

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

3D SYSTEMS CORPORATION AND 3D SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

INTREPID AUTOMATION, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-01241
Patent 11,014,301 B2

WEATHERLY, Administrative Patent Judge.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motion for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Ben Roxborough
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On February 16, 2026, Petitioner filed a motion requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Ben Roxborough. Paper 17 (“Motion” or “Mot.”). Petitioner also submitted a Declaration from Ben Roxborough in support of the Motion. Ex. 1031 (“Declaration”). Petitioner attests that Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. Paper 17, 1. For the reasons provided below, Petitioner’s Motion is *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause,

subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Roxborough has sufficient qualifications to represent Petitioner in this proceeding, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and legal familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 1031. Accordingly, Petitioner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Roxborough. Mr. Roxborough will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1).

We note that Petitioner has filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Roxborough in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 2; Paper 18. Petitioner has also filed Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Roxborough as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 1, 84; Paper 4, 3.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motion (Paper 17) for *pro hac vice* admission of Ben Roxborough is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Roxborough is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel only in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Roxborough be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide¹ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Roxborough is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

¹ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2025-01241
Patent 11,014,301 B2

PETITIONER:

Bryan L. Baysinger
John Zimmer
Ben Roxborough (*pro hac vice*)
MAYNARD NEXSEN, PC
bbaysinger@maynardnexsen.com
jzimmer@maynardnexsen.com
broxborough@maynardnexsen.com

PATENT OWNER:

John P. Moy
Indhira LaPuma
Jeffrey J. Catalano
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL LLP
jmoy@sgrlaw.com
ilapuma@sgrlaw.com
jcatalano@sgrlaw.com (*pro hac vice*)