

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

3D SYSTEMS CORPORATION AND 3D SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

INTREPID AUTOMATION, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-01241
Patent 11,014,301 B2

WEATHERLY, Administrative Patent Judge.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motion for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Jeffrey J. Catalano
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On February 12, 2026, Patent Owner filed a motion requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Jeffrey J. Catalano. Paper 16 (“Motion” or “Mot.”). Patent Owner also submitted a Declaration from Jeffrey J. Catalano in support of the Motion. Ex. 2014 (“Declaration”). Patent Owner attests that Petitioner does not oppose the Motion. Paper 16, 1. For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner's Motion is *granted*.
In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to

the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Catalano has sufficient qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and legal familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 2014. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Catalano. Mr. Catalano will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1).

We note that Patent Owner has filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Catalano in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 8. Patent Owner has also filed Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Catalano as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 7, 2.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion (Paper 16) for *pro hac vice* admission of Jeffrey J. Catalano are is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Catalano is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Catalano be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide¹ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Catalano is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

¹ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2025-01241
Patent 11,014,301 B2

PETITIONER:

Bryan L. Baysinger
John Zimmer
Ben Roxborough (motion for admission *pro hac vice* pending)
MAYNARD NEXSEN, PC
bbaysinger@maynardnexsen.com
jzimmer@maynardnexsen.com
broxborough@maynardnexsen.com

PATENT OWNER:

John P. Moy
Indhira LaPuma
Jeffrey J. Catalano
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL LLP
jmoy@sgrlaw.com
ilapuma@sgrlaw.com
jcatalano@sgrlaw.com (*pro hac vice*)