UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
LOCAL RULES as of [December 1, 2023][1]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION I: CIVIL RULES 4
LOCAL RULE CV-1 Scope and Purpose of Rules 4
LOCAL RULE CV-3 Commencement of Action 4
LOCAL RULE CV-4 Complaint, Summons, and Return 5
LOCAL RULE CV-5 Service and Filing of Pleadings and Other Documents 5
LOCAL RULE CV-5.2 Privacy Protections for Filings Made with the Court 10
LOCAL RULE CV-6 Computation of Time 11
LOCAL RULE CV-7 Pleadings Allowed; Form of Motions and Other Documents 11
LOCAL RULE CV-10 Form of Pleadings 16
LOCAL RULE CV-11 Signing of Pleadings, Motions, and Other Documents 17
LOCAL RULE CV-12 Filing of Answers and Defenses 18
LOCAL RULE CV-26 Provisions Governing Discovery; Duty of Disclosure 18
LOCAL RULE CV-30 Depositions Upon Oral Examination 19
LOCAL RULE CV-34 Production of Documents and Things 19
LOCAL RULE CV-38 Right to a Jury Trial; Demand 20
LOCAL RULE CV-42 Consolidation; Separate Trials Consolidation of Actions 20
LOCAL RULE CV-43 Taking of Testimony 21
LOCAL RULE CV-47 Selecting Jurors 21
LOCAL RULE CV-50 Judgment as a Matter of Law in a Jury Trial 21
LOCAL RULE CV-54 Judgments; Costs 21
LOCAL RULE CV-56 Summary Judgment Procedure 22
LOCAL RULE CV-62 Stay of Proceedings to Enforce a Judgment 22
LOCAL RULE CV-63 Inability of a Judge to Proceed, Reassignment of Actions after Recusal or Disqualification. 23
LOCAL RULE CV-65 Injunctions 24
LOCAL RULE CV-65.1 Security; Proceedings Against Sureties 24
LOCAL RULE CV-72 Magistrate Judges 24

[1] These rules include amendments through General Order 23-11, which was filed on October 30, 2023.

Samsung Ex. 1119
Samsung v. Omni
IPR2025-01252 Page 1
https://txed.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/HR_Docs/TXED Local Rules 12-23.pdf

LOCAL RULE CV-77 District Courts and Clerks 25
LOCAL RULE CV-79 Records Kept by the Clerk 25
LOCAL RULE CV-81 Removed Actions 26
LOCAL RULE CV-83 Rules by District Courts; Judge's Directives 26
SECTION II: CRIMINAL RULES 28
LOCAL RULE CR-1 Scope 28
LOCAL RULE CR-6 The Grand Jury 28
LOCAL RULE CR-10 Arraignments 28
LOCAL RULE CR-16.1 Pretrial Discovery Conference 28
LOCAL RULE CR-24 Trial Jurors 28
LOCAL RULE CR-47 Motions 29
LOCAL RULE CR-49 Service and Filing 31
LOCAL RULE CR-49.1 Privacy Protection for Filings Made with the Court 33
LOCAL RULE CR-55 Records 35
LOCAL RULE CR-59 Matters Before a Magistrate Judge 35
SECTION III: ATTORNEYS 36
LOCAL RULE AT-1 Admission to Practice 36
LOCAL RULE AT-2 Attorney Discipline 37
LOCAL RULE AT-3 Standards of Practice to be Observed by Attorneys 40
SECTION IV: ADMIRALTY RULES 42
LOCAL ADMIRALTY RULE (a) Authority and Scope 42
LOCAL ADMIRALTY RULE (b) Maritime Attachment and Garnishment 42
LOCAL ADMIRALTY RULE (c) Actions in Rem: Special Provisions 43
LOCAL ADMIRALTY RULE (d) Possessory, Petitory, and Partition Actions 44
LOCAL ADMIRALTY RULE (e) Actions In Rem and Quasi In Rem: General Provisions. 44
LOCAL ADMIRALTY RULE (f) Limitation of Liability 50
LOCAL ADMIRALTY RULE (g) Special Rules 50
SECTION V: PATENT RULES 51
LOCAL PATENT RULE 1-1 Title 51
LOCAL PATENT RULE 1-2 Scope and Construction 51
LOCAL PATENT RULE 1-3 Effective Date 51
LOCAL PATENT RULE 2-1 Governing Procedure 52
LOCAL PATENT RULE 2-2 Confidentiality 53

LOCAL PATENT RULE 2-3 Certification of Initial Disclosures 53
LOCAL PATENT RULE 2-4 Admissibility of Disclosures 54
LOCAL PATENT RULE 2-5 Relationship to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 54
LOCAL PATENT RULE 2-6 Assignment of Related Cases 55
LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-1 Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions 55
LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-2 Document Production Accompanying Disclosure 56
LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-3 Invalidity Contentions 57
LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-4 Document Production Accompanying Invalidity Contentions..... 58
LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-5 Disclosure Requirement in Patent Cases for Declaratory Judgment... 58
LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-6 Amending Contentions 59
LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-7 Opinion of Counsel Defenses 60
LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-8 Disclosure Requirements for Patent Cases Arising Under 21 U.S.C. § 355 (Hatch-Waxman Act) 60
LOCAL PATENT RULE 4-1 Exchange of Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction 62
LOCAL PATENT RULE 4-2 Exchange of Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence 63
LOCAL PATENT RULE 4-3 Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement 63
LOCAL PATENT RULE 4-4 Completion of Claim Construction Discovery 64
LOCAL PATENT RULE 4-5 Claim Construction Briefs 65
LOCAL PATENT RULE 4-6 Claim Construction Hearing 66

SECTION V: PATENT RULES

1. SCOPE OF RULES

LOCAL PATENT RULE 1-1 Title

These are the Rules of Practice for Patent Cases before the Eastern District of Texas. They should be cited as "P. R. __."

LOCAL PATENT RULE 1-2 Scope and Construction

These rules apply to all civil actions filed in or transferred to this Court which allege infringement of a utility patent in a complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or third party claim, or which seek a declaratory judgment that a utility patent is not infringed, is invalid or is unenforceable. The Court may accelerate, extend, eliminate, or modify the obligations or deadlines set forth in these Patent Rules based on the circumstances of any particular case, including, without limitation, the complexity of the case or the number of patents, claims, products, or parties involved. If any motion filed prior to the Claim Construction Hearing provided for in P. R. 4-6 raises claim construction issues, the Court may, for good cause shown, defer the motion until after completion of the disclosures, filings, or ruling following the Claim Construction Hearing. The Civil Local Rules of this Court shall also apply to these actions, except to the extent that they are inconsistent with these Patent Rules. The deadlines set forth in these rules may be modified by Docket Control Order issued in specific cases.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 1-3 Effective Date

These Patent Rules shall take effect on February 22, 2005, and shall apply to any case filed thereafter and to any pending case in which more than 9 days remain before the Initial Disclosure of Asserted Claims is made. The parties to any other pending civil action shall meet and confer promptly after February 22, 2005, for the purpose of determining whether any provision in these Patent Rules should be made applicable to that case. No later than 7 days after the parties meet and confer, the parties shall file a stipulation setting forth a proposed order that relates to the

application of these Patent Rules. Unless and until an order is entered applying these Patent Local Rules to any pending case, the Rules previously applicable to pending patent cases shall govern.

2. GENERAL PROVISIONS

LOCAL PATENT RULE 2-1 Governing Procedure

(a) Initial Case Management Conference. Prior to the Initial Case Management Conference with the Court, when the parties confer with each other pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f), in addition to the matters covered by Fed.R.Civ.P. 26, the parties must discuss and address in the Case Management Statement filed pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f), the following topics:

(1) Proposed modification of the deadlines provided for in the Patent Rules, and the effect of any such modification on the date and time of the Claim Construction Hearing, if any;

(2) Whether the Court will hear live testimony at the Claim Construction Hearing;

(3) The need for and any specific limits on discovery relating to claim construction, including depositions of witnesses, including expert witnesses;

(4) The order of presentation at the Claim Construction Hearing; and

(5) The scheduling of a Claim Construction Prehearing Conference to be held after the Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement provided for in P. R. 4-3 has been filed.

(6) Whether the court should authorize the filing under seal of any documents containing confidential information.

(b) Further Case Management Conferences. To the extent that some or all of the matters provided for in P. R. 2-1 (a)(1)-(5) are not resolved or decided at the Initial Case Management

Conference, the parties shall propose dates for further Case Management Conferences at which such matters shall be decided.

(c) Electronic Filings. All patents attached as exhibits to any filing submitted electronically shall be in searchable PDF format. Any other documents attached as exhibits to any filing submitted electronically should be in searchable PDF format whenever possible.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 2-2 Confidentiality

If any document or information produced under these Patent Local Rules is deemed confidential by the producing party and if the Court has not entered a protective order, until a protective order is issued by the Court, the document shall be marked "confidential" or with some other confidential designation (such as "Confidential - Outside Attorneys Eyes Only") by the disclosing party and disclosure of the confidential document or information shall be limited to each party's outside attorney(s) of record and the employees of such outside attorney(s).

If a party is not represented by an outside attorney, disclosure of the confidential document or information shall be limited to one designated "in house" attorney, whose identity and job functions shall be disclosed to the producing party 5 days prior to any such disclosure, in order to permit any motion for protective order or other relief regarding such disclosure. The person(s) to whom disclosure of a confidential document or information is made under this local rule shall keep it confidential and use it only for purposes of litigating the case.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 2-3 Certification of Initial Disclosures

All statements, disclosures, or charts filed or served in accordance with these Patent Rules must be dated and signed by counsel of record. Counsel's signature shall constitute a certification that to the best of his or her knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry that is reasonable under the circumstances, the information contained in the statement, disclosure, or chart is complete and correct at the time it is made.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 2-4 Admissibility of Disclosures

Statements, disclosures, or charts governed by these Patent Rules are admissible to the extent permitted by the Federal Rules of Evidence or Procedure. However, the statements or disclosures provided for in P. R. 4-1 and 4-2 are not admissible for any purpose other than in connection with motions seeking an extension or modification of the time periods within which actions contemplated by these Patent Rules must be taken.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 2-5 Relationship to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Except as provided in this paragraph or as otherwise ordered, it shall not be a legitimate ground for objecting to an opposing party's discovery request (e.g., interrogatory, document request, request for admission, deposition question) or declining to provide information otherwise required to be disclosed pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1) that the discovery request or disclosure requirement is premature in light of, or otherwise conflicts with, these Patent Rules. A party may object, however, to responding to the following categories of discovery requests (or decline to provide information in its initial disclosures under Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1)) on the ground that they are premature in light of the timetable provided in the Patent Rules:

(a) Requests seeking to elicit a party's claim construction position;

(b) Requests seeking to elicit from the patent claimant a comparison of the asserted claims and the accused apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality;

(c) Requests seeking to elicit from an accused infringer a comparison of the asserted claims and the prior art; and

(d) Requests seeking to elicit from an accused infringer the identification of any opinions of counsel, and related documents, that it intends to rely upon as a defense to an allegation of willful infringement.

Where a party properly objects to a discovery request (or declines to provide information in its initial disclosures under Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1)) as set forth above, that party shall provide the

requested information on the date on which it is required to provide the requested information to an opposing party under these Patent Rules, unless there exists another legitimate ground for objection.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 2-6 Assignment of Related Cases

Separately filed cases related to the same patent shall be assigned to the same judge, i.e., the judge assigned to the first related case.

3. PATENT INITIAL DISCLOSURES

LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-1 Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions

Not later than 10 days before the Initial Case Management Conference with the Court, a party claiming patent infringement must serve on all parties a "Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions." Separately for each opposing party, the "Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions" shall contain the following information:

(a) Each claim of each patent in suit that is allegedly infringed by each opposing party;

(b) Separately for each asserted claim, each accused apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality ("Accused Instrumentality") of each opposing party of which the party is aware. This identification shall be as specific as possible. Each product, device, and apparatus must be identified by name or model number, if known. Each method or process must be identified by name, if known, or by any product, device, or apparatus which, when used, allegedly results in the practice of the claimed method or process;

(c) A chart identifying specifically where each element of each asserted claim is found within each Accused Instrumentality, including for each element that such party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 6, the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in the Accused Instrumentality that performs the claimed function;

(d) Whether each element of each asserted claim is claimed to be literally present or present under the doctrine of equivalents in the Accused Instrumentality;

(e) For any patent that claims priority to an earlier application, the priority date to which each asserted claim allegedly is entitled; and

(f) If a party claiming patent infringement wishes to preserve the right to rely, for any purpose, on the assertion that its own apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality practices the claimed invention, the party must identify, separately for each asserted claim, each such apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality that incorporates or reflects that particular claim.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-2 Document Production Accompanying Disclosure

With the "Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions," the party claiming patent infringement must produce to each opposing party or make available for inspection and copying:

(a) Documents (e.g., contracts, purchase orders, invoices, advertisements, marketing materials, offer letters, beta site testing agreements, and third party or joint development agreements) sufficient to evidence each discussion with, disclosure to, or other manner of providing to a third party, or sale of or offer to sell, the claimed invention prior to the date of application for the patent in suit. A party's production of a document as required herein shall not constitute an admission that such document evidences or is prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102;

(b) All documents evidencing the conception, reduction to practice, design, and development of each claimed invention, which were created on or before the date of application for the patent in suit or the priority date identified pursuant to P. R. 3-1(e), whichever is earlier; and

(c) A copy of the file history for each patent in suit.

The producing party shall separately identify by production number which documents correspond to each category.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-3 Invalidity Contentions

Not later than 35 days after the Initial Case Management Conference with the Court, each party opposing a claim of patent infringement, shall serve on all parties its "Invalidity Contentions" which must contain the following information:

(a) The identity of each item of prior art that allegedly anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious. Each prior art patent shall be identified by its number, country of origin, and date of issue. Each prior art publication must be identified by its title, date of publication, and where feasible, author and publisher. Prior art sales or public disclosures under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) / post-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 102(a)(1) shall be identified by specifying the item offered for sale or publicly used or the information known, the date the offer or use took place or the information became known, and the identity of the person or entity which made the use or which made and received the offer, or the person or entity which made the information known or to whom it was made known. Prior art under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) shall be identified by providing the name of the person(s) from whom and the circumstances under which the invention or any part of it was derived. Prior art under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 102(g) shall be identified by providing the identities of the person(s) or entities involved in and the circumstances surrounding the making of the invention before the patent applicant(s). Prior art references under post-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 102(a)(1) showing that the claimed invention was otherwise available to the public shall be identified by specifying the form and nature of the reference, the manner in which the reference was made public, and the date on which the reference was made public;

(b) Whether each item of prior art anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious. If a combination of items of prior art makes a claim obvious, each such combination, and the motivation to combine such items, must be identified;

(c) A chart identifying where specifically in each alleged item of prior art each element of each asserted claim is found, including for each element that such party contends is governed by 35

U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 6, the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in each item of prior art that performs the claimed function; and

(d) Any grounds of invalidity based on indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 2 or enablement or written description under 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 1 of any of the asserted claims.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-4 Document Production Accompanying Invalidity Contentions

With the "Invalidity Contentions," the party opposing a claim of patent infringement must produce or make available for inspection and copying:

(a) Source code, specifications, schematics, flow charts, artwork, formulas, or other documentation sufficient to show the operation of any aspects or elements of an Accused Instrumentality identified by the patent claimant in its P. R. 3-1(c) chart; and

(b) A copy of each item of prior art identified pursuant to P. R. 3-3(a) which does not appear in the file history of the patent(s) at issue. To the extent any such item is not in English, an English translation of the portion(s) relied upon must be produced.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-5 Disclosure Requirement in Patent Cases for Declaratory Judgment

(a) **Invalidity Contentions If No Claim of Infringement.** In all cases in which a party files a complaint or other pleading seeking a declaratory judgment that a patent is not infringed, is invalid, or is unenforceable, P. R. 3-1 and 3-2 shall not apply unless and until a claim for patent infringement is made by a party. If the defendant does not assert a claim for patent infringement in its answer to the complaint, no later than 10 days after the defendant serves its answer, or 10 days after the Initial Case Management Conference, whichever is later, the party seeking a declaratory judgment must serve upon each opposing party its Invalidity Contentions that conform to P. R. 3-3 and produce or make available for inspection and copying the documents described in P. R. 3-4. The parties shall meet and confer within 10 days of the service of the Invalidity Contentions for the purpose of

determining the date on which the plaintiff will file its Final Invalidity Contentions which shall be no later than 50 days after service by the Court of its Claim Construction Ruling.

(b) Applications of Rules When No Specified Triggering Event. If the filings or actions in a case do not trigger the application of these Patent Rules under the terms set forth herein, the parties shall, as soon as such circumstances become known, meet and confer for the purpose of agreeing on the application of these Patent Rules to the case.

(c) Inapplicability of Rule. This P. R. 3-5 shall not apply to cases in which a request for a declaratory judgment that a patent is not infringed, is invalid, or is unenforceable is filed in response to a complaint for infringement of the same patent.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-6 Amending Contentions

(a) Leave not required. Each party's "Infringement Contentions" and "Invalidity Contentions" shall be deemed to be that party's final contentions, except as set forth below.

(1) If a party claiming patent infringement believes in good faith that the Court's Claim Construction Ruling so requires, not later than 30 days after service by the Court of its Claim Construction Ruling, that party may serve "Amended Infringement Contentions" without leave of court that amend its "Infringement Contentions" with respect to the information required by P. R. 3-1(c) and (d).

(2) Not later than 50 days after service by the Court of its Claim Construction Ruling, each party opposing a claim of patent infringement may serve "Amended Invalidity Contentions" without leave of court that amend its "Invalidity Contentions" with respect to the information required by P. R. 3-3 if:

(A) a party claiming patent infringement has served "Amended Infringement Contentions" pursuant to P. R. 3-6(a)(1), or

(B) the party opposing a claim of patent infringement believes in good faith that the Court's Claim Construction Ruling so requires.

(b) Leave required. Amendment or supplementation of any Infringement Contentions or Invalidity Contentions, other than as expressly permitted in P. R. 3-6(a), may be made only by order of the Court, which shall be entered only upon a showing of good cause.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-7 Opinion of Counsel Defenses

By the date set forth in the Docket Control Order, each party opposing a claim of patent infringement that will rely on an opinion of counsel as part of a defense shall:

(a) Produce or make available for inspection and copying the opinion(s) and any other documents relating to the opinion(s) as to which that party agrees the attorney-client or work product protection has been waived; and

(b) Serve a privilege log identifying any other documents, except those authored by counsel acting solely as trial counsel, relating to the subject matter of the opinion(s) which the party is withholding on the grounds of attorney-client privilege or work product protection.

A party opposing a claim of patent infringement who does not comply with the requirements of this P. R. 3-7 shall not be permitted to rely on an opinion of counsel as part of a defense absent a stipulation of all parties or by order of the Court, which shall be entered only upon a showing of good cause.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 3-8 Disclosure Requirements for Patent Cases Arising Under 21 U.S.C. § 355 (Hatch-Waxman Act)

The following provision applies to all patents subject to a Paragraph IV certification in cases arising under 21 U.S.C. § 355 (commonly referred to as "the Hatch-Waxman Act"). This provision takes precedence over any conflicting provisions in P.R. 3-1 to 3-5 for all cases arising under 21 U.S.C. § 355.

(a) Upon the filing of a responsive pleading to the complaint, the Defendant(s) shall produce to Plaintiff(s) the entire Abbreviated New Drug Application or New Drug Application that is the basis of the case in question.

(b) Not more than 7 days after the Initial Case Management Conference, Plaintiff(s) must identify the asserted claims.

(c) Not more than 14 days after the Initial Case Management Conference, the Defendant(s) shall provide to Plaintiff(s) the written basis for their "Invalidity Contentions" for any patents referred to in Defendant(s) Paragraph IV Certification. This written basis shall contain all disclosures required by P.R. 3-3 and shall be accompanied by the production of documents required by P.R. 3-4.

(d) Not more than 14 days after the Initial Case Management Conference, the Defendant(s) shall provide to Plaintiff(s) the written basis for any defense of non-infringement for any patent referred to in Defendant(s) Paragraph IV Certification. This written basis shall include a claim chart identifying each claim at issue in the case and each limitation of each claim at issue. The claim chart shall specifically identify for each claim those claim limitation(s) that are literally absent from the Defendant(s) allegedly infringing Abbreviated New Drug Application or New Drug Application. The written basis for any defense of non-infringement shall also be accompanied by the production of any document or thing that the Defendant(s) intend to rely upon in defense of any infringement allegations by Plaintiff(s).

(e) Not more than 45 days after the disclosure of the written basis for any defense of noninfringement as required by P.R. 3-8(c), Plaintiff(s) shall provide Defendant(s) with a "Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions," for all patents referred to in Defendant(s) Paragraph IV Certification, which shall contain all disclosures required by P.R. 3-1 and shall be accompanied by the production of documents required by P.R. 3-2.

(f) Each party that has an ANDA application pending with the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") that is the basis of the pending case shall: (1) notify the FDA of any and all motions for injunctive relief no later than three business days after the date on which such a motion is filed; and (2) provide a copy of all correspondence between itself and the FDA pertaining to the ANDA application to each party asserting infringement, or set forth the basis of any claim of privilege for such correspondence, no later than seven days after the date it sends or receives any such correspondence.

(g) Unless informed of special circumstances, the Court intends to set all Hatch-Waxman cases for final pretrial hearing at or near 24 months from the date of the filing of the complaint.

4. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION PROCEEDINGS

LOCAL PATENT RULE 4-1 Exchange of Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction

(a) Not later than 10 days after service of the "Invalidity Contentions" pursuant to P. R. 3-3, each party shall simultaneously exchange a list of claim terms, phrases, or clauses which that party contends should be construed or found indefinite by the Court and identify any claim element which that party contends should be governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(f).

(b) The parties shall thereafter meet and confer for the purposes of finalizing this list, narrowing or resolving differences, and facilitating the ultimate preparation of a Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 4-2 Exchange of Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence

(a) Not later than 20 days after the exchange of "Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction" pursuant to P. R. 4-1, the parties shall simultaneously exchange a preliminary proposed construction of each claim term, phrase, or clause which the parties collectively have identified for claim construction purposes. Each such "Preliminary Claim Construction" shall also, for each element which any party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(f), identify the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) corresponding to that element.

(b) At the same time the parties exchange their respective "Preliminary Claim Constructions," they shall each also provide a preliminary identification of extrinsic evidence, including without limitation, dictionary definitions, citations to learned treatises and prior art, and testimony of percipient and expert witnesses they contend support their respective claim constructions or indefiniteness positions. The parties shall identify each such item of extrinsic evidence by production number or produce a copy of any such item not previously produced. With respect to any such witness, percipient or expert, the parties shall also provide the identity and a brief description of the substance of that witness' proposed testimony.

(c) The parties shall thereafter meet and confer for the purposes of narrowing the issues and finalizing preparation of a Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 4-3 Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement

(a) Not later than 60 days after service of the "Invalidity Contentions," the parties shall complete and file a Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement, which shall contain the following information**:**

(1) The construction of those claim terms, phrases, or clauses on which the parties agree;

(2) Each party's proposed claim construction or indefiniteness position for each disputed claim term, phrase, or clause, together with an identification of all references from the specification or prosecution history that support that position, and an identification of any extrinsic evidence known to the party on which it intends to rely either to support its position or to oppose any other party's position, including, but not limited to, as permitted by law, dictionary definitions, citations to learned treatises and prior art, and testimony of percipient and expert witnesses;

(3) The anticipated length of time necessary for the Claim Construction Hearing;

(4) Whether any party proposes to call one or more witnesses, including experts, at the Claim Construction Hearing and the identity of each such witness; and

(5) A list of any other issues which might appropriately be taken up at a prehearing conference prior to the Claim Construction Hearing, and proposed dates, if not previously set, for any such prehearing conference.

(b) Each party shall also simultaneously serve a disclosure of expert testimony consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B(i)-(ii) or 26(a)(2)(C) for any expert on which it intends to rely to support its proposed claim construction or indefiniteness position or to oppose any other party's proposed claim construction or indefiniteness position.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 4-4 Completion of Claim Construction Discovery

Not later than 30 days after service and filing of the Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement, the parties shall complete all discovery relating to claim construction, including any depositions with respect to claim construction of any witnesses, including experts, identified in the Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 4-5 Claim Construction Briefs

(a) Not later than 45 days after serving and filing the Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement, the party claiming patent infringement shall serve and file an opening brief and any evidence supporting its claim construction. All asserted patents shall be attached as exhibits to the opening claim construction brief in searchable PDF form.

(b) Not later than 14 days after service upon it of an opening brief, each opposing party shall serve and file its responsive brief and supporting evidence.

(c) Not later than 7 days after service upon it of a responsive brief, the party claiming patent infringement shall serve and file any reply brief and any evidence directly rebutting the supporting evidence contained in an opposing party's response.

(d) At least 10 days before the Claim Construction Hearing held pursuant to P.R. 4-6, the parties shall jointly file a claim construction chart.

(1) Said chart shall have a column listing complete language of disputed claims with disputed terms in bold type and separate columns for each party's proposed construction of each disputed term. The chart shall also include a fourth column entitled "Court's Construction" and otherwise left blank. Additionally, the chart shall also direct the Court's attention to the patent and claim number(s) where the disputed term(s) appear(s).

(2) The parties may also include constructions for claim terms to which they have agreed. If the parties choose to include agreed constructions, each party's proposed construction columns shall state "[**AGREED**]" and the agreed construction shall be inserted in the "Court's Construction" column.

(3) The purpose of this claim construction chart is to assist the Court and the parties in tracking and resolving disputed terms. Accordingly, aside from the requirements set forth in this rule, the parties are afforded substantial latitude in the chart's format so that they

may fashion a chart that most clearly and efficiently outlines the disputed terms and proposed constructions. Appendices to the Court's prior published and unpublished claim construction opinions may provide helpful guidelines for parties fashioning claim construction charts.

(e) Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the page limitations governing dispositive motions pursuant to Local Rule CV-7(a) shall apply to claim construction briefing.

LOCAL PATENT RULE 4-6 Claim Construction Hearing

Subject to the convenience of the Court's calendar, two weeks following submission of the reply brief specified in P.R. 4-5(c), the Court shall conduct a Claim Construction Hearing, to the extent the parties or the Court believe a hearing is necessary for construction of the claims at issue.