:16	136:1	13 54:18
111:5, 9, 19	113:22	controlling	55:4, 7, 13,
113:2	contents	57:8, 19	15 56:9,
conductive	79:9	controls	12, 17 63:6
17:18	105:1, 2, 6	73:19	correct 17:4
configuration	context	converted	23:8 27:22
57:14 60:9	28:14	30:19 31:6	30:5 31:5
configurations	33:12	convey 30:9	49:11
61:7	34:22	91:1	52:22
configure	40:19, 21	115:15	62:13
60:19	43:6 51:4	conveyed	63:16
configured	146:9, 16	64:22	65:16 71:6
61:4, 13	contexts	copies 9:13	73:3 76:1,
confirmed	40:19	121:3	9 79:4
66:18	continuous	copper 49:21	80:6 83:1
confused	20:18 97:3	copy 147:11	86:16
106:14	contributing	Cornelius	88:7, 8
132:21	126:8, 15	11:19	91:19
Congratulation	control	53:17, 19	92:20
s 13:13	44:11	54:9, 10, 16	94:12 99:2
connected	59:8, 9, 14	55:17	102:8
48:18	69:21	56:3, 22	103:4, 12
connector	73:10, 16	59:1 60:3,	110:13
48:18 90:1	74:2, 6, 9,	6, 9, 12, 15,	117:20
connectors	15, 22 75:4	16 61:14,	121:13
49:20	118:16	16 62:22	122:5
90:21 96:4	119:14	63:11, 15,	126:1
considered	120:5, 11,	17, 19	127:2
	13, 15	69:15	129:8
	controller	133:7	139:3, 5, 9
			141:1, 15,
			17 142:14

144:21	count 64:9		11:22
145:7	coupled	D	12:3,6
correct-	133:12,13	data 14:1	13:8 50:20
28:10	coupling	20:9 21:2	52:16 54:6
corrected	95:9	55:19	55:2,20,22
28:13	court 53:22	85:1,9	56:1,7
correction	54:21	100:6,14	69:1 73:6
28:10,19	create 14:19	101:1,4	75:21 84:3
31:22	83:5 97:14	123:10	86:1 92:17
correctly	99:7,10	124:1	102:1,21
51:6 53:6	created	date 5:5	105:15,22
61:2 77:19	113:2	dealing	109:5
79:5 80:7	creates	110:9	111:3
103:5	26:18	decide 10:2	112:3
115:2	creating	decision 9:5	125:10
118:9	103:19	20:22	132:2
123:11	creation	38:19	138:16
124:20	93:6 96:2	39:12	139:20
129:9	102:3	119:8	141:21
correspond	Credo 5:9	123:18,19	143:2
42:7 78:18	6:7	145:10	144:5,7,17
79:14,20,	criteria	decision-maker	decoding
22 80:3	16:1 99:9	38:1,14	31:22
98:2	cross-talk	46:18	decrementing
correspondence	24:16,19	122:20	140:19
83:5	CTLE 124:12	134:8,13	define 25:17
corresponds	current	135:8	97:10
104:18	109:9,15	decision-	defined
106:3	110:7,15,	makers	57:21
109:8	20 146:19	134:17	104:12
110:6	currents	decisions	defining
counsel 5:21	61:11	38:15	97:12,15,
6:19 7:17		119:6	18 112:8,
76:11		declaration	18
147:10		10:14,18	degradation
			25:6

114:21	describe	28:6 31:17	devil's
deliver	13:14	33:7	21:14
70:11	35:12	35:15, 19	DFE 124:13
delivered	38:22	36:2	diagnostics
27:14	57:18	37:18, 19	59:20 60:2
28:5, 12	describes	38:7 46:17	diagram
70:6	121:16	55:8 79:13	32:18, 21
131:17	design 46:21	88:10	33:17, 20
Dell 110:10	47:3 49:22	92:10	131:5, 9
dependent	50:2, 4	96:15	difference
89:19	87:17 88:5	113:11	14:13, 18
136:10	90:16, 17	determined	15:19 16:4
depending	91:3, 12	36:13	19:13
22:10, 13	93:22	87:6, 8	112:13
46:18	94:17	92:5 102:5	134:3, 19
130:19	96:8, 12	113:9	143:18
depends	97:13, 15	determines	144:2
23:11	99:8	35:22 36:1	differences
35:21	designed	129:7	13:19
36:15 45:4	50:6 89:10	136:4	14:14, 19
94:5 116:9	90:9 104:7	139:7	17:2
130:11	designs 94:9	determining	18:16, 22
deployed	desired	30:12	19:7 21:9
109:19	120:4	36:4, 6	135:6
deposition	details	86:9 96:17	differentiable
5:7, 15, 18	21:14 90:3	98:4	84:14
7:8 9:20	detected	110:14	direct
76:11	28:13	device 47:11	143:19
147:21	detection	57:9 68:20	directly
derived	28:10, 18	70:15	14:6 55:4
33:17	31:22	124:21	82:13
102:10	determination	125:4	116:6
deriving	36:8	143:20	132:9
113:16, 19	determine	devices	133:1, 5, 9
		42:19 47:6	disagree
		60:21	

51:8	discussed	duly 6:12	94:16, 19,
disciplines	61:14	duplicate	20 95:1, 11
13:22	69:14	121:3	111:22
disclose	71:13	duration	electronic
134:15, 16	85:19 91:5	27:16	9:4 13:18
disclosed	102:2	duties 5:19	electronics
54:3 59:21	110:8		91:12
60:3, 6, 12,	130:15		
16 61:14	143:10	E	element
74:13	dispersion	earlier	55:7, 21
142:15	15:4 24:7	30:12 81:7	56:4
discloses	distance	83:9 85:19	70:18, 19
66:10	24:11	88:12	82:3
71:22	90:20	easier 33:7	119:16, 20,
disclosure	distinct	education	21
54:10 55:5	33:6	146:10	elements
57:12 66:7	distinctions	effect	52:21
74:7 96:20	108:4	73:10, 16	53:1, 4, 8,
104:10	Doctor 56:11	effects	13, 16
disclosures	146:4	22:11	54:6, 7, 8,
55:4, 13	document	24:4, 5, 13,	18, 19
discrete	9:19 10:3	15 25:1, 5	55:3, 9, 12,
29:8 30:18	129:1	34:15	14 56:9,
42:4 43:9	documentation	35:15, 20	12, 16
92:19	53:11, 12	36:1, 2, 8,	70:17 91:9
93:1, 3, 5,	documents	13 69:21	embodiment
16 97:1, 3,	8:17, 19,	74:1 75:3,	59:12
4, 11, 12	20, 21 9:8,	7	64:12
99:15	10, 13, 15	efficient	67:12 70:2
100:2, 12	10:1 12:8	109:10	117:15, 19
101:4	domain 31:1	elaborate	118:1
112:8	43:3, 5, 8, 9	69:16	embodiments
discrete-in-	doppler	electrical	54:4
time 30:19	22:11, 12	61:11	137:12
discuss 7:17		93:14	end 30:22
			48:11
			60:18, 22

62:1 64:11	entity	13, 17	37:19
95:10	138:20	145:13	129:18, 21
109:7	enumerated	equalizer	130:1, 3, 5,
121:4	54:19	20:19 21:1	13, 14
122:15	environment	25:13, 19	131:3, 4
134:11	24:10 88:4	26:2, 15,	errored
endeavor	93:14 94:5	17, 22	28:11, 12
39:20	95:12	27:4, 6	errors 10:14
endpoint	98:14	32:19	11:9, 22
70:5, 8, 13,	109:18	33:2, 11	28:6
16 71:2, 3	110:20	34:2 38:8	essentially
132:8, 12,	111:22	79:19, 21	25:18
16 143:9,	environments	85:20	established
11, 14	118:13	102:3	83:9
endpoint-to-	equalization	140:20	123:21
endpoint	13:21, 22	144:20	establishes
69:8, 12	14:7, 15, 20	145:6	98:13
71:9, 12, 19	15:9 16:12	equalizer's	124:2
72:2, 3, 12,	24:1, 3	25:21 26:3	establishment
17 73:3	25:10, 14	equalizers	44:17
76:8	26:8 34:4,	37:21	evaluate
endpoints	8 36:20	38:3, 4	135:17, 22
71:6, 16	37:6 41:8,	41:20	136:14
ends 48:10	16 42:6, 7,	equivalent	evaluating
115:16	13, 17 43:5	54:15	145:12
energy 24:20	52:8, 10,	error 11:9,	evaluation
25:2 91:8,	11, 13	21 27:8,	132:7
17 95:8	54:12	10, 11, 13,	136:12
engineeringwis	57:20	16, 21	exact 16:6
e 88:3	112:7, 9	28:1, 3, 8,	EXAMINATION
engineers	115:20	10, 13, 18,	6:20
88:6	119:18	22 30:12	examined
entire 12:20	120:6, 7, 14	31:22	6:12
74:7	137:13	32:13, 14	53:10, 11
	141:6, 7,	33:17, 21	
	13, 15	36:5, 6	
	142:5, 9,		

examples	exist 52:21	67:22	far-end
17:6 18:19	54:18	85:13	24:21
19:6 57:15	55:3,12	97:19	features
89:15 95:7	56:9,12,17	113:13	91:22 96:7
129:19	exists 53:4,	138:14	fed 38:13
excludes	8	extend	Federal 5:17
96:17	expect 81:21	136:7,9	feedback
108:7,21	experience	extent 12:9	20:22 75:5
exclusively	13:9	56:1,13,19	124:13
128:15	experiential	66:7 83:7	feet-forward
executing	93:11	eye 32:18,	34:2 52:8,
82:4	99:11	21 33:17,	11
exhibit 9:6	experientially	20 36:7	FFE 34:2,4,
10:20	86:7	103:3	7,17,19
11:10	experimental	131:5,9	52:8 54:11
12:12	108:9	F	56:4
23:18	109:1	facilitates	124:12
51:17	experimental-	143:20	field 23:13
52:1,16	based 108:3	factor 61:10	39:20
53:19	experimentally	fair 8:1	117:6
56:21	96:14,17	26:7	130:9
61:16	98:4 102:4	121:15	figure 37:20
62:10	expertise	fall 89:17	38:7
63:22	13:15	falls 120:4	68:13,16
68:12,13	explain 24:1	familiar	70:18,21
69:1 70:21	explains	10:7	75:18
73:7 77:8,	138:22	17:13,20	111:1
11 84:3	explanation	34:1,21	119:16,20,
114:11	32:4	40:17	21 129:12,
121:8	exploration	46:20	14,17
125:10	140:19	49:22	Figures
128:20	expressed	Fangzhou 6:2	55:16
132:2	51:16	file 8:22	12:9
136:19			
137:4			
140:10			

filed 9:11	22:1, 8, 19	75:1, 16	131:2, 7,
11:1 12:11	23:5 24:2	77:21	12, 16
files 140:11	25:11, 16	78:3, 20	134:7
filter 34:4	26:5, 11, 20	79:8, 18	135:1, 4
42:1, 3, 8,	27:5, 19	80:1, 15	136:2, 17
20 124:12,	29:15, 22	82:11	141:9, 16
13, 18, 22	30:7 31:8	83:2, 21	143:22
125:6	32:9, 20	84:19	146:13, 18
finally 32:1	33:19	85:12, 18	formed 68:11
finds 95:12	34:10	89:11	forms 13:17
fine 9:22	35:4, 9	90:13	41:21
122:3, 16	36:11, 14,	92:7, 13	formula
finish 69:5	22 37:7,	94:2 95:4	146:20
119:12	10, 15	96:10, 19	147:3
finished	38:20	99:5	found 15:8
61:18, 19	39:9, 22	100:1, 16	22:16 23:4
firm 6:3, 6	40:1, 7, 14	101:6	frame 47:8,
five-step	41:9, 17	103:13	15 116:3
144:11	42:2, 9, 14,	104:5	130:5
145:1, 4	21 43:2,	106:9	131:4
fixed 27:6	12, 20	108:10	Fred 50:9
focus 120:22	44:1, 8, 22	110:2, 18	free 64:6
foot 96:3	45:3, 9, 15	113:12, 18	87:5
form 12:21	46:1, 12	115:12, 21	frequencies
13:3, 16	47:4, 18	116:8, 17	24:10, 12
14:11, 17	48:1, 14, 19	118:22	frequency
15:11, 20	49:2, 12	119:4	15:5 24:7,
16:14	50:3 53:2	121:20	8 25:18, 21
17:5, 11, 16	55:10	122:13, 22	26:3, 22
18:1, 8, 18	58:21	123:15	78:10, 12
19:3, 9, 15,	59:4, 16	124:4	87:4 91:1
21 20:10,	61:9, 10	125:1, 7, 18	93:16
12 21:12	63:5, 18	126:2, 10,	94:11, 13,
	65:18	17 127:3,	18
	67:9, 17, 19	14, 22	
	70:9 71:20	128:12, 17	
	72:9 73:21	129:13	
	74:16	130:10, 18	

frequency-based 27:1	generic 33:13	handling 69:20	hold 128:11 135:2
front 8:18	geographical 39:19	happened 77:6	host 48:18 49:9,10 61:8 76:5
full 6:16 69:20 73:9,15 74:5,9,14, 22 75:15	give 8:10 9:21 10:1 19:12,19 37:4 54:8 57:10 58:19 64:8 75:14 90:19 95:6 116:12 129:16,20 135:2 140:11,13 141:20	hardware 17:2,9,14, 21 18:5,16 19:1,7,10, 13 23:7,11 41:7,15 43:10,13, 17 44:6, 13,20 45:6,21 46:10 90:8	hosts 60:21 61:3,5 91:11 hour 50:10 hypothetical 37:9,12 39:10
<hr/> G <hr/>		head 90:18 135:12	<hr/> I <hr/>
gain 27:1	goal 25:20 26:2 81:22	hear 58:4 108:12 146:9	identical 18:9 23:11
gained 82:6	good 5:4 6:22 7:1 26:8,18 45:14 76:13,14, 15	heard 82:12 146:4	identifiable 93:6
gains 93:11		Heather 5:12	identified 55:12 106:5
gauge 87:3, 16 88:21 90:22	grant 9:5	high-power 62:7	identifies 106:2,15
general 10:4 21:3,19 44:17 73:4 90:20 111:4,8, 18,20 115:10	ground 7:2	high-speed 14:1 34:9, 22 39:21 40:12 43:6 47:7,12 63:9,12, 14,16,21	identify 18:21 19:6 106:7
generally 17:10 20:8	Group 5:9		identifying 106:4
generated 29:1 30:6 112:22 131:5,8	guess 56:15 80:21 93:2	higher 14:2 24:10	impairment 25:22
	<hr/> H <hr/>		
	hand 6:10		
	handled 5:16		

impairments	importing	99:14, 22	124:11, 15,
21:15, 17	103:14	100:5, 14	21 125:5
25:6, 8	impose 65:19	101:1, 4	133:18
26:4, 6	67:6	indexed	137:14, 18,
impedance-	impossible	104:11, 15,	22 138:8,
matching	55:3	21 105:10,	13 139:1, 7
94:9	improve	12	Inherently
impedence	81:16, 18	indexing	79:19
24:21	83:14	99:12, 19	initial
89:2, 9	improving	112:9	77:14
impinging	81:22	individual	80:6, 12
25:3 74:1	include	142:5	81:1 82:2
implement	42:7, 19	induced 25:2	83:7, 18
38:18	47:22	industrial	89:4 97:22
42:1, 4	48:12	15:13, 18	98:2
43:11, 18	55:20 58:2	influence	113:6, 8
46:15, 16	62:3, 7	112:7	121:16
69:16	65:3 74:11	info	129:6
implementation	included	124:15, 19	initially
41:20	22:13	information	114:1
63:20	67:22	30:9	initiates
67:11 70:1	includes	31:13, 15,	38:16
implemented	52:7	16 32:1, 6	initiation
34:17	102:21	45:18, 22	38:6
implementing	133:16	46:7, 11	45:11, 13
44:7 75:9	143:7, 16,	69:20	injection
133:17	20	70:11	91:8, 9, 16,
implements	including	71:14 82:6	17 95:8
38:15	32:13	83:11	input 20:9
42:16	incrementing	85:5, 10	29:19
44:10	140:18	110:11	86:3, 14, 21
imply 106:1	index 39:4,	115:15	88:13, 14,
import	7, 12, 15	118:14, 15,	18 89:17
106:20	97:11	16, 18	103:4, 17
		121:4	104:3

input-based	insulators	26:15	
102:2	96:1	involve	L
107:19	intelligence	36:18	labeled
insert 11:18	45:17	75:10	68:17, 18
inserted	46:6, 14	145:9, 12	lane 61:12
30:17	107:8	involved	lanes 20:12
98:18	110:22	35:8	law 6:3, 6
insertion	134:10	involving	lawyer
93:15	intended	8:11	126:12
94:5, 8	91:1 96:11	IPR2025-01220	layout 91:13
95:10	Inter 8:10	5:10	leading 21:1
114:20	interested		learnings
inside 48:12	99:19	J	14:5
instructed	interface	jack 48:20	led 74:4
46:16	20:16, 18	49:20 76:6	left 64:10
instruction	61:5	jig 98:13	117:14
122:11	interpret	jitter 147:2	legal 15:12,
instruction-	46:14	July 5:5	17, 22
based 44:10,	65:15		126:11
14	interpretation	K	127:16
instructions	15:13, 17	key 113:10	length 87:3,
64:15, 16,	126:12	143:18	16
20, 22	interpreting	kind 61:7	level 120:5
65:3, 7, 11,	42:15	71:17, 18	levels 31:17
20 66:2, 12	invalidity	knowable	32:6, 22
67:8, 15, 21	16:1	90:16	33:5, 6
68:5, 10	invent	93:21 94:7	license 5:14
115:18	116:19, 22	95:2	licensed
instructs	invention	knowledge	5:13
8:4	70:11	93:11	limit 106:17
insulation	inversion	107:16	limitation
89:1, 8	26:19	110:8	
insulator	inverting	113:1	
94:18			

77:13	132:15	make 139:7	Marvell 5:8
106:20			6:4
129:3	logic 100:12	making 145:9	
	119:14		match 26:9
limited	120:5, 11,	management	82:9, 22
59:13 80:8	13, 15	52:9 54:11	104:12
146:22		64:12, 13	
	long 64:5	67:4	matches
linear 96:2	72:22	117:19	109:21
lines 57:13,	93:10	118:1, 3, 4,	materials
17 58:14	132:3	10, 15, 19	12:10
61:16	loss 93:16	119:2	
62:12	94:5, 8	manages	mathematical
63:11	95:10, 14,	44:20	78:8 97:2
64:10	15, 16, 17,	45:6, 10	99:7, 8, 10
117:13	18, 19		113:17, 20
121:22	114:20	manner 80:8	mathematically
122:9	lost 138:2	manufacture	87:5 89:3
123:3	lots 100:11	98:12	98:8 99:4
124:8		manufactured	mathematics
link 34:21	loud 52:5	90:10	107:22
35:3, 6, 8,	122:2		112:17
11 40:5, 12	low-speed	manufacturing	
43:11, 18	63:4, 10	87:18 94:7	matter 5:8
44:7, 19, 21		98:9	
linked 93:7	lower 24:11	map 31:16	meaning
list 90:19	lunch 76:14	mapping	15:19 16:3
	77:1	29:20 32:5	42:12
listening		106:5	88:18 97:4
133:9		135:15	means 27:7,
	M		12, 21, 22
local 124:14	M-O-D-E-S	margin	32:13
located	58:15	33:10, 12	33:16, 20
119:14, 19	machine	margin's	35:5 36:5
122:6	44:10, 14	33:13	61:6 69:14
location	made 39:12	marked 11:1	79:9 85:7
39:19	123:19	markings	93:5 97:6
locations	128:7	9:16	102:9
			103:18
			126:4

129:21	21:14 25:3	metal 87:4,	79:4, 12,
146:7, 11,	29:22	13, 16	15, 16, 20,
17, 21	30:2, 20,	method 36:4	22 80:3
measure	21, 22	98:4 117:2	82:10, 17
32:18	31:11	127:7, 9	83:1, 6
33:11	49:15, 19	methodology	85:7, 11
35:19, 21	medium 101:5	35:12	86:9, 10, 20
36:7	Mejia 136:20	116:20, 22	88:16 89:4
measured	138:21, 22	methods	90:3 93:7
26:14	Mejia's	32:12	94:14
130:9, 14,	139:6	mind 17:7	96:13
17, 22	memory 100:6	18:20	97:1, 8, 12,
131:14	mention 54:5	19:18 20:7	14, 16, 18
measurement	86:3	40:3, 9, 16	98:6, 16,
27:7	105:17	41:6, 19	17, 21
measurements	111:3	44:4 47:9,	99:3, 6, 7,
108:9	144:9	19 48:6, 16	8, 11, 15
109:2	mentioned	minimum	101:18
measuring	15:16	67:13, 20	103:4, 17
75:11	28:22 46:5	68:3, 8	104:3, 7,
83:12	73:9 75:22	minute	12, 18
142:1, 2	87:22	140:13	106:2, 4, 5,
mechanism	88:12	minutes	7, 16
67:2 74:8	91:15	76:16, 17	107:17
83:10	106:14	Mircea 6:5	109:9, 16,
106:4	133:21	mismatch	17, 22
115:6, 7	mentions	24:21	110:7, 12
140:21	84:6 92:19	misspoke	112:17
mechanisms	97:1, 10	71:1	113:5, 17,
28:11	101:11	mode 58:17,	20
media 14:14,	119:17	19	model-based
19 15:5, 6,	merit 37:20	model 77:18,	84:8, 18
7 17:18	38:7 75:18	20 78:2, 4,	85:8
18:10, 11	129:12, 14,	6, 7, 8, 19	105:18, 21
20:16, 18	17		106:1
			107:12, 14
			108:2

modeled	52:8, 9, 12	98:18	96:2
98:16	54:11, 12	100:18, 19	141:20
models 79:11	56:4	108:7, 22	numbers 8:22
84:15, 22	64:12, 13	112:20	97:3
85:17, 21	117:19	114:1	
87:1 96:18	118:1, 4	128:7	<hr/>
97:9, 11	119:14	129:4, 5	o
98:3	120:5, 11,	141:22	<hr/>
99:13, 20,	12, 13, 15	142:22	oath 7:5
22 100:2, 5	133:12, 14,		object
101:4	15, 19	<hr/>	128:11
104:15, 22	134:1, 9,	N	129:13
105:4, 11,	14, 15	named 84:6	135:3
13 107:5	136:13, 15	native 11:10	136:17
112:9, 10,	module's	nature 115:4	objection
14 113:3, 7	135:18	near-end	12:21
114:1	136:1	24:20	13:3, 16
modes 58:7,	monitoring	necessarily	14:11, 17,
9, 15 59:2	60:15	81:20	21 15:11,
modify 80:13	month 13:12	needed 67:14	20 16:14,
115:19	morning 5:4	node	21 17:5,
116:6	6:22 7:1	124:18, 22	11, 16
modifying	130:15	125:6	18:1, 8, 18
80:22 83:3	motivation	noise 91:9,	19:3, 9, 15,
modulated	82:7	17 94:21	21 20:3
29:19	mouth 107:13	111:21	21:12
30:21	move 23:22	nonuser	22:1, 8, 19
31:4, 13, 15	multiple	118:17	23:5, 9
modulating	57:12	notations	24:2
30:6	77:14, 17,	9:15	25:11, 16
modulation	22 78:5	noticing 6:1	26:5, 11, 20
20:14	79:2, 10	number 5:14	27:5, 19
29:20 30:3	80:5 83:18	28:6 39:4,	29:13, 15
61:12	84:1, 13	7, 12, 15	30:7 31:8
module 50:7	91:11		32:9, 20
			33:19
			34:10
			35:4, 9

36:11, 14,	94:2 95:4	74:1, 5, 9,	officer 5:18
22 37:7,	96:10, 19	15, 22	one-
10, 15	99:5	75:3, 7, 15	directional
38:20	100:1, 16	observe	65:15
39:9, 22	101:6	28:11	one-tap-at-a-
40:7, 14	102:15	105:2	time 140:4,
41:9, 17	103:13	136:13	17 141:1
42:2, 9, 14,	104:5	observed	open 8:21
21 43:2, 7,	106:9	86:7	10:2
12, 20	108:10	131:8, 9	128:19
44:1, 8, 15,	110:2, 18	observes	opening 36:7
22 45:3, 9,	113:12, 18	132:9	operates
15 46:1, 12	115:12, 21	133:2, 6	51:3
47:4, 18	116:8, 17	observing	114:16
48:1, 14, 19	118:22	86:18	143:8, 13
49:2, 12	119:4	obtain 31:15	operating
50:3 53:2	121:20	obtained	87:9, 22
55:10	122:13, 22	45:18	88:4, 6
58:21	123:15	83:11	91:3, 5
59:4, 16	124:4	133:18	95:12
61:9 63:5,	125:1, 7, 18	obtaining	108:15
18 65:18	126:2, 10,	86:10	operation
67:9 70:9	17 127:3,	obvious	57:8
71:20 72:9	14, 22	15:9, 13,	58:17, 20
73:21	128:12, 17	14, 17, 18,	59:3 65:2
74:16	129:13	22 16:2	69:8, 12
75:1, 16	130:10, 18	obviousness	71:8, 9, 12,
77:21	131:2, 7,	16:1	19 72:21
78:3, 20	12, 16	occur 135:15	73:2 88:2
79:8, 18	134:7	occurred	91:18
80:1, 15	135:1, 4	86:8	93:22
82:11	136:2	occurs 81:7	94:18 95:2
83:2, 21	141:9, 16	office 5:11	111:21
84:19	143:22		operational
85:12, 18	146:13, 18		57:7, 11,
89:11	observability		
90:12	69:21		
92:7, 13	73:10, 15		

15,18,20	opposed 86:8	output 21:2	56:10
58:1	98:3 102:4	52:7 86:19	57:3,6,7,
operations	108:3	103:1,7,	13 58:12
76:8	133:10	10,11,16	59:8 60:18
opined 59:6	optical-based	output-based	61:1,17,21
opinion 17:8	48:3,5	107:19	62:19
18:4 41:3	optimize	overhead	64:1,3,5,7
51:14	114:18	118:16	68:22
59:22	116:14	owner 6:6	69:3,9,15,
60:1,4,8,	117:3	owner's 9:5	19 73:5,6
14 63:3	order 31:17		75:20
67:17,19	147:11		84:4,6
68:2,8,11	ordinary	P	85:22
72:11,15	10:6,12	P-O-S-I-T-A	92:16
73:18	organization	10:10	96:22
76:7,10	84:10 85:8	p.m. 77:1,3	97:10
85:14	organize	114:6,7,9	101:10,11
86:11 88:9	103:6	139:15,16,	102:1,20
91:21	organized	18 145:20,	104:9
96:16	84:17	21 146:1	105:14
97:17,19,	104:11	147:20,22	109:4
20 99:18	organizes	package	111:2
100:13,17,	103:11	95:14,16,	112:2
22 101:3	organizing	17,18	114:12,15
108:1,20	102:22	packaging	117:9,16
113:14	103:9,15,	95:19	119:10,11,
125:12,16,	18,21	Packet 95:15	17 120:1,2
21 126:3,	104:3	130:3	125:9
14,19,22	original	131:4	132:1,3
127:4,19	27:15 28:4	paper 12:12	137:3,9
134:12	oscilloscope	paragraph	138:2,4,
138:12	31:2	50:20,22	15,19
141:5,13	outlined	51:22	139:20,22
142:12,16,	144:15	52:4,15,	140:5
20		18,20	141:19,20
opinions			143:1,4,7,
67:22			16 144:6,
			15,17

parallel	13:2, 6	120:3, 4	102:21
20:10, 12	23:15, 16	129:7, 10,	109:15
parameter	77:9 80:11	15 130:8,	111:3
57:11	108:5	16 131:13	physical
parameters	121:9	136:11	20:16, 18
57:7, 8, 15,	128:20	145:13	61:10 67:2
18, 19, 20	patents 12:7	performed	90:4, 7
58:1 59:7,	pattern	98:15	93:13
19 60:2, 5,	88:22	126:20	94:19, 20
9, 15 88:15	123:9, 10	128:15	96:5
90:5, 7	124:1	performing	100:10
97:15	PDF 11:11	52:12	pick 38:22
142:22	Peak-to-	126:8, 15	39:7
part 57:20	average	127:1, 6,	110:14, 16
107:9	131:20	12, 20	piece 45:17
Partes 8:11	pending 7:13	performs	46:14
participate	128:13	132:7	pieces 46:6
125:17, 22	people 49:13	period 28:6	pin 96:4
partner	146:16	41:10, 13	pin-outs
44:21	perfect	person 10:6,	61:11
parts 23:1,	110:1	11	pins 61:20
3, 6 42:7	perfectly	petition 9:4	62:18, 21
45:21	109:21	104:13	63:1, 2, 4,
passage 74:4	perform	petitioner	9, 13, 14,
121:10, 15	34:18 53:7	6:3	16, 20 90:1
132:3, 6	55:8	Petitioner's	place 108:15
passed 33:1	125:13	135:14	play 14:14
passing	performance	phase 82:6,	plotting
33:18	26:9, 14, 18	8, 16, 21	33:3
past 86:8	27:3	83:12, 13	plug 48:11,
patent 5:10	32:13, 19	phaser 22:12	12, 13, 17
6:6 8:11,	33:11	phrase 10:8	49:9, 10,
14, 15 9:5	81:16, 19,	73:9, 12	20, 21
12:15, 20	22 83:14	97:1	52:22
	86:7 103:3		

53:5, 9, 14	104:2, 20	105:3, 7, 8	16 123:5
54:18	105:9	107:15	137:13
55:7, 15	107:10	108:7, 22	145:10
56:9 57:11	109:14	112:21	presets
58:1 63:12	110:6	113:6, 8,	145:13
76:5 90:2	115:3, 4, 10	11, 21, 22	prestored
107:1	possibility	121:17	107:6
pluggable	83:18	123:5, 14,	pretty 33:13
50:6	potential	20 124:3	44:6
133:12, 14,	37:16	preclude	previously
15, 19, 22	86:20	126:7	58:6
134:9, 14,	power	127:1, 11	primary
15	131:17, 20	precludes	13:14
plugging	146:19	126:14	principal
91:10	practice	127:19	135:7
110:10	16:11	predefined	principle
plugs 48:10	pre-	101:11, 19	102:22
point 56:6, 8	equalization	102:1, 6, 9,	103:10, 16,
64:6 68:2	20:15	13	21 104:3
70:12	34:13, 14,	preparing	printed
83:20	18 112:18	12:2, 5	49:19
115:22	124:16, 22	present 32:1	89:21
port 129:6	125:5	114:2	91:10
133:13, 18	pre-equalizer	120:19	priori 30:14
134:1, 9	77:14	124:13	35:14 39:3
135:16, 22	78:18	127:5, 12,	86:22 87:8
136:12	80:6, 12, 22	20	93:10
POSITA 10:10	81:17, 19	presentation	priori's
15:8 16:11	82:1, 2	21:1 30:20	37:18
57:22 58:2	83:4, 19	presented	probing
59:2, 3	87:7 92:1,	29:18	83:11
79:13	6, 12 98:1,	41:19	procedure
81:11, 14,	5 99:1	preset	5:17
21 84:16	101:17	109:8, 21	113:10
85:15	102:3, 5, 10	110:6, 14,	
99:21	104:6		

144:19	products	purp- 70:10	31:5 32:3,
145:5	17:18 18:2	purportedly	12 33:10
procedures	professional	51:1, 7	34:1 35:7,
144:12	13:9, 15	purpose	18 36:13,
145:5	programming	41:11	17 37:4, 8,
proceeding	123:14	51:12	13 38:9
9:11	programs	70:10	39:6, 16
proceedings	106:8	81:9, 10,	40:4, 10, 17
8:11	123:4	12, 15	41:13, 22
process	propagates	pursuant	42:6, 12, 18
29:20 30:3	30:2, 21	5:16	43:1, 5, 10,
35:15, 18,	propagating	put 31:2	15, 22
20 38:9, 11	31:11	93:2, 3	44:5, 12, 18
39:16	properties	107:13	45:2, 5, 12,
40:5, 11	26:9		20 46:4, 20
49:22 50:5	87:15, 17	Q	47:2, 6, 21
67:3, 4	89:8		48:4, 17, 21
71:14, 18	93:13, 15,	Qiu 6:2, 21	49:5, 15
74:12, 14,	19, 21	10:22 11:8	50:6, 12, 18
18 75:9,	94:16 95:1	12:18, 19	51:3 53:3,
14, 17 81:4	protocol	13:1, 5, 19	22 54:5, 21
88:10	141:6, 14	14:13, 18	55:6, 14
121:16	provide 8:8	15:8, 16	59:2, 7, 13,
125:17, 22	47:7, 12	16:4, 9, 17	19 61:15
135:15	63:16, 20	17:1, 8, 13,	62:9 63:8,
142:4, 9	67:20 68:3	20 18:4,	22 65:19
145:1	74:14, 21	15, 21	67:13
processing	114:16	19:4, 12, 19	70:13
18:13	139:8	20:1, 6	72:3, 11
21:17, 20	providing	21:5, 20	74:4, 19
22:5	62:4, 8	22:4, 15, 22	75:6, 20
produced	115:15	23:8, 13, 15	76:11, 17,
5:16	proving	24:5 25:5,	20 77:4, 17
47:10, 20	51:10	14, 20	78:2, 6, 17
48:16		26:7, 17	79:1, 13, 21
		27:2, 12, 22	80:4, 19
		29:14 30:4	82:14
			83:17

84:2, 8, 10	13, 19	69:10 70:3	quote 60:19,
85:1, 4, 15,	134:5, 12	74:20	22
22 86:16	135:5	78:22	
87:10, 12,	136:5, 19	80:19	R
14 89:16	139:12, 19	82:12, 18	
90:15	140:9	86:5, 13	R-A-N 11:18
92:9, 16	141:12, 18	88:11	raise 6:9
94:12 95:6	144:2, 11	93:20	Ran 140:6,
96:16, 22	145:16	94:15	8, 10, 18
99:12	146:2, 4, 7,	100:21	Ran's 11:17
100:3, 20	16, 21	104:20	141:5, 13
101:9	147:2, 4, 16	106:12	143:19
102:13, 20	quality	108:17	144:9
103:3, 20	103:1, 7,	111:10, 16	145:1, 4
104:14	10, 12, 17	116:20	random
106:13	quantify	120:12, 18,	146:22
108:12, 19,	27:3 32:12	21 123:1	randomly
20 110:5,	question	125:2	30:16
21 111:17	7:13, 20, 22	128:3, 7, 9,	113:9
113:16	15:15	13 133:3	range 88:6
114:3, 10	16:10, 15,	136:6	91:4 94:21
115:17	18 22:2	137:20	ranges 94:8
116:2, 12,	23:2, 20, 21	138:5	Rate 27:10,
18, 21	26:1, 13	140:15, 16	11 28:3, 8,
118:3	29:4 33:15	141:10	22 30:12
119:1, 8	41:12	145:2	32:14
121:21	42:5, 11	146:15	36:6, 7
122:16	43:14	147:7	37:19
123:2, 8, 10	46:3, 9	questions	129:18
124:2, 7, 18	49:4 53:21	8:3 23:22	130:1, 3, 5,
125:4, 9, 21	54:1, 20, 22	145:17	14 131:3, 4
126:7, 13,	56:15	146:2	ratio 131:18
19 127:11,	62:16	147:5, 8	reach 53:7
18 128:4,	65:22	quick 145:16	reached
14, 19	66:1, 4, 5,	quickly	
129:16	11, 15, 20	24:11	
130:13, 21	67:11, 18		
131:5, 9,			

53:12	reason 8:7	8, 12, 13,	45:22
59:18	recall 12:4,	15, 16	63:13
reaching	16 67:16	68:15, 18,	receiving
53:14	103:8	19 69:20	20:9 46:11
read 16:19	116:4	71:6, 9, 15	75:10
51:6 52:3	117:8	72:20	
53:6 54:2	119:9	73:1, 15,	receptacle
55:1 57:22	receive	18, 22 74:8	48:20, 22
61:2 64:3,	37:17 70:6	75:2, 10, 15	reception
6 69:3	137:14, 19	114:17, 20	63:21
77:19 79:5	138:1, 9, 22	115:5, 18	recess 11:5
80:7	139:2, 6	116:5, 13	50:15
102:18	received	117:2	114:7
103:5, 22	33:1	118:5, 7	139:16
111:12	35:19, 22	119:7, 15,	145:21
115:1, 2	90:6	16, 22	
118:9	130:17, 22	120:16, 20	recites 80:4
119:13	131:6, 10,	122:6, 17,	recommend
120:9	15	18, 20, 21	137:13
121:10, 21	receiver	123:19	recommendation
122:2, 3, 8	20:17	126:6	138:21
123:2, 3, 11	30:10, 15	130:17	139:1, 8, 9
124:10, 20	31:14 33:5	131:1, 6,	
129:9	34:19	10, 15, 18	record 5:5,
137:9, 16	35:14, 16,	132:8, 14,	22 6:16
138:19	19 36:9,	17, 18	7:4 11:3, 7
139:22	18, 21	133:1, 5, 8,	16:19
143:4	37:5, 17, 21	9, 16	50:10, 13,
reading 53:5	38:1, 4, 13,	134:10, 17	17 54:2
69:5	14, 16, 19	135:17	55:1 76:21
104:19	39:3, 11	143:13	77:3
115:3	45:1, 2, 7,	144:20	102:18
139:3	17, 22	145:6	114:5, 9
reads 59:2	46:6, 11,	receivers	139:14, 18
117:22	15, 16, 19	134:18	145:19
realize 64:5	64:17	receives	146:1
	65:1, 2, 4,	38:12	147:10, 15,
			19

recovery	105:2, 5	61:7	101:12, 15,
55:19	registers	remember	16 102:9,
refer 8:14	77:14, 17	56:18	14
80:20 97:2	79:3, 10	remembers	representative
109:13	80:5 84:1,	86:8 99:16	101:17
132:13	13, 17, 21	remote	representing
137:19	85:16 97:8	122:12	6:6 100:14
138:1, 9	98:18	123:4, 12	101:1
reference	100:10, 18,	124:18, 19,	represents
136:20	19 104:18	22 125:6	84:21
140:8, 10	112:10	remove 31:14	85:11, 17
referred	114:1	repeat 16:17	request 7:14
124:14, 19	129:4, 5	54:1, 22	144:11
refers 10:11	registration	58:5 82:13	145:4
70:8	71:22 72:4	rephrase	requesting
138:13	relate 14:6	7:21 16:9	115:19
reflection	55:13	46:4 74:19	require
95:9	79:10	108:19	13:22
reflections	105:2	report 12:8	44:19
24:20, 21	113:5	51:13	80:21
reframe	related 29:9	68:1, 5	85:1, 9
25:22	30:3	144:16	92:10, 14
120:21	relates	reporter	102:6
register	79:11	5:13 16:17	113:16
69:17	relationship	53:22	128:14
79:11 80:5	80:17, 20	54:21	131:18
84:8 85:8,	81:2, 6	represent	142:4, 8
11 105:1	83:5 84:14	6:3 64:13	required
106:3, 6	91:7	65:9 85:20	37:3 67:21
113:5	113:21	106:17	68:4 71:18
122:19	relative	118:4	requirement
123:6, 13	24:11	representation	67:14, 20
registered	37:20	31:18, 21	68:3, 9
54:13	129:14	78:9 85:4	83:15
72:13	relevant		106:18

113:10	result 86:21	139:2	10, 13, 21
requirements	99:16, 17		selection
14:3 65:20	107:21	<hr/> S <hr/>	38:2 54:13
66:2, 12	142:1, 3		69:17
67:6	results	S-E-R-D-E-S	72:1, 5, 13
103:15	75:18	51:4	105:18, 21
requires	98:15, 17,	save 99:17	106:1
14:2 84:12	20	saved 100:14	107:12, 14,
88:9 91:21	retaining	scenario	15 108:2, 3
97:17, 22	5:19	48:8 110:7	121:6
100:13, 18,	review 8:11	scope 106:10	132:7
22 101:3	12:5 52:18	Scratch	144:12
108:2	57:3 61:17	93:20	145:1, 4
125:12	119:11	Section 5:17	selective
142:21	reviewed	select 38:4	15:5
requiring	12:19	80:12 92:1	selects 92:5
18:13	52:19	100:19	106:2
resistors	reviewing	109:8	120:5, 12
100:11	12:15	110:6	self-induced
respect	robust 103:3	120:13	24:19
13:20	Root 146:7,	122:19	Semiconductor
response 9:5	11, 17, 21	123:13	5:8
25:18, 21	rotary	135:18	send 115:18
26:3 55:22	100:10	145:10	sentence
87:5 93:16	rotation	selected	46:2 51:7
94:11, 13,	22:12	123:6	52:3 57:22
14 144:11	Rule 5:17	selecting	58:11
145:4	rules 7:2	77:13	60:19 61:1
responses	running	80:4, 9, 17	64:7, 8, 18,
7:9 15:6	108:21	81:1, 3, 8	21 65:9
responsibiliti	RX 137:14,	83:22	79:7 93:4
es 5:19	18, 22	92:11	102:22
restriction	138:9, 22	109:1	109:7, 11,
136:3		121:16	20 112:5,
		127:6, 7,	6, 11

114:14	12 21:5	136:1, 15	48:21
115:1	34:9, 22	setting	49:10, 16
117:14, 22	39:21	37:20 38:8	61:20
120:2, 9	40:6, 12, 21	89:2	62:18, 21
121:21	41:4, 14	settings	63:15
122:8	43:6, 19	36:20 37:6	69:22
123:2, 17	46:21	59:9 75:19	73:11, 17,
124:6, 10	47:3, 7, 12	144:20	20 74:2, 3
132:6, 10	114:15	145:6	95:19
135:14, 20	116:2	share 53:20	114:21
137:12, 16	120:3	sharing	132:9
138:3, 9, 18	server	51:17	133:2, 6
139:3	110:10	71:14	signal-to-noise 131:18
143:7, 12, 17	set 26:8	136:21	signals
separate	38:3 39:7,	Sheppard 6:3	33:18 49:8
64:13	14 54:15	shift 22:11	63:3, 9, 13,
65:10	57:16	shifts 22:12	14, 16
97:4, 6	78:17	Shorthand	75:11
separated	79:14, 21	5:13	130:17, 22
132:18	80:2 83:8,	show 19:11	131:6, 10,
133:8	18 87:7	shows	14 136:13
sequence	88:3 89:1	119:16, 20,	significant
28:5, 22	92:1, 5	21	17:1
29:1, 5, 7, 9	98:1, 2, 14	side 34:18	similar
30:5, 8, 13,	101:16	49:21 76:4	14:4, 5
16 31:7	104:10, 14	122:6	17:10 18:7
35:13	107:15	side-band	55:8 56:4,
37:18	110:11	64:14	16
Serdes 51:3,	113:4	65:10	simply 29:7
9, 11, 15	120:6, 14	signal 25:6	38:15
serial	121:7	27:18	109:8
17:14, 22	129:5	29:10	simultaneously
18:5, 6, 17	142:2	35:19, 22	142:22
19:1, 8, 14	sets 104:21		single 18:22
20:1, 5, 10,	108:22		69:19
	112:20		
	135:17		

126:21	21:18 70:1	spread 126:6	states 5:10
132:8, 12,	71:14	Square	13:8 79:1,
16, 17	sort 111:22	146:7, 11,	2 120:3
143:8, 11,	sorts 61:12	17, 22	137:12
14	90:3 96:4	squared	stating
singular	Sounds 66:4	27:7, 12,	60:19
126:4	sources 25:3	16, 21 28:1	129:3
sites 108:7	space 17:19	32:13	143:8
sitting 68:7	18:3 87:5	33:16, 21	stenographer
97:20	140:20	36:5	5:4 6:8,
126:13	speak 15:22	129:21	15, 18
127:18	24:18	stable 24:9	10:21
situ	speaking	stage 90:16,	11:2, 3, 6
108:11, 13,	20:8	17	12:17 15:3
14, 16, 22	specific	stand 55:18	16:7 20:21
situation	19:17	standard	23:14
107:22	40:11	15:14	24:17 27:9
112:18	41:18	start 7:2	36:12
size 96:1	106:21	starting	42:22
skill 10:7,	specifically	5:22 64:11	46:22
12	63:12	83:20	50:13, 16
skilled 27:2	80:20	117:14	51:2 59:11
41:22	88:17	121:22	62:5 76:21
slicer 20:20	115:14	122:9	77:2, 16
33:7	specification	state 5:13,	78:16
slicing	12:20	21 6:16	84:7, 9
31:17 32:6	specifies	44:17	85:3 86:15
slower 24:18	68:8	60:11	87:11
software	specs 88:4	62:3, 7	102:12, 17
44:10, 13	speeds 61:11	stated 81:10	103:2
67:3 106:8	spend 12:2	statements	108:18
sophisticated	spent 12:14	53:10	111:15
18:13		128:7, 8	113:15
			114:5, 8
			116:16
			118:2
			123:7

124:17	71:17	swear 6:10	talk 10:6
127:8	82:18	sweep 141:19	47:14 48:7
134:4	88:11	142:8, 21	106:21
139:14, 17	101:2	switches	130:13
140:7	120:12	100:10	136:11
144:10	121:7	sworn 6:12	talked 40:11
145:19, 22	132:21	symbol 27:16	93:13 95:8
146:6	136:5	33:8, 9	97:13
147:1, 6, 9, 14, 18	structural	symbols	113:4
step 81:5, 9, 12, 18, 21	103:15	29:21	talking 11:9
97:18	118:15	30:17, 18, 19	22:14
123:12	structurally	system 29:11	30:11
steps 35:7	103:19	56:12, 17	37:11
125:13	structure	60:22	39:11 41:2
126:9, 16, 20	20:11	69:20	43:3 57:14
127:1, 6, 13, 21	84:12	104:21	67:1, 2, 3, 4
128:15	85:2, 9	106:1, 7, 15	88:19
144:14	100:4, 6, 14	112:20	89:7, 14, 20
145:9, 12	101:1, 4	119:1, 2, 5	95:21, 22
storage	103:19	132:8, 12, 14	103:22
101:5	structures	143:8	118:17
store 42:19	14:5 38:16	systems	130:12
83:8	subsequent	29:12	talks 67:11
stored 100:6	38:17	56:13	tap 42:20
101:5	subsequently	143:19	43:1
112:21	123:22	144:3	140:22
135:18	suggestion		tautology
136:1	69:16		141:2
stores 85:2, 10	supply 62:6, 8	T	teach 70:13
strike 11:18	suppose	T-A-P 43:1	teaches
67:18	34:20	table 39:1, 4, 13	51:8, 15
	susceptibility	taking 82:2	63:15, 19
	94:21	108:8	66:10
			70:16
			86:12

teaching	84:6, 11	10	47:8, 15
66:18, 19	85:7, 9	testing	50:14, 17
technical	86:3, 5	91:16	66:14
43:16 44:6	88:18	93:12	76:13, 14,
technically	92:19	108:21	22 77:3
22:16 23:3	101:11, 13,	tests 60:5	83:9 90:8
technique	20 102:1	99:4, 11	94:4, 7
34:8, 12	105:17, 19	theoretical	102:6
techniques	106:19	26:21	114:6, 9
14:20 15:9	109:13	therefrom	115:22
16:12 32:5	115:13	5:16	116:3
technology	132:13, 16	thing 112:1	138:6
5:9 13:10	140:16	things 10:5	139:15, 18
41:11	141:18	26:12	140:22
116:1	146:5	61:13 89:9	141:7, 15
telecom	terminations	91:17	142:1, 6,
13:10	24:22	94:22 96:5	10, 14, 18
temperature	terms 14:14,	106:22	145:20
25:1 87:9	19 15:19	135:11	146:1
88:1, 7	18:22	thought	147:19
91:4, 5, 16	19:6, 13	66:16	timed 29:7
111:21	21:10	thousand	times 7:3
temporal	94:20	28:18	21:14
80:17, 20	test 29:2	Tim 5:7	Tipescu 6:5
81:2, 6	30:11 38:7	6:11, 17	12:21
tenets 15:6	98:9, 10,	147:21	13:3, 16
term 10:10	12, 13	time 5:6, 21	14:11, 17,
25:9 28:22	testified	11:4, 7	21 15:11,
33:10	6:13 18:11	12:1, 2, 14	20 16:14,
34:21	21:13	13:7 27:18	21 17:5,
61:20	58:3, 6	28:7 29:8	11, 16
62:18 73:4	113:19	30:18	18:1, 8, 18
75:22	testimony	41:10, 13	19:3, 9, 15,
77:20, 22	7:17 8:8,	42:4 43:9	21 20:3
	10 17:12		21:12
	19:5 72:6,		22:1, 8, 19
			23:5, 9

24:2	78:3, 20	12, 16	44:7, 19
25:11, 16	79:8, 18	134:7	54:13
26:5, 11, 20	80:1, 15	135:1	69:18
27:5, 19	82:11	136:2, 17	72:1, 5, 13
29:13, 15	83:2, 21	141:9, 16	74:10, 14,
30:7 31:8	84:19	143:22	21 75:9,
32:9, 20	85:12, 18	146:13, 18	14, 17
33:19	89:11	147:7, 11,	82:6, 8, 16,
34:10, 13	90:12	13, 17	21 83:12,
35:4, 9	92:7, 13	today 7:6,	13 89:5
36:11, 14,	94:2 95:4	12, 18 8:3,	98:14
22 37:7,	96:10, 19	8, 14 10:6	123:8, 21
10, 15	99:5	today's 5:5	124:1
38:20	100:1, 16	147:12	142:4, 9
39:9, 22	101:6	transceiver	
40:7, 14	102:15	told 39:14	21:4, 6
41:9, 17	103:13	46:15	22:15, 17
42:2, 9, 14,	104:5	top 11:17	23:1, 3, 4
21 43:2, 7,	106:9	90:18	29:18
12, 20	108:10	117:13, 18	125:13, 17,
44:1, 8, 15,	110:2, 18	135:12	20, 22
22 45:3, 9,	113:12, 18	topic 97:21	126:4, 5, 8,
15 46:1, 12	115:12, 21	total 12:14	15, 21
47:4, 18	116:8, 17	trace 89:22	127:1, 5,
48:1, 14, 19	118:22	traces 49:19	12, 20
49:2, 12	119:4	Trademark	128:16
50:3, 9	121:20	5:11	129:7
53:2 55:10	122:13, 22	traffic	132:15
58:21	123:15	118:4, 10,	133:12, 13,
59:4, 16	124:4	20 119:2	14, 15, 18,
61:9 63:5,	125:1, 7, 18	training	19 134:1,
18 65:18	126:2, 10,	34:22	9, 10, 14
67:9 70:9	17 127:3,	35:3, 8, 11	135:16, 22
71:20 72:9	14, 22	40:5, 12	136:13, 14,
73:21	128:11, 17	43:11, 18	15 143:15
74:16	129:13	transceivers	
75:1, 16	130:10, 18	134:16	
77:21	131:2, 7,		

135:9	49:6, 8, 10	19 121:1	49:18
transcribed	65:21	122:12, 18	57:18
7:9	66:3, 13	123:4, 12,	61:12
transcript	69:22	20, 22	91:11
5:15 128:5	73:11, 17,	124:3	118:14
147:12	19 74:2	125:8	typical 35:7
transfer	75:4, 5, 8,	126:5	41:7, 15
14:1	11 90:6	132:9, 14,	47:16
transferable	transmitter	17, 18	typically
14:8	20:17	133:2, 6, 8,	26:15, 17
transmission	30:10, 14	10, 16	27:3 28:9
29:18	34:15, 17,	134:16	34:19
35:15, 20	20 35:14,	143:14	42:18
38:17	17 36:10,	144:21	43:11, 18
63:13, 21	19 37:6, 21	145:7	47:22
114:21	38:2, 4, 10,	transmitter's	48:9, 12
118:6, 7	11, 12, 15,	39:14	49:19
transmissions	18 39:2, 5,	transmitting	118:12
37:2	6, 13 45:8,	67:7	130:9
transmit	19, 21	true 33:16	
28:17, 19	46:8, 10,	72:7 92:9	<hr/> U <hr/>
35:13	13, 19 52:7	96:21	U.S. 8:11
67:15, 21	64:16	truth 7:6	Uh-huh 66:22
68:4, 9	65:1, 4, 7,	truthful 8:8	Um-hum 9:1
transmits	12, 13, 14,	twists 96:2	96:12
38:12	16 68:15,	two-meter	111:14
123:6	17, 19	88:20	115:8
124:1	71:5, 8, 15	type 22:10,	unclear 13:6
transmitted	72:21 73:1	14 29:11	138:11
20:10 25:7	75:6, 12, 19	34:4 44:5	under- 22:18
28:16	90:2	47:11	understand
29:10 32:1	114:17, 19	89:21	7:5, 16, 20
34:16	115:5, 18,	types 21:16	10:11
45:18 46:7	19 116:7,	29:12	15:15
48:22	13 117:2	35:11 47:6	22:20 29:4
	118:5, 8		
	119:7, 15,		
	21 120:17,		

41:12	unstable	113:5, 6, 9,	27:14, 15
42:5, 11	24:9	11, 22	29:9 30:1,
47:2 49:3,	update 110:3	114:19	6 31:3, 6,
5 50:4		116:15, 18	10, 12, 19
56:11 58:2	updating	117:4	33:1, 22
65:22	80:18	124:5	34:16
66:5, 6, 15,	81:3, 5, 8,	129:6	75:4, 5, 8
19 67:10	9, 12, 18, 21	133:17	waveforms
78:22	82:5 83:9,	137:14	30:20 33:6
81:14	16 127:10,	variable	36:5
86:11	13, 21	14:2	ways 44:2
94:15 99:2	upload 10:19	variables	100:7, 9, 11
102:8	140:14	141:22	well-formed
103:22	uploading	142:2	46:3
104:19	23:18	variation	well-known
106:11, 18	usage 15:14,	26:22 27:1	32:7, 15
110:13	18 16:2	variations	34:8 39:17
115:4	user 30:9	38:21	whitelist
116:20	32:2 70:11	venued 5:10	52:10
118:10	118:14	verbal 7:8	69:17
120:18	124:1	versions 9:4	71:22
139:5		voltage 62:6	72:4, 13
146:14		94:21	86:6
understanding	V	146:19	119:18
13:2	values 25:12	volts 62:7	120:7, 14,
82:15, 20	38:3, 8		15, 16, 19,
83:1 95:18	39:2, 7, 14		22 121:6
107:3, 14	42:20		whitelisted
108:6	77:15		104:10
111:20	79:14		whitelists
115:10, 14	80:6, 13, 14	wait 111:12	54:12
understood	81:1 82:3	watt 26:14	widely 34:8,
7:10, 22	83:8, 10, 19	wave 30:2	11
8:5 59:3	85:20	31:3, 4, 10	Williams 5:7
81:11	98:1, 5, 22	90:5	6:9, 11, 17,
United 5:10	102:4, 5	waveform	
	105:7, 9		

22 9:18	18:6, 12, 17
11:8 15:16	19:1, 8, 14
50:19	21:5, 10,
76:13 77:4	18, 21
114:10	22:6, 9, 10,
139:19	13, 15 23:2
145:18	120:6
146:3	
147:5, 8, 22	wishes 30:9
wire 18:12	word 15:14,
31:2 47:22	18 16:10
87:2 88:21	20:13
89:1, 10	22:20
90:1, 20, 22	33:13
	103:15
wire's 87:15	words 42:16
wire-based	78:21 90:4
48:2, 7	107:13
wired 13:20	111:11, 20
14:7 15:10	141:22
16:13	work 98:12
17:3, 9, 14,	works 37:8
17 18:5, 16	
19:1, 7, 14,	Wow 31:9
20 20:1, 5	wrap 88:22
21:4, 9, 18,	wrapper 12:9
21 22:6, 17	wrong 26:13
23:4 24:9	
25:3 41:14	
43:21, 22	
87:21	
wireless	years 13:9
13:9, 15, 20	
14:1, 8, 22	
15:9 16:12	
17:3, 10,	
21, 22	