



Planet Depos®
We Make It *Happen™*

Transcript of Mohammed Islam, Sc.D.

Date: July 23, 2026

Case: Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al. -v- Omni MedSci, Inc. (PTAB)

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

3 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., et al,
4 Petitioners,
5 vs.
6 OMNI MEDSCI, INC.,
Patent Owner.

7 Case: IPR2025-01252
U.S. Patent No. 11,160,455
8 Case: IPR2025-01250
U.S. Patent No. 9,651,533
9 Case: IPR2025-01251
U.S. Patent No. 10,874,304
10 Case: IPR2025-01254
U.S. Patent No. 12,268,475

11
12 DEPOSITION OF MOHAMMED ISLAM, Sc.D.

13 Thursday, July 23, 2026
14
15
16
17
18

19 Job No.: 642740

20 Pages: 1 - 151

21 Stenographically Reported By:

22 Alison C. Webster, CSR, RPR, RMR, CRR, RDR

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

Deposition of MOHAMMED ISLAM, Sc.D., produced
as a witness at the instance of the Petitioners,
and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and
numbered cause on Thursday, July 23, 2026, from
9:19 a.m. to 12:33 p.m. Eastern Time, before Alison
C. Webster, RPR, CRR, RMR, RDR, CSR-6266
(Michigan); License No. 14559 (California); License
No. 084.004953 (Illinois); CSR-12432 (Texas),
reported by stenographic method, via
videoconference, pursuant to the Federal Rules of
Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the
record or attached hereto.

A P P E A R A N C E S

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS

JAMES L. DAVIS, JR., ESQUIRE

Sheppard

1540 El Camino Real

Suite 120

Menlo Park, California 94025

650.815.2684

jdavis@sheppard.com

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER and THE WITNESS

ANGELO CHRISTOPHER, ESQUIRE

Nixon Peabody LLP

70 West Madison Street

Suite 5200

Chicago, Illinois 60602

312.977.4391

achristopher@nixonpeabody.com

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S

Witness	Page
MOHAMMED ISLAM, Sc.D.	
EXAMINATION	
BY MR. DAVIS:	6

E X H I B I T I N D E X

Exhibit	Page
(Exhibits not offered.)	

1 DEPOSITION OF MOHAMMED ISLAM, Sc.D.

2 Thursday, July 23, 2026

3
4 STENOGRAPHER: The attorneys
5 participating in this deposition and the
6 witness have verified that he is Mohammed
7 Islam, Sc.D. In lieu of an oath administered
8 in person, the witness will visually affirm
9 his testimony in this matter is under penalty
10 of perjury. The parties and their counsel
11 consent to this arrangement and waive any
12 objections to this manner of reporting or
13 admissibility of the transcript.

14 Would counsel please state their
15 appearance and any objections to proceeding in
16 this manner, starting with scheduling counsel.

17 MR. DAVIS: James Davis of Sheppard
18 Mullin for the petitioners.

09:18:36

09:18:40

19 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Good morning.
20 Angelo Christopher of Nixon Peabody, on behalf
21 of patent owner and the witness.

09:18:41

09:18:42

22 STENOGRAPHER: Hearing no objections,

1 Dr. Islam, would you please raise your right
2 hand.

3 Do you swear or affirm the testimony
4 you are about to give in this matter will be
5 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
6 the truth?

7 DR. ISLAM: Yes, I do.

8 STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.

9 You may proceed.

10 MOHAMMED ISLAM, Sc.D.,
11 was thereupon called as a witness herein, and
12 after having first been duly sworn to testify
13 to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
14 the truth, was examined and testified as

15 follows: 09:19:04

16 EXAMINATION 09:19:04

17 BY MR. DAVIS: 09:19:04

18 Q. Can you please state your name? 09:19:04

19 A. Mohammed Nazrul Islam. 09:19:09

20 Q. Dr. Islam, where are you located today? 09:19:10

21 A. I'm in Ann Arbor, Michigan. 09:19:13

22 Q. You understand that you're here testifying 09:19:16

1	based off of declarations you filed or that	09:19:19
2	were filed in IPR 2025-1250, 1251, 1252, and	09:19:21
3	1254?	09:19:30
4	A. I understand I'm here in deposition on the	09:19:32
5	declaration I provided on the IPR cases I	09:19:38
6	believe that you just stated.	09:19:40
7	Q. Did you prepare for this deposition?	09:19:42
8	A. Yes, I did prepare for this deposition.	09:19:50
9	Q. How did you prepare?	09:19:53
10	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Let me just caution	09:19:54
11	the witness not to reveal the substance of any	09:19:56
12	attorney-client communications, but you can	09:19:58
13	answer.	09:19:59
14	A. I read my declaration and the exhibits that	09:20:04
15	were provided.	09:20:10
16	And then, for your information, I	09:20:11
17	have clean, unmarked copies sitting in front	09:20:13
18	of me, in case you have those, as provided by	09:20:15
19	counsel, and I had several meetings with	09:20:18
20	counsel in preparation for this -- for this	09:20:20
21	deposition.	09:20:25
22	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:20:26

1	Q. Who did you meet with?	09:20:26
2	THE WITNESS: Am I allowed to say	09:20:30
3	that, Angelo?	09:20:32
4	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yes. Do not reveal	09:20:32
5	the substance of any attorney-client	09:20:33
6	communications, but you can reveal the names	09:20:35
7	of the attorneys that you met with.	09:20:36
8	A. The sessions were with Angelo Christopher,	09:20:43
9	who's on here, as well as in some of them, or	09:20:45
10	some parts of them, Jennifer Hayes, also of	09:20:49
11	Nixon Peabody.	09:20:51
12	Q. Did you meet with anyone else?	09:20:53
13	A. No, I did not.	09:20:55
14	Q. You said you have clean copies of something.	09:20:56
15	You have clean copies of what?	09:21:00
16	A. The attorneys have provided me with clean	09:21:04
17	copies of -- and the only thing that's in	09:21:07
18	front of me is the clean copies of the --	09:21:10
19	what they call Exhibit 2100 declaration,	09:21:12
20	Exhibit 2101, which is one of the exhibits, I	09:21:17
21	guess, all the way through 2107.	09:21:23
22	So it's 2100, the declaration	09:21:27

1	itself, and then there are referenced	09:21:29
2	Exhibits 2101 through 2107.	09:21:38
3	Q. And there's no markings on those?	09:21:40
4	A. No, there are no markings on those.	09:21:42
5	Q. Outside of your meetings with counsel and your	09:21:44
6	review of those papers, did you do anything	09:21:53
7	else to prepare for the deposition today?	09:21:56
8	A. No. I'm sorry, yeah, there was one other	09:22:00
9	thing. I just briefly glanced at the claims	09:22:03
10	in the four patents that are in the IPR. I	09:22:07
11	didn't study them, but I briefly looked at	09:22:12
12	them.	09:22:14
13	Q. Did you do anything else?	09:22:15
14	A. No, I did not.	09:22:17
15	Q. Okay. And, Dr. Islam, I understand this is	09:22:18
16	not your first deposition; is that correct?	09:22:20
17	A. Yes, this is not my first deposition.	09:22:24
18	Q. How many times have you been deposed?	09:22:27
19	A. I don't have a precise number off the top of	09:22:33
20	my head, but it's north of 15 probably.	09:22:36
21	Q. And in each of those depositions, was -- were	09:22:39
22	you the -- strike that.	09:22:46

1	Did those depositions all involve	09:22:51
2	your patents?	09:22:54
3	A. No, not all of the deposition involved my	09:22:58
4	patents.	09:23:01
5	Q. Were you -- were you deposed as an expert in	09:23:03
6	other cases?	09:23:05
7	A. Yes, I was deposed as an expert in other	09:23:07
8	cases.	09:23:10
9	Q. About how many times have you been deposed on	09:23:10
10	your own patents?	09:23:15
11	A. Again, I don't know the precise number, but	09:23:20
12	north of -- north of ten, at least.	09:23:22
13	Q. And how many times have you been deposed as an	09:23:26
14	expert in a case?	09:23:29
15	A. I'm sorry, say again, please?	09:23:30
16	Q. How many times have you been deposed as an	09:23:32
17	expert in the case?	09:23:34
18	A. Expert -- oh, in a separate case? Again,	09:23:37
19	somewhere on the order of three to five	09:23:39
20	times, I think, from --	09:23:41
21	Q. How many times have you --	09:23:41
22	A. -- my memory.	09:23:44

1	Q. Apologies, I can't tell when you're finished	09:23:46
2	talking --	09:23:48
3	A. I'm sorry.	09:23:48
4	Q. -- and I'll try not to talk over you. My	09:23:48
5	apologies.	09:23:51
6	A. That's all right.	09:23:51
7	Q. How many times have you been retained as an	09:23:52
8	expert in a patent case?	09:23:56
9	A. It's been a while, but, again, going from	09:24:01
10	memory -- I haven't reviewed that document --	09:24:04
11	but probably somewhere, again, three to five	09:24:07
12	times.	09:24:10
13	Q. So -- as I'm sure you're used to, if you can	09:24:11
14	let me know if you don't understand the	09:24:19
15	question I'm asking, and I appreciate that.	09:24:21
16	A. Okay. Thank you.	09:24:26
17	Q. And when you answer, please answer verbally	09:24:27
18	and clearly, rather than just nodding, so that	09:24:29
19	we have a clear transcript. Can you do that?	09:24:32
20	A. Yes, I will try to respond verbally.	09:24:34
21	Q. And if you need a break, just let me know. I	09:24:37
22	do ask that if there's a pending question, we	09:24:43

1	get that resolved and answered before we go	09:24:45
2	off record; is that fair?	09:24:48
3	A. Yes, that's fair.	09:24:49
4	Q. Where are you sitting now?	09:24:52
5	A. I am sitting in my home office in Ann Arbor,	09:24:54
6	Michigan.	09:24:58
7	Q. Is there anyone in the office or room with	09:24:58
8	you?	09:25:03
9	A. No, there is no one in my room, and I've also	09:25:03
10	closed the doors to try to reduce any	09:25:05
11	background noise.	09:25:08
12	Q. Thank you. Other than Zoom, do you have any	09:25:09
13	other applications open on your computer?	09:25:15
14	A. I -- as per the request, I do have a --	09:25:18
15	what's it called -- a file whatever, file	09:25:23
16	thing that has an open file so that I can put	09:25:28
17	the deposition exhibits in.	09:25:30
18	Q. Anything else?	09:25:33
19	A. No, but when I click on those, of course	09:25:35
20	either a PDF or a Word doc -- window will	09:25:39
21	open.	09:25:42
22	Q. Yes.	09:25:42

1	A. My window, by the way, is on -- on my right,	09:25:43
2	which, I guess, will look backwards left on	09:25:47
3	you.	09:25:48
4	Q. Thanks, I appreciate that.	09:25:48
5	Yeah, I would just ask that you not	09:25:50
6	open up any other applications beyond Zoom or	09:25:52
7	Adobe or whatever you need to open up the	09:25:55
8	exhibits.	09:25:57
9	A. Okay. Thank you.	09:25:58
10	Q. You have an SC degree; is that correct? Sc.D.	09:26:04
11	degree, excuse me.	09:26:08
12	A. Yes, Sc.D., standing for doctor of science.	09:26:09
13	I have a bachelor's, a master's, and an Sc.D.	09:26:13
14	degree from Massachusetts Institute of	09:26:16
15	Technology, or MIT.	09:26:20
16	Q. Are you familiar with physiological monitoring	09:26:21
17	devices?	09:26:27
18	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	09:26:31
19	A. I am aware of certain types of physiological	09:26:32
20	measurement devices.	09:26:40
21	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:26:42
22	Q. What are common types of physiological	09:26:43

1	monitoring devices?	09:26:45
2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	09:26:47
3	A. Well, again, there are many types of	09:26:48
4	physiological measurement devices. Myself, I	09:26:53
5	am an optics and photonics professor and an	09:26:59
6	expert in light-based matter, so most of my	09:27:03
7	experience are on light-based physiological	09:27:09
8	measurements. Having said that, of course,	09:27:12
9	there's many other, kind of, physiological	09:27:15
10	measurements that can be done.	09:27:18
11	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:27:20
12	Q. How many patents have you applied for?	09:27:20
13	A. I've lost count, but somewhere on the order	09:27:27
14	of about 215 U.S. patents.	09:27:29
15	Q. Was that 250, 5-0, or 215, 1-5?	09:27:33
16	A. 2-1-5, I'm sorry.	09:27:40
17	Q. And on those 215 patents, are you the sole	09:27:46
18	inventor on all of them?	09:27:53
19	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Scope.	09:27:55
20	A. On -- no, not on all 215. I'm sorry, to be	09:27:57
21	clear, I'm not the sole inventor on all	09:28:11
22	215 --	09:28:13

1	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:28:13
2	Q. Okay.	09:28:13
3	A. -- or thereabouts number of patents.	09:28:14
4	Q. So you have co-inventors on some of your	09:28:16
5	patents?	09:28:19
6	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objection.	09:28:19
7	A. I do have --	09:28:19
8	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Go ahead.	09:28:25
9	A. I do have co-inventors on some of the	09:28:25
10	patents, that is correct.	09:28:27
11	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:28:32
12	Q. About what percentage?	09:28:32
13	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objection.	09:28:34
14	A. You know, again, I haven't reviewed that. I	09:28:39
15	can guess. Again, it would just be purely	09:28:47
16	conjecture at this point. Probably about a	09:28:49
17	fifth of them have co-inventors, but, again,	09:28:51
18	that's just a rough number. I would have to	09:28:53
19	review that to answer that more precisely.	09:28:55
20	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:28:58
21	Q. Have you taken what's called the Patent Bar?	09:29:03
22	A. Yes, I have taken the USPTO's Patent Bar	09:29:09

1	exam.	09:29:14
2	Q. When did you take that?	09:29:14
3	A. Again, you're asking me to go stretch back in	09:29:24
4	my memory, but the -- it would be on the	09:29:27
5	order of 2003 or 2004, somewhere around that	09:29:30
6	time.	09:29:36
7	Q. How did you prepare to take the Patent Bar?	09:29:37
8	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	09:29:42
9	A. Well, you know, most of the preparation, of	09:29:50
10	course, is to go through and review the MPEP,	09:29:52
11	the Manual of Patent Examination Procedures.	09:29:57
12	That's the basis of the U.S. Patent Bar exam.	09:30:01
13	And so going through that, reviewing it,	09:30:07
14	taking old bar exams. And, in fact, I also	09:30:12
15	teach a course on patents because the best	09:30:18
16	way to learn is to teach it.	09:30:20
17	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:30:23
18	Q. Did you do anything else to prepare to take	09:30:29
19	the Patent Bar?	09:30:31
20	A. Yeah. I think I took one of the prep courses	09:30:32
21	as well. I forget the name of it. But, you	09:30:35
22	know, again, there's a lot of Patent Bar	09:30:41

1	exams, basically reviewing those.	09:30:43
2	Q. You said you teach a course on patents. Did	09:30:44
3	you teach that course before you took the	09:30:49
4	Patent Bar?	09:30:52
5	A. Again, going from memory, I taught that	09:30:57
6	course the year I was taking the Patent Bar	09:30:59
7	exam.	09:31:01
8	Q. So would you consider yourself to be a patent	09:31:09
9	agent?	09:31:12
10	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	09:31:13
11	A. I have registration number with the	09:31:16
12	U.S. Patent Office that says I am a patent	09:31:20
13	agent.	09:31:23
14	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:31:34
15	Q. As part of studying for the Patent Bar, did	09:31:34
16	you have to become familiar with the rules and	09:31:36
17	requirements for patent prosecution before the	09:31:38
18	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office?	09:31:39
19	A. Yes. In preparation for the Patent Bar, as I	09:31:44
20	said, the Patent Bar is based on the MPEP,	09:31:51
21	and that has -- if I understand your question	09:31:55
22	correctly, the rules for prosecution, is	09:31:59

1	that -- was that your question? I'm sorry.	09:32:04
2	Q. You've prosecuted patents yourself; is that	09:32:09
3	correct?	09:32:11
4	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	09:32:13
5	A. I am involved in patent prosecution, but all	09:32:17
6	of my patent prosecution are done by	09:32:21
7	attorneys.	09:32:23
8	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:32:32
9	Q. Have you had any interaction directly with the	09:32:34
10	patent office yourself?	09:32:37
11	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	09:32:38
12	A. I have had some interactions with the patent	09:32:44
13	office directly.	09:32:52
14	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:32:52
15	Q. What were those?	09:32:53
16	A. In at least -- again, going from memory, at	09:32:55
17	least two occasions I was invited to give	09:33:00
18	talks at what are called -- what was at least	09:33:07
19	called "tech days" at the patent office. So	09:33:12
20	I think at least twice I was invited to give	09:33:14
21	seminars at the tech days.	09:33:19
22	Q. Have you yourself made any filings with the	09:33:20

1	patent office as a patent agent?	09:33:27
2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	09:33:32
3	A. No. All of my filings -- let me be clear. I	09:33:33
4	don't -- like I said, I don't remember	09:33:42
5	exactly on whether it ever has my	09:33:44
6	registration number on them, but all filings	09:33:49
7	with the patent office are done through	09:33:52
8	attorneys. What I don't remember is if any	09:33:54
9	of them had on the submitted documents. I	09:34:00
10	mean, they obviously have my name as	09:34:03
11	inventor, but -- and filed on my behalf, but	09:34:05
12	I don't remember if it ever had, for example,	09:34:07
13	my registration number cited on there.	09:34:09
14	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:34:11
15	Q. With respect to the patents involved in these	09:34:16
16	four IPRs, were you involved in prosecuting	09:34:20
17	any of those patents?	09:34:24
18	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Let me just caution	09:34:25
19	the witness, do not reveal the substance of	09:34:26
20	any communications you may have had with	09:34:28
21	attorneys during prosecution, but you can --	09:34:31
22	you can answer counsel's question "yes" or	09:34:34

1	"no" whether you were involved.	09:34:36
2	A. Yes, I'm involved, but, again, all	09:34:37
3	interactions with the patent office are	09:34:45
4	through counsel.	09:34:47
5	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:34:56
6	Q. So do you recall whether you acted as a patent	09:34:56
7	agent in prosecuting any of the four patents	09:34:58
8	at issue in these IPRs?	09:35:00
9	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	09:35:05
10	And I'll give you the same caution	09:35:06
11	about revealing the substance of any	09:35:07
12	attorney-client communications.	09:35:09
13	A. As I said, all interactions with the patent	09:35:15
14	office are done through my prosecuting	09:35:17
15	attorneys.	09:35:20
16	I'm sorry, did I answer your	09:35:36
17	question?	09:35:38
18	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:35:39
19	Q. My question was whether you acted as a patent	09:35:40
20	agent in prosecuting any of the four patents	09:35:43
21	at issue in these IPRs.	09:35:46
22	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objection.	09:35:47

1	And I'll give you the same caution	09:35:48
2	about communications with counsel.	09:35:49
3	A. If your question is did I ever interact	09:35:55
4	directly with the patent office, the answer	09:35:58
5	is no. I guess I don't know what you mean as	09:36:00
6	a patent agent interacting with the patent	09:36:02
7	office.	09:36:04
8	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:36:17
9	Q. With respect to the four patents that are at	09:36:17
10	issue in these IPRs, are you still prosecuting	09:36:20
11	patents in that family?	09:36:25
12	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	09:36:28
13	Also scope.	09:36:29
14	And, again, let me just caution the	09:36:29
15	witness, don't reveal the substance of any	09:36:32
16	communications with counsel -- prosecuting	09:36:35
17	counsel with respect to those applications.	09:36:37
18	A. I'm sorry, could you repeat your question,	09:36:43
19	please?	09:36:45
20	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:36:48
21	Q. Yes. I said, with respect to the four patents	09:36:48
22	that are at issue in these IPRs, are you still	09:36:50

1	prosecuting patents in that family?	09:36:53
2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	09:36:55
3	A. So if I might ask for clarification. What do	09:37:00
4	you mean by "family," I guess? Just to be	09:37:07
5	clear. I want to make sure I understand.	09:37:09
6	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:37:11
7	Q. Are you familiar with the concept of a patent	09:37:12
8	family?	09:37:16
9	A. I am generally, but if you could be more	09:37:18
10	specific on what you're asking just so I can	09:37:20
11	make sure to answer properly.	09:37:24
12	Q. What is a patent family?	09:37:26
13	A. As I understand it, a patent family would be	09:37:31
14	if I have a certain patent, it may have what	09:37:33
15	we call parents, for example, it claims	09:37:37
16	priority to, and it may also have something	09:37:41
17	like children, which might be, as an example,	09:37:43
18	a continuation or a divisional.	09:37:46
19	That's my -- how I describe a family	09:37:50
20	to be, I guess, in the patent world.	09:37:52
21	Q. With respect to the four patents that are at	09:37:54
22	issue in these IPRs, are you still prosecuting	09:37:57

1	patents in that family?	09:38:00
2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	09:38:03
3	Form. Scope.	09:38:04
4	And, again, I'll just caution you not	09:38:05
5	to reveal any communications with prosecution	09:38:07
6	counsel.	09:38:09
7	A. Again, I'm not quite sure I understand your	09:38:17
8	question, but there are patents that are	09:38:19
9	being prosecuted that are within the scope of	09:38:22
10	the same family or at least have parts of the	09:38:28
11	spec that are the same as these four patents.	09:38:31
12	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:38:39
13	Q. Do you know about how many patents have been	09:38:39
14	issued that are related to the four patents at	09:38:41
15	issue in these IPRs?	09:38:47
16	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Object to form.	09:38:51
17	A. I do not, off the top of my head, know how	09:38:52
18	many patents are, as you describe, in the	09:39:01
19	family of these four patents.	09:39:04
20	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:39:06
21	Q. Approximately, do you know?	09:39:07
22	A. Yeah, probably approximately somewhere --	09:39:18

1	again, related to these four patents, we're	09:39:25
2	talking about the '533, '304, '455, and '475	09:39:27
3	patents. Correct?	09:39:32
4	Q. Yes.	09:39:34
5	A. Yeah. Yeah, there may be on the order of	09:39:35
6	maybe, again, approximate number, 30-ish	09:39:44
7	patents or patents pending.	09:39:48
8	Q. Does increasing light intensity in a wearable	09:40:00
9	device always improve the signal-to-noise	09:40:03
10	ratio?	09:40:07
11	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	09:40:07
12	and scope.	09:40:08
13	A. In general, increasing light intensity	09:40:16
14	improves signal-to-noise ratio. Basically,	09:40:20
15	when you're increasing light intensity you're	09:40:25
16	increasing the signal level, and so long as	09:40:27
17	the noise level doesn't proportionally	09:40:31
18	increase, in general, it will increase	09:40:34
19	signal-to-noise ratio.	09:40:40
20	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:40:42
21	Q. So does increasing light intensity in a	09:40:43
22	wearable device always improve the	09:40:46

1	signal-to-noise ratio?	09:40:47
2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	09:40:48
3	and scope.	09:40:48
4	A. As I said, in general it increases the	09:40:52
5	signal-to-noise ratio, but, no, it doesn't	09:40:55
6	always have to.	09:40:56
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:41:01
8	Q. Prior to your patents, was it known in the	09:41:01
9	field to increase the light intensity --	09:41:03
10	excuse me.	09:41:06
11	Prior to your patents, was it known	09:41:06
12	in the field to increase light intensity in	09:41:09
13	wearable devices?	09:41:11
14	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	09:41:14
15	and scope.	09:41:15
16	A. I'm sorry, could you repeat that question?	09:41:17
17	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:41:19
18	Q. Prior to your patents, was it known in the	09:41:21
19	field to increase light intensity in wearable	09:41:24
20	devices?	09:41:29
21	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	09:41:29
22	A. I'm not sure if -- I'm sorry, I'm not sure if	09:41:36

1 you're asking me an obvious question. In a 09:41:39
2 wearable device, if I have a light source 09:41:42
3 there will presumably be times it's off and 09:41:43
4 times it's on; otherwise, your battery is 09:41:46
5 going to run out completely, so -- the 09:41:49
6 wearable device, unless it wants to run the 09:41:51
7 battery out completely, will always have a 09:41:55
8 change in light intensity. 09:41:57

9 Is that what your question is? 09:41:59

10 BY MR. DAVIS: 09:42:03

11 Q. Prior to your patents, was it known in the 09:42:04
12 field to increase light intensity in wearable 09:42:05
13 devices to improve the signal-to-noise ratio? 09:42:10

14 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form 09:42:14
15 and scope. 09:42:15

16 A. Again, I'm not sure you're giving me the 09:42:16
17 context. Could you be more specific about 09:42:19
18 your context of that question? 09:42:21

19 BY MR. DAVIS: 09:42:24

20 Q. What context do you need? 09:42:27

21 A. Increasing light intensity to increase 09:42:31
22 signal-to-noise ratio of what, I guess? 09:42:34

1	Q. Are you familiar with the concept of	09:42:41
2	increasing light intensity to improve	09:42:43
3	signal-to-noise ratio?	09:42:45
4	A. Well, again, I'm asking, give me a specific	09:42:48
5	context if you might, or I can give you a	09:42:53
6	specific context if you'd like to answer that	09:42:55
7	question.	09:42:56
8	Q. Can you answer my question? Are you familiar	09:42:58
9	with the concept of increasing light intensity	09:43:00
10	to improve signal-to-noise ratio?	09:43:02
11	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	09:43:05
12	A. Again, I'm generally familiar with the	09:43:06
13	concept but, again, that can't be answered	09:43:10
14	without giving a proper context. So, for	09:43:13
15	example, if you'd like, we can pin this down	09:43:16
16	to a field of what's called spectroscopy.	09:43:19
17	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:43:23
18	Q. Okay. So in the field of spectroscopy, prior	09:43:24
19	to your patents, was it known in that field to	09:43:31
20	increase the light intensity in wearable	09:43:33
21	devices to improve signal-to-noise ratio?	09:43:36
22	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	09:43:39

1	and scope.	09:43:39
2	A. I'm sorry, you just crossed fields, so -- you	09:43:42
3	included something in that question that	09:43:45
4	wasn't in my -- you said "spectroscopy" and	09:43:47
5	"wearables." Which do you mean?	09:43:52
6	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:43:53
7	Q. Okay. So you consider spectroscopy and	09:43:54
8	wearables to be two different fields?	09:43:57
9	A. Again, are you speaking to spectroscopy or	09:44:04
10	are you speaking to wearables? Let me just	09:44:07
11	be -- let me explain my confusion on that.	09:44:09
12	So when I think about spectroscopy,	09:44:11
13	I'm thinking about a -- as an example, a	09:44:14
14	laboratory setting where I have a big laser,	09:44:17
15	I have some sample under test, I have a	09:44:20
16	receiver, et cetera, et cetera.	09:44:22
17	When I think about a wearable, I'm	09:44:23
18	thinking about something that I might put on	09:44:25
19	my wrist or my ear or something.	09:44:27
20	And so in one instance, I use	09:44:30
21	"spectroscopy" generally. When I think about	09:44:32
22	spectroscopy, I think about a laboratory	09:44:35

1	setting on a lab table. When I think about a	09:44:37
2	wearable, I think about something on a wrist	09:44:41
3	or an ear or some other part of your body.	09:44:43
4	So that's why I say if you could	09:44:45
5	clarify, that would be helpful.	09:44:46
6	Q. Let's talk about wearables, then.	09:44:48
7	Prior to your patents, was it known	09:44:54
8	in the field to increase the light intensity	09:44:56
9	in wearable devices to improve signal-to-noise	09:44:58
10	ratio?	09:45:00
11	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	09:45:01
12	and scope.	09:45:01
13	A. In the field of wearables, where, again, by	09:45:05
14	"wearables" we're talking about something	09:45:09
15	that -- to be specific, when I say	09:45:10
16	"wearables," I'm talking about something that	09:45:13
17	you put on a wrist or ear, as an example. I	09:45:14
18	actually don't know whether it was known or	09:45:17
19	whether people increased light intensity to	09:45:21
20	increase signal-to-noise ratio. I don't know	09:45:24
21	the answer to that.	09:45:26
22	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:45:27

1 Q. In the field of optical sensing, prior to your 09:45:30
2 patents, was it known to increase light 09:45:35
3 intensity to improve signal-to-noise ratio? 09:45:42
4 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form 09:45:45
5 and scope. 09:45:46
6 A. Well, again, optical sensing is a fairly 09:45:46
7 broad and vague field. 09:45:54
8 Let me be more specific. 09:45:56
9 In the field of spectroscopy, as I 09:45:58
10 defined where you had the light source, a 09:46:07
11 sample under test, a receiver on a lab table, 09:46:08
12 in that context, it was known that 09:46:10
13 improving -- increasing light intensity would 09:46:13
14 improve signal-to-noise ratio, or could, in 09:46:19
15 general. 09:46:20
16 BY MR. DAVIS: 09:46:24
17 Q. Is spectroscopy part of optical sensing, as a 09:46:25
18 field? 09:46:32
19 A. Again, I don't know if we're getting into 09:46:44
20 semantics, but yes, I would say in general. 09:46:46
21 Optical sensing is extremely broad, right, 09:46:49
22 you know. If I am looking at you right now 09:46:52

1 in Zoom, I am doing an optical sensing. So, 09:46:54
2 you know, to draw an analogy, if I touch 09:47:01
3 something, I'm doing a touch sensing. With 09:47:04
4 my -- if I'm doing something with my eye, 09:47:05
5 it's optical sensing. So that's extremely 09:47:08
6 broad. 09:47:11

7 Spectroscopy is tying it -- it's a 09:47:12
8 subset of that, if you like, where, as I 09:47:14
9 said, in the laboratory setting, you have a 09:47:15
10 light source sample under a test, receiver, 09:47:17
11 and probably some processor associated with 09:47:18
12 that. 09:47:20

13 But, again, I could describe my 09:47:20
14 looking at you as an optical sensing, and so 09:47:22
15 optical sensing is a very broad term. 09:47:27

16 Q. If a user turns up the light intensity on a 09:47:29
17 wearable device, does that improve the 09:47:41
18 signal-to-noise ratio? 09:47:42

19 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form 09:47:43
20 and scope. 09:47:44

21 A. I don't know the answer to that question 09:47:51
22 because at least in the wearable devices I 09:47:52

1	have, I don't -- I, as the user, don't have a	09:47:54
2	way to adjust the light intensity. There is	09:47:58
3	no knob that says, increase light intensity,	09:48:02
4	for example, on my -- I'm wearing an Apple	09:48:05
5	Watch. It doesn't have any knob that says,	09:48:08
6	increase light intensity.	09:48:11
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:48:13
8	Q. If a watch has two different settings, one	09:48:19
9	with a lower light intensity and one with a	09:48:29
10	higher light intensity on a wearable device,	09:48:34
11	would moving from the lower light intensity to	09:48:36
12	the higher light intensity improve the	09:48:40
13	signal-to-noise ratio?	09:48:42
14	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	09:48:43
15	A. So I guess I -- let me just say the premise	09:48:48
16	of that question is not known to me. In	09:49:00
17	other words, I do not know of any wearable	09:49:02
18	device that has a low light intensity and a	09:49:04
19	high light intensity setting for the	09:49:08
20	physiological measurements, for example. But	09:49:09
21	if it had so, again, in general, increasing	09:49:11
22	light intensity would improve the	09:49:17

1	signal-to-noise ratio.	09:49:19
2	But, again, I know of no -- to my	09:49:20
3	knowledge, there's no -- I do not know of a	09:49:23
4	wearable device that has a option of low	09:49:25
5	light intensity or high light intensity.	09:49:31
6	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:49:34
7	Q. How would you determine whether a	09:49:34
8	signal-to-noise ratio has increased?	09:49:36
9	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Scope	09:49:37
10	and form.	09:49:38
11	A. I'm sorry, could you repeat your question	09:49:42
12	again?	09:49:44
13	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:49:44
14	Q. How would you determine whether a	09:49:45
15	signal-to-noise ratio has been increased?	09:49:46
16	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Scope	09:49:49
17	and form.	09:49:52
18	A. Could we put that into a context so I can	09:49:53
19	imagine how to do that measurement?	09:49:56
20	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:49:59
21	Q. In a wearable device, how do you determine	09:49:59
22	whether a signal-to-noise ratio has been	09:50:02

1	increased?	09:50:03
2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Scope.	09:50:05
3	A. Well, I can think of an experiment to do	09:50:06
4	that. Is that what you're asking?	09:50:12
5	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:50:17
6	Q. I'm asking, in a wearable device, how would	09:50:17
7	you determine whether a signal-to-noise ratio	09:50:20
8	has been increased?	09:50:22
9	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	09:50:24
10	Scope.	09:50:25
11	A. Well, I, as a user, do not get information --	09:50:27
12	just simply -- again, let me take the -- let	09:50:34
13	me be specific.	09:50:36
14	I happen to be wearing an Apple	09:50:37
15	Watch so it will be specific to that. And	09:50:40
16	the Apple Watch, it does a measurement, it	09:50:43
17	gives me a number. It doesn't give me a	09:50:45
18	signal-to-noise ratio out of it.	09:50:47
19	So just as a user, I have no way to	09:50:50
20	determine the signal-to-noise ratio. I can	09:50:53
21	imagine experiments I could do in a	09:50:59
22	laboratory setting where I -- if I had to	09:51:01

1	take apart and I had access to everything,	09:51:04
2	but as the user, I get a number.	09:51:06
3	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:51:08
4	Q. In a laboratory setting, how would you	09:51:09
5	determine whether a signal-to-noise ratio has	09:51:16
6	been increased in a wearable device?	09:51:19
7	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Outside	09:51:22
8	the scope.	09:51:26
9	A. Right. So, again, if we could, as an	09:51:26
10	example, carry out like a Gedankenexperiment.	09:51:34
11	Let's say that I had a wearable device that's	09:51:38
12	been taken apart and I have access to all of	09:51:41
13	the inputs and outputs coming from the	09:51:43
14	circuitry, I would have to define something	09:51:47
15	that's the signal, and that might be, for	09:51:52
16	example, you know, the measurement of	09:51:57
17	whatever you care about, and I would have to	09:51:59
18	have something describing the noise as --	09:52:03
19	and, again, that noise might be, for example,	09:52:08
20	the signal when the light is off or something	09:52:10
21	like that. Or, you know, when you're not	09:52:12
22	wearing it or something.	09:52:14

1 And then I'd have to independently 09:52:17
2 measure that signal, and I have to 09:52:19
3 independently measure that noise and take 09:52:22
4 the -- divide those two to get the 09:52:24
5 signal-to-noise ratio. 09:52:26

6 But as I said, in most wearables, 09:52:27
7 the user doesn't have access to the signal 09:52:30
8 and the noise. 09:52:34

9 Q. So once you found that signal-to-noise ratio, 09:52:35
10 how would you determine whether it's been 09:52:38
11 improved? 09:52:40

12 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Scope. 09:52:42

13 A. Well, again, I'm going through sort of an 09:52:47
14 Gedankenexperiment on this. The way I would 09:52:50
15 do it in the laboratory is I would have some 09:52:54
16 sort of knob on increasing the noise level, 09:52:58
17 as an example. And then if I know how much 09:53:05
18 I've increased the noise level by, I can then 09:53:09
19 see whether the signal-to-noise ratio has 09:53:11
20 proportionately changed, as an example. 09:53:12

21 Again, giving just one example of 09:53:16
22 how you might measure that. 09:53:17

1	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:53:19
2	Q. How do you tell if increasing light intensity	09:53:21
3	improved the signal-to-noise ratio in a	09:53:24
4	wearable device?	09:53:26
5	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	09:53:27
6	Outside the scope.	09:53:27
7	A. As I said, as a user of the device, I either	09:53:29
8	get a reading or I don't get a reading.	09:53:40
9	There is nothing on something like the smart	09:53:45
10	watches that give a signal-to-noise ratio	09:53:50
11	number. So as a user, I don't see that. I	09:53:54
12	either get a reading or I don't.	09:53:58
13	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:54:00
14	Q. In a laboratory setting, how do you tell if	09:54:00
15	increasing light intensity improved the	09:54:03
16	signal-to-noise ratio in a wearable device?	09:54:06
17	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	09:54:10
18	Outside the scope.	09:54:11
19	A. Again, you're asking me a hypothetical	09:54:11
20	question, but as an example, again, a	09:54:18
21	Gedankenexperiment, if I have control over,	09:54:21
22	as an example, the noise level, and I know	09:54:27

1 what the noise level is and I can keep that 09:54:29
2 fixed, then -- and I adjust the signal level. 09:54:32
3 And, again, so long as I can measure 09:54:37
4 the noise level and I can measure the signal 09:54:40
5 level, I can increase the light intensity of 09:54:42
6 the -- again, assuming I have adjustment of 09:54:46
7 the light intensity. I can then see 09:54:48
8 whether -- how that is affecting and whether 09:54:50
9 it's increasing the signal-to-noise level. 09:54:55
10 But it has to be a very controlled 09:54:56
11 environment in the lab where, again, I have 09:54:58
12 control over the signal level and the noise 09:55:00
13 level. 09:55:02
14 Q. Does increasing pulse rate in a wearable 09:55:04
15 device always improve the signal-to-noise 09:55:08
16 ratio? 09:55:10
17 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form 09:55:10
18 and scope. 09:55:11
19 A. In general, increasing the -- I'm sorry, did 09:55:14
20 you say repetition? Right? What did you 09:55:21
21 say? Pulse rate, or... 09:55:23
22 BY MR. DAVIS: 09:55:24

1 Q. Does increasing pulse rate in a wearable 09:55:24
2 device always improve the signal-to-noise 09:55:26
3 ratio? 09:55:28
4 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections. 09:55:34
5 A. Again, just to be specific, I'm gonna say -- 09:55:34
6 when I say "pulse rate," I'm gonna assume the 09:55:36
7 pulse repetition rate. But, in general, 09:55:38
8 increasing the pulse repetition rate 09:55:44
9 increases the signal-to-noise ratio because 09:55:50
10 you're giving more photons, basically, to the 09:55:52
11 detector. 09:55:55
12 BY MR. DAVIS: 09:55:58
13 Q. Does increasing pulse rate in a wearable 09:55:59
14 device always improve the signal-to-noise 09:56:01
15 ratio? 09:56:05
16 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections. 09:56:05
17 A. Again, in general, increasing the pulse 09:56:11
18 repetition rate does increase the 09:56:14
19 signal-to-noise ratio, but it doesn't always 09:56:16
20 have to. 09:56:17
21 BY MR. DAVIS: 09:56:21
22 Q. What are instances where increasing the pulse 09:56:21

1 rate in a wearable device would not improve 09:56:24
2 the signal-to-noise ratio? 09:56:30

3 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to scope. 09:56:31

4 A. Again, you'd have to be more specific, but as 09:56:32

5 an example, two instances I can think of. 09:56:37

6 One would be if the detector saturated, in 09:56:40

7 which case it would not improve the 09:56:46

8 signal-to-noise ratio. 09:56:48

9 Another would be if you have -- if 09:56:49

10 the light source itself, as you increase the 09:56:51

11 repetition rate, degrades the output somehow, 09:56:55

12 whether it be -- or higher noise level from 09:56:58

13 the light source itself or maybe the 09:57:03

14 intensity goes down because the pulse rate's 09:57:05

15 gone up and then it can't deliver enough 09:57:08

16 power. 09:57:10

17 So, again, the -- there's -- there 09:57:10

18 have to be assumptions about the light source 09:57:13

19 and the detector to make sure that it's 09:57:15

20 improving signal-to-noise ratio. 09:57:19

21 BY MR. DAVIS: 09:57:20

22 Q. What are instances where increasing the light 09:57:20

1	intensity in a wearable device would not	09:57:24
2	improve the signal-to-noise ratio?	09:57:27
3	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form	09:57:28
4	and scope.	09:57:29
5	A. So, again, similar to what we talked about	09:57:33
6	for increasing pulse repetition rate,	09:57:37
7	increasing the light intensity does not	09:57:39
8	always have to lead to improvement of	09:57:41
9	signal-to-noise ratio. Again, in general, it	09:57:45
10	does. But, again, one example would be if	09:57:46
11	the detector gets saturated and increasing	09:57:49
12	the light intensity would not increase	09:57:53
13	signal-to-noise ratio.	09:57:55
14	But, again, in general, if you're	09:57:57
15	operating in the linear regime of the	09:57:59
16	detector, increasing the light intensity does	09:58:01
17	improve the signal-to-noise ratio.	09:58:07
18	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:58:08
19	Q. Can you think of any other examples where	09:58:08
20	increasing the light intensity in a wearable	09:58:11
21	device would not improve the signal-to-noise	09:58:13
22	ratio?	09:58:14

1	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Scope.	09:58:15
2	A. I'm sure there are, but sitting here, I'd	09:58:17
3	have to think about that more. I don't --	09:58:25
4	off the top of my head, don't have an answer	09:58:30
5	to that, but I'm sure there are other	09:58:32
6	instances.	09:58:34
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:58:34
8	Q. If noise is increased at the same time that	09:58:38
9	light intensity is increased, would the	09:58:42
10	signal-to-noise ratio improve --	09:58:46
11	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	09:58:49
12	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:58:50
13	Q. -- in a wearable device? Excuse me.	09:58:51
14	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Sorry. Form and	09:58:53
15	scope.	09:58:54
16	A. So, again, in your hypothetical question, if	09:58:58
17	you had a light source where, when you	09:59:01
18	increase the light intensity, the noise level	09:59:04
19	increased proportionately, and let's say you	09:59:06
20	double the signal and you double the noise at	09:59:10
21	the same time, then it would be the same	09:59:13
22	signal-to-noise ratio because the signal	09:59:16

1	doubled and the noise doubled, and so the	09:59:18
2	ratio stayed the same.	09:59:20
3	So, again, if I understood your	09:59:22
4	question, if the light source has where the	09:59:24
5	noise level goes up proportional to the	09:59:29
6	signal, it may not improve the	09:59:31
7	signal-to-noise ratio.	09:59:33
8	Did I understand your question	09:59:34
9	correctly? I'm sorry.	09:59:35
10	BY MR. DAVIS:	09:59:37
11	Q. If noise is increased at the same time that	09:59:38
12	pulse rate is increased in a wearable device,	09:59:41
13	would that improve the signal-to-noise ratio?	09:59:44
14	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form	09:59:47
15	and scope.	09:59:48
16	A. Again, in your hypothetical setting, if, when	09:59:52
17	you increase the light -- I'm sorry, the	09:59:56
18	pulse repetition rate, which means you're	10:00:01
19	delivering more photons, if the noise level	10:00:04
20	went up proportional to the number of photons	10:00:09
21	that you increased with the pulse repetition	10:00:13
22	rate, you would end up getting the same	10:00:15

1	signal-to-noise ratio.	10:00:17
2	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:00:18
3	Q. Does whether a signal-to-noise ratio increases	10:00:24
4	or decreases depend on whether the amount of	10:00:30
5	noise increases or decreases?	10:00:33
6	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form	10:00:38
7	and scope.	10:00:39
8	A. Well, they're related, obviously. I mean,	10:00:43
9	signal-to-noise ratio is signal divided by	10:00:48
10	noise. So to -- as a particular example, if	10:00:51
11	you assume the signal were kept constant,	10:00:55
12	then increasing the noise would decrease the	10:00:59
13	signal-to-noise ratio proportionately.	10:01:02
14	I'm not sure I'm answering your	10:01:05
15	question, again, correctly.	10:01:06
16	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:01:08
17	Q. To know whether a signal-to-noise ratio	10:01:08
18	increased, do you need to know whether the	10:01:13
19	noise increased or decreased?	10:01:16
20	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form	10:01:19
21	and scope.	10:01:20
22	A. Let me just repeat the question to make sure	10:01:24

1	I understood it. I think you're asking	10:01:27
2	that -- I'm sorry, could you repeat your	10:01:31
3	question? I'm not sure I followed it.	10:01:34
4	Sorry.	10:01:35
5	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:01:36
6	Q. To know whether a signal-to-noise ratio	10:01:36
7	increased, do you need to know whether the	10:01:38
8	noise increased or decreased?	10:01:42
9	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	10:01:47
10	A. I -- so let me just see if I can repeat that	10:01:48
11	question. So if I measure the	10:02:04
12	signal-to-noise ratio, I get a number, let's	10:02:06
13	say. I don't necessarily know if that number	10:02:10
14	changed because the signal changed or the	10:02:13
15	noise changed.	10:02:15
16	And so without additional	10:02:17
17	information from simply knowing that the	10:02:18
18	signal-to-noise ratio changed, I can't --	10:02:21
19	because there's two parameters, basically,	10:02:24
20	signal and noise.	10:02:26
21	So if you tell me all I'm going to	10:02:30
22	give you information about signal-to-noise	10:02:31

1	ratio, I can't determine definitively whether	10:02:35
2	it was the signal that changed or the noise	10:02:40
3	that changed.	10:02:43
4	Is that -- did that -- I'm not sure,	10:02:45
5	again, if I'm answering your question.	10:02:48
6	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:02:49
7	Q. To know whether a signal-to-noise ratio	10:03:03
8	increases, do you need to know whether the --	10:03:05
9	both the signal changed and the noise changed?	10:03:09
10	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form	10:03:13
11	and outside the scope.	10:03:16
12	A. Again, in your hypothetical question, if all	10:03:18
13	I'm given is that the signal-to-noise ratio	10:03:25
14	changed, only thing I can say for sure is	10:03:29
15	either the signal or the noise or both	10:03:37
16	changed, but I can't determine which changed	10:03:39
17	just simply from giving the output of the	10:03:43
18	signal-to-noise ratio, if that makes sense.	10:03:46
19	Again, there's two variables, and so	10:03:48
20	I can't sort that -- I can simply say the	10:03:50
21	ratio changed, but I can't work back to say	10:03:53
22	which of those changed without further	10:03:56

1	information.	10:03:58
2	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:03:58
3	Q. So there are two variables in a	10:03:59
4	signal-to-noise ratio, signal and noise.	10:04:02
5	Correct?	10:04:04
6	A. Yes, that's correct.	10:04:06
7	Q. If you know that signal increases, that's not	10:04:08
8	enough information to know whether the	10:04:14
9	signal-to-noise ratio increases; is that	10:04:16
10	correct?	10:04:18
11	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form	10:04:20
12	and scope.	10:04:20
13	A. I believe that's a fair statement because, as	10:04:22
14	an example, if the noise level either went up	10:04:27
15	proportionally or faster than the signal	10:04:31
16	level went up, the signal-to-noise ratio	10:04:33
17	would not necessarily increase.	10:04:36
18	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:04:39
19	Q. And if you know that noise increases, that's	10:04:40
20	not enough information to know whether the	10:04:42
21	signal-to-noise ratio increases; is that	10:04:44
22	correct?	10:04:46

1	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	10:04:46
2	A. Again, unless you give me further information	10:04:50
3	about the signal I cannot uniquely define	10:04:53
4	whether or not the signal-to-noise ratio	10:04:57
5	would change or how the signal-to-noise ratio	10:04:59
6	would change with a change in noise level.	10:05:02
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:05:05
8	Q. Prior to your patents, was it known to	10:05:05
9	increase pulse rate in a wearable device to	10:05:10
10	improve signal-to-noise ratio?	10:05:16
11	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form	10:05:18
12	and scope.	10:05:18
13	A. I do not know whether in a wearable device it	10:05:22
14	was known to change pulse repetition rate to	10:05:27
15	change signal-to-noise ratio.	10:05:32
16	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:05:34
17	Q. Prior to your patents, in the field of	10:05:37
18	spectroscopy, was it known to increase pulse	10:05:42
19	rate to improve signal-to-noise ratio?	10:05:46
20	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	10:05:51
21	A. Again, I'm not certain because I don't know	10:05:53
22	of anyone who did that. Usually these lasers	10:06:02

1	don't have adjustable pulse repetition rate	10:06:05
2	that you use in spectroscopy. So, actually,	10:06:10
3	I don't know the answer to that question.	10:06:13
4	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:06:17
5	Q. If a user turns up the pulse rate of the light	10:06:27
6	on a wearable device, would that improve the	10:06:32
7	signal-to-noise ratio?	10:06:35
8	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form	10:06:37
9	and outside the scope.	10:06:38
10	A. Well, again, for starters, again, I don't	10:06:43
11	know of any wearables or -- wearable device	10:06:45
12	where the user has a knob they can adjust the	10:06:52
13	pulse repetition rate. But, again, in	10:06:56
14	general, it would improve the signal-to-noise	10:06:58
15	ratio, but it's not guaranteed to.	10:07:01
16	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:07:21
17	Q. In a laboratory setting, how would you	10:07:22
18	determine whether increasing pulse rate	10:07:28
19	improved the signal-to-noise ratio in a	10:07:30
20	wearable device?	10:07:31
21	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	10:07:32
22	A. Again, in doing a Gedankenexperiment in the	10:07:43

1	laboratory settings, first, I would have to	10:07:47
2	have a light source that I can adjust the	10:07:49
3	repetition -- pulse repetition rate on.	10:07:51
4	There would be a pulse light source that I	10:07:55
5	can change the repetition rate on.	10:07:57
6	Again, I would have to have a	10:08:00
7	detector, and, again, perhaps a sample under	10:08:02
8	test. But we would look at -- first	10:08:07
9	determine the noise level by turning the	10:08:17
10	light source off and assume that all the	10:08:19
11	other conditions stay fixed, i.e., the room,	10:08:23
12	lights, temperature, et cetera, all being	10:08:26
13	fixed, we measure the noise level.	10:08:28
14	And then we turn on the light source	10:08:30
15	and measure a signal-to-noise ratio at a	10:08:36
16	given pulse repetition rate, and then we	10:08:41
17	change the pulse repetition rate and plot the	10:08:42
18	signal-to-noise ratio versus the pulse	10:08:45
19	repetition rate to see whether it's changing.	10:08:48
20	I don't know if that makes sense.	10:08:52
21	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:08:53
22	Q. If you were examining -- pardon me.	10:08:53

1	If you were examining a device, how	10:08:54
2	would you determine whether -- strike that.	10:09:01
3	If you were examining a wearable	10:09:04
4	device, how would you determine whether it	10:09:05
5	increases pulse rate to improve the	10:09:09
6	signal-to-noise ratio?	10:09:13
7	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:09:15
8	Outside of scope of the declaration.	10:09:17
9	A. So let me just make sure I understand the	10:09:23
10	question, if I might. You're saying that if	10:09:25
11	I had a wearable device in a laboratory	10:09:27
12	setting, how could I see if the pulse	10:09:30
13	repetition rate's been changed? Is that what	10:09:34
14	you're asking? I'm sorry.	10:09:37
15	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:09:40
16	Q. I'm asking, if you were examining a wearable	10:09:40
17	device, how would you determine whether it	10:09:44
18	increases pulse rate to improve the	10:09:45
19	signal-to-noise ratio?	10:09:48
20	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:09:49
21	Outside the scope.	10:09:50
22	A. Again, I would have to think more about this.	10:09:59

1	I can think of one example, but, again, I'm	10:10:02
2	sure there are many more ways of doing it.	10:10:04
3	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:10:16
4	Q. So if you were examining a wearable device,	10:10:16
5	how would you determine whether it increases	10:10:18
6	pulse rate to improve signal-to-noise ratio?	10:10:20
7	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:10:23
8	Outside the scope.	10:10:24
9	A. Again, I'll give you one example of -- just	10:10:26
10	off the top of the head how I might do it,	10:10:30
11	but, again, that's something I'd have to	10:10:33
12	think a lot more about to really get a	10:10:34
13	definitive answer.	10:10:37
14	But as an example -- and just as an	10:10:37
15	example -- let's say I'm wearing a watch.	10:10:39
16	And to be more specific, let's say that it's	10:10:43
17	emitting pulsed green light; in other words,	10:10:46
18	a visible light that I can see either with my	10:10:51
19	eyes or a detector.	10:10:53
20	So in the wearable device, if I	10:10:55
21	slowly moved my wearable further away from	10:11:01
22	the skin, i.e., I'm getting less reflected	10:11:04

1 light from the skin, therefore weaker signal, 10:11:07
2 if I can see the pulsing of the green light, 10:11:12
3 if I can see whether that pulsing increases 10:11:14
4 more or not, that might be one way in which I 10:11:16
5 can see whether, in the laboratory setting, 10:11:20
6 that wearable device is changing the pulse 10:11:23
7 repetition rate to improve signal-to-noise 10:11:28
8 ratio. 10:11:32

9 So in that example -- again, that's 10:11:34
10 just merely an example. And, again, just off 10:11:36
11 the top of my head, as I'm moving that watch 10:11:39
12 or that wearable further from the skin, I'm 10:11:43
13 reducing the signal-to-noise ratio because 10:11:46
14 the amount of reflected light coming back 10:11:49
15 into the detector is reducing. 10:11:51

16 And then if I can -- if I can see 10:11:53
17 the blinking green light, if I can see 10:11:55
18 whether that rate of blinking is increasing, 10:11:57
19 that would say that that device was 10:12:02
20 increasing pulse repetition rate to improve 10:12:04
21 signal-to-noise ratio. 10:12:10

22 But that's, again, just a 10:12:11

1	Gedankenexperiment off the top of head. I'm	10:12:13
2	sure there's -- given enough time, I could	10:12:16
3	think about it more and come up with maybe a	10:12:18
4	better way to characterize that in a	10:12:20
5	laboratory setting.	10:12:21
6	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:12:24
7	Q. In a wearable device, is the light intensity	10:12:24
8	correlated to the signal?	10:12:33
9	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form	10:12:35
10	and scope.	10:12:41
11	A. I guess you have to be more specific. Light	10:12:41
12	intensity of what?	10:12:43
13	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:12:44
14	Q. Well, we've been talking about increasing	10:12:45
15	light intensity in a wearable device.	10:12:47
16	Correct?	10:12:49
17	A. Yes, but, again, to be specific, are you	10:12:55
18	talking about the light intensity of the	10:12:56
19	light source on the wearable device --	10:12:58
20	Q. Yes.	10:13:00
21	A. -- as opposed to the room light or something	10:13:01
22	else?	10:13:03

1 Q. Yes. So does increasing -- strike that. 10:13:03

2 Does the light intensity of the light 10:13:08

3 source in a wearable device correspond to the 10:13:15

4 signal in a signal-to-noise ratio? 10:13:20

5 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form. 10:13:25

6 Outside the scope. 10:13:25

7 A. Well, again, I don't know I can answer that 10:13:32

8 question because you have to tell me what 10:13:35

9 your signal -- in other words, 10:13:40

10 signal-to-noise ratio is related to some 10:13:43

11 signal, so you have to explain what that 10:13:44

12 signal is before I can answer that question. 10:13:46

13 BY MR. DAVIS: 10:13:49

14 Q. Does increasing light intensity increase both 10:13:50

15 signal and noise in a signal-to-noise ratio? 10:13:53

16 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections. 10:13:56

17 A. Again, signal-to-noise ratio of what, I 10:14:01

18 guess? As I think more about this, you know, 10:14:06

19 I've got to know what I'm talking about the 10:14:09

20 signal-to-noise ratio of. 10:14:11

21 BY MR. DAVIS: 10:14:13

22 Q. In a wearable device, does increasing the 10:14:13

1	light intensity of the light source increase	10:14:17
2	both the signal and the noise in a	10:14:19
3	signal-to-noise ratio?	10:14:22
4	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:14:23
5	Outside the scope.	10:14:25
6	A. Again, signal of what, I guess, is I keep	10:14:28
7	coming back to. Signal of what? What are	10:14:32
8	you -- there has to be something that you're	10:14:34
9	tying the signal-to-noise ratio to.	10:14:36
10	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:15:02
11	Q. You're familiar with the concept of increasing	10:15:03
12	light intensity to increase signal-to-noise	10:15:05
13	ratio in a wearable device. Correct?	10:15:08
14	A. Well, again, I want to be -- I want to make	10:15:14
15	sure I'm answering your question correctly.	10:15:19
16	Are you talking about the signal-to-noise	10:15:21
17	ratio of, for example, a heart rate	10:15:27
18	measurement or something? I mean, it has --	10:15:29
19	signal-to-noise ratio has to be of something.	10:15:31
20	You have to tell me what you're measuring.	10:15:33
21	Does that make sense?	10:15:36
22	Q. Are you able to answer my question without it	10:15:38

1	being tied to something specific?	10:15:40
2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:15:47
3	A. Well, if I don't know what the signal is and	10:15:47
4	I don't know what the noise is, I don't know	10:15:50
5	what the signal-to-noise ratio relates to,	10:15:51
6	so -- it has to be tied to something, I	10:15:53
7	think.	10:15:57
8	I mean, give you an example. If you	10:16:00
9	just simply turn on the room lights, increase	10:16:02
10	the light, you know, of your room lights,	10:16:04
11	does that mean improved signal-to-noise	10:16:07
12	ratio? Well, again, tell me what your	10:16:13
13	measure -- what your -- signal-to-noise ratio	10:16:15
14	of what?	10:16:16
15	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:16:25
16	Q. So the four patents at issue in these IPRs, do	10:16:26
17	you discuss in them the concept of increasing	10:16:29
18	light intensity to increase the	10:16:32
19	signal-to-noise ratio?	10:16:35
20	A. Yes, we do, but, again, we --	10:16:45
21	Q. In that sense --	10:16:46
22	A. Sorry.	10:16:47

1 Q. Apologize. I didn't realize you were not 10:16:49
2 finished. Let me ask the question and you can 10:16:51
3 answer. 10:16:55

4 In that context of the four patents 10:16:56
5 that are at issue in these IPRs, when you 10:17:01
6 increase light intensity, does that increase 10:17:07
7 the signal or the noise? 10:17:11

8 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form. 10:17:15

9 A. Could you -- could we look at one particular 10:17:26
10 claim or something so we could better 10:17:28
11 understand your question, or -- you're asking 10:17:31
12 a very broad question. 10:17:33

13 BY MR. DAVIS: 10:17:36

14 Q. I'm asking about the concepts in your patents. 10:17:36
15 So with respect to this concept of increasing 10:17:42
16 light intensity, when you increase light 10:17:45
17 intensity, does that increase the signal or 10:17:48
18 the noise or both? 10:17:53

19 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form. 10:17:56

20 A. Again, we're talking about tying the 10:18:01
21 signal-to-noise ratio, let's say, to a 10:18:09
22 physiological parameter measurement or some 10:18:14

1	sort of output from a processor or detector.	10:18:17
2	And in the context of the patents, in	10:18:24
3	general, we're assuming that the noise --	10:18:29
4	again, in general, we're assuming the noise	10:18:38
5	is from the background, whether it be room	10:18:44
6	light, sunlight, whatever the environment is.	10:18:47
7	And so in the context of what we're	10:18:49
8	thinking about in the patents, we're thinking	10:18:53
9	about, with that background being more or	10:18:55
10	less constant by increasing the light	10:18:58
11	intensity, I'm increasing the signal-to-noise	10:19:00
12	ratio of that physiological parameter.	10:19:05
13	I'm not sure if I'm answering your	10:19:07
14	question. Is that what you're asking?	10:19:08
15	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:19:12
16	Q. So in the context of your patents, when you	10:19:12
17	increase light intensity, are you saying that	10:19:15
18	that's increasing the signal in a	10:19:18
19	signal-to-noise ratio?	10:19:21
20	A. Say that again, I'm sorry.	10:19:26
21	Q. In the context of your patents, when you	10:19:27
22	increase light intensity, are you saying that	10:19:30

1	that's increasing the signal in a	10:19:33
2	signal-to-noise ratio?	10:19:36
3	A. Yes, but we have to again tie the	10:19:46
4	signal-to-noise ratio to a parameter that	10:19:48
5	we're saying. I don't know -- I think --	10:19:52
6	again, suggestion, if we could look at one	10:19:54
7	claim, let's say, of the '455 patent or	10:19:58
8	something, and I can maybe better answer your	10:20:00
9	question in terms of signal-to-noise ratio.	10:20:02
10	Q. So in the context of your patents, when you	10:20:05
11	increase light intensity, is -- does that mean	10:20:07
12	that the signal is being increased?	10:20:14
13	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:20:17
14	A. So, again, I want to -- I want to try to	10:20:19
15	answer the question as best I can.	10:20:26
16	So, first, I have to define an	10:20:27
17	output signal of some sort, so that has to	10:20:29
18	first be defined. In other words, I have to	10:20:33
19	define what is it that I'm talking the	10:20:34
20	signal-to-noise ratio about.	10:20:38
21	And in the context of our patents,	10:20:42
22	what we're envisioning in general is that the	10:20:46

1 background is coming -- the noise, if you 10:20:51
2 like, is coming from the environment, you 10:20:53
3 know, whether that be sunlight, room lights, 10:20:55
4 you know, whatever else, noise that may be 10:20:59
5 around. 10:21:05

6 And then when I'm increasing the 10:21:06
7 light intensity of the, for example, 10:21:11
8 light-emitting diodes that might be on that 10:21:13
9 wearable device -- again, a specific 10:21:16
10 example -- as long as I've defined what that 10:21:18
11 output signal is, we're saying that when I 10:21:20
12 increase that light intensity of that LED, 10:21:22
13 and given that the environment hasn't 10:21:26
14 changed, I have now improved my 10:21:29
15 signal-to-noise ratio. 10:21:30

16 But that's again -- I'm giving you 10:21:31
17 one example and -- if we can point to, for 10:21:33
18 example, one of the claims, I can tell you 10:21:34
19 how we're doing that or how we're defining 10:21:38
20 signal-to -- how we're defining signal, how 10:21:41
21 we're defining signal-to-noise ratio, and 10:21:43
22 then how we're changing the light source. 10:21:44

1	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:21:49
2	Q. In the context of your patents, does	10:21:50
3	increasing the light intensity increase the	10:21:51
4	noise in a signal-to-noise ratio?	10:21:54
5	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	10:21:57
6	A. Well, I cannot answer that question in the	10:22:00
7	abstract, I guess, because to answer that I	10:22:11
8	would have to know more about the light	10:22:14
9	source. But, again, if I -- if I make the	10:22:16
10	assumption -- which is not, again, written in	10:22:19
11	the claims or anything like that.	10:22:24
12	But if I assume that the environment	10:22:25
13	is the source of the noise and the light	10:22:27
14	source does not increase and the light source	10:22:31
15	does not introduce more noise, then changing	10:22:37
16	light intensity doesn't change the noise --	10:22:41
17	I'm not sure if I'm answering your	10:22:43
18	question. Maybe you could repeat it again.	10:22:44
19	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:22:50
20	Q. In the context of your patents, does	10:22:50
21	increasing the light intensity increase the	10:22:51
22	noise in a signal-to-noise ratio?	10:22:55

1	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	10:22:57
2	A. Again, that -- I'd have to know more about	10:23:02
3	the light source to be able to answer that	10:23:07
4	question.	10:23:09
5	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:23:09
6	Q. In the context of your patents, do you assume	10:23:10
7	that the noise in a signal-to-noise ratio	10:23:14
8	refers to the noise from the environment?	10:23:21
9	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	10:23:27
10	and scope.	10:23:27
11	A. In the context of the patents, certainly one	10:23:32
12	of the sources of noise is the environment,	10:23:34
13	but it may not be the only one, I guess.	10:23:37
14	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:23:41
15	Q. In the context of your patents, what are the	10:23:41
16	sources of noise in a signal-to-noise ratio?	10:23:44
17	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	10:23:48
18	and scope.	10:23:48
19	A. Well, again, just off the top of my head,	10:23:53
20	certainly sources of noise can include in	10:23:55
21	the -- again, in the context of my patent,	10:24:01
22	sunlight or ambient light. It can include	10:24:03

1	motion, for example. It can include	10:24:10
2	interference from other things such as the	10:24:15
3	skin or even other maybe -- depending on what	10:24:18
4	you're trying to measure, blood constituents	10:24:25
5	or something else that may be interfering	10:24:28
6	with your signal, so...	10:24:30
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:24:32
8	Q. Can the light source of a wearable device --	10:24:32
9	A. I'm sorry?	10:24:35
10	Q. -- be a contributor to the noise in a	10:24:35
11	signal-to-noise ratio?	10:24:40
12	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	10:24:41
13	and scope.	10:24:42
14	A. So, again, talking at a high level, I guess	10:24:48
15	maybe from a physics perspective -- and I	10:24:56
16	apologize. Since I teach optics and	10:24:58
17	photonics, I sort of have to describe it in	10:25:00
18	that context.	10:25:04
19	So every light source has noise	10:25:05
20	associated with it. Even in the best of	10:25:12
21	light sources -- it's called shot noise.	10:25:15
22	So every light source has noise	10:25:18

1	associated with it, but also there's noise	10:25:20
2	associated with the detector, there's noise	10:25:23
3	associated with the receiver behind it.	10:25:26
4	And so, again, you have to -- to	10:25:28
5	answer your question, every part of the	10:25:31
6	system introduces noise, but, you know -- so,	10:25:35
7	yeah, even in -- even in the best of best	10:25:40
8	light source, best of laser that you can buy	10:25:46
9	out there, there will be noise.	10:25:49
10	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:25:50
11	Q. In the context of your patents, does the pulse	10:25:50
12	rate of a light source on a wearable device	10:26:03
13	contribute to the signal in a signal-to-noise	10:26:08
14	ratio?	10:26:13
15	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	10:26:13
16	and scope.	10:26:14
17	A. Again, if I understand your question	10:26:26
18	correctly, let's -- let me -- to answer that	10:26:28
19	question, let me make one assumption. Let me	10:26:30
20	assume that the light source is able to	10:26:33
21	maintain the same height or pulse intensity,	10:26:35
22	light intensity, as it changes repetition	10:26:40

1 rate. Again, that's not always true, but 10:26:44
2 let's assume that for a moment. 10:26:47

3 If we assume that, then when I 10:26:48
4 increase the pulse repetition rate, I would 10:26:51
5 be increasing the number of photons going to 10:26:56
6 the detector and, in general, that would then 10:27:00
7 improve the signal-to-noise ratio. 10:27:04

8 So, again, in general, increasing 10:27:07
9 the pulse repetition rate improves the 10:27:10
10 signal-to-noise ratio. And as we talked 10:27:15
11 about, you know, there can be extenuating 10:27:16
12 circumstances; hopefully you don't design to 10:27:19
13 those, but, you know, either, A, detector got 10:27:21
14 saturated when too many photons hit it; or, 10:27:24
15 B, the light source intensity decreased when 10:27:27
16 you increased the repetition rate because 10:27:29
17 there's only so much energy it can deliver. 10:27:31

18 So, again there could be exceptions 10:27:33
19 based on those two examples, but other than 10:27:40
20 that, in general, as long as you're operating 10:27:42
21 in that linear regime for the detector and 10:27:44
22 your light source can deliver more or less 10:27:46

1 constant intensity as you increase the pulse 10:27:49
2 repetition rate, in the context of our 10:27:54
3 patents, certainly increasing the pulse 10:27:55
4 repetition rate would increase 10:27:58
5 signal-to-noise ratio. 10:27:59

6 MR. CHRISTOPHER: And Counsel -- 10:28:00

7 BY MR. DAVIS: 10:28:01

8 Q. In the context of a wearable device, every 10:28:02
9 component would introduce noise to a 10:28:04
10 signal-to-noise ratio; is that correct? 10:28:09

11 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form 10:28:10
12 and scope. 10:28:12

13 And when we get a moment -- we've 10:28:12
14 gone a little over an hour, if we could get to 10:28:15
15 a breaking point here. 10:28:18

16 THE WITNESS: I'd like to think of it 10:28:21
17 as a point for a break rather than a breaking 10:28:22
18 point, but anyway... 10:28:24

19 BY MR. DAVIS: 10:28:26

20 Q. Why don't I reask the question. Just so we 10:28:27
21 have -- 10:28:27

22 A. Yeah. 10:28:27

1 Q. -- a live question. Sorry. 10:28:29

2 In the context of a wearable device, 10:28:30

3 every component would introduce noise to a 10:28:31

4 signal-to-noise ratio. Correct? 10:28:36

5 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections. 10:28:37

6 A. Yes, it's true that -- again, when you say 10:28:40

7 "every component," let me be more specific. 10:28:42

8 So if I'm, for example, trying to do heart 10:28:46

9 rate measurement or something then every 10:28:51

10 component involved in that, such as the light 10:28:53

11 source, the detector, the electronics behind 10:28:55

12 that, and the receiver, each of those would 10:29:00

13 introduce some sort of noise, yes, that's 10:29:04

14 correct. 10:29:06

15 BY MR. DAVIS: 10:29:06

16 Q. Thank you. 10:29:08

17 MR. DAVIS: We can go off the record. 10:29:08

18 (Off the record at 10:29 a.m.) 10:29:09

19 (Back on the record at 10:37 a.m.) 10:37:47

20 BY MR. DAVIS: 10:37:54

21 Q. Does synchronizing light emitters and 10:37:55

22 detectors in a wearable device always improve 10:37:58

1	the signal-to-noise ratio?	10:38:01
2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	10:38:02
3	and scope.	10:38:02
4	A. In general, synchronizing the detectors to	10:38:08
5	the light source improves signal-to-noise	10:38:12
6	ratio.	10:38:29
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:38:29
8	Q. Does it always improve the signal-to-noise	10:38:30
9	ratio?	10:38:32
10	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	10:38:32
11	A. I'm trying to think of an instance where it	10:38:43
12	wouldn't. Again, in general, it --	10:38:48
13	synchronizing -- in general, in synchronizing	10:38:52
14	the detector to the light source improves	10:38:55
15	signal-to-noise ratio because you're not	10:38:57
16	collecting noise during the time the light	10:39:01
17	source is off. That's the general reason.	10:39:04
18	I'm sure there might be some weird	10:39:10
19	circumstances where synchronizing doesn't	10:39:12
20	improve the signal-to-noise ratio, but off	10:39:16
21	the top of the head -- off the top of my	10:39:17
22	head, sitting here, I can't think of an	10:39:18

1	instance of that, but I'm sure there must be.	10:39:25
2	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:39:27
3	Q. When you say "synchronizing light sources and	10:39:28
4	detectors in a wearable device," what do you	10:39:35
5	mean by "synchronizing"?	10:39:37
6	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	10:39:43
7	A. As an example, the detector measures when the	10:39:44
8	light source is on; in other words, when I	10:39:49
9	turn on the light source, I also look at the	10:39:51
10	light received at the detector during that	10:39:59
11	period of time when the light source is on.	10:40:01
12	So understanding -- you know,	10:40:03
13	unless -- and, again, most wearable devices,	10:40:06
14	as an example, don't have this unless you	10:40:08
15	have a block, a shutter or something in front	10:40:10
16	of the detector. The detector is always	10:40:13
17	looking. It's always measuring. But by	10:40:15
18	synchronizing, what you're saying is I'm only	10:40:19
19	going to look at the output of the detector	10:40:22
20	at the time that the light source is on.	10:40:24
21	So that's the context. Is that --	10:40:30
22	am I answering your question? I'm sorry. I	10:40:32

1	think you asked, if I understand you	10:40:34
2	correctly, what do we mean by synchronizing	10:40:35
3	in the context of my patent. Is that a	10:40:38
4	correct statement?	10:40:42
5	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:40:43
6	Q. Let me reask the question.	10:40:43
7	A. I'm sorry. Please.	10:40:45
8	Q. In the context of your patents --	10:40:46
9	A. Sorry, I interrupted you.	10:40:49
10	Q. No problem.	10:40:50
11	In the context of your patents, what	10:40:51
12	does it mean to synchronize light emitters and	10:40:57
13	detectors?	10:41:02
14	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	10:41:07
15	A. In the context of my patent, by synchronizing	10:41:08
16	the light source and the detector, what we	10:41:12
17	mean is that, when the light source is turned	10:41:14
18	on, the detector signal is measured.	10:41:16
19	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:41:24
20	Q. Does synchronizing light sources and detectors	10:41:25
21	in a wearable device contribute to the signal	10:41:33
22	or the noise in a signal-to-noise ratio?	10:41:37

1	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:41:45
2	A. By synchronizing the light source and a	10:41:55
3	detector in a wearable device, I am reducing	10:41:58
4	what would otherwise be the noise level that	10:42:06
5	would be in the signal-to-noise ratio because	10:42:08
6	I'm not measuring or taking into that	10:42:11
7	measurement the background light noise that	10:42:14
8	would be occurring when the light source is	10:42:23
9	off.	10:42:24
10	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:42:25
11	Q. Does increasing light intensity in a wearable	10:42:25
12	device contribute to the signal or the noise	10:42:28
13	in a signal-to-noise ratio?	10:42:29
14	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:42:34
15	A. Again, being specific to the signal-to-noise	10:42:39
16	ratio, let's say, of a measurement by the	10:42:42
17	wearable device, by increasing the light	10:42:45
18	intensity of the light source such as, for	10:42:50
19	example, an LED, that being the light source,	10:42:52
20	in general, it increases the signal of the	10:42:58
21	signal-to-noise ratio in that -- in general.	10:43:01
22	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:43:05

1	Q. Does increasing pulse rate in a wearable	10:43:05
2	device contribute to the signal or the noise	10:43:10
3	in a signal-to-noise ratio?	10:43:14
4	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	10:43:15
5	A. Again, in general, by increasing the pulse	10:43:20
6	repetition rate of the light source I'm	10:43:28
7	increasing the signal level at the receiver	10:43:31
8	of the signal-to-noise ratio because I'm	10:43:37
9	increasing the number of photons that are	10:43:39
10	available for the measurement.	10:43:41
11	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:43:47
12	Q. And prior to your patents, was it known in the	10:43:47
13	field to synchronize light emitters and	10:43:49
14	detectors in wearable devices?	10:43:53
15	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	10:43:55
16	and scope.	10:43:56
17	A. I'm sorry. Again, you referred to two	10:44:01
18	things, "field" and "wearable." You mean, in	10:44:04
19	the field of wearables? Just to be certain I	10:44:06
20	understand your question.	10:44:09
21	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:44:09
22	Q. Sure. Why don't -- I'll reask the question.	10:44:10

1	Prior to your patents, was it known	10:44:12
2	to synchronize light emitters and detectors in	10:44:13
3	a wearable device?	10:44:16
4	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	10:44:17
5	A. I don't know the answer to that question.	10:44:24
6	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:44:25
7	Q. Prior to your patents, was it known to	10:44:25
8	synchronize light emitters and detectors in a	10:44:33
9	wearable device to improve signal-to-noise	10:44:38
10	ratio?	10:44:40
11	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	10:44:40
12	and scope.	10:44:41
13	A. Again, I don't -- I don't know the answer to	10:44:45
14	that question.	10:44:47
15	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:44:49
16	Q. If you were analyzing a device -- strike that.	10:44:59
17	If you were analyzing a wearable	10:45:01
18	device, how would you tell if synchronizing	10:45:03
19	the light sources and detectors would improve	10:45:07
20	the signal-to-noise ratio?	10:45:11
21	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Outside	10:45:12
22	the scope.	10:45:21

1 A. Well, again, as -- if I'm just -- as a user 10:45:21
2 of the device, I certainly wouldn't be able 10:45:24
3 to do that. Again, I don't have control. I 10:45:26
4 don't have those knobs, let's say, on my 10:45:29
5 Apple Watch. But I could imagine how to do 10:45:31
6 it in a laboratory setting if I had access to 10:45:35
7 all the signals and all the material in 10:45:38
8 the -- all the things that are going on in 10:45:40
9 the watch. 10:45:43
10 BY MR. DAVIS: 10:45:43
11 Q. In a laboratory setting, how would you 10:45:43
12 determine whether synchronizing the light 10:45:45
13 sources and detectors in a wearable device 10:45:49
14 improved the signal-to-noise ratio? 10:45:52
15 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Outside 10:45:55
16 the scope. 10:46:01
17 A. Well, again, let me give you one example. 10:46:01
18 And, again, not -- and, again, this is just 10:46:03
19 off the top of my head. 10:46:04
20 So let me make the assumption that 10:46:05
21 the light source is pulsed, and I would do 10:46:07
22 two measurements, one where the detector is 10:46:17

1 always on. In other words, I don't follow 10:46:20
2 the light source, I don't synchronize the 10:46:23
3 light source, just let it stay on all the 10:46:26
4 time and look at what the resulting 10:46:28
5 signal-to-noise ratio is. 10:46:32

6 And then in the second instance, 10:46:33
7 synchronize the detector so it's only looking 10:46:35
8 when the light source is on, and look at the 10:46:37
9 signal-to-noise ratio for that. 10:46:39

10 And by comparing those two, I would 10:46:41
11 see whether synchronizing the light source 10:46:44
12 helped or improved the signal-to-noise ratio. 10:46:47

13 BY MR. DAVIS: 10:46:56

14 Q. To know whether a signal-to-noise ratio has 10:46:56
15 increased, do you need to know whether the 10:46:58
16 noise has increased? 10:47:01

17 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form. 10:47:09
18 Outside the scope. 10:47:11

19 A. As we said before, signal-to-noise ratio has 10:47:11
20 two variables, the signal and the noise. So 10:47:14
21 I -- I can't answer that question without 10:47:20
22 also knowing something about the signal. In 10:47:22

1	other words, if the noise -- you're -- if I	10:47:25
2	understood you correctly, you said if the	10:47:27
3	noise goes up, does the signal-to-noise ratio	10:47:29
4	change. And the question is whether the	10:47:32
5	signal changed proportionally or not.	10:47:34
6	So, again, there's two variables.	10:47:37
7	Without knowing both, I can't properly answer	10:47:39
8	that question.	10:47:42
9	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:47:43
10	Q. To know whether a signal-to-noise ratio has	10:47:43
11	increased, you would need to know whether the	10:47:46
12	noise and the signal have increased and by how	10:47:49
13	much?	10:47:52
14	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	10:47:53
15	It's outside of scope of the declaration.	10:47:54
16	A. Well, I guess I can answer that. If I know	10:48:07
17	how the signal has changed and I know how the	10:48:10
18	noise has changed, then I know how the ratio	10:48:13
19	has changed as well, if that's what you're	10:48:15
20	asking. In other words, if you give me more	10:48:16
21	pieces of information, I can take the ratio	10:48:18
22	and calculate the signal-to-noise ratio.	10:48:20

1	But, again, I think your question	10:48:21
2	earlier, if I understood you correctly, was	10:48:22
3	if you only tell me the noise changed, I	10:48:25
4	can't tell you how the signal-to-noise ratio	10:48:30
5	changed unless you tell me something about	10:48:31
6	the signal as well.	10:48:33
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:48:37
8	Q. I'm going to reask that then.	10:48:37
9	If you only know whether the noise	10:48:38
10	changed, you don't know whether the	10:48:40
11	signal-to-noise ratio has increased; is that	10:48:42
12	correct?	10:48:44
13	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	10:48:45
14	Outside the scope.	10:48:46
15	A. Unless you tell me something about the signal	10:48:52
16	level, I can't tell you definitively whether	10:48:56
17	the signal-to-noise ratio has increased or	10:49:01
18	decreased. If you told me the signal level	10:49:04
19	were fixed, and you tell me I increased the	10:49:08
20	noise level, then I would tell you, yes, for	10:49:13
21	the fixed signal level, the signal-to-noise	10:49:15
22	ratio will decrease when you increase the	10:49:18

1	noise level.	10:49:19
2	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:49:21
3	Q. If you only know whether the signal changed,	10:49:21
4	you don't know whether the signal-to-noise	10:49:24
5	ratio has increased; is that correct?	10:49:27
6	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	10:49:31
7	Outside the scope.	10:49:33
8	A. Again, if you tell me that I have only	10:49:33
9	increased the signal and tell me nothing	10:49:35
10	about the noise, I cannot definitively tell	10:49:38
11	you how the signal-to-noise ratio will have	10:49:41
12	changed.	10:49:47
13	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:49:47
14	Q. Does using change detection in a wearable	10:49:48
15	device always improve the signal-to-noise	10:49:51
16	ratio?	10:49:53
17	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:49:59
18	Scope.	10:50:01
19	A. In general, using change detection -- and,	10:50:01
20	again, to be specific, when I talk about	10:50:04
21	change detection, I mean comparing when the	10:50:07
22	light is off versus when the light is on at	10:50:08

1 the detector. In general, using change 10:50:11
2 detection does improve the signal-to-noise 10:50:13
3 ratio of the physiological parameter; for 10:50:15
4 example, the signal that you're trying to 10:50:19
5 measure. 10:50:21

6 BY MR. DAVIS: 10:50:21

7 Q. Does using change detection in a wearable 10:50:22
8 device always improve the signal-to-noise 10:50:25
9 ratio? 10:50:26

10 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form. 10:50:27

11 A. Again, in general, it does. I'm sure there 10:50:33
12 must be some esoteric case where it doesn't, 10:50:42
13 but in general -- I can't think off the top 10:50:46
14 of my head, but, in general, it is true the 10:50:48
15 change detection helps improve the 10:50:50
16 signal-to-noise ratio. 10:50:52

17 BY MR. DAVIS: 10:50:53

18 Q. Does change detection in a wearable device 10:50:54
19 contribute to the signal or the noise in a 10:51:00
20 signal-to-noise ratio? 10:51:03

21 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form. 10:51:03

22 A. So by using change detection what we're 10:51:08

1 trying to do is subtract out the ambient 10:51:16
2 noise. In other words, the noise level 10:51:23
3 that's there when the light source is off. 10:51:25
4 And so that includes, for example, from the 10:51:27
5 environment, such as including ambient light. 10:51:29
6 It also might include from the detector and 10:51:33
7 the receiver noise, et cetera, of that 10:51:36
8 circuitry. 10:51:41
9 So by subtracting the light off time 10:51:41
10 from the light on -- or the light off signal 10:51:44
11 from the light on signal we're reducing the 10:51:48
12 noise level, and by that means increasing the 10:51:51
13 signal-to-noise ratio. 10:51:55
14 I guess -- I'm sorry. If I may go 10:51:57
15 back on your earlier question for one moment, 10:51:59
16 when you asked about does change detection 10:52:04
17 always help the signal-to-noise ratio -- 10:52:06
18 Q. Why don't -- why don't I ask the question and 10:52:10
19 we can -- 10:52:12
20 A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Okay, that's fine. 10:52:13
21 Q. Yeah. So stick with the question we were on. 10:52:14
22 A. Yes. 10:52:17

1	Q. Is it your testimony that change detection in	10:52:18
2	a wearable device impacts the noise but not	10:52:24
3	the signal in a signal-to-noise ratio?	10:52:28
4	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:52:31
5	A. It's my testimony that by using change	10:52:32
6	detection, what you're trying to do is reduce	10:52:42
7	the noise contribution in the signal-to-noise	10:52:44
8	ratio by subtracting off the noise when the	10:52:48
9	light source is off. It's often called, for	10:52:53
10	example, dark current, that kind of noise.	10:52:55
11	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:52:58
12	Q. Does using change detection in a wearable	10:52:58
13	device always improve the signal-to-noise	10:53:01
14	ratio?	10:53:03
15	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:53:04
16	A. In general -- and going back to your earlier	10:53:06
17	question which you just reasked, I believe,	10:53:14
18	in general, doing change detection improves	10:53:16
19	the signal-to-noise ratio. It doesn't	10:53:20
20	necessarily always have to. And I guess --	10:53:24
21	as I said, I'll -- in general, it will.	10:53:28
22	The assumption is that the noise	10:53:31

1	level doesn't change between when the light	10:53:32
2	source is off and the light source is on from	10:53:36
3	the -- from the background.	10:53:39
4	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:53:43
5	Q. In your patents, are you assuming that the	10:53:43
6	noise level does not change when you're	10:53:48
7	talking about increasing the signal-to-noise	10:53:50
8	ratio?	10:53:53
9	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:53:54
10	A. In the -- in the context of some of the	10:53:54
11	claims related to wearable devices, what we	10:54:06
12	are thinking or assuming is that the noise	10:54:12
13	level comes from the ambient setting which	10:54:18
14	might include the ambient light, might	10:54:29
15	include how you're wearing the device, might	10:54:31
16	include how much motion you're doing,	10:54:35
17	et cetera.	10:54:37
18	So -- I'm sorry, maybe repeat your	10:54:42
19	question. I'm not sure if I'm answering you	10:54:45
20	correctly.	10:54:47
21	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:54:47
22	Q. In your patents, are you assuming that the	10:54:48

1	noise level does not change when you're	10:54:53
2	talking about increasing the signal-to-noise	10:54:55
3	ratio?	10:54:58
4	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:54:59
5	A. In the context of our patent, the claims	10:55:00
6	particularly, we're assuming that the noise	10:55:08
7	level is coming from, again, the ambient	10:55:12
8	setting, how it's being worn, et cetera. And	10:55:16
9	so the things we're doing, such as increasing	10:55:19
10	light intensity, by increasing pulse	10:55:24
11	repetition rate, change detection,	10:55:28
12	synchronization, all of these are helping to	10:55:31
13	improve the signal-to-noise ratio because the	10:55:37
14	noise isn't changing because the background	10:55:40
15	is the same.	10:55:43
16	Is that answering your question?	10:55:44
17	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:55:46
18	Q. What is change detection?	10:55:47
19	A. So if I were to consider that I -- let's say	10:55:50
20	I pulsed light, meaning on -- off light, on	10:55:53
21	light, off light, on light, change detection	10:55:58
22	would, for example, be considered the light	10:56:01

1	coming out of the receiver when the light --	10:56:04
2	I'm sorry, the signal coming out of the	10:56:06
3	receiver when the light source is on, and	10:56:08
4	then taking the signal from the receiver when	10:56:11
5	the light source is off and comparing them,	10:56:16
6	for example, by subtracting them.	10:56:19
7	Q. Prior to your patents, was it known to use	10:56:44
8	change detection in wearable devices?	10:56:47
9	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	10:56:50
10	Scope.	10:56:51
11	A. I do not know that it was known.	10:56:52
12	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:56:59
13	Q. Prior to your patents, was it known to use	10:56:59
14	change detection in wearable devices to	10:57:02
15	improve signal-to-noise ratio?	10:57:05
16	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	10:57:07
17	A. Again, I do not know that.	10:57:09
18	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:57:14
19	Q. In the field of optical sensing, was it known	10:57:14
20	to use change detection to improve	10:57:18
21	signal-to-noise ratio prior to your patents?	10:57:22
22	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	10:57:24

1 A. As I said, optical sensing is a very broad 10:57:25
2 field. If we could be more specific, for 10:57:33
3 example, of optical reconnaissance, I can 10:57:37
4 answer that question. 10:57:42

5 BY MR. DAVIS: 10:57:42

6 Q. Just generally, in the field of optical 10:57:43
7 sensing, was it known prior to your patents to 10:57:45
8 use change detection to improve 10:57:47
9 signal-to-noise ratio? 10:57:50

10 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form 10:57:55
11 and scope. 10:57:56

12 A. Again, optical sensing is very broad. As we 10:57:56
13 said, optical sensing even can include my 10:57:59
14 looking at you on this Zoom screen. But 10:58:02
15 in -- what I know is that in the field of 10:58:10
16 optical reconnaissance, change detection was 10:58:14
17 known. 10:58:15

18 BY MR. DAVIS: 10:58:19

19 Q. What about in the broader field of optical 10:58:19
20 sensing? Was it known prior to your patents 10:58:22
21 to use change detection to improve 10:58:25
22 signal-to-noise ratio? 10:58:28

1	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	10:58:33
2	A. Well, again, optical reconnaissance is one	10:58:33
3	area of optical sensing, so I'm not sure I	10:58:37
4	understand your question.	10:58:40
5	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:58:40
6	Q. You would agree that, in the field of optical	10:58:44
7	sensing, it was known prior to your patents to	10:58:51
8	use change detection to improve	10:58:54
9	signal-to-noise ratio?	10:58:56
10	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	10:58:57
11	Scope.	10:58:59
12	A. Well, what I know -- again, from my knowledge	10:58:59
13	in the field of optical reconnaissance, it	10:59:05
14	was known to use change detection. Again,	10:59:07
15	"optical sensing" is very broad, and I don't	10:59:12
16	know where else it was used, but from what I	10:59:14
17	know, it's used in optical reconnaissance.	10:59:16
18	BY MR. DAVIS:	10:59:22
19	Q. And you'd consider optical reconnaissance to	10:59:22
20	be within the field of optical sensing?	10:59:25
21	A. It would be one branch of optical sensing,	10:59:26
22	broadly speaking.	10:59:29

1 Q. If you were looking at -- strike that. 10:59:31

2 In a laboratory setting, how would 10:59:34

3 you determine whether change detection was 10:59:38

4 being used to improve signal-to-noise ratio in 10:59:41

5 a wearable device? 10:59:44

6 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. It's 10:59:47

7 outside the scope. 10:59:48

8 A. So, again, we're going into hypothetical 10:59:53

9 Gedankenexperiment. But if I had that 10:59:57

10 wearable device in my laboratory, I would 11:00:00

11 actually -- I guess I'd have to know 11:00:12

12 something about the algorithm of the 11:00:18

13 processing. In other words, I'd have to know 11:00:19

14 is that receiver looking at the light on time 11:00:24

15 and the light off time and subtracting those 11:00:29

16 or comparing them somehow. 11:00:31

17 Without knowing the algorithm -- I'm 11:00:34

18 sure there's probably a way to do an 11:00:45

19 experiment to see whether change detection is 11:00:47

20 being used, but certainly the easiest way to 11:00:50

21 determine whether the -- whether change 11:00:53

22 detection is being used is -- would be 11:00:54

1	knowing what the algorithm that the processor	11:00:56
2	is doing and whether it's comparing the light	11:00:58
3	on with the light off times.	11:01:02
4	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:01:04
5	Q. Does using -- does using spatially separated	11:01:04
6	detectors in a wearable device always improve	11:01:08
7	the signal-to-noise ratio?	11:01:10
8	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:01:12
9	A. In general, using spatially separated	11:01:23
10	detectors does -- will probably increase your	11:01:25
11	signal-to-noise ratio on a wearable device.	11:01:32
12	The idea is that, for example, when I, let's	11:01:39
13	say, wear a watch, I don't know whether the	11:01:41
14	light source -- I don't know -- I would like	11:01:45
15	to have a detector that's as close as	11:01:51
16	possible to light that's being reflected off	11:01:53
17	the blood. And so by having spatially	11:01:56
18	separated detectors, I might improve the	11:01:59
19	probability that I find signal that's	11:02:02
20	reflected off the blood.	11:02:05
21	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:02:07
22	Q. So is it your testimony that using spatially	11:02:16

1	separated detectors in a wearable device	11:02:18
2	always improves the signal-to-noise ratio?	11:02:20
3	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:02:23
4	A. No. It's my testimony that using spatially	11:02:25
5	separated detectors generally can improve the	11:02:30
6	signal-to-noise ratio.	11:02:35
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:02:38
8	Q. When does using spatially separated detectors	11:02:38
9	in a wearable device not improve the	11:02:41
10	signal-to-noise ratio?	11:02:43
11	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Object to form.	11:02:46
12	A. You know, again, if you -- I'll give you one	11:02:49
13	example, and, again, thinking off the top of	11:03:03
14	my head here.	11:03:05
15	So let's say I have two detectors	11:03:06
16	and one happened to be close to where the	11:03:10
17	blood is -- where the light is being	11:03:14
18	reflected off the blood, so I have a good,	11:03:17
19	what's called, photoplethysmography, or PPG,	11:03:19
20	signal. And let's say the other detector is	11:03:23
21	way off, there's no light being received, so	11:03:26
22	all I'm getting is the ambient effects.	11:03:28

1 So I have one detector that has a 11:03:30
2 good signal and the other one that has only 11:03:33
3 noise signal. And if I took those two 11:03:35
4 detector outputs and simply averaged them, I 11:03:37
5 would actually hurt or degrade my 11:03:40
6 signal-to-noise ratio. 11:03:42

7 Again, I'm giving you one example. 11:03:44
8 I'm not saying that's the only one out there, 11:03:46
9 but that would be one instance. And, again, 11:03:50
10 a well-designed device wouldn't do that. You 11:03:53
11 wouldn't take -- you wouldn't average in a 11:03:55
12 bad signal. 11:03:58

13 BY MR. DAVIS: 11:03:59

14 Q. When does -- go ahead. 11:03:59

15 A. I'm sorry. 11:04:00

16 But you asked is there any instance 11:04:01
17 ever where using separated, spatially 11:04:03
18 separated detectors would decrease your 11:04:06
19 signal-to-noise ratio. That's the example I 11:04:08
20 was giving. 11:04:10

21 Q. When does using change detection in a wearable 11:04:10
22 device not improve the signal-to-noise ratio? 11:04:15

1 A. Well, again, in general, change detection 11:04:30
2 does improve the signal-to-noise ratio. The 11:04:32
3 instance it wouldn't is that when the light 11:04:38
4 source is off, if for some reason the noise 11:04:41
5 level went up, and in that instance, change 11:04:43
6 detection would not necessarily help. 11:04:51
7 Again, off the top of my head, let 11:04:54
8 me give you one example. Let's say I had a 11:04:57
9 ambient light sensor of some adjacent light 11:05:02
10 source, or something, and so when you turned 11:05:05
11 the light off, the ambient light sensor said, 11:05:07
12 oh, the light is down so I'm going to 11:05:10
13 increase the background light. On that 11:05:12
14 instance, the background noise would increase 11:05:16
15 when the light source is off. 11:05:18
16 So -- 11:05:21
17 Q. When -- 11:05:22
18 A. Can be a pretty esoteric situation, but in 11:05:23
19 general, change detection does improve the 11:05:29
20 signal-to-noise ratio. 11:05:31
21 Q. When does synchronizing light emitters and 11:05:31
22 detectors in a wearable device not improve the 11:05:35

1 signal-to-noise ratio? 11:05:37

2 A. Well, again, in general, synchronizing the 11:05:44

3 light source in detectors does improve the 11:05:47

4 signal-to-noise ratio. 11:05:50

5 One example -- and, again, just off 11:05:50

6 the top of my head -- where it would not, is 11:05:52

7 if you're using -- again, giving you one 11:05:54

8 example, if you're using what's called a 11:05:59

9 lock-in amplifier where not only do you 11:06:01

10 synchronize but you also measure the phase of 11:06:04

11 the light source, and if you look at hundred 11:06:11

12 degrees -- 180 degrees out of phase, then you 11:06:19

13 would actually hurt your signal-to-noise 11:06:23

14 ratio because you're looking in the wrong 11:06:26

15 phase quadrant, if that makes sense. 11:06:28

16 But that would be one example I 11:06:30

17 might think of where you might -- 11:06:34

18 synchronization might not improve the 11:06:35

19 signal-to-noise ratio. 11:06:38

20 Q. When does increasing pulse rate in a wearable 11:06:38

21 device not improve signal-to-noise ratio? 11:06:41

22 A. Well, again, in general, increasing the -- 11:06:48

1	I'm -- so you said pulse rate? I'm sorry?	11:06:52
2	Q. Yes.	11:06:55
3	A. I'm sorry.	11:06:55
4	Q. Let me reask the question.	11:06:56
5	A. I'm sorry, please. Please.	11:06:58
6	Q. Yeah. When does increasing pulse rate in a	11:06:59
7	wearable device not improve the	11:07:02
8	signal-to-noise ratio?	11:07:05
9	A. So -- I love this. You would be a great --	11:07:09
10	in our -- in my field, you're the kind of	11:07:15
11	person that gives what are called qualifier	11:07:17
12	exams, whether I'm qualified for my Ph.D. or	11:07:20
13	not, so I hope I pass this exam. Otherwise,	11:07:23
14	you can take my degree away.	11:07:26
15	Q. Yeah, I -- I hope not, Doctor. You're	11:07:28
16	certainly more educated than I am. Let me	11:07:31
17	just reask the question, though.	11:07:33
18	A. I'm sorry.	11:07:35
19	Q. When does increasing pulse rate in a wearable	11:07:35
20	device not improve the signal-to-noise ratio?	11:07:38
21	A. So, again, in general, increasing the pulse	11:07:42
22	repetition rate increases -- and by the way,	11:07:45

1 the reason I keep on -- when you say "pulse
2 rate," I keep on saying "pulse repetition
3 rate," is for people in physiological
4 measurements, when they think pulse rate,
5 they're thinking about heart rate. That's
6 the only reason I keep on repeating "pulse
7 repetition rate."
8 But anyway, the -- just -- in
9 general, increasing the pulse repetition rate
10 does increase the signal-to-noise ratio.
11 Example where it might not -- again, off the
12 top of my head -- would be where the detector
13 saturates because too many photons are
14 hitting it, or that the light source can only
15 deliver so much energy, so when you increase
16 the pulse repetition rate the pulse intensity
17 is actually decreasing.
18 Q. When does increasing light intensity in a
19 wearable device not improve the
20 signal-to-noise ratio?
21 A. Well, again, in general, increasing the light
22 intensity does increase the signal-to-noise

11:07:50
11:07:52
11:07:54
11:07:56
11:07:58
11:08:00
11:08:03
11:08:03
11:08:06
11:08:08
11:08:11
11:08:13
11:08:17
11:08:20
11:08:23
11:08:25
11:08:28
11:08:30
11:08:33
11:08:34
11:08:40
11:08:43

1 ratio. One example, again, sitting here 11:08:45
2 thinking off the top of my head, is if I have 11:08:47
3 a light source where increasing the light 11:08:50
4 intensity increases the noise level much 11:08:54
5 further, or higher than the signal -- light 11:08:58
6 intensity, then the signal-to-noise ratio 11:09:03
7 would actually degrade because the noise from 11:09:08
8 the light source increased higher than the -- 11:09:10
9 faster than the signal did. 11:09:13
10 Q. Do -- strike that. 11:09:14
11 Does using spatially separated 11:09:24
12 detectors in a wearable device contribute to 11:09:26
13 the signal or the noise in a signal-to-noise 11:09:30
14 ratio? 11:09:31
15 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form. 11:09:33
16 A. You would have to be a little more specific 11:09:44
17 for me to answer that question with regards 11:09:46
18 to how it's being used. If you'd like, I can 11:09:49
19 try to clarify that. 11:09:55
20 BY MR. DAVIS: 11:09:59
21 Q. Sure. 11:09:59
22 A. So let me give you two different instances -- 11:10:00

1	examples where -- let's say we have two	11:10:04
2	detectors, just to be specific, and I have --	11:10:07
3	if I have two detectors, I'll give you one	11:10:12
4	example where it improves the signal level,	11:10:15
5	and I'll give you a different example where	11:10:17
6	it decreases the noise level.	11:10:19
7	So if I have two detectors, and	11:10:23
8	let's say that one detector is right above	11:10:30
9	where there's a blood vessel and so,	11:10:33
10	therefore, it gets a strong signal, and the	11:10:36
11	other detector is way off and -- is further	11:10:38
12	away so it gets a very poor signal level.	11:10:41
13	By selecting or by looking at all	11:10:43
14	those detectors and saying, no, I want to use	11:10:46
15	that detector that's right above the blood	11:10:48
16	vessel and, therefore, getting a strong	11:10:52
17	signal, I will have improved my	11:10:54
18	signal-to-noise ratio because I picked the	11:10:56
19	detector that had the strongest of the	11:10:57
20	signals.	11:10:59
21	That would be an instance where, by	11:11:00
22	using spatially separated detectors, I'm	11:11:02

1	improving the signal level.	11:11:05
2	An example where I would reduce the	11:11:06
3	noise level by using spatially separated	11:11:10
4	detectors -- again, take that same example --	11:11:14
5	one detector is above the blood vessel and	11:11:17
6	the other is completely away from the blood	11:11:19
7	vessel, well -- but both detectors are seeing	11:11:22
8	the same skin and background interference,	11:11:24
9	et cetera, ambient light, skin interference,	11:11:28
10	et cetera.	11:11:31
11	So now if I take the detector that	11:11:32
12	has the strong signal and I use the other	11:11:35
13	detector to subtract out the background,	11:11:39
14	i.e., from the skin interference, the ambient	11:11:41
15	light, et cetera, then I'm reducing the noise	11:11:44
16	level.	11:11:46
17	Does that make sense?	11:11:49
18	Q. Is it your testimony that using spatially	11:11:57
19	separated detectors in a wearable device	11:11:59
20	impacts both the signal and the noise in a	11:12:04
21	signal-to-noise ratio?	11:12:07
22	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:12:09

1 A. It's my testimony that using spatially 11:12:13
2 separated detectors can improve the 11:12:20
3 signal-to-noise ratio. As to whether that 11:12:24
4 improvement occurs by improving the signal 11:12:28
5 level or by decreasing the noise level 11:12:32
6 depends on how you're using those multiple 11:12:36
7 inputs from the detectors. 11:12:40
8 BY MR. DAVIS: 11:12:43
9 Q. Prior to your patents, was it known to use 11:12:44
10 spatially separated detectors in a wearable 11:12:49
11 device? 11:12:51
12 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form 11:12:53
13 and scope. 11:12:54
14 A. I don't know of that. And maybe I should -- 11:12:55
15 I just want to put a -- if I could clarify 11:13:06
16 what I'm thinking of when I answer your 11:13:08
17 questions on, "prior to your patent on 11:13:10
18 wearable devices." 11:13:14
19 BY MR. DAVIS: 11:13:14
20 Q. Well, why don't we stick with this question 11:13:15
21 first. 11:13:17
22 A. Okay. 11:13:17

1	Q. Prior to your patents, was it known to use	11:13:18
2	spatially separated detectors in a wearable	11:13:22
3	device?	11:13:24
4	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	11:13:25
5	A. Prior to my -- I'm sorry. The question was,	11:13:26
6	prior to my patent did people use spatially	11:13:31
7	separated detectors? Is that what you're	11:13:34
8	asking? I'm sorry.	11:13:36
9	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:13:38
10	Q. Yes.	11:13:38
11	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	11:13:44
12	A. Yeah, I can't think of whether they were	11:13:44
13	known or not. And, again, let me -- let me	11:13:51
14	be specific in what I'm thinking just so I	11:13:54
15	put a context around that.	11:13:57
16	So, again, my patent priority date	11:13:58
17	is 2012, and before that, when I'm thinking	11:14:03
18	about wearable devices, I'm thinking of a	11:14:06
19	pulse oximeter that you put on the finger.	11:14:12
20	And so I do not know whether there	11:14:13
21	were spatially separated -- I do not -- the	11:14:21
22	pulse oximeters I knew did not have spatially	11:14:24

1 separated detectors on the pulse oximeter. 11:14:27

2 Doesn't mean that there weren't any, but the 11:14:31

3 ones I had at least, or the ones I know of, 11:14:33

4 did not have spatially separated detectors. 11:14:35

5 BY MR. DAVIS: 11:14:38

6 Q. Prior to 2012, are you aware of any other 11:14:39

7 wearable device? 11:14:41

8 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form. 11:14:42

9 A. Again, I'm going from memory. This is 11:14:51

10 obviously 14, 15 years ago. The wearable 11:14:53

11 devices that I knew about at that time were 11:15:04

12 something like the Fitbit, I think I had a 11:15:05

13 Fitbit, but that Fitbit only had an 11:15:07

14 accelerometer. 11:15:10

15 So -- I'm sorry, could you repeat 11:15:15

16 your question? Let me just say [sic] it 11:15:16

17 again. 11:15:19

18 BY MR. DAVIS: 11:15:20

19 Q. Prior to 2012, are you aware of any other 11:15:20

20 wearable device beyond a pulse oximeter? 11:15:22

21 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form. 11:15:27

22 A. Yes. 11:15:28

1	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:15:31
2	Q. What are those?	11:15:32
3	A. Well, again, in answer -- in the context of	11:15:32
4	light measuring wearable devices, that's the	11:15:38
5	oximeter that I was referring to. But,	11:15:42
6	again, at the time, I believe, or at least	11:15:49
7	what I owned, I had a Fitbit which counted	11:15:51
8	the number of steps that you took, and so	11:16:01
9	that was a wearable device that had an	11:16:02
10	accelerometer in it to measure the motion	11:16:05
11	and, therefore, how many steps you were	11:16:08
12	taking.	11:16:10
13	They famously said, you know, you're	11:16:10
14	supposed to take 10,000 steps per day, or	11:16:14
15	whatever the number was.	11:16:16
16	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:16:17
17	Q. So in the context of light measuring wearable	11:16:18
18	devices, are you aware of any other wearable	11:16:20
19	devices beyond a pulse oximeter prior to 2012?	11:16:23
20	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	11:16:30
21	and scope.	11:16:31
22	A. I'm sure there were. Again, my experience	11:16:39

1 was in -- or my -- yeah, what I had or what I 11:16:41
2 used for pulse oximeters. But I'm sure, for 11:16:45
3 example, in a hospital there would have been 11:16:49
4 medical devices that use light to measure 11:16:52
5 physiological parameters. Whether those -- I 11:17:04
6 wouldn't call those wearables, necessarily, 11:17:05
7 although you would say that they're ones that 11:17:07
8 are applied to the body. 11:17:09

9 But if I think about a portable 11:17:10
10 device, i.e., one that you could put on your 11:17:12
11 finger or your wrist and move around with it, 11:17:15
12 the only one that comes to mind, as I sit 11:17:20
13 here, is a pulse oximeter. 11:17:22

14 BY MR. DAVIS: 11:17:26

15 Q. Prior to your patents, was it known to use 11:17:26
16 spatially separated detectors to improve 11:17:32
17 signal-to-noise ratio in the field of optical 11:17:35
18 sensing? 11:17:40

19 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form 11:17:40
20 and scope. 11:17:42

21 A. Yes. Again, to be more specific, optical 11:17:46
22 sensing, again, is very, very broad, but 11:17:52

1	the -- I'm sorry, repeat your question again.	11:17:57
2	Sorry.	11:18:01
3	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:18:03
4	Q. Prior to your patents, was it known to use	11:18:03
5	spatially separated detectors to improve	11:18:06
6	signal-to-noise ratio in the field of optical	11:18:08
7	sensing?	11:18:10
8	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	11:18:11
9	A. Yes. It was certainly known in optical	11:18:14
10	spectroscopy, but also -- I'm sorry. The	11:18:20
11	reason I lost my train of thought, probably	11:18:22
12	partly dementia, but as I'm looking at you, I	11:18:24
13	have two eyes. I have two detectors. And so	11:18:27
14	by using both of my eyes I improve what I'm	11:18:30
15	looking at, therefore, the signal-to-noise	11:18:34
16	ratio.	11:18:38
17	So, yeah, you know, we are -- you	11:18:38
18	know, we have two eyes, right? And so by	11:18:40
19	using those two, we're able to get a better	11:18:43
20	vision of something.	11:18:49
21	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:18:50
22	Q. Prior to your patents, was it known to use	11:18:51

1	spatially separated detectors in a wearable	11:18:53
2	device to improve signal-to-noise ratio?	11:18:56
3	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	11:19:00
4	and scope.	11:19:00
5	A. I do not know that. As I said, I'm thinking	11:19:02
6	of -- the Fitbit, I had had no light source;	11:19:09
7	it was just an accelerometer. But in the	11:19:15
8	context of oximeter, I -- you know, at least	11:19:18
9	the ones I had did not have spatially	11:19:24
10	separated detectors.	11:19:26
11	Again, they were trying to -- the	11:19:27
12	reason is because they were trying to reduce	11:19:28
13	cost, and by having one detector, that would	11:19:30
14	reduce cost.	11:19:34
15	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:19:35
16	Q. How do you tell if using spatially separated	11:19:35
17	detectors improves signal-to-noise ratio in a	11:19:40
18	wearable device?	11:19:43
19	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Outside	11:19:44
20	the scope.	11:19:55
21	A. Again, the user of a wearable device would	11:19:55
22	not know that. You would have to -- we'd	11:20:00

1	have to, again, go back to our	11:20:02
2	Gedankenexperiment, if you allow me, in a	11:20:03
3	laboratory, to take it apart and look at it	11:20:07
4	more carefully. But as a user, I don't know	11:20:10
5	whether they're improving signal-to-noise	11:20:12
6	ratio by using spatially separated detectors.	11:20:14
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:20:18
8	Q. In a laboratory setting, how would you	11:20:18
9	determine whether a wearable device uses	11:20:20
10	spatially separated detectors to improve	11:20:22
11	signal-to-noise ratio?	11:20:24
12	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Scope.	11:20:30
13	A. Again, go through a Gedankenexperiment. I'm	11:20:34
14	not saying -- I'm sure there are other ways	11:20:38
15	of doing it as well.	11:20:39
16	But let's say I had a wearable	11:20:40
17	device that had -- I don't know, take a	11:20:43
18	number -- four detectors. So I might	11:20:45
19	actually make a cutout where I have a hole --	11:20:47
20	one hole and the rest blanked out. And so I	11:20:52
21	would first operate the wearable device with	11:20:54
22	all the four detectors on. And, again, I'm	11:21:00

1 assuming I have access to the signal and 11:21:03
2 noise level and, therefore, be able to 11:21:07
3 measure the signal-to-noise ratio coming out 11:21:09
4 of the processor, the detector. 11:21:10
5 And then I would first allow all 11:21:12
6 four light sources to be accessible, and then 11:21:13
7 I would purposely put this sheet of paper or 11:21:16
8 blockade where I could only let one on at 11:21:18
9 a -- allow light from one at a time only -- 11:21:21
10 I'm sorry, one detector at a time only to be 11:21:26
11 exposed and see whether -- measure that 11:21:28
12 signal-to-noise ratio and see whether it's 11:21:33
13 different than when I allowed all the 11:21:34
14 detectors on. 11:21:36
15 BY MR. DAVIS: 11:21:41
16 Q. When you use the term "Gedankenexperiment," 11:21:41
17 are you referring to a thought experiment or a 11:21:44
18 hypothetical scenario? 11:21:47
19 A. Yes. A thought experiment, a hypothetical 11:21:49
20 scenario, how I might do that lab experiment. 11:21:52
21 Q. Does comparing wavelengths in a wearable 11:21:58
22 device always improve the signal-to-noise 11:22:02

1	ratio?	11:22:04
2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:22:05
3	A. It can in some instances, yes, but, again,	11:22:11
4	you would have to be more specific about the	11:22:19
5	signal-to-noise ratio of what you're	11:22:22
6	measuring, if I might explain that for a	11:22:25
7	moment.	11:22:28
8	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:22:29
9	Q. When does comparing wavelengths in a wearable	11:22:30
10	device improve the signal-to-noise ratio?	11:22:33
11	A. Let me give you one example when improve --	11:22:38
12	by comparing wavelengths you could improve	11:22:40
13	the signal-to-noise ratio.	11:22:43
14	So let's say I take a look at two	11:22:44
15	wavelengths as an example. Let's say I look	11:22:52
16	at green and blue.	11:22:55
17	So green light is absorbed by blood	11:22:57
18	and, therefore, can be used -- that's the	11:23:02
19	reason your blood looks red, because it	11:23:05
20	strongly absorbs green light. Blue light is	11:23:07
21	not that much absorbed by blood, but it does	11:23:12
22	reflect off the skin.	11:23:17

1 And so if I now compare my -- if I 11:23:20
2 take the green light signal and the blue 11:23:23
3 light signal from a wearable device, I can 11:23:25
4 use the blue light to -- blue light signal to 11:23:27
5 remove some of the interferences from, for 11:23:30
6 example, the skin and, therefore, remove out 11:23:34
7 of the green light the component of the noise 11:23:40
8 that's coming from the skin interference, as 11:23:42
9 just one example. 11:23:45
10 Another example where comparing 11:23:47
11 wavelengths can improve the signal-to-noise 11:23:48
12 ratio is if you're trying to distinguish from 11:23:51
13 different constituents of blood. So 11:23:54
14 different constituents of blood might have a 11:23:55
15 different spectral peak, and so by measuring 11:23:57
16 signals that are at the peak and not at the 11:24:04
17 peak, you can improve the signal-to-noise 11:24:06
18 ratio. 11:24:12
19 Q. When does comparing wavelengths in a wearable 11:24:12
20 device not improve the signal-to-noise ratio? 11:24:15
21 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form. 11:24:19
22 A. Again, you have to say the signal-to-noise 11:24:19

1 ratio of what you're measuring. So, again, 11:24:21
2 let's say we're trying to measure -- let's 11:24:26
3 say we're trying to measure blood again or 11:24:34
4 the hemoglobin content in blood as an 11:24:36
5 example -- the blood oxygen level. I'm 11:24:41
6 sorry, blood oxygen level. 11:24:46
7 So if I have two wavelengths that 11:24:47
8 are on -- let's say on the visible side, I 11:24:54
9 may not only -- by just having those two I 11:25:01
10 may not improve my signal-to-noise ratio of 11:25:05
11 the blood oxygen measurement. 11:25:07
12 BY MR. DAVIS: 11:25:12
13 Q. Does comparing wavelengths in a wearable 11:25:12
14 device contribute to the signal or the noise 11:25:16
15 in a signal-to-noise ratio? 11:25:18
16 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form. 11:25:20
17 A. The -- again, I'll give a general answer, 11:25:21
18 but, again, you'd have to look at the 11:25:38
19 specifics of it. 11:25:40
20 But I would say, in general, when 11:25:41
21 comparing wavelengths what you're trying to 11:25:45
22 do is reduce the noise level, in general, 11:25:48

1 because what you're trying to do is, say, the 11:25:54
2 other interferences -- for example, from the 11:25:56
3 skin. An example I gave are the green and 11:25:58
4 the blue light, you are trying to remove the 11:26:01
5 noise from the skin interference by using the 11:26:02
6 blue light signal. 11:26:05
7 But, again, at the -- sitting here 11:26:07
8 at the top of my head, I think wavelength 11:26:09
9 comparison is, in general, used to improve 11:26:13
10 the signal-to-noise ratio by decreasing the 11:26:15
11 noise level or the interferences. 11:26:18
12 BY MR. DAVIS: 11:26:21
13 Q. Prior to your patents, was it known to use -- 11:26:21
14 to compare wavelengths in a wearable device to 11:26:25
15 improve the signal-to-noise ratio? 11:26:29
16 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form 11:26:31
17 and scope. 11:26:32
18 A. Could you repeat that question again? Sorry. 11:26:34
19 BY MR. DAVIS: 11:26:39
20 Q. Prior to your patents, was it known to compare 11:26:39
21 wavelengths in a wearable device to improve 11:26:43
22 the signal-to-noise ratio? 11:26:45

1	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	11:26:51
2	A. Well, again, in the context of the oximeter	11:26:52
3	that we were talking about -- well, again,	11:26:57
4	using that example of a wearable device --	11:27:00
5	that uses typically two wavelengths of light,	11:27:02
6	red and infrared, to measure the blood oxygen	11:27:06
7	level.	11:27:11
8	And if I only had one wavelength,	11:27:13
9	then I wouldn't get a good measurement. By	11:27:15
10	using both, it gets a more reliable	11:27:18
11	measurement of the blood oxygen level.	11:27:20
12	So in the context of an oximeter,	11:27:22
13	i.e., a device that you put on your finger,	11:27:25
14	the signal-to-noise ratio for the blood	11:27:30
15	oxygen measurement is improved by using two	11:27:32
16	wavelengths.	11:27:37
17	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:27:38
18	Q. Prior to your patents, was it known to compare	11:27:39
19	wavelengths in a wearable device to improve	11:27:42
20	the signal-to-noise ratio?	11:27:45
21	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form	11:27:47
22	and scope.	11:27:48

1	A. Well, again, in the context of the oximeter	11:27:53
2	that we just talked about, by comparing the	11:27:56
3	two wavelengths in that particular case, red	11:27:58
4	and infrared, you're improving the	11:28:02
5	signal-to-noise ratio of the blood oxygen	11:28:08
6	level measurement.	11:28:10
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:28:12
8	Q. And that was known prior to your patents.	11:28:12
9	Correct?	11:28:14
10	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	11:28:15
11	A. Yes. Prior to 2012, oximeters existed that	11:28:17
12	used red and infrared light to measure the	11:28:21
13	blood oxygen level.	11:28:24
14	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:28:27
15	Q. And those oximeters compared wavelengths to	11:28:27
16	improve the signal-to-noise ratio. Correct?	11:28:31
17	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	11:28:32
18	A. Those oximeters used two wavelengths of light	11:28:33
19	to improve the signal-to-noise ratio of the	11:28:40
20	blood oxygen measurement.	11:28:44
21	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:28:48
22	Q. And those oximeters compare the two	11:28:48

1	wavelengths of light to improve the	11:28:50
2	signal-to-noise ratio; is that correct?	11:28:52
3	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:28:55
4	A. Again, I'm -- again, I'm trying to say, you	11:28:56
5	always have to tie a signal-to-noise ratio to	11:29:00
6	something, and so in particular, or the	11:29:03
7	signal-to-noise ratio of the blood oxygen	11:29:08
8	level. An oximeter improves the	11:29:10
9	signal-to-noise ratio of the blood oxygen	11:29:15
10	measurement, but -- I'm sorry, oxygen	11:29:17
11	measurement, by comparing two different	11:29:21
12	wavelengths.	11:29:23
13	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:29:24
14	Q. So you would agree, then, that prior to your	11:29:31
15	patents it was known in the field to compare	11:29:33
16	two or more wavelengths of light in a wearable	11:29:39
17	device to improve a signal-to-noise ratio?	11:29:42
18	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:29:45
19	A. Prior to my patent or, in particular, blood	11:29:52
20	oximeters, it was known that comparing two	11:29:59
21	different wavelengths could improve	11:30:04
22	signal-to-noise ratio for a blood oxygen	11:30:06

1	measurement.	11:30:09
2	However, I caution you that those	11:30:09
3	blood oximeters, you had to be completely	11:30:13
4	still, not move for the duration of the	11:30:15
5	measurement, which is -- even though, you	11:30:19
6	know, one would consider that a wearable in	11:30:24
7	the sense it's put on the body, it's totally	11:30:26
8	useless for something such as a watch or a	11:30:29
9	ring or an earpiece where you obviously don't	11:30:31
10	want to stay super still during the	11:30:35
11	measurement.	11:30:39
12	You know, if you -- when you go to	11:30:39
13	the doctor's office and they put the blood	11:30:41
14	oximeter on your finger, they caution you,	11:30:44
15	put your -- keep your hands still and don't	11:30:46
16	move for 30 seconds while we're doing this	11:30:48
17	measurement.	11:30:50
18	So the blood oximeters, yes, they	11:30:50
19	compared wavelengths, but they would not	11:30:52
20	allow you to move, which would be totally	11:30:54
21	useless for the context of a smart watch or	11:30:58
22	smart ring or a smart earbud or something	11:31:00

1	like that.	11:31:03
2	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:31:03
3	Q. The claims of your patents don't require the	11:31:06
4	user of the device to not move. Correct?	11:31:11
5	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:31:13
6	A. I'm sorry, say it again. I'm sorry.	11:31:14
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:31:16
8	Q. The claims of your patents do not require the	11:31:16
9	user of the device to not move. Correct?	11:31:18
10	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:31:20
11	A. The claims of the patent, you're asking? I'm	11:31:24
12	sorry.	11:31:29
13	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:31:29
14	Q. Yes. The claims of your patents --	11:31:29
15	A. Yeah.	11:31:32
16	Q. -- do not require that the user is moving.	11:31:32
17	Correct?	11:31:35
18	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:31:35
19	A. The claims of the patent do not have any	11:31:36
20	reference to whether the user is moving or	11:31:43
21	not moving, if I understand your question	11:31:47
22	correctly. There is no condition placed on	11:31:50

1	the movement.	11:31:52
2	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:31:53
3	Q. So the claims of your patents cover a	11:31:54
4	situation where the user is not moving.	11:31:59
5	Correct?	11:32:01
6	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:32:03
7	A. I mean, could we -- to be more specific,	11:32:07
8	could we -- you want to put up one claim or	11:32:10
9	something we can look at to -- maybe to	11:32:12
10	direct that question?	11:32:14
11	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:32:16
12	Q. I'm asking you whether you're aware of any	11:32:16
13	limitation in your patents that requires that	11:32:19
14	the user be moving.	11:32:23
15	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:32:26
16	A. A user be moving.	11:32:27
17	So, again, even in the four	11:32:32
18	patents-in-suit we're talking about 80	11:32:38
19	claims, so I'm not sure I remember all of	11:32:39
20	them, but I -- you're asking if there's any	11:32:41
21	restrictions on movement in any of those 80	11:32:44
22	claims? Is that what you're asking? I'm	11:32:46

1	sorry.	11:32:48
2	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:32:48
3	Q. Are there limitations directed towards whether	11:32:50
4	the user of a device is moving, in your	11:32:53
5	patents?	11:32:58
6	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:32:59
7	A. Off the top of my head, out of the four	11:33:02
8	patents we're talking about, I don't remember	11:33:07
9	whether there's any -- I don't recall any	11:33:09
10	limitations related to movement of the user.	11:33:12
11	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:33:16
12	Q. In a laboratory setting, how would you	11:33:16
13	determine whether comparing wavelengths	11:33:18
14	improve the signal-to-noise ratio in a	11:33:23
15	wearable device?	11:33:25
16	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:33:26
17	It's outside the scope.	11:33:28
18	A. Well, again, in the context of a laboratory	11:33:31
19	setting, assuming for this wearable device,	11:33:38
20	again, I have access to the signal coming out	11:33:44
21	at, let's say, Wavelength 1 and Wavelength 2,	11:33:47
22	and the signal-to-noise ratio measured for	11:33:50

1	each of those two wavelengths, I could	11:33:53
2	measure -- again, in a Gedanken laboratory	11:33:55
3	setting, if I could measure the	11:33:58
4	signal-to-noise ratio for Wavelength 1 and I	11:34:00
5	could measure the signal-to-noise ratio for	11:34:03
6	Wavelength 2 separately, and then I could see	11:34:06
7	the signal-to-noise ratio for when I compare	11:34:09
8	those two wavelengths and see whether it's	11:34:15
9	improved compared to when I measured a single	11:34:17
10	wavelength.	11:34:20
11	So it would be sort of three	11:34:21
12	measurements: Signal-to-noise ratio	11:34:22
13	Wavelength 1; signal-to-noise ratio at	11:34:24
14	Wavelength 2; and then signal-to-noise ratio	11:34:26
15	when I use those two wavelengths together.	11:34:28
16	And if that is better than the	11:34:33
17	others, then I would say I have improved the	11:34:35
18	signal-to-noise ratio.	11:34:38
19	MR. DAVIS: Why don't we go off the	11:34:39
20	record.	11:34:47
21	(Off the record at 11:34 a.m.)	11:34:47
22	(Back on the record at 11:44 a.m.)	11:44:27

1	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:44:29
2	Q. Doctor, you mentioned the concept, before, of	11:44:30
3	photoplethysmography; is that correct?	11:44:34
4	A. Yes. Photoplethysmography, often abbreviated	11:44:36
5	PPG.	11:44:42
6	Q. Yes. Is it --	11:44:42
7	A. It is a bit -- it is a bit of a tongue	11:44:43
8	twister, so "PPG" is good.	11:44:46
9	Q. I agree. What is -- what is PPG?	11:44:50
10	A. PPG is basically the fact that blood absorbs	11:44:53
11	light, and so you can measure blood, either	11:45:01
12	the volume or the change in blood, by looking	11:45:09
13	at the light signal. Because when there's	11:45:14
14	more blood there's less light either	11:45:19
15	transmitted -- when there's more blood more	11:45:21
16	light is absorbed, and, therefore, there's	11:45:23
17	less light either transmitted or received --	11:45:25
18	or reflected.	11:45:27
19	And, likewise, if there's less	11:45:30
20	blood, there's more light transmitted or	11:45:32
21	reflected.	11:45:33
22	So, again, PPG, in general, refers	11:45:34

1	to the fact that light is absorbed by blood	11:45:40
2	and, therefore, by measuring the light you	11:45:42
3	can measure the blood volume or change in	11:45:44
4	blood.	11:45:47
5	Q. Was PPG first discovered around the 1930s?	11:45:48
6	A. Your history is probably better than mine.	11:45:56
7	You might be right. It is well-known for a	11:45:58
8	long time.	11:46:01
9	And just coincidentally, it's also	11:46:08
10	the basis of pulse oximeter. So I think	11:46:10
11	you're probably right, around that -- that's	11:46:14
12	around the time when pulse oximetry was first	11:46:15
13	discovered.	11:46:18
14	Q. Do you know when people started using multiple	11:46:18
15	different light sources with PPG?	11:46:21
16	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	11:46:24
17	and scope.	11:46:25
18	A. Again, a pulse oximeter -- again, what I know	11:46:33
19	about is, really, pulse oximeters, and pulse	11:46:38
20	oximeters would be an example where multiple	11:46:42
21	light sources, i.e. -- well, I'm sorry, let	11:46:44
22	me take that back.	11:46:46

1	Repeat your question again. Sorry.	11:46:47
2	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:46:51
3	Q. Sure.	11:46:51
4	Do you know when people started using	11:46:57
5	multiple different light sources with PPG?	11:46:58
6	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	11:47:03
7	A. I don't know precisely when that started, but	11:47:10
8	I think probably around the time that -- and	11:47:15
9	I don't know exactly when that was, when	11:47:19
10	light-emitting diodes started being used	11:47:21
11	within pulse oximeters. That's probably	11:47:24
12	around the time when they were using two	11:47:27
13	different light sources.	11:47:29
14	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:47:30
15	Q. Was that in the 1980s?	11:47:31
16	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:47:33
17	A. It may be. I don't know exactly.	11:47:34
18	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:47:36
19	Q. Prior to your patents, it was known to use	11:47:36
20	multiple different light sources when	11:47:46
21	performing PPG. Right?	11:47:47
22	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	11:47:50

1	and scope.	11:47:51
2	A. Prior to my patent, I believe it was known in	11:47:56
3	the field of oximeters, i.e., devices you put	11:47:59
4	on your finger but you can't move while in	11:48:02
5	the hospital or nurse's setting. They	11:48:04
6	probably use multiple light sources to do	11:48:12
7	pulse oximetry.	11:48:15
8	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:48:17
9	Q. And prior to your patents, it was known to	11:48:17
10	analyze blood with spectroscopy; is that	11:48:25
11	correct?	11:48:27
12	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	11:48:30
13	A. Yes. Prior to my patent, it was known that	11:48:32
14	blood -- different blood constituents could	11:48:39
15	be measured using spectroscopy.	11:48:41
16	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:48:46
17	Q. Prior to your patents, it was known to use	11:48:46
18	optical filters for spectroscopy?	11:48:48
19	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	11:48:51
20	A. I'm sorry, your question was, are pulse --	11:49:01
21	are filters using optical spectroscopy; is	11:49:03
22	that correct?	11:49:07

1	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:49:07
2	Q. Prior to your patents, it was known to use	11:49:08
3	optical filters for spectroscopy?	11:49:10
4	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	11:49:12
5	and scope.	11:49:12
6	A. Yes.	11:49:17
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:49:17
8	Q. Prior to your patents, it was known --	11:49:17
9	A. Prior to my patent -- prior to my patent, it	11:49:19
10	was known to use filters in optical	11:49:21
11	spectroscopy.	11:49:27
12	Q. Apologies. Prior to your patents, it was	11:49:28
13	known to use optical detectors for	11:49:30
14	spectroscopy?	11:49:33
15	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	11:49:34
16	and scope.	11:49:35
17	A. Prior to my patents, it was known to use --	11:49:38
18	I'm sorry, what did you say again? I	11:49:48
19	apologize.	11:49:50
20	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:49:50
21	Q. Yeah. Prior to your patents, it was known to	11:49:50
22	use optical detectors for spectroscopy.	11:49:52

1	A. Okay. Sorry.	11:49:59
2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	11:50:00
3	A. Prior --	11:50:00
4	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Go ahead.	11:50:05
5	A. Prior to my patents, it was known to use	11:50:05
6	optical detectors in optical spectroscopy.	11:50:07
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:50:11
8	Q. And prior to your patents, it was known to use	11:50:11
9	filters for optical detectors for	11:50:13
10	spectroscopy?	11:50:17
11	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	11:50:18
12	and scope.	11:50:19
13	A. Prior to my patent, it was known to use	11:50:22
14	optical filters with detectors in optical	11:50:28
15	spectroscopy.	11:50:32
16	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:50:49
17	Q. Prior to your patents, was it conventional to	11:50:49
18	compare signals at different wavelengths?	11:50:52
19	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:50:54
20	Scope.	11:50:55
21	A. I'm sorry, what does "conventional" mean,	11:51:00
22	sorry, in this context? Could you be more	11:51:02

1	specific?	11:51:06
2	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:51:07
3	Q. Yes. Can you -- what does "conventional" mean	11:51:07
4	to you?	11:51:09
5	A. "Conventional," again, without a context,	11:51:13
6	that's too open-ended, I guess. I mean, what	11:51:16
7	do you mean "conventional"? Conventional for	11:51:20
8	what?	11:51:23
9	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:51:23
10	Q. In optical sensing, prior to your patents, was	11:51:24
11	it conventional to compare signals of light at	11:51:26
12	different wavelengths?	11:51:29
13	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form	11:51:30
14	and scope.	11:51:31
15	A. Specifically in the field of optical	11:51:37
16	spectroscopy, comparing wavelengths was	11:51:51
17	known. In the more broad field of optical	11:51:53
18	sensing -- again, we look at our own eyes as	11:51:55
19	an example of optical sensing -- we can	11:51:58
20	contrast the difference of, you know, in the	11:52:00
21	morning I have a banana and I'd like to have	11:52:05
22	a nice, yellow banana, but sometimes when	11:52:08

1	it's really black I don't like it because	11:52:11
2	it's overly ripe or whatever.	11:52:13
3	So, yeah, we use our eyes to see	11:52:15
4	differences in color.	11:52:18
5	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:52:25
6	Q. Is the -- strike that.	11:52:25
7	Is spectroscopy used by wearable	11:52:26
8	devices?	11:52:30
9	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	11:52:30
10	and scope.	11:52:31
11	A. Again, that's a very broad question. I'm not	11:52:37
12	sure -- could you be more specific?	11:52:39
13	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:52:43
14	Q. In what way?	11:52:44
15	A. I mean, give an example. If we refer to a	11:52:49
16	wearable device being a Fitbit that had --	11:52:52
17	you know, from 2010 or 2011, that had an	11:52:54
18	accelerometer, that didn't use spectroscopy,	11:52:59
19	so...	11:53:01
20	Q. In an optical physiological monitoring device,	11:53:02
21	is spectroscopy used?	11:53:05
22	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	11:53:08

1	and scope.	11:53:14
2	A. In an optical physiological measurement	11:53:15
3	device, spectroscopy may be used, it may not	11:53:17
4	be used. Depends on what you're doing.	11:53:22
5	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:53:25
6	Q. If you have a device that measures heart rate,	11:53:29
7	is that using spectroscopy?	11:53:35
8	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:53:37
9	Scope.	11:53:37
10	A. So I want to make sure I understand what	11:53:41
11	you're defining as "spectroscopy." Are you	11:53:47
12	defining "spectroscopy" as comparing two	11:53:53
13	different wavelengths?	11:53:55
14	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:53:57
15	Q. How do you use the word "spectroscopy"?	11:53:58
16	A. Well, that's a term that's -- we defined in	11:54:04
17	the patent. Can we look at the definition in	11:54:08
18	the patent or stay within the context of this	11:54:10
19	or...	11:54:10
20	Q. Yeah, I'm asking you how you use the term	11:54:15
21	"spectroscopy."	11:54:18
22	A. You know, again, I can -- I'd have to look at	11:54:18

1 the patent exactly how we defined it 11:54:20
2 specifically in the patent, but for the 11:54:22
3 moment here, for the discussion here, let me 11:54:24
4 define "optical spectroscopy" as comparing 11:54:27
5 two different wavelengths. 11:54:30

6 So in the context of comparing two 11:54:32
7 different wavelengths, if you're asking me is 11:54:34
8 that used for heart rate monitoring -- is 11:54:36
9 that correct? Is that what you're asking? 11:54:39

10 Q. Yes. 11:54:40

11 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objection. 11:54:42

12 A. It may be, it may not be, again, defining 11:54:48
13 "optical spectroscopy" as comparing two 11:54:51
14 different wavelengths. And let me give you 11:54:53
15 an example. 11:54:56

16 So, again, going back to the 11:54:57
17 wearable of an optical oximeter or 11:54:59
18 oximeter -- pulse oximeter, that measures two 11:55:02
19 different wavelengths to measure blood oxygen 11:55:06
20 content, but at the same time it sort of, for 11:55:11
21 free, gives you the heart rate. So in that 11:55:16
22 instance, you're getting the heart rate sort 11:55:19

1	of for free when you're doing oximetry.	11:55:23
2	On the other hand, I can use just	11:55:26
3	one wavelength of light, such as green light	11:55:28
4	or even infrared light, to measure the	11:55:30
5	pulse -- the heartbeat or the pulse rate	11:55:33
6	without using two different wavelengths of	11:55:38
7	light and, therefore, would not require	11:55:43
8	spectroscopy.	11:55:47
9	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:55:47
10	Q. Prior to your patents, the concept of using a	11:55:47
11	wearable device to monitor heart rate was	11:55:51
12	known. Correct?	11:55:53
13	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:55:57
14	Outside the scope.	11:55:58
15	A. Well, as I said, if we define -- a pulse	11:56:01
16	oximeter is a wearable device and I'm	11:56:07
17	defining it as a wearable device even though	11:56:09
18	you could not move with it or you could not	11:56:12
19	do a move -- you could not move while doing a	11:56:15
20	measurement, in the context of a wearable	11:56:19
21	device, yes -- I'm sorry, the pulse	11:56:21
22	oximeter -- yes, the pulse oximeter gives you	11:56:26

1 the heart rate measurement, and that was 11:56:30

2 known before my patent. 11:56:31

3 But, again, you had to be completely 11:56:32

4 still during the measurement. 11:56:35

5 BY MR. DAVIS: 11:56:39

6 Q. Prior to your patents, the concept of using a 11:56:39

7 wearable device to measure pulse oximetry was 11:56:41

8 known. Correct? 11:56:45

9 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form. 11:56:46

10 Scope. 11:56:47

11 A. Well, again, defining a wearable device as 11:56:50

12 being a -- an example of a wearable device 11:56:54

13 being a pulse oximeter used in, for example, 11:56:57

14 a hospital or a doctor's office, it was known 11:56:59

15 to measure the blood oxygen level using a 11:57:02

16 wearable device. But, again, that 11:57:06

17 measurement had to be done with you sitting 11:57:08

18 completely still for, whatever, the 30 11:57:10

19 seconds of the measurement. 11:57:12

20 BY MR. DAVIS: 11:57:13

21 Q. So you would agree that wearable heart rate 11:57:17

22 monitors were on the market by -- before your 11:57:20

1	patents. Correct?	11:57:22
2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:57:23
3	Outside the scope.	11:57:24
4	A. I agree that if we consider a pulse oximeter	11:57:28
5	to be a wearable device, it was known to	11:57:32
6	measure the blood oxygen, but, again, could	11:57:34
7	only be measured if you were super still	11:57:39
8	during the measurement time.	11:57:41
9	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:57:44
10	Q. Just to direct you back to my question,	11:57:46
11	Doctor, you would agree that wearable heart	11:57:48
12	rate monitors were on the market before your	11:57:54
13	patents. Correct?	11:57:56
14	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	11:57:57
15	A. Again, considering wearable device to be, in	11:57:59
16	this case, a pulse oximeter, it was known	11:58:04
17	that the wearable device, i.e., the pulse	11:58:07
18	oximeter, could measure a heart rate, but,	11:58:10
19	again, you had to be super still during the	11:58:12
20	time of the measurement.	11:58:15
21	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:58:16
22	Q. Doctor, I was asking about heart rate monitor.	11:58:19

1	Are you --	11:58:22
2	A. I'm sorry.	11:58:24
3	Q. -- referring to pulse oximeter intentionally,	11:58:24
4	or...	11:58:28
5	A. Well, no, the pulse oximeter gives you heart	11:58:29
6	rate.	11:58:30
7	Q. Okay. So just generally, would you agree that	11:58:32
8	wearable heart rate monitors were on the	11:58:35
9	market prior to your patents? Correct?	11:58:38
10	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	11:58:39
11	A. Prior to my patent, oximeters being an	11:58:43
12	example of a wearable device that can measure	11:58:48
13	heart rate, they were known and available on	11:58:53
14	the market; however, again, to do that,	11:58:56
15	during the measurement time you had to be	11:58:58
16	super still.	11:59:00
17	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:59:02
18	Q. Prior to your patents, what other wearable	11:59:03
19	devices were on the market for measuring heart	11:59:05
20	rate?	11:59:12
21	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	11:59:15
22	A. I'm sorry, could you repeat your question? I	11:59:16

1	was thinking -- say that again, please.	11:59:28
2	Sorry.	11:59:31
3	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:59:31
4	Q. Prior to your patents, what wearable devices	11:59:31
5	for measuring heart rate were on the market?	11:59:35
6	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objection.	11:59:37
7	A. There were other wearable devices that were	11:59:38
8	available for measuring heart rate of the	11:59:40
9	person.	11:59:45
10	BY MR. DAVIS:	11:59:46
11	Q. What were they?	11:59:46
12	A. One example -- I'm sure we all had this -- a	11:59:49
13	Polar chest strap, or chest straps in	11:59:53
14	general. So these are things that are based	11:59:55
15	on EKG or ECG. They're called	11:59:57
16	electrocardiogram, looking at the electrical	12:00:01
17	signals to measure the heart rate. Because	12:00:03
18	every time your heart beats there's an	12:00:05
19	electrical signal that causes the heartbeat,	12:00:07
20	and so you can measure the EKG signal or ECG	12:00:10
21	signal to measure heart rate on a wearable	12:00:14
22	device such as a Polar chest strap.	12:00:16

1 Q. Are you aware of any other products that were 12:00:19
2 on the market prior to your patents that were 12:00:22
3 wearable devices and measured heart rate? 12:00:26
4 A. Well, as I said, there were the pulse 12:00:28
5 oximeters, there were the EKG such as the 12:00:37
6 Polar chest strap -- I'm just -- because I 12:00:41
7 have a Polar chest strap, I just remember 12:00:43
8 that. And when exercising, if you wanted to 12:00:44
9 measure your heart, you would look at that. 12:00:47
10 There were also -- I wouldn't call 12:00:49
11 them wearable devices, but, you know, on 12:00:51
12 the -- on things like your treadmill there 12:00:52
13 are those contacts where you put your hands 12:00:55
14 on and you can measure the EKG signal and get 12:00:59
15 the heart rate from that, so -- 12:01:01
16 But, again, that's not a wearable 12:01:04
17 device. That's on the -- that's on the 12:01:05
18 treadmill, as an example. 12:01:07
19 Q. Can you think of any other products that were 12:01:09
20 on the market prior to your patents that were 12:01:11
21 wearable devices and measured heart rate? 12:01:15
22 A. Sitting here, I can't think of another 12:01:26

1 device. Those are the ones that I know of or 12:01:34
2 had. I'm sure there are other things in a 12:01:36
3 hospital setting that might be -- you know, 12:01:37
4 or things where people would put on -- a 12:01:40
5 nurse would put on your finger or something 12:01:42
6 to measure something. I don't know if you 12:01:44
7 would consider that a wearable device, but 12:01:46
8 usually they're tethered with wires and 12:01:48
9 whatnot to other instruments. 12:01:51
10 Q. By two thousand and -- excuse me. By -- prior 12:01:53
11 to your patents -- strike that. 12:02:00
12 Prior to your patents, it was already 12:02:03
13 known that you could use LEDs to measure 12:02:05
14 oxygen level in blood as part of a wearable 12:02:10
15 device; is that correct? 12:02:14
16 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form. 12:02:14
17 Scope. 12:02:15
18 A. I believe it was known that in pulse 12:02:20
19 oximeters -- assuming, again, that we're 12:02:24
20 describing pulse oximeters as a wearable 12:02:25
21 device -- there were pulse oximeters that had 12:02:31
22 light-emitting diodes in it to measure 12:02:32

1 physiological parameters such as blood oxygen 12:02:36
2 level, but, again, they would -- all of those 12:02:39
3 devices would require you to be super still 12:02:40
4 during the measurement. 12:02:43

5 BY MR. DAVIS: 12:02:48

6 Q. And prior to your patents, it was known that 12:02:48
7 you could use LEDs to measure heart rate as 12:02:50
8 part of a wearable device. Correct? 12:02:52

9 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form. 12:02:55
10 Scope. 12:02:56

11 A. Again, considering the pulse oximeter as a 12:02:59
12 wearable device, and, again, as you said, at 12:03:04
13 least, you know, by 2000 there were probably 12:03:07
14 pulse oximeters that had LEDs in it, pulse 12:03:13
15 oximeter gives you pulse rate or pulse heart 12:03:16
16 rate as well, and so, therefore, yes, LEDs 12:03:20
17 could be used to measure heart rate in a 12:03:22
18 pulse oximeter but you had to be super still 12:03:25
19 during the measurement. 12:03:28

20 BY MR. DAVIS: 12:03:29

21 Q. Had you used the pulse oximeters prior to your 12:03:30
22 patents? 12:03:39

1	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	12:03:39
2	Scope.	12:03:40
3	A. Yes. I know that every time when you go for	12:03:46
4	your physical or your doctor's office, the	12:03:49
5	very first thing they do before they -- you	12:03:51
6	see the doctor is they put a pulse oximeter	12:03:54
7	on your finger and say don't move for 30	12:03:56
8	seconds while we're doing that measurement,	12:03:59
9	along with measuring your temperature, your	12:04:01
10	weight, your height. So that's all part of	12:04:03
11	standard medical measurement routine.	12:04:06
12	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:04:08
13	Q. What work had you done with pulse oximeters	12:04:09
14	before your work on these patents?	12:04:10
15	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	12:04:15
16	Scope.	12:04:15
17	A. I don't remember other than potentially, you	12:04:19
18	know, again wearing it in a doctor's office.	12:04:28
19	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:04:35
20	Q. With your -- strike that.	12:04:36
21	Have you studied pulse oximeters that	12:04:44
22	existed prior to your patents?	12:04:46

1	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	12:04:49
2	A. No. I mean, I was generally aware of them,	12:04:53
3	but I had -- I don't know, when you say	12:04:56
4	"study," taken apart or anything like that, I	12:04:57
5	haven't really, you know, done a deep dive	12:05:00
6	into pulse oximeters.	12:05:04
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:05:06
8	Q. Have you studied wearable devices that existed	12:05:07
9	prior to your patents?	12:05:10
10	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	12:05:12
11	A. Well, as I said, I was aware of wearable	12:05:16
12	devices such as pulse oximeters prior to my	12:05:21
13	patent. I was aware of wearable devices such	12:05:24
14	as a Fitbit that had an accelerometer in it,	12:05:30
15	but I hadn't done deep dive on any of those.	12:05:36
16	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:05:45
17	Q. Prior to your patents, were LEDs commonly used	12:05:45
18	as a light source for optical physiological	12:05:48
19	monitoring devices?	12:05:50
20	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	12:05:51
21	Scope.	12:05:52
22	A. Well, again, as we said before, LEDs were, I	12:06:00

1	believe, used, certainly by 2000 or so, in	12:06:04
2	pulse oximeters. And so the use of LEDs in a	12:06:09
3	wearable device were -- again, at least in a	12:06:15
4	pulse oximeter setting, were known to be	12:06:17
5	used, but, again, you had to be super still	12:06:19
6	during the measurements.	12:06:21
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:06:24
8	Q. Prior to your patents, were detectors such as	12:06:24
9	photodiodes commonly used to capture the light	12:06:33
10	signal for physiological monitoring devices?	12:06:36
11	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection. Form.	12:06:38
12	Outside the scope.	12:06:39
13	A. I'm sorry, could you repeat that question	12:06:42
14	again, please?	12:06:44
15	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:06:44
16	Q. Sure. Prior to your patents, were detectors	12:06:44
17	such as photodiodes commonly used to capture	12:06:48
18	the light signal for physiological monitoring	12:06:52
19	devices?	12:06:55
20	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	12:06:56
21	A. Again, prior to my patent in the context of,	12:06:59
22	for example, a pulse oximeter as being a	12:07:05

1	wearable device, an optical detector is used	12:07:09
2	in a pulse oximeter to measure the light for	12:07:11
3	the physiological measurement.	12:07:13
4	But, again, in the context of the	12:07:16
5	pulse oximeter, and, again, you had to be	12:07:18
6	super still during the measurement.	12:07:19
7	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:07:28
8	Q. The LEDs that are used as a light source in	12:07:29
9	optical physiological monitoring devices,	12:07:32
10	could they -- were they known to be arranged	12:07:36
11	in various different shapes prior to your	12:07:41
12	patents?	12:07:44
13	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	12:07:44
14	Outside of scope of the declaration.	12:07:45
15	A. I'm sorry, I don't know what you mean by	12:07:51
16	"shapes."	12:07:54
17	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:07:55
18	Q. Let me reask the question.	12:07:56
19	Prior to your patents, was it known	12:08:00
20	that LEDs used as a light source for optical	12:08:01
21	physiological monitoring devices could be	12:08:06
22	arranged in different ways on the devices?	12:08:09

1	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections.	12:08:12
2	A. I don't know the answer to that question	12:08:21
3	because I don't know -- I don't know. I	12:08:23
4	mean, again, thinking in the context of a	12:08:28
5	wearable device such as an oximeter, I don't	12:08:30
6	know why you would have multiple LEDs	12:08:32
7	arranged in a different way to measure. Just	12:08:35
8	going to put it on the finger, so...	12:08:41
9	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:08:44
10	Q. Well, you testified before that, prior to your	12:08:45
11	patents, it was known that light sources in a	12:08:48
12	wearable device could be spatially separated.	12:08:57
13	Correct?	12:09:02
14	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	12:09:02
15	A. I'm sorry, you said that I testified that it	12:09:12
16	was known that separated light sources could	12:09:14
17	be used in a wearable device? Did you -- is	12:09:18
18	that what you said?	12:09:22
19	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:09:23
20	Q. Yes.	12:09:23
21	A. I don't recall saying that because, again,	12:09:23
22	I'm thinking of the oximeter and I'm not	12:09:26

1	sure -- I'm sorry, did you say light sources	12:09:28
2	or detector? Now I am confused, I'm sorry.	12:09:34
3	Say that again. I'm sorry.	12:09:36
4	Q. No problem.	12:09:38
5	A. I'm confused.	12:09:38
6	Q. Let me just reask the question.	12:09:41
7	Prior to your patents, it was known	12:09:43
8	to spatially separate light sources in a	12:09:48
9	wearable device. Correct?	12:09:52
10	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	12:09:54
11	It's outside the scope.	12:09:55
12	A. Prior to my patent -- and, again, defining an	12:10:05
13	optical oximeter -- I'm sorry, pulse oximeter	12:10:08
14	as an optical wearable -- or a wearable	12:10:12
15	device, it was known that two different light	12:10:14
16	sources would be used, i.e., one in the	12:10:19
17	visible, one in the infrared, and very likely	12:10:23
18	they were two separate LEDs and, therefore,	12:10:26
19	were two spatially separated light sources	12:10:34
20	used in those pulse oximeters.	12:10:36
21	But, again, the pulse oximeter had	12:10:39
22	to be used with the user being super still	12:10:41

1	during the measurement.	12:10:44
2	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:10:45
3	Q. Was it known prior to your patents to use	12:10:46
4	three different light sources in a wearable	12:10:51
5	device?	12:10:54
6	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form	12:10:55
7	and scope.	12:10:58
8	A. I don't know, to be honest. I know that a	12:11:01
9	pulse oximeter, again, defined as a wearable	12:11:05
10	device, used two wavelengths. I do not know	12:11:07
11	if three wavelengths were used in those	12:11:10
12	devices. They might have, they might not	12:11:13
13	have. I just don't know. But they certainly	12:11:18
14	had to use two. Again, one typically in the	12:11:21
15	visible, and one typically in the infrared.	12:11:23
16	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:11:28
17	Q. What is the concept of ambient subtraction?	12:11:35
18	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	12:11:43
19	A. I'm sorry, do you mean ambient light	12:11:44
20	subtraction, or what do you -- could you	12:11:46
21	clarify what -- ambient what?	12:11:49
22	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:11:50

1	Q. Sure. What is ambient light subtraction?	12:11:51
2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	12:11:55
3	A. So ambient light subtraction, to me, would be	12:11:59
4	that I'm somehow taking out the ambient light	12:12:05
5	effects. So we talked earlier about -- as an	12:12:09
6	example, we talked earlier about change	12:12:11
7	detection, and so in something like change	12:12:14
8	detection, when your local light source -- or	12:12:17
9	your -- the light source for your measurement	12:12:22
10	is off, you're measuring all the effects from	12:12:24
11	the ambient light and other things and you're	12:12:26
12	subtracting that out when you do the change	12:12:30
13	detection.	12:12:32
14	So, again, in short, ambient light	12:12:33
15	subtraction to me is you're, by some means	12:12:36
16	such as change detection -- doesn't have to	12:12:40
17	be change detection necessarily -- you're	12:12:42
18	subtracting out the ambient light effect, and	12:12:44
19	ambient light being the background light,	12:12:48
20	whether it be sunlight, room light, what have	12:12:49
21	you.	12:12:52
22	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:13:12

1 Q. Do you believe that you were the first person 12:13:13
2 to invent a wearable device based on optical 12:13:14
3 sensors that can measure the heart rate of an 12:13:19
4 individual? 12:13:21

5 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form 12:13:23
6 and it's outside the scope. 12:13:24

7 A. Well, as I said, we've been talking about 12:13:29
8 wearable device example being a pulse 12:13:32
9 oximeter, and a pulse oximeter measures heart 12:13:34
10 rate. Again, but you have to be super still 12:13:36
11 during the measurement. 12:13:39

12 BY MR. DAVIS: 12:13:40

13 Q. Do you think you invented that concept of a 12:13:50
14 wearable device, based on optical sensors, 12:13:51
15 that allows the user to move? 12:13:55

16 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Same objections. 12:14:02

17 A. What I invented are methods of improving the 12:14:14
18 signal-to-noise ratio for optical 12:14:18
19 measurements such as physiological 12:14:22
20 measurements. 12:14:24

21 Now, why do you need to increase -- 12:14:28
22 why do you need to improve the 12:14:29

1	signal-to-noise ratio?	12:14:31
2	Again, wear -- wearable devices such	12:14:32
3	as oximeters whereas you could measure heart	12:14:42
4	rate, you could measure blood oxygen	12:14:44
5	symmetry, but number one, it was in the	12:14:46
6	context of a fixed room or a doctor's	12:14:48
7	hospital -- either a hospital or doctor's	12:14:51
8	office setting, a fixed room; and number two,	12:14:54
9	that during the measurement, the patient had	12:14:56
10	to be super still.	12:14:59
11	And so there's some key -- as you	12:15:03
12	move to wearable devices that might be worn,	12:15:05
13	for example, on the wrist or finger or ear of	12:15:12
14	the user, the problems that you had to solve	12:15:17
15	included motion artifact compensation,	12:15:19
16	ambient light sensitivity, and actually, the	12:15:22
17	other one which even oximeters suffer from,	12:15:27
18	which is skin tone, being agnostic to skin	12:15:29
19	tone.	12:15:32
20	And so all of these really, from an	12:15:33
21	engineering standpoint, boil down to whether	12:15:35
22	it's because of motion or whether it's	12:15:39

1	because of ambient light or variations or	12:15:42
2	because of different skin tones. All of	12:15:47
3	these are increased sources of noise and,	12:15:50
4	therefore, to get a reliable measurement of	12:15:56
5	the physiological parameter you had to	12:16:00
6	improve your signal-to-noise ratio.	12:16:03
7	Because when we left that doctor's	12:16:04
8	office or that hospital setting where you	12:16:07
9	were super still in a fixed lighting	12:16:09
10	environment, we got all these additional	12:16:12
11	noise sources that had to be overcome by	12:16:16
12	having a better signal-to-noise ratio.	12:16:20
13	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:16:23
14	Q. What's the concept of modulations?	12:16:23
15	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	12:16:26
16	A. Modulation -- again, are we talking about	12:16:32
17	modulation of the light source, or what are	12:16:35
18	we talking about?	12:16:38
19	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:16:39
20	Q. In the context of your patents, what's the	12:16:39
21	concept -- what is your concept of modulation?	12:16:41
22	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Objection to form.	12:16:44

1	A. Well, again, we have to be more specific.	12:16:45
2	We're talking about modulation of the light	12:16:48
3	source, or...	12:16:50
4	BY MR. DAVIS:	12:16:51
5	Q. Sure.	12:16:51
6	A. A modulation of a light source would be to	12:16:51
7	change some parameter of the light source as	12:16:54
8	a function of time.	12:16:59
9	MR. DAVIS: Can we go off the record?	12:17:14
10	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Sure.	12:17:16
11	(Off the record at 12:27 p.m.)	12:27:40
12	(Back on the record at 12:27 p.m.)	12:27:40
13	MR. DAVIS: I don't have any further	12:27:41
14	questions at this time. We can go off the	12:27:45
15	record.	12:27:46
16	(Off the record at 12:27 p.m.)	12:27:47
17	(Back on the record at 12:33 p.m.)	12:33:01
18	MR. CHRISTOPHER: I have no questions	12:33:01
19	for Dr. Islam. We'll reserve the right to	12:33:03
20	read and sign the transcript, and with that, I	12:33:06
21	think we're done.	12:33:10
22	(Proceedings concluded at 12:33 p.m.)	

1 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY


2
3 STATE OF MICHIGAN)
4) SS
5 COUNTY OF OAKLAND)

6 I, ALISON WEBSTER, stenographic
7 reporter and notary public in and for the
8 state of Michigan do hereby certify:

9 That the deposition of MOHAMMED
10 ISLAM, Sc.D. was taken before me via
11 videoconference on the date hereinbefore set
12 forth; that the foregoing questions and
13 answers were recorded by me stenographically
14 and reduced to computer transcription; that
15 this is a true, full, and correct transcript
16 of my stenographic notes so taken; and that
17 I am not related to, nor of counsel to,
18 either party nor interested in the event of
19 this cause.

20 I further certify that the adverse
21 party was represented by counsel at the
22 deposition.

I further certify that the deposition
of MOHAMMED ISLAM, Sc.D., occurred via Zoom
videoconference on Thursday, July 23, 2026,
commencing at 9:19 a.m., and ending at 12:33
p.m.

20 

21 ALISON C. WEBSTER, CSR-6266, RPR, RMR, CRR, RDR
22 Notary Public, Oakland County, Michigan

My commission expires: May 1, 2029

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT

I, MOHAMMED ISLAM, Sc.D., do hereby
acknowledge that I have read and examined the
foregoing testimony and the same is a true,
correct, and complete transcription of the
testimony given by me and any corrections
appear on the attached errata sheet signed by
me.

(SIGNATURE)

(DATE)

A			
abbreviated	absorbed	adverse	145:10, 147:20,
120:4	108:17, 108:21,	150:21	148:2, 148:10
able	120:16, 121:1	affecting	allow
56:22, 63:3,	absorbs	38:8	106:2, 107:5,
65:20, 75:2,	108:20, 120:10	affirm	107:9, 115:20
104:19, 107:2	abstract	5:8, 6:3	allowed
about	62:7	after	8:2, 107:13
6:4, 10:9,	accelerometer	6:12	allows
14:14, 15:12,	101:14, 102:10,	agent	146:15
15:16, 20:11,	105:7, 127:18,	17:9, 17:13,	along
21:2, 23:13,	139:14	19:1, 20:7,	138:9
24:2, 26:17,	access	20:20, 21:6	already
28:12, 28:13,	35:1, 35:12,	agnostic	136:12
28:17, 28:18,	36:7, 75:6,	147:18	also
28:21, 28:22,	107:1, 118:20	ago	8:10, 12:9,
29:1, 29:2,	accessible	101:10	16:14, 21:13,
29:6, 29:14,	107:6	agree	22:16, 65:1,
29:16, 35:17,	achristopher@nix-	87:6, 114:14,	70:9, 76:22,
40:18, 41:5,	onpeabody	120:9, 131:21,	81:6, 93:10,
42:3, 45:22,	3:19	132:4, 132:11,	104:10, 121:9,
48:3, 51:22,	acknowledge	133:7	135:10
52:12, 54:3,	151:6	ahead	although
54:14, 54:18,	acknowledgment	15:8, 91:14,	103:7
55:18, 55:19,	151:1	125:4	always
56:16, 58:14,	acted	al	24:9, 24:22,
58:20, 59:8,	20:6, 20:19	1:5	25:6, 26:7,
59:9, 60:20,	actually	algorithm	38:15, 39:2,
62:8, 63:2,	29:18, 49:2,	88:12, 88:17,	39:14, 39:19,
66:11, 76:22,	88:11, 91:5,	89:1	41:8, 66:1,
78:5, 78:15,	93:13, 95:17,	alison	68:22, 69:8,
79:10, 79:20,	96:7, 106:19,	1:30, 2:9,	70:16, 70:17,
81:16, 83:7,	147:16	150:7, 150:34	76:1, 79:15,
84:2, 86:19,	additional	all	80:8, 81:17,
88:12, 95:5,	45:16, 148:10	8:21, 10:1,	82:13, 82:20,
100:18, 101:11,	adjacent	10:3, 11:6,	89:6, 90:2,
103:9, 108:4,	92:9	14:18, 14:20,	107:22, 114:5
112:3, 113:2,	adjust	14:21, 18:5,	ambient
117:18, 118:8,	32:2, 38:2,	19:3, 19:6,	63:22, 81:1,
121:19, 132:22,	49:12, 50:2	20:2, 20:13,	81:5, 83:13,
145:5, 145:6,	adjustable	35:12, 45:21,	83:14, 84:7,
146:7, 148:16,	49:1	46:12, 50:10,	90:22, 92:9,
148:18, 149:2	adjustment	50:12, 75:7,	92:11, 98:9,
above	38:6	75:8, 76:3,	98:14, 144:17,
97:8, 97:15,	administered	84:12, 90:22,	144:19, 144:21,
98:5	5:7	97:13, 106:22,	145:1, 145:3,
above-styled	admissibility	107:5, 107:13,	145:4, 145:11,
2:7	5:13	117:19, 134:12,	145:14, 145:18,
	adobe	137:2, 138:10,	145:19, 147:16,
	13:7		

148:1 amount 44:4, 53:14 amplifier 93:9 analogy 31:2 analyze 123:10 analyzing 74:16, 74:17 angelo 3:13, 5:20, 8:3, 8:8 ann 6:21, 12:5 another 40:9, 109:10, 135:22 answer 7:13, 11:17, 15:19, 19:22, 20:16, 21:4, 22:11, 27:6, 27:8, 29:21, 31:21, 42:4, 49:3, 52:13, 55:7, 55:12, 56:22, 58:3, 60:8, 60:15, 62:6, 62:7, 63:3, 65:5, 65:18, 74:5, 74:13, 76:21, 77:7, 77:16, 86:4, 96:17, 99:16, 102:3, 110:17, 142:2 answered 12:1, 27:13 answering 44:14, 46:5, 56:15, 59:13, 62:17, 70:22, 83:19, 84:16 answers 150:14 any 5:11, 5:15,	7:11, 8:5, 12:10, 12:12, 13:6, 18:9, 18:22, 19:8, 19:17, 19:20, 20:7, 20:11, 20:20, 21:15, 23:5, 32:5, 32:17, 41:19, 49:11, 91:16, 101:2, 101:6, 101:19, 102:18, 116:19, 117:12, 117:20, 117:21, 118:9, 135:1, 135:19, 139:15, 149:13, 151:9 anyone 8:12, 12:7, 48:22 anything 9:6, 9:13, 12:18, 16:18, 62:11, 139:4 anyway 67:18, 95:8 apart 35:1, 35:12, 106:3, 139:4 apologies 11:1, 11:5, 124:12 apologize 58:1, 64:16, 124:19 appeal 1:3 appear 151:10 appearance 5:15 apple 32:4, 34:14, 34:16, 75:5 applications 12:13, 13:6, 21:17 applied 14:12, 103:8	appreciate 11:15, 13:4 approximate 24:6 approximately 23:21, 23:22 arbor 6:21, 12:5 area 87:3 around 16:5, 61:5, 100:15, 103:11, 121:5, 121:11, 121:12, 122:8, 122:12 arranged 141:10, 141:22, 142:7 arrangement 5:11 artifact 147:15 asked 71:1, 81:16, 91:16 asking 11:15, 16:3, 22:10, 26:1, 27:4, 34:4, 34:6, 37:19, 45:1, 51:14, 51:16, 58:11, 58:14, 59:14, 77:20, 100:8, 116:11, 117:12, 117:20, 117:22, 128:20, 129:7, 129:9, 132:22 associated 31:11, 64:20, 65:1, 65:2, 65:3 assume 39:6, 44:11, 50:10, 62:12, 63:6, 65:20, 66:2, 66:3 assuming 38:6, 59:3,	59:4, 83:5, 83:12, 83:22, 84:6, 107:1, 118:19, 136:19 assumption 62:10, 65:19, 75:20, 82:22 assumptions 40:18 attached 2:16, 151:10 attorney-client 7:12, 8:5, 20:12 attorneys 5:4, 8:7, 8:16, 18:7, 19:8, 19:21, 20:15 available 73:10, 133:13, 134:8 average 91:11 averaged 91:4 aware 13:19, 101:6, 101:19, 102:18, 117:12, 135:1, 139:2, 139:11, 139:13 away 52:21, 94:14, 97:12, 98:6 B bachelor's 13:13 back 16:3, 46:21, 53:14, 56:7, 68:19, 81:15, 82:16, 106:1, 119:22, 121:22, 129:16, 132:10, 149:12, 149:17 background 12:11, 59:5,
--	---	---	---

59:9, 61:1, 72:7, 83:3, 84:14, 92:13, 92:14, 98:8, 98:13, 145:19 backwards 13:2 bad 91:12 banana 126:21, 126:22 bar 15:21, 15:22, 16:7, 16:12, 16:14, 16:19, 16:22, 17:4, 17:6, 17:15, 17:19, 17:20 based 7:1, 17:20, 66:19, 134:14, 146:2, 146:14 basically 17:1, 24:14, 39:10, 45:19, 120:10 basis 16:12, 121:10 battery 26:4, 26:7 beats 134:18 because 16:15, 31:22, 39:9, 40:14, 42:22, 45:14, 45:19, 47:13, 48:21, 53:13, 55:8, 62:7, 66:16, 69:15, 72:5, 73:8, 84:13, 84:14, 93:14, 95:13, 96:7, 97:18, 105:12, 108:19, 111:1, 120:13, 127:1, 134:17, 135:6, 142:3,	142:21, 147:22, 148:1, 148:2, 148:7 become 17:16 been 6:12, 9:18, 10:9, 10:13, 10:16, 11:7, 11:9, 23:13, 33:15, 33:22, 34:8, 35:6, 35:12, 36:10, 51:13, 54:14, 103:3, 146:7 before 1:3, 2:9, 12:1, 17:3, 17:17, 55:12, 76:19, 100:17, 120:2, 131:2, 131:22, 132:12, 138:5, 138:14, 139:22, 142:10, 150:11 behalf 3:3, 3:12, 5:20, 19:11 behind 65:3, 68:11 being 23:9, 50:12, 57:1, 59:9, 60:12, 72:15, 72:19, 84:8, 88:4, 88:20, 88:22, 89:16, 90:17, 90:21, 96:18, 122:10, 127:16, 131:12, 131:13, 133:11, 140:22, 143:22, 145:19, 146:8, 147:18 believe 7:6, 47:13, 82:17, 102:6, 123:2, 136:18, 140:1, 146:1	best 16:15, 60:15, 64:20, 65:7, 65:8 better 54:4, 58:10, 60:8, 104:19, 119:16, 121:6, 148:12 between 83:1 beyond 13:6, 101:20, 102:19 big 28:14 bit 120:7 black 127:1 blanked 106:20 blinking 53:17, 53:18 block 70:15 blockade 107:8 blood 64:4, 89:17, 89:20, 90:17, 90:18, 97:9, 97:15, 98:5, 98:6, 108:17, 108:19, 108:21, 109:13, 109:14, 110:3, 110:4, 110:5, 110:6, 110:11, 112:6, 112:11, 112:14, 113:5, 113:13, 113:20, 114:7, 114:9, 114:19, 114:22, 115:3, 115:13, 115:18, 120:10, 120:11, 120:12, 120:14, 120:15, 120:20,	121:1, 121:3, 121:4, 123:10, 123:14, 129:19, 131:15, 132:6, 136:14, 137:1, 147:4 blue 108:16, 108:20, 109:2, 109:4, 111:4, 111:6 board 1:3 body 29:3, 103:8, 115:7 boil 147:21 both 46:9, 46:15, 55:14, 56:2, 58:18, 77:7, 98:7, 98:20, 104:14, 112:10 branch 87:21 break 11:21, 67:17 breaking 67:15, 67:17 briefly 9:9, 9:11 broad 30:7, 30:21, 31:6, 31:15, 58:12, 86:1, 86:12, 87:15, 103:22, 126:17, 127:11 broader 86:19 broadly 87:22 buy 65:8 C calculate 77:22
---	--	--	--

california 3:8 california) 2:11 call 8:19, 22:15, 103:6, 135:10 called 6:11, 12:15, 15:21, 18:18, 18:19, 27:16, 64:21, 82:9, 90:19, 93:8, 94:11, 134:15 camino 3:6 can't 11:1, 27:13, 40:15, 45:18, 46:1, 46:16, 46:20, 46:21, 69:22, 76:21, 77:7, 78:4, 78:16, 80:13, 100:12, 123:4, 135:22 cannot 48:3, 62:6, 79:10 capture 140:9, 140:17 care 35:17 carefully 106:4 carry 35:10 case 1:11, 1:13, 1:15, 1:17, 7:18, 10:14, 10:17, 10:18, 11:8, 40:7, 80:12, 113:3, 132:16 cases 7:5, 10:6, 10:8 cause 2:8, 150:20	causes 134:19 caution 7:10, 19:18, 20:10, 21:1, 21:14, 23:4, 115:2, 115:14 certain 13:19, 22:14, 48:21, 73:19 certainly 63:11, 63:20, 67:3, 75:2, 88:20, 94:16, 104:9, 140:1, 144:13 certificate 150:1 certify 150:9, 150:21, 150:24 cetera 28:16, 50:12, 81:7, 83:17, 84:8, 98:9, 98:10, 98:15 change 26:8, 48:5, 48:6, 48:14, 48:15, 50:5, 50:17, 62:16, 77:4, 79:14, 79:19, 79:21, 80:1, 80:7, 80:15, 80:18, 80:22, 81:16, 82:1, 82:5, 82:12, 82:18, 83:1, 83:6, 84:1, 84:11, 84:18, 84:21, 85:8, 85:14, 85:20, 86:8, 86:16, 86:21, 87:8, 87:14, 88:3, 88:19, 88:21, 91:21, 92:1, 92:5,	92:19, 120:12, 121:3, 145:6, 145:7, 145:12, 145:16, 145:17, 149:7 changed 36:20, 45:14, 45:15, 45:18, 46:2, 46:3, 46:9, 46:14, 46:16, 46:21, 46:22, 51:13, 61:14, 77:5, 77:17, 77:18, 77:19, 78:3, 78:5, 78:10, 79:3, 79:12 changes 65:22 changing 50:19, 53:6, 61:22, 62:15, 84:14 characterize 54:4 chest 134:13, 134:22, 135:6, 135:7 chicago 3:17 children 22:17 circuitry 35:14, 81:8 circumstances 66:12, 69:19 cited 19:13 civil 2:15 claim 58:10, 60:7, 117:8 claims 9:9, 22:15, 61:18, 62:11, 83:11, 84:5, 116:3, 116:8,	116:11, 116:14, 116:19, 117:3, 117:19, 117:22 clarification 22:3 clarify 29:5, 96:19, 99:15, 144:21 clean 7:17, 8:14, 8:15, 8:16, 8:18 clear 11:19, 14:21, 19:3, 22:5 clearly 11:18 click 12:19 close 89:15, 90:16 closed 12:10 co-inventors 15:4, 15:9, 15:17 coincidentally 121:9 collecting 69:16 color 127:4 com 3:10, 3:19 come 54:3 comes 83:13, 103:12 coming 35:13, 53:14, 56:7, 61:1, 61:2, 84:7, 85:1, 85:2, 107:3, 109:8, 118:20 commencing 150:27 commission 150:36
---	---	---	--

common 13:22 commonly 139:17, 140:9, 140:17 communications 7:12, 8:6, 19:20, 20:12, 21:2, 21:16, 23:5 compare 109:1, 111:14, 111:20, 112:18, 113:22, 114:15, 119:7, 125:18, 126:11 compared 113:15, 115:19, 119:9 comparing 76:10, 79:21, 85:5, 88:16, 89:2, 107:21, 108:9, 108:12, 109:10, 109:19, 110:13, 110:21, 113:2, 114:11, 114:20, 118:13, 126:16, 128:12, 129:4, 129:6, 129:13 comparison 111:9 compensation 147:15 complete 151:8 completely 26:5, 26:7, 98:6, 115:3, 131:3, 131:18 component 67:9, 68:3, 68:7, 68:10, 109:7 computer 12:13, 150:15 concept 22:7, 27:1,	27:9, 27:13, 56:11, 57:17, 58:15, 120:2, 130:10, 131:6, 144:17, 146:13, 148:14, 148:21 concepts 58:14 concluded 149:22 condition 116:22 conditions 50:11 confused 143:2, 143:5 confusion 28:11 conjecture 15:16 consent 5:11 consider 17:8, 28:7, 84:19, 87:19, 115:6, 132:4, 136:7 considered 84:22 considering 132:15, 137:11 constant 44:11, 59:10, 67:1 constituents 64:4, 109:13, 109:14, 123:14 contacts 135:13 content 110:4, 129:20 context 26:17, 26:18, 26:20, 27:5, 27:6, 27:14, 30:12, 33:18, 58:4, 59:2, 59:7, 59:16,	59:21, 60:10, 60:21, 62:2, 62:20, 63:6, 63:11, 63:15, 63:21, 64:18, 65:11, 67:2, 67:8, 68:2, 70:21, 71:3, 71:8, 71:11, 71:15, 83:10, 84:5, 100:15, 102:3, 102:17, 105:8, 112:2, 112:12, 113:1, 115:21, 118:18, 125:22, 126:5, 128:18, 129:6, 130:20, 140:21, 141:4, 142:4, 147:6, 148:20 continuation 22:18 contrast 126:20 contribute 65:13, 71:21, 72:12, 73:2, 80:19, 96:12, 110:14 contribution 82:7 contributor 64:10 control 37:21, 38:12, 75:3 controlled 38:10 conventional 125:17, 125:21, 126:3, 126:5, 126:7, 126:11 copies 7:17, 8:14, 8:15, 8:17, 8:18 correct 9:16, 13:10, 15:10, 18:3,	24:3, 47:5, 47:6, 47:10, 47:22, 54:16, 56:13, 67:10, 68:4, 68:14, 71:4, 78:12, 79:5, 113:9, 113:16, 114:2, 116:4, 116:9, 116:17, 117:5, 120:3, 123:11, 123:22, 129:9, 130:12, 131:8, 132:1, 132:13, 133:9, 136:15, 137:8, 142:13, 143:9, 150:16, 151:8 corrections 151:9 correctly 17:22, 43:9, 44:15, 56:15, 65:18, 71:2, 77:2, 78:2, 83:20, 116:22 correlated 54:8 correspond 55:3 cost 105:13, 105:14 could 21:18, 22:9, 25:16, 26:17, 29:4, 30:14, 31:13, 33:11, 33:18, 34:21, 35:9, 45:2, 51:12, 54:2, 58:9, 58:10, 60:6, 62:18, 66:18, 67:14, 75:5, 86:2, 99:15, 101:15, 103:10, 107:8, 108:12, 111:18, 114:21, 117:7,
--	---	--	---

117:8, 119:1, 119:3, 119:5, 119:6, 123:14, 125:22, 127:12, 130:18, 130:19, 132:6, 132:18, 133:22, 136:13, 137:7, 137:17, 140:13, 141:10, 141:21, 142:12, 142:16, 144:20, 147:3, 147:4 counsel 5:10, 5:14, 5:16, 7:19, 7:20, 9:5, 20:4, 21:2, 21:16, 21:17, 23:6, 67:6, 150:18, 150:22 counsel's 19:22 count 14:13 counted 102:7 county 150:5, 150:35 course 12:19, 14:8, 16:10, 16:15, 17:2, 17:3, 17:6 courses 16:20 cover 117:3 crossed 28:2 crr 1:30, 2:10, 150:34 csr 1:30, 2:10, 2:12, 150:34 current 82:10 cutout 106:19	D dark 82:10 date 100:16, 150:12, 151:22 day 102:14 days 18:19, 18:21 declaration 7:5, 7:14, 8:19, 8:22, 51:8, 77:15, 141:14 declarations 7:1 decrease 44:12, 78:22, 91:18 decreased 44:19, 45:8, 66:15, 78:18 decreases 44:4, 44:5, 97:6 decreasing 95:17, 99:5, 111:10 deep 139:5, 139:15 define 35:14, 48:3, 60:16, 60:19, 129:4, 130:15 defined 30:10, 60:18, 61:10, 128:16, 129:1, 144:9 defining 61:19, 61:20, 61:21, 128:11, 128:12, 129:12, 130:17, 131:11, 143:12 definition 128:17	definitive 52:13 definitively 46:1, 78:16, 79:10 degrade 91:5, 96:7 degrades 40:11 degree 13:10, 13:11, 13:14, 94:14 degrees 93:12 deliver 40:15, 66:17, 66:22, 95:15 delivering 43:19 dementia 104:12 depend 44:4 depending 64:3 depends 99:6, 128:4 deponent 151:1 deposed 9:18, 10:5, 10:7, 10:9, 10:13, 10:16 deposition 1:20, 2:5, 5:1, 5:5, 7:4, 7:7, 7:8, 7:21, 9:7, 9:16, 9:17, 10:3, 12:17, 150:10, 150:23, 150:24 depositions 9:21, 10:1 describe 22:19, 23:18, 31:13, 64:17 describing 35:18, 136:20	design 66:12 detection 79:14, 79:19, 79:21, 80:2, 80:7, 80:15, 80:18, 80:22, 81:16, 82:1, 82:6, 82:12, 82:18, 84:11, 84:18, 84:21, 85:8, 85:14, 85:20, 86:8, 86:16, 86:21, 87:8, 87:14, 88:3, 88:19, 88:22, 91:21, 92:1, 92:6, 92:19, 145:7, 145:8, 145:13, 145:16, 145:17 detector 39:11, 40:6, 40:19, 41:11, 41:16, 50:7, 52:19, 53:15, 59:1, 65:2, 66:6, 66:13, 66:21, 68:11, 69:14, 70:7, 70:10, 70:16, 70:19, 71:16, 71:18, 72:3, 75:22, 76:7, 80:1, 81:6, 89:15, 90:20, 91:1, 91:4, 95:12, 97:8, 97:11, 97:15, 97:19, 98:5, 98:11, 98:13, 105:13, 107:4, 107:10, 141:1, 143:2 detectors 68:22, 69:4, 70:4, 71:13, 71:20, 73:14,
--	--	--	--

74:2, 74:8, 74:19, 75:13, 89:6, 89:10, 89:18, 90:1, 90:5, 90:8, 90:15, 91:18, 92:22, 93:3, 96:12, 97:2, 97:3, 97:7, 97:14, 97:22, 98:4, 98:7, 98:19, 99:2, 99:7, 99:10, 100:2, 100:7, 101:1, 101:4, 103:16, 104:5, 104:13, 105:1, 105:10, 105:17, 106:6, 106:10, 106:18, 106:22, 107:14, 124:13, 124:22, 125:6, 125:9, 125:14, 140:8, 140:16 determine 33:7, 33:14, 33:21, 34:7, 34:20, 35:5, 36:10, 46:1, 46:16, 49:18, 50:9, 51:2, 51:4, 51:17, 52:5, 75:12, 88:3, 88:21, 106:9, 118:13 devices 13:17, 13:20, 14:1, 14:4, 25:13, 25:20, 26:13, 27:21, 29:9, 31:22, 70:13, 73:14, 83:11, 85:8, 85:14, 99:18, 100:18, 101:11, 102:4, 102:18, 102:19, 103:4, 123:3, 127:8,	133:19, 134:4, 134:7, 135:3, 135:11, 135:21, 137:3, 139:8, 139:12, 139:13, 139:19, 140:10, 140:19, 141:9, 141:21, 141:22, 144:12, 147:2, 147:12 difference 126:20 differences 127:4 different 28:8, 32:8, 96:22, 97:5, 107:13, 109:13, 109:14, 109:15, 114:11, 114:21, 121:15, 122:5, 122:13, 122:20, 123:14, 125:18, 126:12, 128:13, 129:5, 129:7, 129:14, 129:19, 130:6, 141:11, 141:22, 142:7, 143:15, 144:4, 148:2 diodes 61:8, 122:10, 136:22 direct 117:10, 132:10 directed 118:3 directly 18:9, 18:13, 21:4 discovered 121:5, 121:13 discuss 57:17 discussion 129:3 distinguish 109:12	dive 139:5, 139:15 divide 36:4 divided 44:9 divisional 22:18 doc 12:20 doctor 13:12, 94:15, 120:2, 132:11, 132:22, 138:6 doctor's 115:13, 131:14, 138:4, 138:18, 147:6, 147:7, 148:7 document 11:10 documents 19:9 doing 31:1, 31:3, 31:4, 49:22, 52:2, 61:19, 82:18, 83:16, 84:9, 89:2, 106:15, 115:16, 128:4, 130:1, 130:19, 138:8 done 14:10, 18:6, 19:7, 20:14, 131:17, 138:13, 139:5, 139:15, 149:21 doors 12:10 double 42:20 doubled 43:1 down 27:15, 40:14, 92:12, 147:21 dr 6:1, 6:7, 6:20,	9:15, 149:19 draw 31:2 duly 2:7, 6:12 duration 115:4 during 19:21, 69:16, 70:10, 115:10, 131:4, 132:8, 132:19, 133:15, 137:4, 137:19, 140:6, 141:6, 144:1, 146:11, 147:9 E each 9:21, 68:12, 119:1 ear 28:19, 29:3, 29:17, 147:13 earbud 115:22 earlier 78:2, 81:15, 82:16, 145:5, 145:6 earpiece 115:9 easiest 88:20 eastern 2:9 ecg 134:15, 134:20 educated 94:16 effect 145:18 effects 90:22, 145:5, 145:10 either 12:20, 37:7, 37:12, 46:15,
--	---	---	---

47:14, 52:18, 66:13, 120:11, 120:14, 120:17, 147:7, 150:19 ekg 134:15, 134:20, 135:5, 135:14 el 3:6 electrical 134:16, 134:19 electrocardiogram 134:16 electronics 1:5, 68:11 else 8:12, 9:7, 9:13, 12:18, 16:18, 54:22, 61:4, 64:5, 87:16 emitters 68:21, 71:12, 73:13, 74:2, 74:8, 92:21 emitting 52:17 end 43:22 ending 150:27 energy 66:17, 95:15 engineering 147:21 enough 40:15, 47:8, 47:20, 54:2 environment 38:11, 59:6, 61:2, 61:13, 62:12, 63:8, 63:12, 81:5, 148:10 envisioning 60:22 errata 151:10	esoteric 80:12, 92:18 esquire 3:4, 3:13 et 1:5, 28:16, 50:12, 81:7, 83:17, 84:8, 98:9, 98:10, 98:15 even 64:3, 64:20, 65:7, 86:13, 115:5, 117:17, 130:4, 130:17, 147:17 event 150:19 ever 19:5, 19:12, 21:3, 91:17 every 64:19, 64:22, 65:5, 67:8, 68:3, 68:7, 68:9, 134:18, 138:3 everything 35:1 exactly 19:5, 122:9, 122:17, 129:1 exam 16:1, 16:12, 17:7, 94:13 examination 4:6, 6:16, 16:11 examined 6:14, 151:6 examining 50:22, 51:1, 51:3, 51:16, 52:4 example 19:12, 22:15, 22:17, 27:15, 28:13, 29:17,	32:4, 32:20, 35:10, 35:16, 35:19, 36:17, 36:20, 36:21, 37:20, 37:22, 40:5, 41:10, 44:10, 47:14, 52:1, 52:9, 52:14, 52:15, 53:9, 53:10, 56:17, 57:8, 61:7, 61:10, 61:17, 61:18, 64:1, 68:8, 70:7, 70:14, 72:19, 75:17, 80:4, 81:4, 82:10, 84:22, 85:6, 86:3, 89:12, 90:13, 91:7, 91:19, 92:8, 93:5, 93:8, 93:16, 95:11, 96:1, 97:4, 97:5, 98:2, 98:4, 103:3, 108:11, 108:15, 109:6, 109:9, 109:10, 110:5, 111:2, 111:3, 112:4, 121:20, 126:19, 127:15, 129:15, 131:12, 131:13, 133:12, 134:12, 135:18, 140:22, 145:6, 146:8, 147:13 examples 41:19, 66:19, 97:1 exams 16:14, 17:1, 94:12 exceptions 66:18 excuse 13:11, 25:10,	42:13, 136:10 exercising 135:8 exhibit 4:11, 8:19, 8:20 exhibits 4:13, 7:14, 8:20, 9:2, 12:17, 13:8 existed 113:11, 138:22, 139:8 experience 14:7, 102:22 experiment 34:3, 88:19, 107:17, 107:19, 107:20 experiments 34:21 expert 10:5, 10:7, 10:14, 10:17, 10:18, 11:8, 14:6 expires 150:36 explain 28:11, 55:11, 108:6 exposed 107:11 extenuating 66:11 extremely 30:21, 31:5 eye 31:4 eyes 52:19, 104:13, 104:14, 104:18, 126:18, 127:3 F fact 16:14, 120:10, 121:1
--	---	--	---

fair 12:2, 12:3, 47:13 fairly 30:6 familiar 13:16, 17:16, 22:7, 27:1, 27:8, 27:12, 56:11 family 21:11, 22:1, 22:4, 22:8, 22:12, 22:13, 22:19, 23:1, 23:10, 23:19 famously 102:13 faster 47:15, 96:9 federal 2:14 field 25:9, 25:12, 25:19, 26:12, 27:16, 27:18, 27:19, 29:8, 29:13, 30:1, 30:7, 30:9, 30:18, 48:17, 73:13, 73:18, 73:19, 85:19, 86:2, 86:6, 86:15, 86:19, 87:6, 87:13, 87:20, 94:10, 103:17, 104:6, 114:15, 123:3, 126:15, 126:17 fields 28:2, 28:8 fifth 15:17 file 12:15, 12:16 filed 7:1, 7:2, 19:11 filings 18:22, 19:3,	19:6 filters 123:18, 123:21, 124:3, 124:10, 125:9, 125:14 find 89:19 fine 81:20 finger 100:19, 103:11, 112:13, 115:14, 123:4, 136:5, 138:7, 142:8, 147:13 finished 11:1, 58:2 first 6:12, 9:16, 9:17, 50:1, 50:8, 60:16, 60:18, 99:21, 106:21, 107:5, 121:5, 121:12, 138:5, 146:1 fitbit 101:12, 101:13, 102:7, 105:6, 127:16, 139:14 five 10:19, 11:11 fixed 38:2, 50:11, 50:13, 78:19, 78:21, 147:6, 147:8, 148:9 follow 76:1 followed 45:3 follows 6:15 foregoing 150:13, 151:7 forget 16:21 forth 150:13	found 36:9 four 9:10, 19:16, 20:7, 20:20, 21:9, 21:21, 22:21, 23:11, 23:14, 23:19, 24:1, 57:16, 58:4, 106:18, 106:22, 107:6, 117:17, 118:7 free 129:21, 130:1 front 7:17, 8:18, 70:15 full 150:16 function 149:8 further 46:22, 48:2, 52:21, 53:12, 96:5, 97:11, 149:13, 150:21, 150:24 G gave 111:3 gedanken 119:2 gedankenexperime- nt 35:10, 36:14, 37:21, 49:22, 54:1, 88:9, 106:2, 106:13, 107:16 general 24:13, 24:18, 25:4, 30:15, 30:20, 32:21, 38:19, 39:7, 39:17, 41:9, 41:14, 49:14, 59:3, 59:4,	60:22, 66:6, 66:8, 66:20, 69:4, 69:12, 69:13, 69:17, 72:20, 72:21, 73:5, 79:19, 80:1, 80:11, 80:13, 80:14, 82:16, 82:18, 82:21, 89:9, 92:1, 92:19, 93:2, 93:22, 94:21, 95:9, 95:21, 110:17, 110:20, 110:22, 111:9, 120:22, 134:14 generally 22:9, 27:12, 28:21, 86:6, 90:5, 133:7, 139:2 getting 30:19, 43:22, 52:22, 90:22, 97:16, 129:22 give 6:4, 18:17, 18:20, 20:10, 21:1, 27:4, 27:5, 34:17, 37:10, 45:22, 48:2, 52:9, 57:8, 75:17, 77:20, 90:12, 92:8, 96:22, 97:3, 97:5, 108:11, 110:17, 127:15, 129:14 given 46:13, 50:16, 54:2, 61:13, 151:9 gives 34:17, 94:11, 129:21, 130:22, 133:5, 137:15 giving 26:16, 27:14,
---	--	--	---

36:21, 39:10, 46:17, 61:16, 91:7, 91:20, 93:7 glanced 9:9 go 12:1, 15:8, 16:3, 16:10, 68:17, 81:14, 91:14, 106:1, 106:13, 115:12, 119:19, 125:4, 138:3, 149:9, 149:14 goes 40:14, 43:5, 77:3 going 11:9, 16:13, 17:5, 18:16, 26:5, 36:13, 45:21, 66:5, 70:19, 75:8, 78:8, 82:16, 88:8, 92:12, 101:9, 129:16, 142:8 gone 40:15, 67:14 gonna 39:5, 39:6 good 5:19, 90:18, 91:2, 112:9, 120:8 great 94:9 green 52:17, 53:2, 53:17, 108:16, 108:17, 108:20, 109:2, 109:7, 111:3, 130:3 guaranteed 49:15 guess 8:21, 13:2,	15:15, 21:5, 22:4, 22:20, 26:22, 32:15, 54:11, 55:18, 56:6, 62:7, 63:13, 64:14, 77:16, 81:14, 82:20, 88:11, 126:6 H hand 6:2, 130:2 hands 115:15, 135:13 happen 34:14 happened 90:16 hayes 8:10 head 9:20, 23:17, 42:4, 52:10, 53:11, 54:1, 63:19, 69:21, 69:22, 75:19, 80:14, 90:14, 92:7, 93:6, 95:12, 96:2, 111:8, 118:7 hearing 5:22 heart 56:17, 68:8, 95:5, 128:6, 129:8, 129:21, 129:22, 130:11, 131:1, 131:21, 132:11, 132:18, 132:22, 133:5, 133:8, 133:13, 133:19, 134:5, 134:8, 134:17, 134:18, 134:21, 135:3, 135:9, 135:15, 135:21, 137:7, 137:15,	137:17, 146:3, 146:9, 147:3 heartbeat 130:5, 134:19 height 65:21, 138:10 help 81:17, 92:6 helped 76:12 helpful 29:5 helping 84:12 helps 80:15 hemoglobin 110:4 here 6:22, 7:4, 8:9, 42:2, 67:15, 69:22, 90:14, 96:1, 103:13, 111:7, 129:3, 135:22 hereby 150:9, 151:5 herein 6:11 hereinbefore 150:12 hereto 2:16 high 32:19, 33:5, 64:14 higher 32:10, 32:12, 40:12, 96:5, 96:8 history 121:6 hit 66:14 hitting 95:14 hole 106:19, 106:20	home 12:5 honest 144:8 hope 94:13, 94:15 hopefully 66:12 hospital 103:3, 123:5, 131:14, 136:3, 147:7, 148:8 hour 67:14 however 115:2, 133:14 hundred 93:11 hurt 91:5, 93:13 hypothetical 37:19, 42:16, 43:16, 46:12, 88:8, 107:18, 107:19 I idea 89:12 illinois 3:17 illinois) 2:12 imagine 33:19, 34:21, 75:5 impacts 82:2, 98:20 improve 24:9, 24:22, 26:13, 27:2, 27:10, 27:21, 29:9, 30:3, 30:14, 31:17, 32:12, 32:22, 38:15, 39:2, 39:14, 40:1, 40:7, 41:2,
---	--	--	---

41:17, 41:21, 42:10, 43:6, 43:13, 48:10, 48:19, 49:6, 49:14, 51:5, 51:18, 52:6, 53:7, 53:20, 66:7, 68:22, 69:8, 69:20, 74:9, 74:19, 79:15, 80:2, 80:8, 80:15, 82:13, 84:13, 85:15, 85:20, 86:8, 86:21, 87:8, 88:4, 89:6, 89:18, 90:5, 90:9, 91:22, 92:2, 92:19, 92:22, 93:3, 93:18, 93:21, 94:7, 94:20, 95:19, 99:2, 103:16, 104:5, 104:14, 105:2, 106:10, 107:22, 108:10, 108:11, 108:12, 109:11, 109:17, 109:20, 110:10, 111:9, 111:15, 111:21, 112:19, 113:16, 113:19, 114:1, 114:17, 114:21, 118:14, 146:22, 148:6 improved 36:11, 37:3, 37:15, 49:19, 57:11, 61:14, 75:14, 76:12, 97:17, 112:15, 119:9, 119:17 improvement 41:8, 99:4 improves 24:14, 66:9, 69:5, 69:14,	82:18, 90:2, 97:4, 105:17, 114:8 improving 30:13, 40:20, 98:1, 99:4, 106:5, 113:4, 146:17 inc 1:8 include 63:20, 63:22, 64:1, 81:6, 83:14, 83:15, 83:16, 86:13 included 28:3, 147:15 includes 81:4 including 81:5 increase 24:18, 25:9, 25:12, 25:19, 26:12, 26:21, 27:20, 29:8, 29:20, 30:2, 32:3, 32:6, 38:5, 39:18, 40:10, 41:12, 42:18, 43:17, 47:17, 48:9, 48:18, 55:14, 56:1, 56:12, 57:9, 57:18, 58:6, 58:16, 58:17, 59:17, 59:22, 60:11, 61:12, 62:3, 62:14, 62:21, 66:4, 67:1, 67:4, 78:22, 89:10, 92:13, 92:14, 95:10, 95:15, 95:22, 146:21 increased 29:19, 33:8,	33:15, 34:1, 34:8, 35:6, 36:18, 42:8, 42:9, 42:19, 43:11, 43:12, 43:21, 44:18, 44:19, 45:7, 45:8, 60:12, 66:16, 76:15, 76:16, 77:11, 77:12, 78:11, 78:17, 78:19, 79:5, 79:9, 96:8, 148:3 increases 25:4, 39:9, 44:3, 44:5, 46:8, 47:7, 47:9, 47:19, 47:21, 51:5, 51:18, 52:5, 53:3, 72:20, 94:22, 96:4 increasing 24:8, 24:13, 24:15, 24:16, 24:21, 26:21, 27:2, 27:9, 30:13, 32:21, 36:16, 37:2, 37:15, 38:9, 38:14, 38:19, 39:1, 39:8, 39:13, 39:17, 39:22, 40:22, 41:6, 41:7, 41:11, 41:16, 41:20, 44:12, 49:18, 53:18, 53:20, 54:14, 55:1, 55:14, 55:22, 56:11, 57:17, 58:15, 59:10, 59:11, 59:18, 60:1, 61:6, 62:3, 62:21, 66:5, 66:8, 67:3,	72:11, 72:17, 73:1, 73:5, 73:7, 73:9, 81:12, 83:7, 84:2, 84:9, 84:10, 93:20, 93:22, 94:6, 94:19, 94:21, 95:9, 95:18, 95:21, 96:3 independently 36:1, 36:3 individual 146:4 information 7:16, 34:11, 45:17, 45:22, 47:1, 47:8, 47:20, 48:2, 77:21 infrared 112:6, 113:4, 113:12, 130:4, 143:17, 144:15 inputs 35:13, 99:7 instance 2:6, 28:20, 69:11, 70:1, 76:6, 91:9, 91:16, 92:3, 92:5, 92:14, 97:21, 129:22 instances 39:22, 40:5, 40:22, 42:6, 96:22, 108:3 institute 13:14 instruments 136:9 intensity 24:8, 24:13, 24:15, 24:21, 25:9, 25:12, 25:19, 26:8, 26:12, 26:21, 27:2, 27:9,
---	---	--	---

27:20, 29:8, 29:19, 30:3, 30:13, 31:16, 32:2, 32:3, 32:6, 32:9, 32:10, 32:11, 32:12, 32:18, 32:19, 32:22, 33:5, 37:2, 37:15, 38:5, 38:7, 40:14, 41:1, 41:7, 41:12, 41:16, 41:20, 42:9, 42:18, 54:7, 54:12, 54:15, 54:18, 55:2, 55:14, 56:1, 56:12, 57:18, 58:6, 58:16, 58:17, 59:11, 59:17, 59:22, 60:11, 61:7, 61:12, 62:3, 62:16, 62:21, 65:21, 65:22, 66:15, 67:1, 72:11, 72:18, 84:10, 95:16, 95:18, 95:22, 96:4, 96:6 intentionally 133:3 interact 21:3 interacting 21:6 interaction 18:9 interactions 18:12, 20:3, 20:13 interested 150:19 interference 64:2, 98:8, 98:9, 98:14, 109:8, 111:5	interferences 109:5, 111:2, 111:11 interfering 64:5 interrupted 71:9 introduce 62:15, 67:9, 68:3, 68:13 introduces 65:6 invent 146:2 invented 146:13, 146:17 inventor 14:18, 14:21, 19:11 invited 18:17, 18:20 involve 10:1 involved 10:3, 18:5, 19:15, 19:16, 20:1, 20:2, 68:10 ipr 1:11, 1:13, 1:15, 1:17, 7:2, 7:5, 9:10 iprs 19:16, 20:8, 20:21, 21:10, 21:22, 22:22, 23:15, 57:16, 58:5 ish 24:6 islam 1:20, 2:5, 4:4, 5:1, 5:7, 6:1, 6:7, 6:10, 6:19, 6:20, 9:15, 149:19, 150:11, 150:25, 151:5 issue 20:8, 20:21,	21:10, 21:22, 22:22, 23:15, 57:16, 58:5 issued 23:14 itself 9:1, 40:10, 40:13 J james 3:4, 5:17 jdavis@sheppard 3:10 jennifer 8:10 job 1:27 jr 3:4 july 1:21, 2:8, 5:2, 150:26 K keep 38:1, 56:6, 95:1, 95:2, 95:6, 115:15 kept 44:11 key 147:11 kind 14:9, 82:10, 94:10 knew 100:22, 101:11 knob 32:3, 32:5, 36:16, 49:12 knobs 75:4 knowing 45:17, 76:22, 77:7, 88:17, 89:1 knowledge 33:3, 87:12	known 25:8, 25:11, 25:18, 26:11, 27:19, 29:7, 29:18, 30:2, 30:12, 32:16, 48:8, 48:14, 48:18, 73:12, 74:1, 74:7, 85:7, 85:11, 85:13, 85:19, 86:7, 86:17, 86:20, 87:7, 87:14, 99:9, 100:1, 100:13, 103:15, 104:4, 104:9, 104:22, 111:13, 111:20, 112:18, 113:8, 114:15, 114:20, 122:19, 123:2, 123:9, 123:13, 123:17, 124:2, 124:8, 124:10, 124:13, 124:17, 124:21, 125:5, 125:8, 125:13, 126:17, 130:12, 131:2, 131:8, 131:14, 132:5, 132:16, 133:13, 136:13, 136:18, 137:6, 140:4, 141:10, 141:19, 142:11, 142:16, 143:7, 143:15, 144:3 L lab 29:1, 30:11, 38:11, 107:20 laboratory 28:14, 28:22, 31:9, 34:22, 35:4, 36:15, 37:14, 49:17, 50:1, 51:11,
---	--	--	---

53:5, 54:5, 75:6, 75:11, 88:2, 88:10, 106:3, 106:8, 118:12, 118:18, 119:2 laser 28:14, 65:8 lasers 48:22 lead 41:8 learn 16:16 least 10:12, 18:16, 18:17, 18:18, 18:20, 23:10, 31:22, 101:3, 102:6, 105:8, 137:13, 140:3 led 61:12, 72:19 leds 136:13, 137:7, 137:14, 137:16, 139:17, 139:22, 140:2, 141:8, 141:20, 142:6, 143:18 left 13:2, 148:7 less 52:22, 59:10, 66:22, 120:14, 120:17, 120:19 let's 29:6, 35:11, 42:19, 45:12, 52:15, 52:16, 58:21, 60:7, 65:18, 66:2, 72:16, 75:4, 84:19, 89:12, 90:15, 90:20, 92:8, 97:1, 97:8, 106:16, 108:14, 108:15,	110:2, 110:8, 118:21 level 24:16, 24:17, 36:16, 36:18, 37:22, 38:1, 38:2, 38:4, 38:5, 38:9, 38:12, 38:13, 40:12, 42:18, 43:5, 43:19, 47:14, 47:16, 48:6, 50:9, 50:13, 64:14, 72:4, 73:7, 78:16, 78:18, 78:20, 78:21, 79:1, 81:2, 81:12, 83:1, 83:6, 83:13, 84:1, 84:7, 92:5, 96:4, 97:4, 97:6, 97:12, 98:1, 98:3, 98:16, 99:5, 107:2, 110:5, 110:6, 110:22, 111:11, 112:7, 112:11, 113:6, 113:13, 114:8, 131:15, 136:14, 137:2 license 2:11 lieu 5:7 light-based 14:6, 14:7 light-emitting 61:8, 122:10, 136:22 lighting 148:9 lights 50:12, 57:9, 57:10, 61:3 likely 143:17	likewise 120:19 limitation 117:13 limitations 118:3, 118:10 linear 41:15, 66:21 little 67:14, 96:16 live 68:1 llp 3:14 local 145:8 located 6:20 lock-in 93:9 long 24:16, 38:3, 61:10, 66:20, 121:8 look 13:2, 50:8, 58:9, 60:6, 70:9, 70:19, 76:4, 76:8, 93:11, 106:3, 108:14, 108:15, 110:18, 117:9, 126:18, 128:17, 128:22, 135:9 looked 9:11 looking 30:22, 31:14, 70:17, 76:7, 86:14, 88:1, 88:14, 93:14, 97:13, 104:12, 104:15, 120:12, 134:16 looks 108:19 lost 14:13, 104:11	lot 16:22, 52:12 love 94:9 low 32:18, 33:4 lower 32:9, 32:11 M made 18:22 madison 3:15 maintain 65:21 make 22:5, 22:11, 40:19, 44:22, 51:9, 56:14, 56:21, 62:9, 65:19, 75:20, 98:17, 106:19, 128:10 makes 46:18, 50:20, 93:15 manner 5:12, 5:16 manual 16:11 many 9:18, 10:9, 10:13, 10:16, 10:21, 11:7, 14:3, 14:9, 14:12, 23:13, 23:18, 52:2, 66:14, 95:13, 102:11 market 131:22, 132:12, 133:9, 133:14, 133:19, 134:5, 135:2, 135:20 markings 9:3, 9:4 massachusetts 13:14
--	---	--	--

master's 13:13 material 75:7 matter 5:9, 6:4, 14:6 maybe 24:6, 40:13, 54:3, 60:8, 62:18, 64:3, 64:15, 83:18, 99:14, 117:9 mean 19:10, 21:5, 22:4, 28:5, 44:8, 56:18, 57:8, 57:11, 60:11, 70:5, 71:2, 71:12, 71:17, 73:18, 79:21, 101:2, 117:7, 125:21, 126:3, 126:6, 126:7, 127:15, 139:2, 141:15, 142:4, 144:19 meaning 84:20 means 43:18, 81:12, 145:15 measure 36:2, 36:3, 36:22, 38:3, 38:4, 45:11, 50:13, 50:15, 57:13, 64:4, 80:5, 93:10, 102:10, 103:4, 107:3, 107:11, 110:2, 110:3, 112:6, 113:12, 119:2, 119:3, 119:5, 120:11, 121:3, 129:19, 130:4, 131:7, 131:15, 132:6, 132:18, 133:12,	134:17, 134:20, 134:21, 135:9, 135:14, 136:6, 136:13, 136:22, 137:7, 137:17, 141:2, 142:7, 146:3, 147:3, 147:4 measured 71:18, 118:22, 119:9, 123:15, 132:7, 135:3, 135:21 measurement 13:20, 14:4, 33:19, 34:16, 35:16, 56:18, 58:22, 68:9, 72:7, 72:16, 73:10, 110:11, 112:9, 112:11, 112:15, 113:6, 113:20, 114:10, 114:11, 115:1, 115:5, 115:11, 115:17, 128:2, 130:20, 131:1, 131:4, 131:17, 131:19, 132:8, 132:20, 133:15, 137:4, 137:19, 138:8, 138:11, 141:3, 141:6, 144:1, 145:9, 146:11, 147:9, 148:4 measurements 14:8, 14:10, 32:20, 75:22, 95:4, 119:12, 140:6, 146:19, 146:20 measures 70:7, 128:6, 129:18, 146:9 measuring 56:20, 70:17, 72:6, 102:4,	102:17, 108:6, 109:15, 110:1, 121:2, 133:19, 134:5, 134:8, 138:9, 145:10 medical 103:4, 138:11 medsci 1:8 meet 8:1, 8:12 meetings 7:19, 9:5 memory 10:22, 11:10, 16:4, 17:5, 18:16, 101:9 menlo 3:8 mentioned 120:2 merely 53:10 met 8:7 method 2:13 methods 146:17 michigan 6:21, 12:6, 150:3, 150:9, 150:35 michigan) 2:11 might 22:3, 22:17, 27:5, 28:18, 35:15, 35:19, 36:22, 51:10, 52:10, 53:4, 61:8, 69:18, 81:6, 83:14, 83:15, 89:18, 93:17, 93:18, 95:11, 106:18, 107:20, 108:6, 109:14, 121:7,	136:3, 144:12, 147:12 mind 103:12 mine 121:6 mit 13:15 modulation 148:16, 148:17, 148:21, 149:2, 149:6 modulations 148:14 mohammed 1:20, 2:5, 4:4, 5:1, 5:6, 6:10, 6:19, 150:10, 150:25, 151:5 moment 66:2, 67:13, 81:15, 108:7, 129:3 monitor 130:11, 132:22 monitoring 13:16, 14:1, 127:20, 129:8, 139:19, 140:10, 140:18, 141:9, 141:21 monitors 131:22, 132:12, 133:8 more 15:19, 22:9, 26:17, 30:8, 39:10, 40:4, 42:3, 43:19, 51:22, 52:2, 52:12, 52:16, 53:4, 54:3, 54:11, 55:18, 59:9, 62:8, 62:15, 63:2, 66:22, 68:7, 77:20, 86:2, 94:16, 96:16,
--	--	---	--

103:21, 106:4, 108:4, 112:10, 114:16, 117:7, 120:14, 120:15, 120:20, 125:22, 126:17, 127:12, 149:1 morning 5:19, 126:21 most 14:6, 16:9, 36:6, 70:13 motion 64:1, 83:16, 102:10, 147:15, 147:22 move 103:11, 115:4, 115:16, 115:20, 116:4, 116:9, 123:4, 130:18, 130:19, 138:7, 146:15, 147:12 moved 52:21 movement 117:1, 117:21, 118:10 moving 32:11, 53:11, 116:16, 116:20, 116:21, 117:4, 117:14, 117:16, 118:4 mpep 16:10, 17:20 much 36:17, 66:17, 77:13, 83:16, 95:15, 96:4, 108:21 mullin 5:18 multiple 99:6, 121:14, 121:20, 122:5, 122:20, 123:6, 142:6	must 70:1, 80:12 myself 14:4 N name 6:18, 16:21, 19:10 names 8:6 nazrul 6:19 necessarily 45:13, 47:17, 82:20, 92:6, 103:6, 145:17 need 11:21, 13:7, 26:20, 44:18, 45:7, 46:8, 76:15, 77:11, 146:21, 146:22 nice 126:22 nixon 3:14, 5:20, 8:11 nodding 11:18 north 9:20, 10:12 notary 150:1, 150:8, 150:35 notes 150:17 nothing 6:5, 6:13, 37:9, 79:9 number 9:19, 10:11, 15:3, 15:18, 17:11, 19:6, 19:13, 24:6, 34:17, 35:2, 37:11, 43:20, 45:12, 45:13,	66:5, 73:9, 102:8, 102:15, 106:18, 147:5, 147:8 numbered 2:8 nurse 136:5 nurse's 123:5 O oakland 150:5, 150:35 oath 5:7 object 23:16, 90:11 objection 13:18, 14:2, 14:19, 15:6, 15:13, 16:8, 17:10, 18:4, 18:11, 19:2, 20:9, 20:22, 21:12, 24:11, 25:2, 25:14, 26:14, 27:11, 27:22, 29:11, 30:4, 31:19, 33:9, 33:16, 34:2, 34:9, 35:7, 36:12, 37:5, 37:17, 38:17, 40:3, 41:3, 42:1, 42:11, 43:14, 44:6, 44:20, 46:10, 47:11, 48:11, 49:8, 51:7, 51:20, 52:7, 54:9, 55:5, 56:4, 57:2, 58:8, 58:19, 60:13, 62:5, 63:1, 63:9, 63:17, 64:12, 65:15,	67:11, 69:2, 71:14, 72:1, 72:14, 73:4, 73:15, 74:11, 74:21, 75:15, 76:17, 77:14, 78:13, 79:6, 79:17, 80:10, 80:21, 82:4, 82:15, 83:9, 84:4, 85:9, 86:10, 87:10, 88:6, 89:8, 90:3, 96:15, 98:22, 99:12, 101:8, 101:21, 102:20, 103:19, 105:3, 105:19, 106:12, 108:2, 109:21, 110:16, 111:16, 112:21, 114:3, 114:18, 116:5, 116:10, 116:18, 117:6, 117:15, 118:6, 118:16, 121:16, 122:16, 122:22, 124:4, 124:15, 125:11, 125:19, 126:13, 127:9, 127:22, 128:8, 129:11, 130:13, 131:9, 132:2, 133:21, 134:6, 136:16, 137:9, 138:1, 138:15, 139:20, 140:11, 141:13, 142:14, 143:10, 144:6, 144:18, 145:2, 146:5, 148:15, 148:22 objections 5:12, 5:15, 5:22, 22:2, 23:2, 25:21, 32:14, 39:4, 39:16, 45:9,
---	---	--	---

48:1, 48:20, 49:21, 55:16, 68:5, 69:10, 70:6, 74:4, 85:16, 85:22, 87:1, 100:4, 100:11, 104:8, 112:1, 113:10, 113:17, 122:6, 123:12, 123:19, 125:2, 132:14, 133:10, 139:1, 139:10, 140:20, 142:1, 146:16 obvious 26:1 obviously 19:10, 44:8, 101:10, 115:9 occasions 18:17 occurred 150:25 occurring 72:8 occurs 99:4 offered 4:13 office 1:1, 12:5, 12:7, 17:12, 17:18, 18:10, 18:13, 18:19, 19:1, 19:7, 20:3, 20:14, 21:4, 21:7, 115:13, 131:14, 138:4, 138:18, 147:8, 148:8 often 82:9, 120:4 oh 10:18, 92:12 okay 9:15, 11:16, 13:9, 15:2, 27:18, 28:7,	81:20, 99:22, 125:1, 133:7 old 16:14 omni 1:8 once 36:9 one 8:20, 9:8, 12:9, 16:20, 28:20, 32:8, 32:9, 36:21, 40:6, 41:10, 52:1, 52:9, 53:4, 58:9, 60:6, 61:17, 61:18, 63:11, 63:13, 65:19, 75:17, 75:22, 81:15, 87:2, 87:21, 90:12, 90:16, 91:1, 91:2, 91:7, 91:8, 91:9, 92:8, 93:5, 93:7, 93:16, 96:1, 97:3, 97:8, 98:5, 103:10, 103:12, 105:13, 106:20, 107:8, 107:9, 107:10, 108:11, 109:9, 112:8, 115:6, 117:8, 130:3, 134:12, 143:16, 143:17, 144:14, 144:15, 147:5, 147:17 ones 101:3, 103:7, 105:9, 136:1 only 8:17, 46:14, 63:13, 66:17, 70:18, 76:7, 78:3, 78:9, 79:3, 79:8,	91:2, 91:8, 93:9, 95:6, 95:14, 101:13, 103:12, 107:8, 107:9, 107:10, 110:9, 112:8, 132:7 open 12:13, 12:16, 12:21, 13:6, 13:7 open-ended 126:6 operate 106:21 operating 41:15, 66:20 opposed 54:21 optical 30:1, 30:6, 30:17, 30:21, 31:1, 31:5, 31:14, 31:15, 85:19, 86:1, 86:3, 86:6, 86:12, 86:13, 86:16, 86:19, 87:2, 87:3, 87:6, 87:13, 87:15, 87:17, 87:19, 87:20, 87:21, 103:17, 103:21, 104:6, 104:9, 123:18, 123:21, 124:3, 124:10, 124:13, 124:22, 125:6, 125:9, 125:14, 126:10, 126:15, 126:17, 126:19, 127:20, 128:2, 129:4, 129:13, 129:17, 139:18, 141:1, 141:9, 141:20, 143:13, 143:14, 146:2, 146:14, 146:18	optics 14:5, 64:16 option 33:4 order 10:19, 14:13, 16:5, 24:5 other 9:8, 10:6, 10:7, 12:12, 12:13, 13:6, 14:9, 29:3, 32:17, 41:19, 42:5, 50:11, 52:17, 55:9, 60:18, 64:2, 64:3, 66:19, 70:8, 76:1, 77:1, 77:20, 81:2, 88:13, 90:20, 91:2, 97:11, 98:6, 98:12, 101:6, 101:19, 102:18, 106:14, 111:2, 130:2, 133:18, 134:7, 135:1, 135:19, 136:2, 136:9, 138:17, 145:11, 147:17 others 119:17 otherwise 26:4, 72:4, 94:13 out 26:5, 26:7, 34:18, 35:10, 65:9, 81:1, 85:1, 85:2, 91:8, 93:12, 98:13, 106:20, 107:3, 109:6, 118:7, 118:20, 145:4, 145:12, 145:18 output 40:11, 46:17,
--	---	---	--

59:1, 60:17, 61:11, 70:19 outputs 35:13, 91:4 outside 9:5, 35:7, 37:6, 37:18, 46:11, 49:9, 51:8, 51:21, 52:8, 55:6, 56:5, 74:21, 75:15, 76:18, 77:15, 78:14, 79:7, 88:7, 105:19, 118:17, 130:14, 132:3, 140:12, 141:14, 143:11, 146:6 over 11:4, 37:21, 38:12, 67:14 overcome 148:11 overly 127:2 own 10:10, 126:18 owned 102:7 owner 1:9, 3:12, 5:21 oximeter 100:19, 101:1, 101:20, 102:5, 102:19, 103:13, 105:8, 112:2, 112:12, 113:1, 114:8, 115:14, 121:10, 121:18, 129:17, 129:18, 130:16, 130:22, 131:13, 132:4, 132:16, 132:18, 133:3, 133:5, 137:11, 137:15, 137:18, 138:6, 140:4, 140:22, 141:2, 141:5,	142:5, 142:22, 143:13, 143:21, 144:9, 146:9 oximeters 100:22, 103:2, 113:11, 113:15, 113:18, 113:22, 114:20, 115:3, 115:18, 121:19, 121:20, 122:11, 123:3, 133:11, 135:5, 136:19, 136:20, 136:21, 137:14, 137:21, 138:13, 138:21, 139:6, 139:12, 140:2, 143:20, 147:3, 147:17 oximetry 121:12, 123:7, 130:1, 131:7 oxygen 110:5, 110:6, 110:11, 112:6, 112:11, 112:15, 113:5, 113:13, 113:20, 114:7, 114:9, 114:10, 114:22, 129:19, 131:15, 132:6, 136:14, 137:1, 147:4 P page 4:3, 4:11 pages 1:28 paper 107:7 papers 9:6 parameter 58:22, 59:12, 60:4, 80:3, 148:5, 149:7 parameters 45:19, 103:5,	137:1 pardon 50:22 parents 22:15 park 3:8 part 17:15, 29:3, 30:17, 65:5, 136:14, 137:8, 138:10 participating 5:5 particular 44:10, 58:9, 113:3, 114:6, 114:19 particularly 84:6 parties 5:10 partly 104:12 parts 8:10, 23:10 party 150:19, 150:22 pass 94:13 patent 1:1, 1:3, 1:9, 1:12, 1:14, 1:16, 1:18, 3:12, 5:21, 11:8, 15:21, 15:22, 16:7, 16:11, 16:12, 16:19, 16:22, 17:4, 17:6, 17:8, 17:12, 17:15, 17:17, 17:18, 17:19, 17:20, 18:5, 18:6, 18:10, 18:12, 18:19, 19:1, 19:7, 20:3, 20:6,	20:13, 20:19, 21:4, 21:6, 22:7, 22:12, 22:13, 22:14, 22:20, 60:7, 63:21, 71:3, 71:15, 84:5, 99:17, 100:6, 100:16, 114:19, 116:11, 116:19, 123:2, 123:13, 124:9, 125:13, 128:17, 128:18, 129:1, 129:2, 131:2, 133:11, 139:13, 140:21, 143:12 patents-in-suit 117:18 patient 147:9 pdf 12:20 peabody 3:14, 5:20, 8:11 peak 109:15, 109:16, 109:17 penalty 5:9 pending 11:22, 24:7 people 29:19, 95:3, 100:6, 121:14, 122:4, 136:4 percentage 15:12 performing 122:21 perhaps 50:7 period 70:11 perjury 5:10 person 5:8, 94:11,
---	--	---	--

134:9, 146:1 perspective 64:15 petitioners 1:6, 2:6, 3:3, 5:18 ph 94:12 phase 93:10, 93:12, 93:15 photodiodes 140:9, 140:17 photonics 14:5, 64:17 photons 39:10, 43:19, 43:20, 66:5, 66:14, 73:9, 95:13 photoplethysmography 90:19, 120:3, 120:4 physical 138:4 physics 64:15 physiological 13:16, 13:19, 13:22, 14:4, 14:7, 14:9, 32:20, 58:22, 59:12, 80:3, 95:3, 103:5, 127:20, 128:2, 137:1, 139:18, 140:10, 140:18, 141:3, 141:9, 141:21, 146:19, 148:5 picked 97:18 pieces 77:21 pin 27:15 placed 116:22	please 5:14, 6:1, 6:18, 10:15, 11:17, 21:19, 71:7, 94:5, 134:1, 140:14 plot 50:17 point 15:16, 61:17, 67:15, 67:17, 67:18 polar 134:13, 134:22, 135:6, 135:7 poor 97:12 portable 103:9 possible 89:16 potentially 138:17 power 40:16 ppg 90:19, 120:5, 120:8, 120:9, 120:10, 120:22, 121:5, 121:15, 122:5, 122:21 precise 9:19, 10:11 precisely 15:19, 122:7 premise 32:15 prep 16:20 preparation 7:20, 16:9, 17:19 prepare 7:7, 7:8, 7:9, 9:7, 16:7, 16:18 presumably 26:3 pretty 92:18	prior 25:8, 25:11, 25:18, 26:11, 27:18, 29:7, 30:1, 48:8, 48:17, 73:12, 74:1, 74:7, 85:7, 85:13, 85:21, 86:7, 86:20, 87:7, 99:9, 99:17, 100:1, 100:5, 100:6, 101:6, 101:19, 102:19, 103:15, 104:4, 104:22, 111:13, 111:20, 112:18, 113:8, 113:11, 114:14, 114:19, 122:19, 123:2, 123:9, 123:13, 123:17, 124:2, 124:8, 124:9, 124:12, 124:17, 124:21, 125:3, 125:5, 125:8, 125:13, 125:17, 126:10, 130:10, 131:6, 133:9, 133:11, 133:18, 134:4, 135:2, 135:20, 136:10, 136:12, 137:6, 137:21, 138:22, 139:9, 139:12, 139:17, 140:8, 140:16, 140:21, 141:11, 141:19, 142:10, 143:7, 143:12, 144:3 priority 22:16, 100:16 probability 89:19 probably 9:20, 11:11, 15:16, 23:22, 31:11, 88:18,	89:10, 104:11, 121:6, 121:11, 122:8, 122:11, 123:6, 137:13 problem 71:10, 143:4 problems 147:14 procedure 2:15 procedures 16:11 proceed 6:9 proceeding 5:15 proceedings 149:22 processing 88:13 processor 31:11, 59:1, 89:1, 107:4 produced 2:5 products 135:1, 135:19 professor 14:5 proper 27:14 properly 22:11, 77:7 proportional 43:5, 43:20 proportionally 24:17, 47:15, 77:5 proportionately 36:20, 42:19, 44:13 prosecuted 18:2, 23:9 prosecuting 19:16, 20:7, 20:14, 20:20, 21:10, 21:16, 22:1, 22:22
--	--	--	---

prosecution 17:17, 17:22, 18:5, 18:6, 19:21, 23:5 provided 7:5, 7:15, 7:18, 8:16 provisions 2:15 public 150:8, 150:35 pulsed 52:17, 75:21, 84:20 pulsing 53:2, 53:3 purely 15:15 purposely 107:7 pursuant 2:14 put 12:16, 28:18, 29:17, 33:18, 99:15, 100:15, 100:19, 103:10, 107:7, 112:13, 115:7, 115:13, 115:15, 117:8, 123:3, 135:13, 136:4, 136:5, 138:6, 142:8 Q quadrant 93:15 qualified 94:12 qualifier 94:11 question 11:15, 11:22, 17:21, 18:1, 19:22, 20:17, 20:19, 21:3, 21:18, 23:8, 25:16, 26:1,	26:9, 26:18, 27:7, 27:8, 28:3, 31:21, 32:16, 33:11, 37:20, 42:16, 43:4, 43:8, 44:15, 44:22, 45:3, 45:11, 46:5, 46:12, 49:3, 51:10, 55:8, 55:12, 56:15, 56:22, 58:2, 58:11, 58:12, 59:14, 60:9, 60:15, 62:6, 62:18, 63:4, 65:5, 65:17, 65:19, 67:20, 68:1, 70:22, 71:6, 73:20, 73:22, 74:5, 74:14, 76:21, 77:4, 77:8, 78:1, 81:15, 81:18, 81:21, 82:17, 83:19, 84:16, 86:4, 87:4, 94:4, 94:17, 96:17, 99:20, 100:5, 101:16, 104:1, 111:18, 116:21, 117:10, 122:1, 123:20, 127:11, 132:10, 133:22, 140:13, 141:18, 142:2, 143:6 questions 99:17, 149:14, 149:18, 150:13 quite 23:7 R raise 6:1 rate 38:14, 38:21,	39:1, 39:6, 39:7, 39:8, 39:13, 39:18, 40:1, 40:11, 41:6, 43:12, 43:18, 43:22, 48:9, 48:14, 48:19, 49:1, 49:5, 49:13, 49:18, 50:3, 50:5, 50:16, 50:17, 50:19, 51:5, 51:18, 52:6, 53:7, 53:18, 53:20, 56:17, 65:12, 66:1, 66:4, 66:9, 66:16, 67:2, 67:4, 68:9, 73:1, 73:6, 84:11, 93:20, 94:1, 94:6, 94:19, 94:22, 95:2, 95:3, 95:4, 95:5, 95:7, 95:9, 95:16, 128:6, 129:8, 129:21, 129:22, 130:5, 130:11, 131:1, 131:21, 132:12, 132:18, 132:22, 133:6, 133:8, 133:13, 133:20, 134:5, 134:8, 134:17, 134:21, 135:3, 135:15, 135:21, 137:7, 137:15, 137:16, 137:17, 146:3, 146:10, 147:4 rate's 40:14, 51:13 rather 11:18, 67:17 rdr 1:30, 2:10,	150:34 read 7:14, 149:20, 151:6 reading 37:8, 37:12 real 3:6 realize 58:1 really 52:12, 121:19, 127:1, 139:5, 147:20 reask 67:20, 71:6, 73:22, 78:8, 94:4, 94:17, 141:18, 143:6 reasked 82:17 reason 69:17, 92:4, 95:1, 95:6, 104:11, 105:12, 108:19 recall 20:6, 118:9, 142:21 received 70:10, 90:21, 120:17 receiver 28:16, 30:11, 31:10, 65:3, 68:12, 73:7, 81:7, 85:1, 85:3, 85:4, 88:14 reconnaissance 86:3, 86:16, 87:2, 87:13, 87:17, 87:19 record 2:16, 12:2, 68:17, 68:18, 68:19, 119:20, 119:21, 119:22,
--	---	--	--

149:9, 149:11, 149:12, 149:15, 149:16, 149:17 recorded 150:14 red 108:19, 112:6, 113:3, 113:12 reduce 12:10, 82:6, 98:2, 105:12, 105:14, 110:22 reduced 150:15 reducing 53:13, 53:15, 72:3, 81:11, 98:15 refer 127:15 reference 116:20 referenced 9:1 referred 73:17 referring 102:5, 107:17, 133:3 refers 63:8, 120:22 reflect 108:22 reflected 52:22, 53:14, 89:16, 89:20, 90:18, 120:18, 120:21 regards 96:17 regime 41:15, 66:21 registration 17:11, 19:6, 19:13 related 23:14, 24:1, 44:8, 55:10,	83:11, 118:10, 150:18 relates 57:5 reliable 112:10, 148:4 remember 19:4, 19:8, 19:12, 117:19, 118:8, 135:7, 138:17 remove 109:5, 109:6, 111:4 repeat 21:18, 25:16, 33:11, 44:22, 45:2, 45:10, 62:18, 83:18, 101:15, 104:1, 111:18, 122:1, 133:22, 140:13 repeating 95:6 repetition 38:20, 39:7, 39:8, 39:18, 40:11, 41:6, 43:18, 43:21, 48:14, 49:1, 49:13, 50:3, 50:5, 50:16, 50:17, 50:19, 51:13, 53:7, 53:20, 65:22, 66:4, 66:9, 66:16, 67:2, 67:4, 73:6, 84:11, 94:22, 95:2, 95:7, 95:9, 95:16 reported 1:29, 2:13 reporter 150:8 reporting 5:12 represented 150:22	request 12:14 require 116:3, 116:8, 116:16, 130:7, 137:3 requirements 17:17 requires 117:13 reserve 149:19 resolved 12:1 respect 19:15, 21:9, 21:17, 21:21, 22:21, 58:15 respond 11:20 rest 106:20 restrictions 117:21 resulting 76:4 retained 11:7 reveal 7:11, 8:4, 8:6, 19:19, 21:15, 23:5 revealing 20:11 review 9:6, 15:19, 16:10 reviewed 11:10, 15:14 reviewing 16:13, 17:1 right 6:1, 11:6, 13:1, 30:21, 30:22, 35:9, 38:20, 97:8, 97:15, 104:18, 121:7, 121:11,	122:21, 149:19 ring 115:9, 115:22 ripe 127:2 rmr 1:30, 2:10, 150:34 room 12:7, 12:9, 50:11, 54:21, 57:9, 57:10, 59:5, 61:3, 145:20, 147:6, 147:8 rough 15:18 routine 138:11 rpr 1:30, 2:10, 150:34 rules 2:14, 17:16, 17:22 run 26:5, 26:6 S said 8:14, 14:8, 17:2, 17:20, 19:4, 20:13, 21:21, 25:4, 28:4, 31:9, 36:6, 37:7, 76:19, 77:2, 82:21, 86:1, 86:13, 92:11, 94:1, 102:13, 105:5, 130:15, 135:4, 137:12, 139:11, 139:22, 142:15, 142:18, 146:7 same 15:6, 15:13, 20:10, 20:22,
--	--	---	--

21:1, 22:2, 23:2, 23:10, 23:11, 25:21, 32:14, 39:4, 39:16, 42:8, 42:21, 43:2, 43:11, 43:22, 45:9, 48:1, 48:20, 49:21, 55:16, 65:21, 68:5, 69:10, 70:6, 74:4, 84:15, 85:16, 85:22, 87:1, 98:4, 98:8, 100:4, 100:11, 104:8, 112:1, 113:10, 113:17, 122:6, 123:12, 123:19, 125:2, 129:11, 129:20, 132:14, 133:10, 134:6, 139:1, 139:10, 140:20, 142:1, 146:16, 151:7 sample 28:15, 30:11, 31:10, 50:7 samsung 1:5 saturated 40:6, 41:11, 66:14 saturates 95:13 say 8:2, 10:15, 29:4, 29:15, 30:20, 32:15, 35:11, 38:20, 38:21, 39:5, 39:6, 42:19, 45:13, 46:14, 46:20, 46:21, 52:15, 52:16, 53:19, 58:21, 59:20, 60:7,	68:6, 70:3, 72:16, 75:4, 84:19, 89:13, 90:15, 90:20, 92:8, 95:1, 97:1, 97:8, 101:16, 103:7, 106:16, 108:14, 108:15, 109:22, 110:2, 110:3, 110:8, 110:20, 111:1, 114:4, 116:6, 118:21, 119:17, 124:18, 134:1, 138:7, 139:3, 143:1, 143:3 saying 51:10, 59:17, 59:22, 60:5, 61:11, 70:18, 91:8, 95:2, 97:14, 106:14, 142:21 says 17:12, 32:3, 32:5 sc 1:20, 2:5, 4:4, 5:1, 5:7, 6:10, 13:10, 13:12, 13:13, 150:11, 150:25, 151:5 scenario 107:18, 107:20 scheduling 5:16 science 13:12 scope 14:19, 21:13, 23:3, 23:9, 24:12, 25:3, 25:15, 26:15, 28:1, 29:12, 30:5, 31:20, 33:9, 33:16, 34:2, 34:10,	35:8, 36:12, 37:6, 37:18, 38:18, 40:3, 41:4, 42:1, 42:15, 43:15, 44:7, 44:21, 46:11, 47:12, 48:12, 49:9, 51:8, 51:21, 52:8, 54:10, 55:6, 56:5, 63:10, 63:18, 64:13, 65:16, 67:12, 69:3, 73:16, 74:12, 74:22, 75:16, 76:18, 77:15, 78:14, 79:7, 79:18, 85:10, 86:11, 87:11, 88:7, 99:13, 102:21, 103:20, 105:4, 105:20, 106:12, 111:17, 112:22, 118:17, 121:17, 123:1, 124:5, 124:16, 125:12, 125:20, 126:14, 127:10, 128:1, 128:9, 130:14, 131:10, 132:3, 136:17, 137:10, 138:2, 138:16, 139:21, 140:12, 141:14, 143:11, 144:7, 146:6 screen 86:14 second 76:6 seconds 115:16, 131:19, 138:8 see 36:19, 37:11, 38:7, 45:10, 50:19, 51:12,	52:18, 53:2, 53:3, 53:5, 53:16, 53:17, 76:11, 88:19, 107:11, 107:12, 119:6, 119:8, 127:3, 138:6 seeing 98:7 selecting 97:13 semantics 30:20 seminars 18:21 sense 46:18, 50:20, 56:21, 57:21, 93:15, 98:17, 115:7 sensing 30:1, 30:6, 30:17, 30:21, 31:1, 31:3, 31:5, 31:14, 31:15, 85:19, 86:1, 86:7, 86:12, 86:13, 86:20, 87:3, 87:7, 87:15, 87:20, 87:21, 103:18, 103:22, 104:7, 126:10, 126:18, 126:19 sensitivity 147:16 sensor 92:9, 92:11 sensors 146:3, 146:14 separate 10:18, 143:8, 143:18 separated 89:5, 89:9, 89:18, 90:1, 90:5, 90:8, 91:17, 91:18,
---	---	--	--

96:11, 97:22, 98:3, 98:19, 99:2, 99:10, 100:2, 100:7, 100:21, 101:1, 101:4, 103:16, 104:5, 105:1, 105:10, 105:16, 106:6, 106:10, 142:12, 142:16, 143:19 separately 119:6 sessions 8:8 set 150:12 setting 28:14, 29:1, 31:9, 32:19, 34:22, 35:4, 37:14, 43:16, 49:17, 51:12, 53:5, 54:5, 75:6, 75:11, 83:13, 84:8, 88:2, 106:8, 118:12, 118:19, 119:3, 123:5, 136:3, 140:4, 147:8, 148:8 settings 32:8, 50:1 several 7:19 shapes 141:11, 141:16 sheet 107:7, 151:10 sheppard 3:5, 5:17 short 145:14 shot 64:21 should 99:14 shutter 70:15	sic 101:16 side 110:8 sign 149:20 signal 24:16, 35:15, 35:20, 36:2, 36:7, 38:2, 38:4, 38:12, 42:20, 42:22, 43:6, 44:9, 44:11, 45:14, 45:20, 46:2, 46:9, 46:15, 47:4, 47:7, 47:15, 48:3, 53:1, 54:8, 55:4, 55:9, 55:11, 55:12, 55:15, 56:2, 56:6, 56:7, 57:3, 58:7, 58:17, 59:18, 60:1, 60:12, 60:17, 61:11, 61:20, 64:6, 65:13, 71:18, 71:21, 72:12, 72:20, 73:2, 73:7, 76:20, 76:22, 77:5, 77:12, 77:17, 78:6, 78:15, 78:18, 78:21, 79:3, 79:9, 80:4, 80:19, 81:10, 81:11, 82:3, 85:2, 85:4, 89:19, 90:20, 91:2, 91:3, 91:12, 96:5, 96:9, 96:13, 97:4, 97:10, 97:12, 97:17, 98:1, 98:12, 98:20,	99:4, 107:1, 109:2, 109:3, 109:4, 110:14, 111:6, 118:20, 120:13, 134:19, 134:20, 134:21, 135:14, 140:10, 140:18 signal-to 61:20 signals 75:7, 97:20, 109:16, 125:18, 126:11, 134:17 signature 151:22 signature-plkal 150:32 signed 151:10 similar 41:5 simply 34:12, 45:17, 46:17, 46:20, 57:9, 91:4 since 64:16 single 119:9 sit 103:12 sitting 7:17, 12:4, 12:5, 42:2, 69:22, 96:1, 111:7, 131:17, 135:22 situation 92:18, 117:4 skin 52:22, 53:1, 53:12, 64:3, 98:8, 98:9, 98:14, 108:22, 109:6, 109:8, 111:3, 111:5, 147:18, 148:2	slowly 52:21 smart 37:9, 115:21, 115:22 sole 14:17, 14:21 solve 147:14 some 8:9, 8:10, 15:4, 15:9, 18:12, 28:15, 29:3, 31:11, 36:15, 55:10, 58:22, 60:17, 68:13, 69:18, 80:12, 83:10, 92:4, 92:9, 108:3, 109:5, 145:15, 147:11, 149:7 somehow 40:11, 88:16, 145:4 something 8:14, 22:16, 28:3, 28:18, 28:19, 29:2, 29:14, 29:16, 31:3, 31:4, 35:14, 35:18, 35:20, 35:22, 37:9, 52:11, 54:21, 56:8, 56:18, 56:19, 57:1, 57:6, 58:10, 60:8, 64:5, 68:9, 70:15, 76:22, 78:5, 78:15, 88:12, 92:10, 101:12, 104:20, 114:6, 115:8, 115:22, 117:9, 136:5, 136:6, 145:7 sometimes 126:22
---	---	--	--

somewhere 10:19, 11:11, 14:13, 16:5, 23:22 sorry 9:8, 10:15, 11:3, 14:16, 14:20, 18:1, 20:16, 21:18, 25:16, 25:22, 28:2, 33:11, 38:19, 42:14, 43:9, 43:17, 45:2, 45:4, 51:14, 57:22, 59:20, 64:9, 68:1, 70:22, 71:7, 71:9, 73:17, 81:14, 81:20, 83:18, 85:2, 91:15, 94:1, 94:3, 94:5, 94:18, 100:5, 100:8, 101:15, 104:1, 104:2, 104:10, 107:10, 110:6, 111:18, 114:10, 116:6, 116:12, 118:1, 121:21, 122:1, 123:20, 124:18, 125:1, 125:21, 125:22, 130:21, 133:2, 133:22, 134:2, 140:13, 141:15, 142:15, 143:1, 143:2, 143:3, 143:13, 144:19 sort 36:13, 36:16, 46:20, 59:1, 60:17, 64:17, 68:13, 119:11, 129:20, 129:22 source 26:2, 30:10, 31:10, 40:10,	40:13, 40:18, 42:17, 43:4, 50:2, 50:4, 50:10, 50:14, 54:19, 55:3, 56:1, 61:22, 62:9, 62:13, 62:14, 63:3, 64:8, 64:19, 64:22, 65:8, 65:12, 65:20, 66:15, 66:22, 68:11, 69:5, 69:14, 69:17, 70:8, 70:9, 70:11, 70:20, 71:16, 71:17, 72:2, 72:8, 72:18, 72:19, 73:6, 75:21, 76:2, 76:3, 76:8, 76:11, 81:3, 82:9, 83:2, 85:3, 85:5, 89:14, 92:4, 92:10, 92:15, 93:3, 93:11, 95:14, 96:3, 96:8, 105:6, 139:18, 141:8, 141:20, 145:8, 145:9, 148:17, 149:3, 149:6, 149:7 sources 63:12, 63:16, 63:20, 64:21, 70:3, 71:20, 74:19, 75:13, 107:6, 121:15, 121:21, 122:5, 122:13, 122:20, 123:6, 142:11, 142:16, 143:1, 143:8, 143:16, 143:19, 144:4, 148:3, 148:11 spatially 89:5, 89:9,	89:17, 89:22, 90:4, 90:8, 91:17, 96:11, 97:22, 98:3, 98:18, 99:1, 99:10, 100:2, 100:6, 100:21, 100:22, 101:4, 103:16, 104:5, 105:1, 105:9, 105:16, 106:6, 106:10, 142:12, 143:8, 143:19 speaking 28:9, 28:10, 87:22 spec 23:11 specific 22:10, 26:17, 27:4, 27:6, 29:15, 30:8, 34:13, 34:15, 39:5, 40:4, 52:16, 54:11, 54:17, 57:1, 61:9, 68:7, 72:15, 79:20, 86:2, 96:16, 97:2, 100:14, 103:21, 108:4, 117:7, 126:1, 127:12, 149:1 specifically 126:15, 129:2 specifics 110:19 spectral 109:15 spectroscopy 27:16, 27:18, 28:4, 28:7, 28:9, 28:12, 28:21, 28:22, 30:9, 30:17, 31:7, 48:18, 49:2, 104:10, 123:10, 123:15,	123:18, 123:21, 124:3, 124:11, 124:14, 124:22, 125:6, 125:10, 125:15, 126:16, 127:7, 127:18, 127:21, 128:3, 128:7, 128:11, 128:12, 128:15, 128:21, 129:4, 129:13, 130:8 ss 150:4 standard 138:11 standing 13:12 standpoint 147:21 started 121:14, 122:4, 122:7, 122:10 starters 49:10 starting 5:16 state 5:14, 6:18, 150:3, 150:9 stated 2:15, 7:6 statement 47:13, 71:4 states 1:1 stay 50:11, 76:3, 115:10, 128:18 stayed 43:2 stenographer 5:4, 5:22, 6:8 stenographic 2:13, 150:7, 150:17 stenographically 1:29, 150:14 steps 102:8, 102:11,
---	--	--	--

102:14 stick 81:21, 99:20 still 21:10, 21:22, 22:22, 115:4, 115:10, 115:15, 131:4, 131:18, 132:7, 132:19, 133:16, 137:3, 137:18, 140:5, 141:6, 143:22, 146:10, 147:10, 148:9 strap 134:13, 134:22, 135:6, 135:7 straps 134:13 street 3:15 stretch 16:3 strike 9:22, 51:2, 55:1, 74:16, 88:1, 96:10, 127:6, 136:11, 138:20 strong 97:10, 97:16, 98:12 strongest 97:19 strongly 108:20 studied 138:21, 139:8 study 9:11, 139:4 studying 17:15 submitted 19:9 subset 31:8 substance 7:11, 8:5,	19:19, 20:11, 21:15 subtract 81:1, 98:13 subtracting 81:9, 82:8, 85:6, 88:15, 145:12, 145:18 subtraction 144:17, 144:20, 145:1, 145:3, 145:15 suffer 147:17 suggestion 60:6 suite 3:7, 3:16 sunlight 59:6, 61:3, 63:22, 145:20 super 115:10, 132:7, 132:19, 133:16, 137:3, 137:18, 140:5, 141:6, 143:22, 146:10, 147:10, 148:9 supposed 102:14 sure 11:13, 22:5, 22:11, 23:7, 25:22, 26:16, 40:19, 42:2, 42:5, 44:14, 44:22, 45:3, 46:4, 46:14, 51:9, 52:2, 54:2, 56:15, 59:13, 62:17, 69:18, 70:1, 73:22, 80:11, 83:19, 87:3, 88:18, 96:21, 102:22, 103:2, 106:14, 117:19, 122:3, 127:12,	128:10, 134:12, 136:2, 140:16, 143:1, 145:1, 149:5, 149:10 swear 6:3 sworn 2:7, 6:12 symmetry 147:5 synchronization 84:12, 93:18 synchronize 71:12, 73:13, 74:2, 74:8, 76:2, 76:7, 93:10 synchronizing 68:21, 69:4, 69:13, 69:19, 70:3, 70:5, 70:18, 71:2, 71:15, 71:20, 72:2, 74:18, 75:12, 76:11, 92:21, 93:2 system 65:6	72:6, 85:4, 102:12, 145:4 talk 11:4, 29:6, 79:20 talked 41:5, 66:10, 113:2, 145:5, 145:6 talking 11:2, 24:2, 29:14, 29:16, 54:14, 54:18, 55:19, 56:16, 58:20, 60:19, 64:14, 83:7, 84:2, 112:3, 117:18, 118:8, 146:7, 148:16, 148:18, 149:2 talks 18:18 taught 17:5 teach 16:15, 16:16, 17:2, 17:3, 64:16 tech 18:19, 18:21 technology 13:15 tell 11:1, 37:2, 37:14, 45:21, 55:8, 56:20, 57:12, 61:18, 74:18, 78:3, 78:4, 78:5, 78:15, 78:16, 78:19, 78:20, 79:8, 79:9, 79:10, 105:16 temperature 50:12, 138:9 ten 10:12 term 31:15, 107:16,
--	---	---	---

128:16, 128:20 terms 60:9 test 28:15, 30:11, 31:10, 50:8 testified 6:14, 142:10, 142:15 testify 6:12 testifying 6:22 testimony 5:9, 6:3, 82:1, 82:5, 89:22, 90:4, 98:18, 99:1, 151:7, 151:9 tethered 136:8 texas 2:12 thank 6:8, 11:16, 12:12, 13:9, 68:16 thanks 13:4 thereabouts 15:3 therefore 53:1, 97:10, 97:16, 102:11, 104:15, 107:2, 108:18, 109:6, 120:16, 121:2, 130:7, 137:16, 143:18, 148:4 thereupon 6:11 thing 8:17, 9:9, 12:16, 46:14, 138:5 things 64:2, 73:18, 75:8, 84:9,	134:14, 135:12, 136:2, 136:4, 145:11 think 10:20, 16:20, 18:20, 28:12, 28:17, 28:21, 28:22, 29:1, 29:2, 34:3, 40:5, 41:19, 42:3, 45:1, 51:22, 52:1, 52:12, 54:3, 55:18, 57:7, 60:5, 67:16, 69:11, 69:22, 71:1, 78:1, 80:13, 93:17, 95:4, 100:12, 101:12, 103:9, 111:8, 121:10, 122:8, 135:19, 135:22, 146:13, 149:21 thinking 28:13, 28:18, 59:8, 83:12, 90:13, 95:5, 96:2, 99:16, 100:14, 100:17, 100:18, 105:5, 134:1, 142:4, 142:22 thought 104:11, 107:17, 107:19 thousand 136:10 three 10:19, 11:11, 119:11, 144:4, 144:11 through 8:21, 9:2, 16:10, 16:13, 19:7, 20:4, 20:14, 36:13, 106:13	thursday 1:21, 2:8, 5:2, 150:26 tie 60:3, 114:5 tied 57:1, 57:6 time 2:9, 16:6, 42:8, 42:21, 43:11, 54:2, 69:16, 70:11, 70:20, 76:4, 81:9, 88:14, 88:15, 101:11, 102:6, 107:9, 107:10, 121:8, 121:12, 122:8, 122:12, 129:20, 132:8, 132:20, 133:15, 134:18, 138:3, 149:8, 149:14 times 9:18, 10:9, 10:13, 10:16, 10:20, 10:21, 11:7, 11:12, 26:3, 26:4, 89:3 today 6:20, 9:7 together 119:15 told 78:18 tone 147:18, 147:19 tones 148:2 tongue 120:7 took 16:20, 17:3, 91:3, 102:8 top 9:19, 23:17, 42:4, 52:10, 53:11, 54:1,	63:19, 69:21, 75:19, 80:13, 90:13, 92:7, 93:6, 95:12, 96:2, 111:8, 118:7 totally 115:7, 115:20 touch 31:2, 31:3 towards 118:3 trademark 1:1, 17:18 train 104:11 transcript 5:13, 11:19, 149:20, 150:16 transcription 150:15, 151:8 transmitted 120:15, 120:17, 120:20 treadmill 135:12, 135:18 trial 1:3 true 66:1, 68:6, 80:14, 150:16, 151:7 truth 6:5, 6:6, 6:13, 6:14 try 11:4, 11:20, 12:10, 60:14, 96:19 trying 64:4, 68:8, 69:11, 80:4, 81:1, 82:6, 105:11, 105:12, 109:12, 110:2, 110:3, 110:21, 111:1, 111:4, 114:4
---	--	---	---

turn 50:14, 57:9, 70:9 turned 71:17, 92:10 turning 50:9 turns 31:16, 49:5 twice 18:20 twister 120:8 two 18:17, 28:8, 32:8, 36:4, 40:5, 45:19, 46:19, 47:3, 66:19, 73:17, 75:22, 76:10, 76:20, 77:6, 90:15, 91:3, 96:22, 97:1, 97:3, 97:7, 104:13, 104:18, 104:19, 108:14, 110:7, 110:9, 112:5, 112:15, 113:3, 113:18, 113:22, 114:11, 114:16, 114:20, 119:1, 119:8, 119:15, 122:12, 128:12, 129:5, 129:6, 129:13, 129:18, 130:6, 136:10, 143:15, 143:18, 143:19, 144:10, 144:14, 147:8 tying 31:7, 56:9, 58:20 types 13:19, 13:22, 14:3 typically 112:5, 144:14,	144:15 U under 5:9, 28:15, 30:11, 31:10, 50:7 understand 6:22, 7:4, 9:15, 11:14, 17:21, 22:5, 22:13, 23:7, 43:8, 51:9, 58:11, 65:17, 71:1, 73:20, 87:4, 116:21, 128:10 understanding 70:12 understood 43:3, 45:1, 77:2, 78:2 uniquely 48:3 united 1:1 unless 26:6, 48:2, 70:13, 70:14, 78:5, 78:15 unmarked 7:17 use 28:20, 49:2, 85:7, 85:13, 85:20, 86:8, 86:21, 87:8, 87:14, 97:14, 98:12, 99:9, 100:1, 100:6, 103:4, 103:15, 104:4, 104:22, 107:16, 109:4, 111:13, 119:15, 122:19, 123:6, 123:17, 124:2, 124:10, 124:13, 124:17, 124:22,	125:5, 125:8, 125:13, 127:3, 127:18, 128:15, 128:20, 130:2, 136:13, 137:7, 140:2, 144:3, 144:14 useless 115:8, 115:21 user 31:16, 32:1, 34:11, 34:19, 35:2, 36:7, 37:7, 37:11, 49:5, 49:12, 75:1, 105:21, 106:4, 116:4, 116:9, 116:16, 116:20, 117:4, 117:14, 117:16, 118:4, 118:10, 143:22, 146:15, 147:14 uses 106:9, 112:5 using 79:14, 79:19, 80:1, 80:7, 80:22, 82:5, 82:12, 89:5, 89:9, 89:22, 90:4, 90:8, 91:17, 91:21, 93:7, 93:8, 96:11, 97:22, 98:3, 98:18, 99:1, 99:6, 104:14, 104:19, 105:16, 106:6, 111:5, 112:4, 112:10, 112:15, 121:14, 122:4, 122:12, 123:15, 123:21, 128:7, 130:6, 130:10, 131:6, 131:15 uspto's 15:22	usually 48:22, 136:8 V vague 30:7 variables 46:19, 47:3, 76:20, 77:6 variations 148:1 various 141:11 verbally 11:17, 11:20 verified 5:6 versus 50:18, 79:22 vessel 97:9, 97:16, 98:5, 98:7 via 2:13, 150:11, 150:25 videoconference 2:14, 150:12, 150:26 visible 52:18, 110:8, 143:17, 144:15 vision 104:20 visually 5:8 volume 120:12, 121:3 vs 1:7 W waive 5:11 want 22:5, 56:14, 60:14, 97:14, 99:15, 115:10, 117:8, 128:10
---	---	---	---

wanted 135:8 wants 26:6 watch 32:5, 32:8, 34:15, 34:16, 52:15, 53:11, 75:5, 75:9, 89:13, 115:8, 115:21 watches 37:10 wavelength 111:8, 112:8, 118:21, 119:4, 119:6, 119:10, 119:13, 119:14, 130:3 wavelengths 107:21, 108:9, 108:12, 108:15, 109:11, 109:19, 110:7, 110:13, 110:21, 111:14, 111:21, 112:5, 112:16, 112:19, 113:3, 113:15, 113:18, 114:1, 114:12, 114:16, 114:21, 115:19, 118:13, 119:1, 119:8, 119:15, 125:18, 126:12, 126:16, 128:13, 129:5, 129:7, 129:14, 129:19, 130:6, 144:10, 144:11 way 8:21, 13:1, 16:16, 32:2, 34:19, 36:14, 53:4, 54:4, 88:18, 88:20, 90:21, 94:22, 97:11, 127:14, 142:7	ways 52:2, 106:14, 141:22 we'll 149:19 we're 24:1, 29:14, 30:19, 58:20, 59:3, 59:4, 59:7, 59:8, 60:5, 60:22, 61:11, 61:19, 61:20, 61:21, 61:22, 80:22, 81:11, 84:6, 84:9, 88:8, 104:19, 110:2, 110:3, 115:16, 117:18, 118:8, 136:19, 138:8, 149:2, 149:21 we've 54:14, 67:13, 146:7 weaker 53:1 wear 89:13, 147:2 wearables 28:5, 28:8, 28:10, 29:6, 29:13, 29:14, 29:16, 36:6, 49:11, 73:19, 103:6 wearing 32:4, 34:14, 35:22, 52:15, 83:15, 138:18 webster 1:30, 2:10, 150:7, 150:34 weight 138:10 weird 69:18 well-designed 91:10	well-known 121:7 went 43:20, 47:14, 47:16, 92:5 weren't 101:2 west 3:15 whatever 12:15, 13:7, 35:17, 59:6, 61:4, 102:15, 127:2, 131:18 whatnot 136:9 whereas 147:3 whether 19:5, 20:1, 20:6, 20:19, 29:18, 29:19, 33:7, 33:14, 33:22, 34:7, 35:5, 36:10, 36:19, 38:8, 40:12, 44:3, 44:4, 44:17, 44:18, 45:6, 45:7, 46:1, 46:7, 46:8, 47:8, 47:20, 48:4, 48:13, 49:18, 50:19, 51:2, 51:4, 51:17, 52:5, 53:3, 53:5, 53:18, 59:5, 61:3, 75:12, 76:11, 76:14, 76:15, 77:4, 77:10, 77:11, 78:9, 78:10, 78:16, 79:3, 79:4, 88:3, 88:19, 88:21, 89:2, 89:13, 94:12, 99:3,	100:12, 100:20, 103:5, 106:5, 106:9, 107:11, 107:12, 116:20, 117:12, 118:3, 118:9, 118:13, 119:8, 145:20, 147:21, 147:22 whole 6:5, 6:13 window 12:20, 13:1 wires 136:8 within 23:9, 87:20, 122:11, 128:18 without 27:14, 45:16, 46:22, 56:22, 76:21, 77:7, 88:17, 126:5, 130:6 witness 2:6, 3:12, 4:3, 5:6, 5:8, 5:21, 6:11, 7:11, 8:2, 19:19, 21:15, 67:16 word 12:20, 128:15 words 32:17, 52:17, 55:9, 60:18, 70:8, 76:1, 77:1, 77:20, 81:2, 88:13 work 46:21, 138:13, 138:14 world 22:20 worn 84:8, 147:12 wouldn't 69:12, 75:2, 91:10, 91:11, 92:3, 103:6,
--	---	---	--

112:9, 135:10 wrist 28:19, 29:2, 29:17, 103:11, 147:13 written 62:10 wrong 93:14 Y yeah 9:8, 13:5, 16:20, 23:22, 24:5, 65:7, 67:22, 81:21, 94:6, 94:15, 100:12, 103:1, 104:17, 116:15, 124:21, 127:3, 128:20 year 17:6 years 101:10 yellow 126:22 yourself 17:8, 18:2, 18:10, 18:22 Z zoom 12:12, 13:6, 31:1, 86:14, 150:25 . .2684 3:9 .4391 3:18 0 01250 1:13 01251 1:15	01252 1:11 01254 1:17 084.004953 2:12 1 1 119:13 1-5 14:15 10 68:18, 68:19 10,000 102:14 10,874,304 1:16 11 119:21, 119:22 11,160,455 1:12 12 2:9, 149:11, 149:12, 149:16, 149:17, 149:22, 150:27 12,268,475 1:18 120 3:7 12432 2:12 1250 7:2 1251 7:2 1252 7:2 1254 7:3 14 101:10 14559 2:11 15 9:20, 101:10 151 1:28	1540 3:6 180 93:12 19 2:9, 150:27 1930 121:5 1980 122:15 2 2 119:14 2-1-5 14:16 2000 137:13, 140:1 2003 16:5 2004 16:5 2010 127:17 2011 127:17 2012 100:17, 101:6, 101:19, 102:19, 113:11 2025 1:11, 1:13, 1:15, 1:17, 7:2 2026 1:21, 2:8, 5:2, 150:26 2029 150:36 2100 8:19, 8:22 2101 8:20, 9:2 2107 8:21, 9:2 215 14:14, 14:15, 14:17, 14:20, 14:22	23 1:21, 2:8, 5:2, 150:26 250 14:15 27 149:11, 149:12, 149:16 29 68:18 3 30 24:6, 115:16, 131:18, 138:7 304 24:2 312.977 3:18 33 2:9, 149:17, 149:22, 150:27 34 119:21 37 68:19 4 44 119:22 455 24:2, 60:7 475 24:2 5 5-0 14:15 5200 3:16 533 24:2 6 60602 3:17 6266 2:10, 150:34
---	--	---	--

642740 1:27 650.815 3:9 7 70 3:15 8 80 117:18, 117:21 9 9 2:9, 150:27 9,651,533 1:14 94025 3:8	
---	--