Docket Entries

474 Results

Case Numbers: DDE-1-17-cv-01194

Date ▲	#	Description
Aug. 24, 2017		Summons Issued with Magistrate Consent Notice attached as to Delphi Automotive Systems LLC on 8/24/2017; Delphi Technologies, Inc. on 8/24/2017. Requesting party or attorney should pick up issued summons at the Help Desk, Room 4209, or call 302-573-6170 and ask the Clerk to mail the summons to them. (sar) (Entered: 08/24/2017)
Aug. 24, 2017	1	COMPLAINT for PATENT INFRINGEMENT filed with Jury Demand against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC, Delphi Technologies, Inc. - Magistrate Consent Notice to Pltf. (Filing fee $ 400, receipt number 0311-2209886.) - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Exhibit C, # 4 Exhibit D, # 5 Exhibit E, # 6 Exhibit F, # 7 Exhibit G, # 8 Exhibit H, # 9 Civil Cover Sheet)(sar) (Entered: 08/24/2017)
		Complaint -- Infringement N/A
Aug. 24, 2017	2	Notice, Consent and Referral forms re: U.S. Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. (sar) (Entered: 08/24/2017)
Aug. 24, 2017	3	Report to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks for Patent/Trademark Number(s) US 7,523,243 B2; US 7,627,708 B2; US 7,478,191 B2;. (sar) (Entered: 08/24/2017)
Aug. 24, 2017	4	Disclosure Statement pursuant to Rule 7.1: No Parents or Affiliates Listed filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (sar) (Entered: 08/24/2017)
Aug. 24, 2017	5	SUMMONS Returned Executed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Delphi Technologies, Inc. served on 8/24/2017, answer due 9/14/2017. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 08/24/2017)
Aug. 24, 2017	6	SUMMONS Returned Executed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Delphi Automotive Systems LLC served on 8/24/2017, answer due 9/14/2017. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 08/24/2017)
Aug. 25, 2017	7	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Bruce W. Slayden II and Brian C. Banner - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 08/25/2017)
Aug. 30, 2017		Case Assigned to Judge Leonard P. Stark. Please include the initials of the Judge (LPS) after the case number on all documents filed. (rjb) (Entered: 08/30/2017)
Sep. 05, 2017		SO ORDERED, re 7 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Bruce W. Slayden II and Brian C. Banner filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 9/5/17. (ntl) (Entered: 09/05/2017)
Sep. 05, 2017	8	ORAL REFERRAL ORDER: This case will be governed by Judge Stark's Revised Procedures for Managing Patent Cases (see www.ded.uscourts.gov). In accordance with the Revised Procedures, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. any and all matters relating to scheduling, including entry of a Scheduling Order, are referred to Magistrate Judge Burke; 2. any and all motions to dismiss, stay, and/or transfer venue, relating to all or any part of the case, whenever such motions may be filed, are referred to Judge Burke for disposition or report and recommendation, to the full extent permitted by the Constitution, statute, and rule; and 3. within seven (7) days of the date of this Referral Order, the plaintiff(s) shall file the Procedures Order, which is found on Judge Stark's website (see www.ded.uscourts.gov). ORDERED by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 9/5/17. (ntl) (Entered: 09/05/2017)
Sep. 06, 2017		Pro Hac Vice Attorney Bruce W. Slayden, II,Brian C. Banner for Microchip Technology Incorporated added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (lmm) (Entered: 09/06/2017)
Sep. 06, 2017	9	PROPOSED ORDER [PROCEDURES ORDER] by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 09/06/2017)
Sep. 07, 2017		SO ORDERED D.I. 9 Proposed Procedures Order filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 9/7/2017. (dlb) (Entered: 09/07/2017)
Sep. 14, 2017	10	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME to answer, move or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint to October 16, 2017 - filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC, Delphi Technologies, Inc.. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 09/14/2017)
Sep. 15, 2017		SO ORDERED, D.I. 10 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME to answer, move or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint to October 16, 2017 filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC, Delphi Technologies, Inc. Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 9/15/2017. (dlb) (Entered: 09/15/2017)
Sep. 26, 2017	11	STIPULATION regarding Delphi Technologies, Inc. by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC, Delphi Technologies, Inc.. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 09/26/2017)
Sep. 27, 2017		SO ORDERED D.I. 11 Stipulation filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC, Delphi Technologies, Inc. Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 9/27/2017. (dlb) (Entered: 09/27/2017)
		Stipulated/Agreed - Motion in Limine -- Evidence Supporting Claim/Defense Granted

Oct. 12, 2017	12	NOTICE of Voluntary Dismissal by Microchip Technology Incorporated as to DEFENDANT DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 10/12/2017)	
		Stipulated/Agreed - Motion to Dismiss - Voluntary Dismissal (FRCP 41(a)) Granted	
Oct. 12, 2017	13	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Charles W. Shifley, Robert H. Resis, Binal J. Patel, Timothy J. Rechtien, and Eric J. Hamp of Banner & Witcoff, Ltd. - filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC, Delphi Technologies, Inc.. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/12/2017)	
Oct. 13, 2017	14	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Truman H. Fenton and R. William Beard, Jr. - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 10/13/2017)	
Oct. 16, 2017	15	MOTION to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim - filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/16/2017)	
Oct. 16, 2017	16	OPENING BRIEF in Support re 15 MOTION to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 10/30/2017. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/16/2017)	
Oct. 16, 2017	17	MOTION to Stay the case pending completion of instituted inter partes reviews - filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/16/2017)	
Oct. 16, 2017	18	OPENING BRIEF in Support re 17 MOTION to Stay the case pending completion of instituted inter partes reviews filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 10/30/2017. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/16/2017)	
Oct. 16, 2017	19	DECLARATION re 17 MOTION to Stay the case pending completion of instituted inter partes reviews Declaration of Charles Shifley by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-20)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/16/2017)	
Oct. 16, 2017	20	ANSWER to 1 Complaint, with Jury Demand , COUNTERCLAIM against Microchip Technology Incorporated by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/16/2017)	
		Answer N/A	
Oct. 17, 2017		ORAL ORDER: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties shall meet and confer and discuss, in person and/or by telephone, each of the matters listed on Chief Judge Stark's Case Management Checklist ("Checklist"). Within thirty (30) days from the date of this Order, the parties shall jointly file the following: (i) a copy of the "Checklist" indicating the names of Lead Counsel and Delaware Counsel for each party; (ii) a proposed Scheduling Order (consistent with the Chief Judge Stark's Revised Patent Form Scheduling Order); and (iii) a letter, not to exceed three pages, that contains the following: (a) a description of what this case is about; (b) the parties' positions regarding the disputes in the proposed Scheduling Order, and (c) a list of the three most significant topics (other than Scheduling Order disputes) discussed during the parties' review of the Checklist items, along with a brief description as to what was discussed as to those topics. Thereafter, the Court will schedule an in-person Case Management Conference/Rule 16 Scheduling Conference to be held with Judge Stark and/or Judge Burke. The Checklist and Revised Patent Form Scheduling Order can be found on this Court's website (www.ded.uscourts.gov/judge/chief-judge-leonard-p-stark). Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 10/17/2017. (dlb) (Entered: 10/17/2017)	
Oct. 18, 2017		SO ORDERED D.I. 13 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Charles W. Shifley, Robert H. Resis, Binal J. Patel, Timothy J. Rechtien, and Eric J. Hamp of Banner & Witcoff, Ltd. filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC, Delphi Technologies, Inc. Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 10/18/2017. (dlb) (Entered: 10/18/2017)	
Oct. 18, 2017		SO ORDERED D.I. 14 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Truman H. Fenton and R. William Beard, Jr. filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 10/18/2017. (dlb) (Entered: 10/18/2017)	
Oct. 18, 2017		Pro Hac Vice Attorney Truman H. Fenton,Robert W. Beard, Jr for Microchip Technology Incorporated added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (crb) (Entered: 10/18/2017)	
Oct. 18, 2017	21	Disclosure Statement pursuant to Rule 7.1: identifying Corporate Parent Delphi Automotive PLC for Delphi Automotive Systems LLC filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/18/2017)	
		Corporate Disclosure Statement N/A	
Oct. 19, 2017		CORRECTING ENTRY: At the request of the filer, the PDFs for D.I. 16 and D.I. 18 have been replaced. (dlb) (Entered: 10/19/2017)	
Oct. 23, 2017		MOTIONS REFERRED: 15 MOTION to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim , 22 MOTION to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [PLAINTIFF AND COUNTERCLAIM-DEFENDANT MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY INCORPORATED'S MOTION TO DISMISS], 17 MOTION to Stay the case pending completion of instituted inter partes reviews Motions referred to Christopher J. Burke.(dlb) (Entered: 10/23/2017)	
Oct. 23, 2017	22	MOTION to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [PLAINTIFF AND COUNTERCLAIM-DEFENDANT MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY INCORPORATED'S MOTION TO DISMISS] - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 10/23/2017)	

	Oct. 23, 2017	23	OPENING BRIEF in Support re 22 MOTION to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [PLAINTIFF AND COUNTERCLAIM-DEFENDANT MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY INCORPORATED'S MOTION TO DISMISS] filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 11/6/2017. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 10/23/2017)	
	Oct. 26, 2017	24	ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 15 MOTION to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 10/26/2017)	
	Oct. 30, 2017	25	[SEALED] ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 17 MOTION to Stay the case pending completion of instituted inter partes reviews filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 11/6/2017. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit 5)(Shaw, John) (Entered: 10/30/2017)	
	Oct. 30, 2017	26	REDACTED VERSION of 25 Answering Brief in Opposition, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Shaw, John) (Entered: 10/30/2017)	
	Oct. 31, 2017	27	AMENDED DOCUMENT by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Amendment to 26 Redacted Document . (Shaw, John) (Entered: 10/31/2017)	
	Nov. 01, 2017	28	REPLY BRIEF re 15 MOTION to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 11/01/2017)	
	Nov. 02, 2017		Pro Hac Vice Attorney Timothy J. Rechtien,Binal J. Patel,Eric J. Hamp,Charles W. Shifley,Robert H. Resis for Delphi Automotive Systems LLC added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (crb) (Entered: 11/02/2017)	
⊖	Nov. 06, 2017	29	AMENDED ANSWER to 1 Complaint,, Amended COUNTERCLAIM against Microchip Technology Incorporated by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 11/06/2017)	
		Amended Answer		N/A
	Nov. 06, 2017	30	RESPONSE to Motion re 22 MOTION to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [PLAINTIFF AND COUNTERCLAIM-DEFENDANT MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY INCORPORATED'S MOTION TO DISMISS] filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 11/06/2017)	
	Nov. 06, 2017	31	REPLY BRIEF re 17 MOTION to Stay the case pending completion of instituted inter partes reviews filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 11/06/2017)	
	Nov. 08, 2017		ORAL ORDER: By no later than November 13, 2017, Plaintiff shall confirm by letter (of no more than two single-spaced pages) whether they agree with Defendant, (D.I. 30), that Defendant's recently-filed Amended Answer and Amended Counterclaims Subject to Separate Motion to Dismiss, (D.I. 29), moots Plaintiff's pending motion to dismiss, (D.I. 22). Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 11/8/2017. (dlb) (Entered: 11/08/2017)	
	Nov. 13, 2017	32	Letter to The Honorable Christopher J. Burke from Jeffrey T. Castellano - re 30 Response to Motion, 22 MOTION to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [PLAINTIFF AND COUNTERCLAIM-DEFENDANT MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY INCORPORATED'S MOTION TO DISMISS]. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 11/13/2017)	
	Nov. 16, 2017	33	Letter to The Honorable Leonard P. Stark from Jeffrey T. Castellano. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1 - Case Management Checklist, # 2 Exhibit 2 - Proposed Scheduling Order)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 11/16/2017)	
	Nov. 20, 2017		ORAL ORDER Setting Case Management/Scheduling Conference: A Case Management/Scheduling Conference is set for 12/18/2017 at 03:00 PM in Courtroom 2A before Judge Christopher J. Burke. Signed by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 11/20/2017. (dlb) (Entered: 11/20/2017)	
	Nov. 27, 2017		SO ORDERED D.I. 35 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME (and proposed order) to plead in response to defendant's Amended Counterclaims [DI 29] to November 27, 2017 filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 11/27/2017. (mlc) (Entered: 11/27/2017)	
	Nov. 27, 2017	34	ANSWER to 29 Amended Answer to Complaint, Counterclaim (Plaintiff's Answer to Defendant's Amended Counterclaims) by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 11/27/2017)	
	Nov. 27, 2017	35	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME (and proposed order) to plead in response to defendant's Amended Counterclaims [DI 29] to November 27, 2017 - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 11/27/2017)	

Dec. 05, 2017		ORAL ORDER: The Court, having reviewed the parties' briefing with regard to Defendant's motion seeking a stay of the case pending completion of instituted inter partes reviews ("motion to stay"), (D.I. 17; D.I. 18; D.I. 25; D.I. 31), advises the parties that it is considering whether to: (1) grant a stay of the case as to the '243 patent and the '708 patent, pending the issuance of Final Written Decisions ("FWDs") in the inter parties review proceedings relating to those patents; but (2) deny a stay as to the '191 patent, and permit the case as to that patent to proceed forward; and (3) to later determine whether and how to incorporate any remaining claims as to the '243 patent and the '708 patent into the case schedule after the FWDs are issued. By no later than December 13, 2017, each party may submit a letter to the Court, of no more than 3 single-spaced pages, that provides its views as to the costs and benefits of such an approach. At the December 18, 2017 Case Management Conference, the Court will hear brief argument on the motion to stay and on Defendant's pending motion to dismiss (D.I. 15); each side will be allocated 30 minutes for argument. Thereafter, the Court will address other issues regarding the case management process. Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 12/5/2017. (dlb) Modified on 12/5/2017 (dlb). (Entered: 12/05/2017)	

Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review — Additional briefing ordered

District Court Procedural Issues
└ **Stay of Proceedings**

The court invited additional briefing regarding its proposal to grant in part defendant's motion to stay pending *inter partes* review. "The Court, having reviewed the parties' briefing with regard to Defendant's motion seeking a stay of the case pending completion of instituted *inter partes* reviews, advises the parties that it is considering whether to: (1) grant a stay of the case as to [two patents-in-suit], pending the issuance of Final Written Decisions in the *inter parties* review proceedings relating to those patents; but (2) deny a stay as to [a third patent-in-suit], and permit the case as to that patent to proceed forward; and (3) to later determine whether and how to incorporate any remaining claims as to the [patents under review] into the case schedule after the FWDs are issued."

Dec. 13, 2017	36	Letter to The Honorable Christopher J. Burke from Jeffrey T. Castellano. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/13/2017)	
Dec. 13, 2017	37	Letter to the Honorable Christopher J. Burke from Philip A. Rovner regarding Court's Order of December 5, 2017 - re Order Setting Hearing on Motion,,,,,. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 12/13/2017)	
Dec. 18, 2017		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Christopher J. Burke - Case Management/Scheduling Conference held on 12/18/2017. The Court orally granted in part Defendant's Motion to Stay the Case Pending Completion of Instituted Inter Partes Reviews (D.I. 17) and stayed the action as to the '243 and '708 patents and denied the stay as to the '191 patent. The Court took Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (D.I. 15) under advisement. (Clerk, J. Lyons) J. Castellano, B. Banner for Plaintiff; J. Choa, B. Patel for Defendant. (Court Reporter Valerie Gunning) (dlb) (Entered: 12/19/2017)	

Motion to Dismiss - Failure to State a Claim (FRCP 12(b)(6)) — Ruling deferred

Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review — Denied in part granted in part

Dec. 19, 2017		ORAL ORDER: For the reasons set out in the Case Management Conference on December 18, 2017, Defendant's Motion to Stay (D.I. 17) is GRANTED-IN-PART and DENIED-IN-PART. The Court directed the parties to provide a revised proposed scheduling order, including a date in September or October 2018 for a status teleconference, by no later than December 22, 2017. Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 12/19/2017. (dlb) (Entered: 12/19/2017)	

Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review — Denied in part granted in part

Dec. 22, 2017	38	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Rule 26(a)(1)(A) Initial Disclosures filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 12/22/2017)	
Dec. 22, 2017	39	PROPOSED ORDER [Scheduling Order] by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Letter Enclosing Scheduling Order)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/22/2017)	
Dec. 27, 2017	40	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Microchip Technology Incorporated's Initial Disclosures Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) and ESI Rule 3/4.a filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/27/2017)	
Dec. 27, 2017	41	SCHEDULING ORDER: Joinder of Parties due by 7/27/2018. Amended Pleadings due by 4/17/2019. Discovery due by 11/8/2019. Fact Discovery completed by 6/14/2019. Status Report due by 6/21/2019. Dispositive Motions due by 12/11/2019; Answering Brief due 1/8/2020; Reply Brief due 1/31/2020. A Motion Hearing is set for 2/25/2020 at 09:00 AM in Courtroom 6B before Judge Leonard P. Stark Claim Construction Opening Brief due by 12/18/2018. Claim Construction Answering Brief due by 1/17/2019. A Markman Hearing is set for 2/25/2019 at 09:00 AM in Courtroom 6B before Judge Leonard P. Stark. A Pretrial Conference is set for 4/10/2020 at 09:00 AM in Courtroom 6B before Judge Leonard P. Stark. A Jury Trial is set for 5/18/2020 at 09:30 AM in Courtroom 6B before Judge Leonard P. Stark. Signed by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 12/27/2017. (dlb) (Entered: 12/27/2017)	
Jan. 08, 2018	42	PROPOSED ORDER (Protective Order) by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/08/2018)	
Jan. 09, 2018		SO ORDERED D.I. 42 Proposed Protective Order filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 1/9/2018. (dlb) (Entered: 01/09/2018)	
Jan. 11, 2018	43	PROPOSED ORDER [Regarding E-Discovery] by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Letter to The Honorable Christopher J. Burke from Jeffrey T. Castellano)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/11/2018)	

Jan. 11, 2018	44	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER to File an Amended Scheduling Order re 41 Scheduling Order,,, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/11/2018)	
Jan. 11, 2018	45	PROPOSED ORDER [FIRST AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER] re 41 Scheduling Order,,, 44 Stipulation by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/11/2018)	
Jan. 12, 2018		SO ORDERED D.I. 44 Stipulation filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 1/12/2018. (dlb) (Entered: 01/12/2018)	
Jan. 16, 2018		SO ORDERED D.I. 43 Proposed Order [Regarding E-Discovery] filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 1/16/2018. (dlb) (Entered: 01/16/2018)	
Jan. 16, 2018	46	SCHEDULING ORDER: Joinder of Parties due by 7/27/2018. Amended Pleadings due by 4/17/2019. Discovery due by 11/8/2019. Fact Discovery completed by 6/14/2019. Opening Expert Reports due by 8/9/2019. Rebuttal Expert Reports due by 9/13/2019. Status Report due by 6/21/2019. Dispositive Motions due by 12/11/2019. A Motion Hearing is set for 2/25/2020 at 09:00 AM in Courtroom 6B before Judge Leonard P. Stark A Markman Hearing is set for 2/25/2019 at 09:00 AM in Courtroom 6B before Judge Leonard P. Stark. A Pretrial Conference is set for 4/10/2020 at 09:00 AM in Courtroom 6B before Judge Leonard P. Stark. A Jury Trial is set for 5/18/2020 at 09:30 AM in Courtroom 6B before Judge Leonard P. Stark. Signed by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 1/12/2018. (dlb) (Entered: 01/16/2018)	
Jan. 22, 2018	47	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Production of Core Technical Documents filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 01/22/2018)	
Jan. 24, 2018	48	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Email Custodian Disclosure filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 01/24/2018)	
Jan. 25, 2018	49	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Microchip Technology Incorporated's E-mail Custodian Disclosures filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/25/2018)	
Jan. 29, 2018	50	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS DENYING D.I. 15 MOTION to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. Please note that when filing Objections pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b)(2), briefing consists solely of the Objections (no longer than ten (10) pages) and the Response to the Objections (no longer than ten (10) pages). No further briefing shall be permitted with respect to objections without leave of the Court. Objections to R&R due by 2/12/2018. Signed by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 1/29/2018. (dlb) (Entered: 01/29/2018)	

Motion to Dismiss - Failure to State a Claim (FRCP 12(b)(6)) — Recommended denial

Infringement
└ Indirect Infringement
└ **Contributory Infringement**

Infringement
└ Indirect Infringement
└ **Inducing Infringement**

Patent
└ Infringement
└ **Direct Infringement**

District Court Procedural Issues
└ Pleadings
└ **Sufficiency of Pleadings**

The magistrate judge recommended denying defendant's motion to dismiss plaintiff's direct, induced, and contributory patent infringement claims for failure to state a claim because plaintiff's allegations were plausible before claim construction. "Defendant understands the term 'switching logic' to require that when the peripheral device input(s) connect to the second input, those peripheral device input(s) necessarily lose connectivity with the first input set out in the claim. . . . And it claims that Plaintiff has not plausibly alleged that the first input loses connectivity with a peripheral device input (e.g., the host-to-host bridge device) when the second input is connected to that peripheral device input. . . . [I]t is at least plausible that Plaintiff's contrary position could prevail. . . . [T]his is one of those cases where the claim construction process is needed to help resolve the ultimate dispute, and where it would be inappropriately hasty to try to force a decision on the question at the pleading stage."

Jan. 30, 2018	51	Official Transcript of conferenc held on December 18, 2017 before Judge Burke. Court Reporter/Transcriber Valerie Gunning,Telephone number (302) 573-6194. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date it may be obtained through PACER. Redaction Request due 2/20/2018. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 3/2/2018. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 4/30/2018. (vjg) (Entered: 01/30/2018)	
Feb. 08, 2018	52	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC's Preliminary Summary Sales Disclosure [Restricted - Attorneys' Eyes Only] filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 02/08/2018)	
Mar. 20, 2018	53	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC's ESI Rule 4.d Initial Invalidity Contentions filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 03/20/2018)	
Apr. 09, 2018		ORAL ORDER: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is no longer referred to Magistrate Judge Burke for matters related to scheduling. After entry of this order, if a party files any motions to dismiss, stay, and/or transfer venue, relating to all or any part of the case, they shall notify Judge Burke in writing within 7 days of the filing. Judge Burke will not address any such motions until a Notice of Motion has been filed and copies of briefing have been provided to him. ORDERED by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 4/9/2018. (dlb) (Entered: 04/09/2018)	
Apr. 10, 2018	54	NOTICE OF SERVICE of 1) Microchip Technology Incorporated's First Set of Interrogatories Nos. 1-5 and 2) Microchip Technology Incorporated's First Requests for Production of Documents Nos. 1-34 filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/10/2018)	

Apr. 13, 2018	55	ORDER re 50 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION is ADOPTED -- 15 MOTION to Dismiss filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC is DENIED. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 4/13/18. (ntl) (Entered: 04/13/2018)	

Review of Magistrate Report Re - Motion to Dismiss - Failure to State a Claim (FRCP 12(b)(6)) — Adopted

Motion to Dismiss - Failure to State a Claim (FRCP 12(b)(6)) — Denied

Apr. 23, 2018	56	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Elizabeth R. Brannen and Kenneth J. Halpern - filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 04/23/2018)	
Apr. 24, 2018		SO ORDERED, re 56 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Elizabeth R. Brannen and Kenneth J. Halpern filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 4/24/18. (ntl) (Entered: 04/24/2018)	
Apr. 25, 2018		Pro Hac Vice Attorney Kenneth Halpern,Elizabeth R. Brannen for Delphi Automotive Systems LLC added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (crb) (Entered: 04/25/2018)	
Apr. 26, 2018	57	NOTICE requesting Clerk to remove Charles W. Shifley, Esq., Robert H. Resis, Esq., Binal J. Patel, Esq., Timothy J. Rechtien, Esq. and Eric J. Hamp, Esq. as co-counsel. Reason for request: change of counsel. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 04/26/2018)	
Apr. 27, 2018	58	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC's First Requests for Production of Documents Nos. 1-44 and First Set of Interrogatories filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 04/27/2018)	
Apr. 27, 2018	59	Amended ANSWER to 1 Complaint, with Jury Demand , Amended COUNTERCLAIM against Microchip Technology Incorporated by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 04/27/2018)	

Amended Answer — N/A

May. 04, 2018	60	NOTICE OF SERVICE of (1) Microchip Technology Incorporated's Disclosure Of Claim Terms And Proposed Constructions filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 05/04/2018)	
May. 07, 2018	61	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC's Preliminary Proposed Claim Constructions filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 05/07/2018)	
May. 10, 2018	62	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Defendant's Responses and Objections to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories [Restricted Attorneys' Eyes Only]; and Defendant's Responses and Objections to Plaintiff's First Request for Documents filed by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 05/10/2018)	
May. 11, 2018	63	ANSWER to 59 Answer to Complaint, Counterclaim (Plaintiff's Answer to Defendant's First Amended Counterclaims) by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 05/11/2018)	
May. 30, 2018	64	NOTICE OF SERVICE of 1) Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Response and Objections to Defendant Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC's First Set of Interrogatories (1-13) and 2) Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Response and Objections to Defendant Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC's First Requests for Production (1-44) filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 05/30/2018)	
Aug. 13, 2018	65	STIPULATION concerning name change of Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC to Aptiv Services US, LLC by Delphi Automotive Systems LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/13/2018)	
Aug. 14, 2018		SO ORDERED, re 65 STIPULATION concerning name change of Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC to Aptiv Services US, LLC. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 8/14/18. (ntl) (Entered: 08/14/2018)	
Aug. 30, 2018	66	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Steven B. Stiglitz - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/30/2018)	
Aug. 31, 2018		SO ORDERED, re 66 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Steven B. Stiglitz filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 8/31/18. (ntl) (Entered: 08/31/2018)	
Sep. 10, 2018	67	NOTICE OF SERVICE of (1) Microchip Technology Incorporated's Completed ESI Rule 4.C Disclosures filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 09/10/2018)	
Sep. 20, 2018	68	ORDER Setting Mediation Conference: A Telephone Conference is set for 3/4/2019 at 11:00 PM before Judge Christopher J. Burke. Signed by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 9/20/2018. (dlb) (Entered: 09/20/2018)	
Sep. 21, 2018		Pro Hac Vice Attorney Steven B.F. Stiglitz for Aptiv Services US LLC added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (crb) (Entered: 09/21/2018)	
Oct. 08, 2018	69	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Aptiv Services US, LLC's ESI Rule 4.D Initial Invalidity Contentions filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/08/2018)	
Oct. 10, 2018	70	Letter to The Honorable Leonard P. Stark from Jeff Castellano regarding Request for a Teleconference. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 10/10/2018)	
Oct. 18, 2018	71	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Defendant's Preliminary Proposed Claim Constructions filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/18/2018)	

Oct. 18, 2018	72	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Microchip Technology Incorporated's Disclosure of Claim Terms and Proposed Constructions filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 10/18/2018)	
Oct. 19, 2018	73	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Aptiv Services US, LLC's First Supplemental Responses and Objections to Plaintiff's First Request for Documents filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/19/2018)	
Oct. 24, 2018	74	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME (and proposed order) to submit Final Join Claim Construction Chart to November 1, 2018 - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 10/24/2018)	
Nov. 01, 2018	75	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME (and proposed order) to submit Final Joint Claim Construction Chart to November 2, 2018 - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 11/01/2018)	
Nov. 02, 2018	76	CLAIM Construction Chart by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Appendix)(Hoeschen, Nathan) (Entered: 11/02/2018)	
Nov. 06, 2018		SO ORDERED, re 74 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME (and proposed order) to submit Final Join Claim Construction Chart to November 1, 2018 filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated, 75 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME (and proposed order) to submit Final Joint Claim Construction Chart to November 2, 2018 filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 11/5/18. (ntl) (Entered: 11/06/2018)	
Nov. 07, 2018	77	ORAL ORDER: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a status teleconference has been scheduled for November 19, 2018 at 2:00 p.m. Counsel for Plaintiff shall initiate the teleconference call to 302-573-4571. Counsel shall submit a joint status report by November 15, 2018. ORDERED by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 11/7/18. (ntl) (Entered: 11/07/2018)	
Nov. 08, 2018	78	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Aptiv Services US, LLC's Second Requests for Production of Documents Nos. 45-55 filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 11/08/2018)	
Nov. 15, 2018	79	Joint STATUS REPORT by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 11/15/2018)	
Nov. 16, 2018	80	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Darryl J. Adams - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Certification)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 11/16/2018)	
Nov. 19, 2018		SO ORDERED, re 80 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Darryl J. Adams filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 11/19/18. (ntl) (Entered: 11/19/2018)	
Nov. 19, 2018		Pro Hac Vice Attorney Darryl J. Adams for Microchip Technology Incorporated added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (lak) (Entered: 11/19/2018)	
Nov. 19, 2018		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Leonard P. Stark - Telephone Conference held on 11/19/2018. (Court Reporter B. Gaffigan.) (ntl) (Entered: 11/20/2018)	
Nov. 28, 2018	81	NOTICE OF SERVICE of (1) Microchip Technology Incorporated's Second Requests for Production of Documents Nos. 35-61 (2) Microchip Technology Incorporated's First Requests for Production of Email Nos. 1-2 filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 11/28/2018)	
Nov. 29, 2018	82	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Aptiv Services US, LLC's Third Requests for Production of Documents Nos. 55-77; and Aptiv Services US, LLC's Fourth Requests for Production of Documents Nos. 78-96 filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 11/29/2018)	
Dec. 03, 2018	83	Official Transcript of Telephone Conference held on November 19, 2018 before Chief Judge Leonard P. Stark. Court Reporter Brian Gaffigan, Telephone (302) 573-6360. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Reporter before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date, it may be obtained through PACER. Redaction Request due 12/24/2018. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 1/3/2019. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 3/4/2019.(bpg) (Entered: 12/03/2018)	
Dec. 18, 2018	84	NOTICE of filing the following Non-Paper material(s) in multi media format: Aptiv Services US, LLC's Technology Tutorial. Original Non-paper material(s) to be filed with the Clerk's Office. Notice filed by Philip A. Rovner on behalf of Aptiv Services US LLC (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 12/18/2018)	
Dec. 18, 2018	85	Letter to the Honorable Leonard P. Stark from Philip A. Rovner regarding Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Technology Tutorial - re 84 Notice of Filing Multi Media Materials,. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 12/18/2018)	
Dec. 18, 2018	86	Letter to The Honorable Leonard P. Stark from Jeff Castellano regarding Technology Tutorial. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/18/2018)	
Dec. 18, 2018	87	MULTI MEDIA DOCUMENT filed by Aptiv Services US LLC in the form of a DVD. Filing related to 85 Letter, 84 Notice of Filing Multi Media Materials. (Media on file in Clerk's Office). (ntl) (Entered: 12/19/2018)	
Dec. 18, 2018	88	MULTI MEDIA DOCUMENT filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated in the form of a DVD. Filing related to 86 Letter. (Media on file in Clerk's Office). (ntl) (Entered: 12/20/2018)	
Jan. 02, 2019	89	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Defendant's Responses and Objections to Plaintiff's First Requests for Production of Email and Defendant's Responses and Objections to Plaintiff's Second Requests for Documents filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 01/02/2019)	

Jan. 11, 2019	90	NOTICE OF SERVICE of 1) Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Responses and Objections to Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Fourth Requests for Production (78-96); 2) Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Responses and Objections to Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Second Requests for Production (45-55); and 3) Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Responses and Objections to Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Third Requests for Production (55A-77) filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/11/2019)	
Jan. 17, 2019	91	Letter to The Honorable Leonard P. Stark from Jeff Castellano regarding claim construction hearing testimony and time allocation for hearing - re 41 Scheduling Order,,,. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/17/2019)	
Jan. 17, 2019	92	STATEMENT re 86 Letter, 88 Multi Media Document Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Claim Construction Tutorial by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 01/17/2019)	
Jan. 17, 2019	93	STATEMENT [MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY INCORPORATED'S COMMENTS ON DEFENDANT APTIV SERVICES US, LLC'S TECHNOLOGY TUTORIAL] by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/17/2019)	
Jan. 23, 2019	94	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME to submit a Joint Claim Construction Brief to January 25, 2019 - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Hoeschen, Nathan) (Entered: 01/23/2019)	
Jan. 24, 2019	95	ORAL ORDER: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that each side will be allocated sixty (60) minutes for argument at the Markman Hearing scheduled for February 25, 2019. ORDERED by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 1/24/19. (ntl) (Entered: 01/24/2019)	
Jan. 24, 2019	96	Joint CLAIM CONSTRUCTION OPENING BRIEF (Joint Claim Construction Brief) filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Exhibit C, # 4 Exhibit D, # 5 Exhibit E, # 6 Exhibit F)(Hoeschen, Nathan) (Entered: 01/24/2019)	
Jan. 28, 2019		SO ORDERED, re 94 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME to submit a Joint Claim Construction Brief to January 25, 2019 filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 1/25/19. (ntl) (Entered: 01/28/2019)	
Jan. 28, 2019	97	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Justin M. Barnes - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 01/28/2019)	
Jan. 29, 2019		SO ORDERED, re 97 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Justin M. Barnes filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 1/29/19. (ntl) (Entered: 01/29/2019)	
Feb. 11, 2019		Pro Hac Vice Attorney Justin M. Barnes for Aptiv Services US LLC added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (lak) (Entered: 02/11/2019)	
Feb. 11, 2019	98	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Defendant's First Supplemental Responses and Objections to Plaintiff's First Requests for Production of Email and Defendant's First Supplemental Responses and Objections to Plaintiff's Second Requests for Documents filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 02/11/2019)	
Feb. 25, 2019		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Leonard P. Stark - Markman Hearing held on 2/25/2019. (Court Reporter B. Gaffigan.) (ntl) (Entered: 02/25/2019)	
Feb. 25, 2019	99	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Aptiv Services US, LLC's ESI Rule 4.D First Amended Initial Invalidity Contentions filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Choa, Jonathan) (Entered: 02/25/2019)	
Mar. 01, 2019	100	STATEMENT (Supplemental Claim Construction Brief Regarding Disclaimer) by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A)(Hoeschen, Nathan) (Entered: 03/01/2019)	
Mar. 01, 2019	101	STATEMENT Defendant's Supplemental Claim Construction Brief by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 03/01/2019)	
Mar. 06, 2019	102	Official Transcript of Claim Construction Hearing held on February 25, 2019 before Chief Judge Leonard P. Stark. Court Reporter Brian Gaffigan, Telephone (302) 573-6360. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Reporter before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date, it may be obtained through PACER. Redaction Request due 3/27/2019. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 4/8/2019. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 6/4/2019. (bpg) (Entered: 03/06/2019)	
Mar. 29, 2019	103	NOTICE OF SERVICE of (1) Microchip Technology Incorporated's Fifth Request for Production of Documents No. 97 (2) Microchip Technology Incorporated's First Set of Requests for Admission (1-5) (3) Microchip Technology Incorporated's Second Set of Interrogatories Nos. 6-7 filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Hoeschen, Nathan) (Entered: 03/29/2019)	
Apr. 15, 2019	104	NOTICE OF SERVICE of 1) Microchip Technology Incorporated's Sixth Request for Production of Documents Nos. 98-101 and 2) Microchip Technology Incorporated's Third Set of Interrogatories Nos. 8-12 filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/15/2019)	
Apr. 22, 2019	105	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's First Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-5) filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 04/22/2019)	
Apr. 23, 2019	106	NOTICE OF SERVICE of (1) Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's First Supplemental Response and Objections to Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's First Set of Interrogatories (1-13) filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/23/2019)	
Apr. 29, 2019	107	Remark: The Court is aware that 60 days have elapsed since the claim construction hearing. The Court anticipates issuing its opinion and order by on or around May 15. (ntl) (Entered: 04/29/2019)	
Apr. 30, 2019	108	STIPULATION and [Proposed] Order to Extend Time by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 04/30/2019)	

Apr. 30, 2019	109	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's First Set of Requests for Admission (1-5); Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Responses and Objections to Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Fifth Requests for Documents; and Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Responses and Objections to Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Second Set of Interrogatories filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 04/30/2019)	
May. 02, 2019		SO ORDERED, re 108 Stipulation and Order to Extend Time filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 5/2/19. (ntl) (Entered: 05/02/2019)	
May. 17, 2019	110	Remark: Due to other commitments, the Court has regrettably fallen behind in its goal to issue claim construction opinions within 60 days after the hearing. The Court now anticipates that it will issue its claim construction opinion in this matter by on or around June 17. (ntl) (Entered: 05/17/2019)	
May. 30, 2019	111	STIPULATION and [Proposed] Order to Extend Time re 41 Scheduling Order,,, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 05/30/2019)	
Jun. 04, 2019		SO ORDERED, re 111 Stipulation and Order filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 6/3/19. (ntl) (Entered: 06/04/2019)	
Jun. 14, 2019	112	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Subpoenas on Belkin International, Inc. and CoolGear, Inc. filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A-B)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 06/14/2019)	

Jun. 17, 2019 | 113 | MEMORANDUM OPINION re claim construction. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 6/17/19. (ntl) (Entered: 06/17/2019)

Claim Construction Order (Markman) N/A

Invalidity
└ Description Of Invention (35 USC § 112)
└ **Indefiniteness**

Patent
└ Post-Issuance Changes To Patent
└ **Correction of "Obvious" Errors by Court/Agency**

The court found that plaintiff's USB peripheral switching patent was not invalid as indefinite because the court corrected a scrivener's error referring to a USB hub as a USB device. "[T]he correction is not subject to reasonable debate. The specification repeatedly describes a 'USB device' in relation to a 'hub' and distinct from a 'peripheral USB device.'. . . Even if there were any ambiguity remaining after this consideration of the claims and the specification (and the Court finds there is not), it would be entirely resolved by reference to the prosecution history."

DETERMINATIONS

Not invalid

Patent	Patent Name
7478191	METHOD FOR AUTOMATICALLY SWITCHING USB PERIPHERALS BETWEEN USB HOSTS

CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS

7478191

Term	Construction
a plurality of	at least two
coupled	directly or indirectly connected
coupling	directly or indirectly connected
peripheral devices	devices that communicate with a host computer over USB
peripheral USB devices	devices that communicate with a host computer over USB
maintain connectivity	maintain an electrical connection
wherein the USB hub is operable to provide connectivity between the first host device and the plurality of peripheral devices when the first host device is connected to the USB hub and the second host device is connected to the USB device	not indefinite; the USB device refers to the USB hub
automatic switching	no construction necessary; the term is not in the claims; however, the claims do not cover simultaneous connectivity between any one peripheral device and a plurality of hosts

7523243

Term	Construction
coupled	directly or indirectly connected
dedicated USB connection	a USB connection that is not shared (except that multiple USB connections may alternate, in some manner, communicating across the same shared physical connection)
coupling	directly or indirectly connected
a shared USB device ... that corresponds to at least one function	a USB device that provides the same shared function (or functions) to multiple hosts
USB multi - host device (preamble)	preamble is not limiting; no construction needed
respective dedicated USB connection	a USB connection that is not shared (except that multiple USB connections may alternate, in some manner, communicating across the same shared physical connection)
concurrent	operating or occurring at the same time
simultaneously	at the same time
simultaneous	at the same time
couple	directly or indirectly connected
multi - host device controller	no construction necessary
controller ... for accessing a function of the shared USB device block	no construction necessary
USB device block	a USB device or segment of a USB device that performs a function to provide a capability to a host over USB

7627708

Term	Construction
coupling	directly or indirectly connected
concurrent	operating or occurring at the same time
USB multi - host device (preamble)	preamble is not limiting; no construction needed
multi - host device controller	no construction necessary
coupled	directly or indirectly connected
USB device block	a USB device or segment of a USB device that performs a function to provide a capability to a host over USB
simultaneously	at the same time

Jun. 17, 2019	114	ORDER re 113 Memorandum Opinion regarding claim construction. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 6/17/19. (ntl) (Entered: 06/17/2019)	PDF
Jun. 25, 2019	115	NOTICE OF SERVICE of 1. Microchip Technology Incorporated's Disclosure of Aptiv's Accused Productions. 2. Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Responses and Objections to Defendant Aptiv Service US, LLC's Fifth Set of Interrogatories (97-113) filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 06/25/2019)	
Jul. 01, 2019	116	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Aptiv Services US LLC's Additional Invalidity Claim Charts for US-98 USB Auto Share Switch/Belkin USB Peripheral Switch filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/01/2019)	
Jul. 01, 2019	117	MOTION for Reconsideration re 113 Memorandum Opinion, 114 Order - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/01/2019)	
Jul. 02, 2019	118	NOTICE of Change of Address by Philip A. Rovner (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/02/2019)	
Jul. 03, 2019		SO ORDERED, re 119 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Hanna Chandoo of Stris & Maher LLP filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 7/3/19. (ntl) (Entered: 07/03/2019)	
Jul. 03, 2019	119	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Hanna Chandoo of Stris & Maher LLP - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/03/2019)	PDF
Jul. 10, 2019	120	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Aptiv Services US, LLC's First Set of Requests for Admission and Second Set of Interrogatories filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/10/2019)	
Jul. 11, 2019	121	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Microchip Technology Incorporated's Final Infringement Contentions filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/11/2019)	
Jul. 15, 2019		Pro Hac Vice Attorney Hanna Chandoo for Aptiv Services US LLC added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (myr) (Entered: 07/15/2019)	
Jul. 15, 2019	122	ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 117 MOTION for Reconsideration re 113 Memorandum Opinion, 114 Order filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 7/22/2019. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/15/2019)	
Jul. 15, 2019	123	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Aptiv Services US, LLC's Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Plaintiff Microchip Technology Inc. filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/15/2019)	
Jul. 16, 2019	124	NOTICE OF SERVICE of 1. Microchip Technology Incorporated's Seventh Request for Production of Documents Nos. 102 - 109, 2. Microchip Technology Incorporated's Fourth Set of Interrogatories Nos. 13-17 filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/16/2019)	
Jul. 18, 2019	125	NOTICE of Service of Issuance of Subpoena upon GWC Technology, Inc. by Aptiv Services US LLC (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A) (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/18/2019)	
Jul. 22, 2019	126	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Microchip Technology Incorporateds Notice of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) Deposition of Aptiv Services US, LLC filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/22/2019)	
Jul. 23, 2019	127	NOTICE OF SERVICE of issuance of subpoenas upon Hans Magnusson, Steve Nelson and Henry Wurzburg filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A-C)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/23/2019)	
Jul. 23, 2019	128	NOTICE OF SERVICE of issuance of subpoena upon Donald Perkins filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A) (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/23/2019)	
Jul. 25, 2019	129	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Aptiv Services US, LLC's Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Plaintiff Microchip Technology Inc. concerning Document Issues filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/25/2019)	
Jul. 25, 2019	130	NOTICE OF SERVICE of subpoenas issued upon Donald Perkins (Revised) and Mark Fu filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A-B)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/25/2019)	
Jul. 26, 2019	131	NOTICE OF SERVICE of subpoenas upon Robert E. Hollingsworth and James E. Bowles filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A-B)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/26/2019)	
Jul. 31, 2019	132	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Defendant Aptiv Services US LLC's Responses and Objections to Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition of Aptiv filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/31/2019)	

Aug. 02, 2019	133	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Notice of Depositions of Mitch Obolsky, Atish Ghosh, Mark Bohm and David Sroka filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/02/2019)	
Aug. 02, 2019	134	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Jhaniel James - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/02/2019)	
Aug. 05, 2019		SO ORDERED, re 134 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Jhaniel James filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 8/5/19. (ntl) (Entered: 08/05/2019)	
Aug. 05, 2019		Pro Hac Vice Attorney Jhaniel N. James for Aptiv Services US LLC added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (myr) (Entered: 08/05/2019)	
Aug. 05, 2019	135	NOTICE OF SERVICE of subpoena issued upon GWC Technology, Inc. filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/05/2019)	
Aug. 05, 2019	136	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Microchip Technology Inc.'s Objections to Aptiv Services US, LLC's Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 08/05/2019)	
Aug. 06, 2019	137	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Second Supplemental Response and Objections to Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's First Set of Interrogatories (1-13) filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 08/06/2019)	
Aug. 12, 2019	138	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Final Invalidity Contentions filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/12/2019)	
Aug. 12, 2019	139	NOTICE OF SERVICE of 1. Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Response and Objections to Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Second Set of Interrogatories (14-16), 2. Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Response and Objections to Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's First Requests for Admission (1-48) filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Shaw, John) (Entered: 08/12/2019)	
Aug. 12, 2019	140	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Microchip Technology Incorporated's Notice to Take Deposition of Shyambabu Yeda filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 08/12/2019)	
Aug. 13, 2019	141	NOTICE OF SERVICE of subpoena upon Rene Rozycki filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/13/2019)	
Aug. 16, 2019	142	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Responses and Objections to Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Fourth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 13-17) and Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Responses and Objections to Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Seventh Set of Document Requests (Nos. 102-109) filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/16/2019)	
Aug. 19, 2019	143	NOTICE OF SERVICE of (1) Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Third Supplemental Response and Objections to Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's First Set of Interrogatories (1-13) filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 08/19/2019)	
Aug. 20, 2019	144	STATUS REPORT pursuant to paragraph 16 of the Scheduling Order (D.I. 46, modified by stipulation at D.I. 108, 111) by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 08/20/2019)	
Aug. 30, 2019	145	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporateds First Amended Responses and Objections to Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC First Requests for Admissions (1-48) filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 08/30/2019)	
Sep. 09, 2019	146	Joint Letter to The Honorable Leonard P. Stark from Philip A. Rovner, Esquire regarding request for discovery teleconference. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 09/09/2019)	
Sep. 17, 2019	147	NOTICE OF SERVICE of 1) Expert Report of Stephen L. Becker, Ph.D. on Behalf of Microchip Technology Incorporated 2) Expert Report of Ivan Zatkovich Regarding Infringement filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 09/17/2019)	
Sep. 17, 2019	148	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Opening Expert Report of John Garney [Contains Material Designated Attorneys' Eyes Only] filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 09/17/2019)	
Sep. 27, 2019	149	MOTION to Strike Portions of the Opening Expert Report of John Garney - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Hoeschen, Nathan) (Entered: 09/27/2019)	
Sep. 27, 2019	150	[SEALED] Letter OPENING BRIEF in Support re 149 MOTION to Strike Portions of the Opening Expert Report of John Garney filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 10/11/2019. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Exhibit C, # 4 Exhibit D, # 5 Exhibit E)(Hoeschen, Nathan) (Entered: 09/27/2019)	
Sep. 27, 2019	151	Emergency MOTION for Extension of Time to Extend Discovery Deadlines and, MOTION to Expedite Briefing - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Hoeschen, Nathan) (Entered: 09/27/2019)	
Sep. 30, 2019	152	ORAL ORDER: Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the following motions are referred to Magistrate Judge Jennifer L. Hall: Motion to Strike (D.I. 149) and Motion to Extend Discovery and Expedite Briefing (D.I. 151). ORDERED by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 9/30/19. (ntl) (Entered: 09/30/2019)	
Sep. 30, 2019	153	ORAL ORDER: The Court, having reviewed Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Extend Discovery Deadline and to Expedite Briefing (D.I. 151), HEREBY ORDERS that Defendant, to the extent it wishes to oppose Plaintiff's Emergency Motion, file its opposition no later than October 1, 2019 by 12:00 p.m.. Ordered by Judge Jennifer L. Hall on 9/30/2019. (ceg) (Entered: 09/30/2019)	

Oct. 01, 2019	154	RESPONSE to Motion re 151 Emergency MOTION for Extension of Time to Extend Discovery Deadlines and MOTION to Expedite Briefing filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/01/2019)	
Oct. 01, 2019	155	ORAL ORDER: The Court, having reviewed Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Extend Discovery Deadline and to Expedite Briefing (D.I. 151) and Defendant's Opposition (D.I. 154), HEREBY ORDERS that the Motion is GRANTED-IN-PART and DENIED-IN-PART. Plaintiff's request to expedite briefing on its Motion to Strike (D.I. 149) is not opposed by Defendant and is therefore GRANTED. Defendant's Responsive Letter in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike shall be submitted to the Court no later than Thursday, October 3, 2019 at 10:00 a.m. and Plaintiff's Reply shall be submitted to the Court no later than Thursday, October 3, 2019 at 6:00 p.m. Plaintiff's Emergency Motion is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to the extent it requests an extension of the discovery deadline prior to the Court's consideration and resolution of Plaintiff's Motion to Strike. The deadline for Plaintiff to submit its rebuttal expert report on the issues challenged in its Motion (D.I. 149) shall be determined in conjunction with the resolution of the Motion to Strike. All other expert reports shall be submitted in accordance with the current scheduling deadlines. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a teleconference on the Motion to Strike is scheduled for October 4, 2019 at 4:00 p.m. Counsel for the Plaintiff shall initiate the call to (302) 252-2576. After reviewing the papers, the Court may choose to resolve the Motion to Strike prior to the telephone conference and will, in that event, cancel the conference. Ordered by Judge Jennifer L. Hall on 10/1/2019. (ceg) (Entered: 10/01/2019)	

Stipulated/Agreed - Motion to Modify Briefing Schedule	Granted
Ex Parte / Emergency - Motion to Modify Scheduling/Pretrial Order	Denied without prejudice
Scheduling/ Pretrial Order (FRCP 16, FRCP 26(f))	N/A

Oct. 03, 2019	156	[SEALED] Letter ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 149 MOTION to Strike Portions of the Opening Expert Report of John Garney filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 10/10/2019. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/03/2019)	
Oct. 03, 2019	157	Letter to The Honorable Jennifer L. Hall from Nathan R. Hoeschen regarding Answering Brief - re 156 Answering Brief in Opposition. (Hoeschen, Nathan) (Entered: 10/03/2019)	
Oct. 04, 2019		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Jennifer L. Hall - Telephone Conference regarding Motion to Strike (D.I. 149) held on 10/4/2019. (*Court Reporter Brian Gaffigan) (ceg) (Entered: 10/04/2019)	
Oct. 04, 2019	158	REDACTED VERSION of 150 Opening Brief in Support, re 149 MOTION to Strike Portions of the Opening Expert Report of John Garney by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A (Redacted), # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Exhibit C, # 4 Exhibit D, # 5 Exhibit E)(Hoeschen, Nathan) (Entered: 10/04/2019)	
Oct. 07, 2019	159	ORAL ORDER: The Court, having reviewed Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Portions of the Opening Expert Report of John Garney (D.I. 149) (the "Motion") and the associated briefing (D.I. 150 , 156 , 157) and having heard argument on October 4, 2019, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion is DENIED for the reasons stated during argument. The Court directs the parties to the transcript for further guidance. All discovery deadlines remain the same. Ordered by Judge Jennifer L. Hall on 10/7/2019. (ceg) (Entered: 10/07/2019)	

Motion to Strike Expert Reports	Denied
Motion to Strike Evidence / Argument Supporting a Motion	Denied

Oct. 09, 2019	160	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME to serve Rebuttal Expert Reports and Reply Expert Reports to October 18, 2019 and November 7, 2019, respectively - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Hoeschen, Nathan) (Entered: 10/09/2019)	
Oct. 10, 2019	161	REDACTED VERSION of 156 Answering Brief in Opposition to Motion to Strike Portions of the Opening Expert Report of John Garney by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/10/2019)	
Oct. 11, 2019		SO ORDERED, re 160 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME to serve Rebuttal Expert Reports and Reply Expert Reports to October 18, 2019 and November 7, 2019, respectively filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 10/11/2019. (etg) (Entered: 10/11/2019)	
Oct. 15, 2019	162	ORAL ORDER: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the pending discovery disputes (see D.I. 146) and all future discovery disputes in this matter are REFERRED to Judge Hall for resolution in whatever manner she deems fit. All future requests for resolution of discovery disputes should be addressed to Judge Hall and not to Judge Stark. ORDERED by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 10/15/19. (ntl) (Entered: 10/15/2019)	
Oct. 15, 2019	163	ORAL ORDER: After having been advised by Plaintiff(s) and Defendant(s) of their inability to resolve a discovery matter (D.I. 146), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that counsel shall file a Motion for Teleconference to Resolve Discovery Dispute. The suggested text for this motion can be found in Judge Hall's portion of the Court's website, in the "Forms" tab, under the heading "Motion for Teleconference to Resolve Discovery/Protective Order Disputes." The dispute will thereafter be addressed in the manner set forth in the Scheduling Order. Ordered by Judge Jennifer L. Hall on 10/15/2019. (ceg) (Entered: 10/15/2019)	

Oct. 15, 2019	164	MEMORANDUM ORDER re 117 MOTION for Reconsideration re 113 Memorandum Opinion, 114 Order filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated is DENIED. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 10/15/19. (ntl) (Entered: 10/15/2019)	

Motion to Reconsider - Claim Construction Order (Markman) Denied

Oct. 17, 2019	165	Official Transcript of Telephone Conference held on October 4, 2019 before Judge Jennifer L. Hall. Court Reporter Brian Gaffigan. To purchase transcript, e-mail: Brian_Gaffigan@ded.uscourts.gov. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Reporter before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date, it may be obtained through PACER. Redaction Request due 11/7/2019. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 11/18/2019. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 1/15/2020.(bpg) (Entered: 10/17/2019)	
Oct. 18, 2019	166	STIPULATION and [Proposed] Order Granting Relief from Remaining Deadlines Related to U.S. Patent No. 7,478,191 by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 10/18/2019)	
Oct. 18, 2019	167	MOTION for Hearing to resolve discovery disputes - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/18/2019)	
Oct. 18, 2019	168	ORAL ORDER Setting Teleconference: The Court has reviewed Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Motion for Teleconference to Resolve Discovery Disputes (D.I. 167). A discovery dispute teleconference is set for October 30, 2019 at 1:00 PM Eastern Time before Judge Jennifer L. Hall. By no later than October 23, 2019 at 12:00 p.m., the party(ies) seeking relief shall file with the Court a letter, not to exceed three pages, in no less than 12-point font, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. By no later than October 25, 2019, any party(ies) opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed three pages, in no less than 12-point font, outlining that party's reasons for its opposition. Two courtesy copies of the letters are to be hand delivered to the Clerk's Office within one hour of e-filing. The Court may choose to resolve the dispute prior to the telephone conference and will, in that event, cancel the conference. ORDERED by Judge Jennifer L. Hall on 10/18/2019. (ceg) (Entered: 10/18/2019)	
Oct. 21, 2019	169	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Expert Report of John Garney (Non-Infringement) [Contains Material Designated Attorneys' Eyes Only] and Rebuttal Expert Report of Michael H. Chase, CPA [Restricted - Attorneys' Eyes Only] filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/21/2019)	
Oct. 21, 2019	170	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Rebuttal Expert Report of John Levy, Ph.D. filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 10/21/2019)	
Oct. 23, 2019	171	[SEALED] Letter to The Honorable Jennifer L. Hall from Philip A. Rovner regarding discovery disputes - re 168 Oral Order (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A-H)(Rovner, Philip) Modified docket text on 10/23/2019 (ceg). (Entered: 10/23/2019)	
Oct. 24, 2019	172	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Aptiv Services US, LLC's Notices of Deposition to Dr. John Levy, Ivan Zatkovich and Stephen Becker filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/24/2019)	
Oct. 25, 2019	173	Letter to The Honorable Jennifer L. Hall from Jeff Castellano regarding re 168 Oral Order - re 171 Letter. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit I, # 2 Exhibit J)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 10/25/2019)	
Oct. 28, 2019		SO ORDERED, re 166 STIPULATION and [Proposed] Order Granting Relief from Remaining Deadlines Related to U.S. Patent No. 7,478,191 filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 10/28/19. (ntl) (Entered: 10/28/2019)	

Oct. 28, 2019	174	ORAL ORDER: Having reviewed Defendant's motion regarding discovery disputes (D.I. 167) (the "Motion"), and the associated briefing (D.I. 171 , D.I. 173), it is HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's Motion is DENIED and that the teleconference scheduled for October 30, 2019 (D.I. 168) is CANCELLED. First, Defendant's request to compel Plaintiff to admit certain Requests for Admission is not supported by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 36 requires a party, if it does not admit a request, to "specifically deny it or state in detail why the answering party cannot admit or deny it." Plaintiff complied with the rule when it admitted the RFAs in part and specifically denied the remainder of the request. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 36(a)(4). Plaintiff has also indicated that it disputes certain facts requested to be admitted. See United Coal Co. v. Powell Const. Co., 839 F.2d 958, 967 (3d Cir. 1988) ("Where, as here, issues in dispute are requested to be admitted, a denial is a perfectly reasonable response."). If Plaintiff's refusal to admit certain facts later turns out to have been unreasonable, the appropriate remedy is set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(c)(2). Second, the Court denies Defendant's request to compel production of an unredacted version of an e-mail claimed by Plaintiff to contain privileged information. The Court has reviewed the disputed document in camera and is satisfied that the challenged redactions are appropriate. After review of the entire e-mail chain, it is evident that the redactions contain communications between corporate employees discussing legal advice obtained from the corporation's in-house counsel. Although Defendant appears to contend that attorney-client privilege does not apply because no attorney was copied on the disputed portion of the e-mail chain, none of the cases cited by Defendant stand for that proposition. Rather, as the case law makes clear, communications between corporate employees can be privileged when they relay legal advice that is otherwise privileged. ORDERED by Judge Jennifer L. Hall on 10/28/2019. (ceg) (Entered: 10/28/2019)	

Motion to Compel Discovery — Denied

Disclosures & Discovery
└ Deposition & Written Discovery
└ **Requests for Admission**

The court denied defendant's motion to compel plaintiff to further respond to defendant's requests for admission. "Plaintiff complied with the rule when it admitted the RFAs in part and specifically denied the remainder of the request. Plaintiff has also indicated that it disputes certain facts requested to be admitted. If Plaintiff's refusal to admit certain facts later turns out to have been unreasonable, the appropriate remedy is set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(c)(2)."

Motion to Compel Discovery — Denied

Disclosures & Discovery
└ Deposition & Written Discovery
└ **Request for Production/Inspection**

Disclosures & Discovery
└ Objections
└ **Objection: Confidential**

Privilege
└ Privilege Types
└ **Attorney-Client Privilege**

The court denied defendant's motion to compel plaintiff to produce an unredacted version of an email that plaintiff claimed was privileged. "After review of the entire e-mail chain, it is evident that the redactions contain communications between corporate employees discussing legal advice obtained from the corporation's in-house counsel. Although Defendant appears to contend that attorney-client privilege does not apply because no attorney was copied on the disputed portion of the e-mail chain, none of the cases cited by Defendant stand for that proposition. Rather, as the case law makes clear, communications between corporate employees can be privileged when they relay legal advice that is otherwise privileged."

Motion Concerning Privilege / Work Product — Granted

Oct. 30, 2019	175	REDACTED VERSION of 171 Letter to The Honorable Jennifer L. Hall from Philip A. Rovner regarding discovery disputes by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A-H)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/30/2019)	
Oct. 31, 2019	176	PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT (Proposed Stipulated Request for Judgment and Order Dismissing Counterclaims) by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 10/31/2019)	
Nov. 05, 2019	177	SO ORDERED, re 176 Proposed Stipulated Request for Judgment and Order Dismissing Counterclaims ('191 patent). Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 11/4/19. (ntl) (Entered: 11/05/2019)	

Stipulated/Agreed - Judgment (Judge) — Granted

DETERMINATIONS

Not infringed

Patent	Patent Name
7478191	METHOD FOR AUTOMATICALLY SWITCHING USB PERIPHERALS BETWEEN USB HOSTS

Nov. 07, 2019	178	NOTICE OF SERVICE of (1) Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Notice to Take Deposition of John Garney (2) Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Notice to Take Deposition of Michael Chase filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 11/07/2019)	
Nov. 08, 2019	179	NOTICE OF SERVICE of 1) Reply Expert Report of Stephen L. Becker, Ph.D. on behalf of Microchip Technology Incorporated 2) Reply from Expert Ivan Zatkovich to John Garneys Rebuttal filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 11/08/2019)	
Nov. 08, 2019	180	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Reply Report of John Garney filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 11/08/2019)	
Dec. 17, 2019	181	MOTION to Exclude Portions of Mr. Michael Chase's Expert Report - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/17/2019)	

Dec. 17, 2019	182	[SEALED] OPENING BRIEF in Support re 181 MOTION to Exclude Portions of Mr. Michael Chase's Expert Report filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 1/2/2020. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/17/2019)	
Dec. 17, 2019	183	[SEALED] DECLARATION re 182 Opening Brief in Support, MOTION to Exclude Portions of Mr. Michael Chase's Expert Report by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit 5) (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/17/2019)	
Dec. 17, 2019	184	MOTION for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Testimony Under Rule 702 for Damages-Related Issues - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 12/17/2019)	
Dec. 17, 2019	185	[SEALED] OPENING BRIEF in Support re 184 MOTION for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Testimony Under Rule 702 for Damages-Related Issues filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 1/2/2020. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A-E)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 12/17/2019)	
Dec. 17, 2019	186	MOTION for Summary Judgment of Noninfringement or, in the Alternative, Invalidity - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 12/17/2019)	
Dec. 17, 2019	187	[SEALED] OPENING BRIEF in Support re 186 MOTION for Summary Judgment of Noninfringement or, in the Alternative, Invalidity filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 1/2/2020. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A-S)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 12/17/2019)	
Dec. 17, 2019	188	MOTION to Exclude Portions of Mr. John Garney's Non-Infringement Report for Failure to Apply the Court's Claim Construction - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/17/2019)	
Dec. 17, 2019	189	[SEALED] OPENING BRIEF in Support re 188 MOTION to Exclude Portions of Mr. John Garney's Non-Infringement Report for Failure to Apply the Court's Claim Construction filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 1/2/2020. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/17/2019)	
Dec. 17, 2019	190	[SEALED] DECLARATION re 188 MOTION to Exclude Portions of Mr. John Garney's Non-Infringement Report for Failure to Apply the Court's Claim Construction by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/17/2019)	
Dec. 17, 2019	191	MOTION for a finding of Estoppel pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(E)(2) - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/17/2019)	
Dec. 17, 2019	192	[SEALED] OPENING BRIEF in Support re 191 MOTION for a finding of Estoppel pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(E)(2) filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 1/2/2020. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Main Document 192 replaced on 12/20/2019) (etg). (Entered: 12/17/2019)	
Dec. 17, 2019	193	[SEALED] DECLARATION re 191 MOTION for a finding of Estoppel pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(E)(2) (Declaration of Brian C. Banner) by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit 5, # 6 Exhibit 6, # 7 Exhibit 7, # 8 Exhibit 8, # 9 Exhibit 9, # 10 Exhibit 10, # 11 Exhibit 11, # 12 Exhibit 12, # 13 Exhibit 13, # 14 Exhibit 14, # 15 Exhibit 15, # 16 Exhibit 16, # 17 Exhibit 17, # 18 Exhibit 18, # 19 Exhibit 19, # 20 Exhibit 20, # 21 Exhibit 21, # 22 Exhibit 22, # 23 Exhibit 23, # 24 Exhibit 24, # 25 Exhibit 25, # 26 Exhibit 26, # 27 Exhibit 27, # 28 Exhibit 28, # 29 Exhibit 29, # 30 Exhibit 30, # 31 Exhibit 31, # 32 Exhibit 32, # 33 Exhibit 33, # 34 Exhibit 34, # 35 Exhibit 35, # 36 Exhibit 36, # 37 Exhibit 37, # 38 Exhibit 38)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/17/2019)	
Dec. 20, 2019		Case Reassigned to Judge Joshua D. Wolson of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Please include the initials of the Judge (JDW) after the case number on all documents filed. (gvw) (Entered: 12/20/2019)	
Dec. 20, 2019		CORRECTING ENTRY: D.I. 192 has been replaced as per the request of counsel. (etg) (Entered: 12/20/2019)	
Dec. 20, 2019	194	ORDER: DESIGNATION OF DISTRICT JUDGE, designating and assigning the Honorable Joshua D. Wolson of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.. Signed by Judge D. Brooks Smith, Chief Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit on 12/19/19. (gvw) (Entered: 12/20/2019)	
Dec. 26, 2019	195	REDACTED VERSION of 185 Opening Brief in Support, re 184 MOTION for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Testimony Under Rule 702 for Damages-Related Issues by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A-E)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 12/26/2019)	
Dec. 26, 2019	196	REDACTED VERSION of 182 Opening Brief in Support, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/26/2019)	
Dec. 26, 2019	197	REDACTED VERSION of 187 Opening Brief in Support, re 186 MOTION for Summary Judgment of Noninfringement or, in the Alternative, Invalidity by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A-S)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 12/26/2019)	
Dec. 26, 2019	198	REDACTED VERSION of 183 Declaration, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/26/2019)	
Dec. 26, 2019	199	REDACTED VERSION of 189 Opening Brief in Support, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/26/2019)	
Dec. 26, 2019	200	REDACTED VERSION of 190 Declaration, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/26/2019)	
Dec. 26, 2019	201	REDACTED VERSION of 192 Opening Brief in Support, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/26/2019)	

Dec. 26, 2019	202	REDACTED VERSION of 193 Declaration,,, MOTION for a finding of Estoppel pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(E)(2) (Declaration of Brian C. Banner) with Exhibits 1-26 by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 27-38)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 12/26/2019)	
Jan. 14, 2020	203	[SEALED] ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 181 MOTION to Exclude Portions of Mr. Michael Chase's Expert Report filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 1/21/2020. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 01/14/2020)	
Jan. 14, 2020	204	[SEALED] ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 188 MOTION to Exclude Portions of Mr. John Garney's Non-Infringement Report for Failure to Apply the Court's Claim Construction filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 1/21/2020. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 01/14/2020)	
Jan. 14, 2020	205	[SEALED] ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 191 MOTION for a finding of Estoppel pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(E)(2) filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 1/21/2020. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A-D)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 01/14/2020)	
Jan. 14, 2020	206	[SEALED] ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 184 MOTION for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Testimony Under Rule 702 for Damages-Related Issues filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 1/21/2020. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/14/2020)	
Jan. 14, 2020	207	[SEALED] DECLARATION re 206 Answering Brief in Opposition, (Opposition re 184 MOTION for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Testimony Under Rule 702 for Damages-Related Issues) by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit 5, # 6 Exhibit 6, # 7 Exhibit 7, # 8 Exhibit 8, # 9 Exhibit 9, # 10 Exhibit 10, # 11 Exhibit 11, # 12 Exhibit 12, # 13 Exhibit 13, # 14 Exhibit 14, # 15 Exhibit 15, # 16 Exhibit 16, # 17 Exhibit 17, # 18 Exhibit 18, # 19 Exhibit 19, # 20 Exhibit 20, # 21 Exhibit 21)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/14/2020)	
Jan. 14, 2020	208	[SEALED] ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 186 MOTION for Summary Judgment of Noninfringement or, in the Alternative, Invalidity filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 1/21/2020. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/14/2020)	
Jan. 14, 2020	209	[SEALED] DECLARATION re 208 Answering Brief in Opposition, (Opposition re 186 MOTION for Summary Judgment of Noninfringement or, in the Alternative, Invalidity) by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit 5, # 6 Exhibit 6, # 7 Exhibit 7, # 8 Exhibit 8, # 9 Exhibit 9, # 10 Exhibit 10, # 11 Exhibit 11, # 12 Exhibit 12, # 13 Exhibit 13, # 14 Exhibit 14, # 15 Exhibit 15, # 16 Exhibit 16, # 17 Exhibit 17, # 18 Exhibit 19)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/14/2020)	
Jan. 21, 2020	210	REDACTED VERSION of 203 Answering Brief in Opposition, to Motion to Exclude Portions of Mr. Michael Chase's Expert Report by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 01/21/2020)	
Jan. 21, 2020	211	REDACTED VERSION of 204 Answering Brief in Opposition, to Motion to Exclude Portions of Mr. John Garney's Non-Infringement Report for Failure to Apply the Court's Claim Construction by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A) (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 01/21/2020)	
Jan. 21, 2020	212	REDACTED VERSION of 205 Answering Brief in Opposition, to Motion for a finding of Estoppel pursuant to 35 U.S.C. Section 315(e)(2) by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A-D)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 01/21/2020)	
Jan. 21, 2020	213	REDACTED VERSION of 206 Answering Brief in Opposition, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/21/2020)	
Jan. 21, 2020	214	REDACTED VERSION of 208 Answering Brief in Opposition, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/21/2020)	
Jan. 21, 2020	215	REDACTED VERSION of 209 Declaration,, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit 5, # 6 Exhibit 6, # 7 Exhibit 7, # 8 Exhibit 8, # 9 Exhibit 9, # 10 Exhibit 10, # 11 Exhibit 11, # 12 Exhibit 12, # 13 Exhibit 13, # 14 Exhibit 14, # 15 Exhibit 15, # 16 Exhibit 16, # 17 Exhibit 17, # 18 Exhibit 19)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/21/2020)	
Jan. 21, 2020	216	REDACTED VERSION of 207 Declaration,, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit 5, # 6 Exhibit 6, # 7 Exhibit 7, # 8 Exhibit 8, # 9 Exhibit 9, # 10 Exhibit 10, # 11 Exhibit 11, # 12 Exhibit 12, # 13 Exhibit 13 - 21)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/21/2020)	
Jan. 22, 2020	217	ORDER Setting Mediation Conference: A Telephone Conference is set for 2/3/2020 at 11:15 AM before Judge Christopher J. Burke. Signed by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 1/22/2020. (dlb) (Entered: 01/22/2020)	
Feb. 04, 2020		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Telephone Conference held on 2/4/2020. (lak) (Entered: 02/04/2020)	
Feb. 04, 2020	218	[SEALED] REPLY BRIEF re 184 MOTION for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Testimony Under Rule 702 for Damages-Related Issues filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 02/04/2020)	
Feb. 04, 2020	219	[SEALED] REPLY BRIEF re 181 MOTION to Exclude Portions of Mr. Michael Chase's Expert Report filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 02/04/2020)	
Feb. 04, 2020	220	[SEALED] REPLY BRIEF re 188 MOTION to Exclude Portions of Mr. John Garney's Non-Infringement Report for Failure to Apply the Court's Claim Construction filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 02/04/2020)	
Feb. 04, 2020	221	[SEALED] DECLARATION re 220 Reply Brief, re Motion to Exclude Portions of Mr. John Garney's Non-Infringement Report for Failure to Apply the Court's Claim Construction by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 5-6) (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 02/04/2020)	

Feb. 04, 2020	222	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Philip A. Rovner regarding enclosing DVD of Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Technology Tutorial - re 87 Multi Media Document. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 02/04/2020)	
Feb. 04, 2020	223	[SEALED] REPLY BRIEF re 186 MOTION for Summary Judgment of Noninfringement or, in the Alternative, Invalidity filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 02/04/2020)	
Feb. 04, 2020	224	[SEALED] REPLY BRIEF re 191 MOTION for a finding of Estoppel pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(E)(2) filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 39, # 2 Exhibit 40, # 3 Exhibit 41, # 4 Exhibit 42)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 02/04/2020)	
Feb. 06, 2020	225	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Jeff Castellano regarding Plaintiff Microchip Technology Inc.'s enclosed claim construction technology tutorial DVD. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 02/06/2020)	
Feb. 11, 2020	226	REDACTED VERSION of 218 Reply Brief in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Testimony Under Rule 702 for Damages-Related Issues by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 02/11/2020)	
Feb. 11, 2020	227	REDACTED VERSION of 219 Reply Brief re 181 MOTION to Exclude Portions of Mr. Michael Chase's Expert Report by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 02/11/2020)	
Feb. 11, 2020	228	REDACTED VERSION of 223 Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment of Noninfringement or, in the Alternative, Invalidity by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 02/11/2020)	
Feb. 11, 2020	229	REDACTED VERSION of 220 Reply Brief, re MOTION to Exclude Portions of Mr. John Garney's Non-Infringement Report for Failure to Apply the Court's Claim Construction by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 02/11/2020)	
Feb. 11, 2020	230	REDACTED VERSION of 221 Declaration, re Reply Brief re Motion to Exclude Portions of Mr. John Garney's Non-Infringement Report for Failure to Apply the Court's Claim Construction by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 02/11/2020)	
Feb. 11, 2020	231	REDACTED VERSION of 224 Reply Brief, re MOTION for a finding of Estoppel pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(E)(2) by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 02/11/2020)	
Feb. 13, 2020	232	SCHEDULING ORDER: Motions in Limine due by 6/12/2020. A Pretrial Conference is set for 7/15/2020 at 10:00 AM before Judge Joshua D. Wolson. A 5-day Jury Trial is set for 7/20/2020 at 09:30 AM in Courtroom 6A at the J. Caleb Boggs Federal Building before Judge Joshua D. Wolson. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 2/13/2020. (See Order for Further Scheduling Dates). (nmg) (Entered: 02/13/2020)	
Mar. 05, 2020	233	IT IS ORDERED, with the concurrence of the Honorable Juan R. Sanchez, Chief Judge of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, that the Honorable Elizabeth T. Hey, a United States Magistrate from the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, is hereby designated to hold court and perform any and all judicial duties designated under 28 U.S.C. § 636, subsections (a), (b), and (c), on an as needed basis, in the District of Delaware for a period from December 19, 2019 to December 31, 2020, or during the pendency of this case. Signed by Judge Leonard P. Stark on 3/5/2020. (nmg) (Entered: 03/06/2020)	
Apr. 03, 2020		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson: Telephone Conference held on 4/3/2020. (nmg) (Entered: 04/06/2020)	
Apr. 13, 2020	234	ORDER - that the technology tutorial and the hearing, scheduled for April 17, 2020, at 10:30 a.m. and 1:30 pm respectively, will both be held via video conference. The Courts staff will provide the parties with technical details for the conference. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 4/10/20. (rwc) (Entered: 04/13/2020)	
Apr. 16, 2020	235	NOTICE of Decision in Related Appeal by Microchip Technology Incorporated (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/16/2020)	
Apr. 21, 2020		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Technology Tutorial and Oral Arguments held on 4/17/2020. (Court Reporter Ann Marie Mitchell.) (lak) (Entered: 04/21/2020)	
Apr. 30, 2020	236	ORDER Setting Mediation Conference: A Telephone Conference is set for 5/11/2020 at 09:45 AM before Judge Christopher J. Burke. Ordered by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 4/30/2020. (dlb) (Entered: 04/30/2020)	
Jun. 01, 2020		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Telephone Conference held on 6/1/2020. (amf) (Entered: 06/01/2020)	
Jun. 05, 2020	237	ORDER Setting Mediation Conference: A Mediation Conference is set for 6/25/2020 at 10:00 AM before Judge Christopher J. Burke. Due to the current health crisis, and to the extent this order refers to an in-person appearance the parties participation shall be via telephone or via video conference. Parties shall notify the Court, via email, the preferred method of participation and provide the Court with the appropriate dial-in information by no later than the due date of the Confidential Mediation Statements. Signed by Judge Christopher J. Burke on 6/5/2020. (dlb) (Entered: 06/05/2020)	
Jun. 05, 2020	238	[SEALED] MOTION For Redaction of Electronic Transcript of April 17, 2020 Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Exhibits A-C, # 2 Text of Proposed Order)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 06/05/2020)	
Jun. 05, 2020	239	[SEALED] DECLARATION re 238 MOTION For Redaction of Electronic Transcript of April 17, 2020 Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 06/05/2020)	

Jun. 08, 2020	240	AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER: No later than October 16, 2020, counsel for each party shall exchange a list identifying each exhibit the party expects to offer at trial; No later than November 6, 2020, the Parties may file proposed jury instructions on substantive issues; Motions in Limine due by 10/23/2020. Proposed Pretrial Order due by 10/30/2020. The Court will conduct a final pretrial conference on December 3, 2020, at 10:00 a.m. in Room 3809, at United States District Court, 601 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106. No later than November 13, 2020, each party may submit proposed jury questions for voir dire via e-mail in Word format; On or before December 1, 2020, the Parties shall submit to the Court all exhibits; that the Parties intend to use at trial. A Jury Trial is set for 12/7/2020 at 09:30 AM before Judge Joshua D. Wolson at the J. Caleb Boggs Federal Building, 844 North King Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, in a Courtroom to be determined. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 6/5/20. (rwc) (Entered: 06/08/2020)	
Jun. 08, 2020	241	NOTICE requesting Clerk to remove Steven B. Stiglitz as co-counsel. Reason for request: no longer with the firm of Stris & Maher LLP. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 06/08/2020)	
Jun. 15, 2020	242	REDACTED VERSION of 238 MOTION For Redaction of Electronic Transcript of April 17, 2020 Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A-C, # 2 Text of Proposed Order)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 06/15/2020)	
Jun. 15, 2020	243	REDACTED VERSION of 239 Declaration of Rob Voto by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 06/15/2020)	
Jul. 15, 2020	244	NOTICE of (Citation of Supplemental Authority Relevant to its Motion for Finding of Estoppel Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(E)(2)) by Microchip Technology Incorporated re 191 MOTION for a finding of Estoppel pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(E)(2) (Attachments: # 1 Tab 1)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/15/2020)	
Jul. 28, 2020	245	MEMORANDUM. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 7/28/2020. (amf) (Entered: 07/28/2020)	

Motion for Summary Judgment -- Claim / Defense Barred by SOL, Laches, Estoppel — Denied in part granted in part

Infringement Defenses └ PTAB Post-Decision Estoppel └ **PTAB Petitioner Estoppel Following IPR (35 USC § 315(e))**	The court denied plaintiff's motion for summary judgment that that IPR estoppel precluded defendant from relying on two prior art references that defendant reasonably could have raised during *inter partes* review. "[Defendant] claims, however, that it could not reasonably have raised those references because it could not have foreseen [plaintiff's] infringement theory. . . . The fact that [defendant] disagrees -- even strongly -- with [plaintiff's] reading [of the patents-in-suit] does not mean that [defendant] could not have conceived of [plaintiff's] interpretation. . . . [Defendant] should have anticipated that [plaintiff] would seek the broadest possible reading of its own patents. If [defendant] is correct that [plaintiff] is reading the Asserted Patents too broadly, it can make that argument to a jury when the parties argue about infringement. The Court will not accept it as a matter of law."

Motion for Summary Judgment -- Claim / Defense Barred by SOL, Laches, Estoppel — Denied in part granted in part

Infringement Defenses └ PTAB Post-Decision Estoppel └ **PTAB Petitioner Estoppel Following IPR (35 USC § 315(e))**	The court denied plaintiff's motion for summary judgment that IPR estoppel precluded defendant from relying on prior art combinations that included two "gratuitous" prior art devices. "[Plaintiff] argues that [defendant's] inclusion of [prior art combinations that include two physical prior art devices] is 'gratuitous' and asks the Court to disregard them and apply the IPR estoppel. . . . [Plaintiff] has not pointed the Court to any legal basis to parse [defendant's] invalidity contentions to decide whether the physical device portion is gratuitous. . . . [Plaintiff] does not point to public written material that correspond to [physical devices] as a basis to impose IPR estoppel. Instead, it wants the Court to dig into the substance of [defendant's] references and determine that the physical devices do not add anything beyond the written references. Yet [defendant's] expert will testify that the combination is significant. The Court has no basis to disregard such testimony and disregard those references."

Jul. 28, 2020	246	ORDER - Upon consideration of Microchip Technology's Motion for a Finding of Estoppel Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2) (D.I. 191), it is ORDERED that, for the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum, the Motion is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. See attached Order for further details. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 7/28/2020. (amf) (Entered: 07/28/2020)	

Jul. 31, 2020 | 247 | MEMORANDUM. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 7/31/2020. (amf) (Entered: 07/31/2020)

Motion for Summary Judgment -- Noninfringement — Denied

Infringement
└ Direct Infringement
└ **Comparing Claims With Accused Product/Service/Process**

Following claim construction, the court denied defendant's motion for summary judgment that it did not infringe plaintiff's USB controller patents. "Nothing in the Court's opinion rules out the possibility of more than one physical connection, or the possibility that there are concurrent data streams on those multiple connections. Instead, the Court's decision just explains how a single physical connection can satisfy the claim limit of concurrent connections -- by alternating. . . . [Defendant] may disagree with [plaintiff's expert's] conclusions, but that does not change the fact that [plaintiff's] expert has offered sufficient support for his position to create a genuine issue of material fact."

Motion for Summary Judgment -- Patent Invalid — Denied

Infringement Defenses
└ Invalidity
└ **Anticipation (35 USC § 102)**

Infringement Defenses
└ PTAB Post-Decision Estoppel
└ **PTAB Petitioner Estoppel Following IPR (35 USC § 315(e))**

The court denied defendant's motion for summary judgment that plaintiff's USB controller patents was invalid as anticipated and found that one asserted prior art reference was barred by IPR estoppel. "[Defendant] cannot rely on [a prior art reference] in isolation because the Court has ruled that IPR estoppel applies to invalidity arguments based on [that] reference. Although [defendant] can rely on [the reference] in combination with certain physical device prior art, [defendant's] argument in support of its Motion does not invoke any combination. It rests on [the reference] alone. The Court's estoppel ruling precludes that argument."

Motion for Summary Judgment -- Patent Invalid — Denied

Invalidity
└ Description Of Invention (35 USC § 112)
└ **Enablement**

Invalidity
└ Description Of Invention (35 USC § 112)
└ **Written Description**

The court denied defendant's motion for summary judgment that plaintiff's USB controller patents was invalid for lack of written description or enablement. "[Defendant] does not contend that the Asserted Patents fail these tests on their face. Instead, it contends that they cannot satisfy the written description or enablement requirements only if they extend to host-to-host communications. . . . [T]he Court is not aware of any case that permits a Court to determine that a patent conditionally violates the written description or enablement requirements. . . . Even if the Asserted Patents do not describe the exact embodiment of the [accused] Hub, they still can satisfy the written description and enablement requirements in a way that might cover the [accused] Hub. [Defendant] has not presented undisputed clear and convincing evidence to the contrary."

Jul. 31, 2020 | 248 | ORDER - Upon consideration of Aptiv's Motion for Summary Judgment of Noninfringement or, in the Alternative, Invalidity (D.I. 186), it is ORDERED that, for the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum, the Motion is DENIED. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 7/31/2020. (amf) (Entered: 07/31/2020)

Aug. 24, 2020 | 249 | ORDER - Microchip Technology, Inc.'s Motion to Exclude Portions of Mr. Michael Chase's Expert Report (D.I. 181) is DENIED. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 8/24/2020. (amf) (Entered: 08/24/2020)

Motion in Limine -- Expert Testimony (Daubert) — Denied

Infringement Remedies
└ Damages
└ **Apportionment**

Evidence
└ FRE 702 -- Expert Evidence
└ **Expert's Methodology**

Royalty Rate
└ **Infringer's Cost Savings**

The court denied plaintiff's motion to exclude the testimony of defendant's damages expert regarding a reasonable royalty for using a manufacturing cost analysis in his apportionment. "[Plaintiff's] motion raises questions about [the expert's] use of the manufacturing cost for [an accused and similar unaccused] chips as a cross-check for his calculation of a reasonable royalty. Those questions are not without merit. But while the cost increase of a chip might not capture all of the added value that a particular patent confers, the cost information does provide an additional data point that could inform a hypothetical negotiation between the parties. That is, a reasonable royalty might well account for manufacturing costs. . . . [The expert] recognizes that his cost analysis is not a 'perfect apportionment' but serves only as 'a point of reference to inform the reasonable royalty in this matter. . . .' He also examines two separate pricing plans that [plaintiff] prepared for two distinct [chip] models, only one of which included the persistent USB capability that [plaintiff] alleges infringes its patents. [The expert's] conclusions may be up for debate, but the jury is entitled to hear his testimony and decide for itself what to accept or reject."

Aug. 24, 2020 | 250 | ORDER - Microchip Technology, Inc.'s Motion to Exclude Portions of Mr. John Garney's Non-Infringement Report for Failure to Apply the Court's Claim Construction (D.I. 188) is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. It is FURTHER ORDERED that, at trial in this matter, Mr. Garney may not offer opinions about non-infringement based on the fact that Aptiv's Dual Role Hub permits concurrent data streams. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 8/24/2020. (amf) (Entered: 08/24/2020)

Motion in Limine -- Expert Testimony (Daubert) — Denied in part granted in part

Evidence
└ FRE 702 -- Expert Evidence
└ **Usurping/Contradicting Court's Role**

The court granted in part plaintiff's motion to exclude portions of the report of defendant's non-infringement expert for failing to apply the court's claim construction. "[The expert's] opinion that [the accused product] does not infringe the Asserted Patents because it permits concurrent data streams is inconsistent with the Court's claim construction. . . . At the hearing on these motions, the parties agreed that the Court's decision on [defendant's] summary judgment motion would determine whether [its expert] could offer his opinion about non-infringement based on concurrent data streams. For the reasons stated in the Court's summary judgment opinion, he cannot."

Aug. 31, 2020 | 251 | MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Daralyn J. Durie, Timothy C. Saulsbury, Eric C. Wiener and Andrew T. Jones - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/31/2020)

Aug. 31, 2020	252	ORDER granting 251 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Daralyn J. Durie, Timothy C. Saulsbury, Eric C. Wiener and Andrew T. Jones. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 8/31/2020. (nmg) (Entered: 08/31/2020)	
Aug. 31, 2020	253	NOTICE requesting Clerk to remove Jhaniel N. James as co-counsel. Reason for request: no longer with firm. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/31/2020)	
Sep. 01, 2020	254	MEMORANDUM. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 9/1/2020. (amf) (Entered: 09/01/2020)	

Motion for Summary Judgment -- Re Damages — Denied

Infringement Remedies
└ Damages
└ **Affiliated Entities & Damages**

Infringement Remedies
└ Damages
└ **Lost Profits**

The court denied defendant's motion for summary judgment that plaintiff lacked standing to recover lost profits damages. "[Plaintiff] has evidence that it, and not some corporate affiliate, sold the [product-at-issue]. At his deposition, [plaintiff's corporate representative] testified that [plaintiff] sells the [product-at-issue]. . . . [H]is declaration is unequivocal, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not require him to attach corroborating documents. . . . [Defendant] can try to invoke Rule 37 and seek to limit the evidence that [plaintiff] puts forward at trial in due course. But that is not a basis to disregard evidence in the record before the Court at this stage of the proceedings."

Motion for Summary Judgment -- Re Damages — Denied

Damages
└ Lost Profits
└ **Causation (No Noninfringing Substitutes or Market Share)**

The court denied defendant's motion for summary judgment that plaintiff was not entitled to recover lost profits damages and rejected defendant's argument that plaintiff's damages expert did not recreate the correct market. "[Defendant] complains that [the expert] did not recreate the market for hubs, but that argument misstates the market dynamics here. [Plaintiff] markets and sells [its] chips. . . . The market for hubs might drive demand for chips that enable host-to-host communications, but it is not the market in which [the parties] buy and sell [their] chips. If [defendant's] chip were not on the market, then it is reasonable for [plaintiff's expert] to assume that [plaintiff] would have sold [its] chips to [defendant] or some other hub manufacture to enable the sale of hubs to fulfill car manufacturers' needs."

Motion in Limine -- Expert Testimony (Daubert) — Denied in part granted in part

Reasonable Royalty
└ Baseline Royalty
└ **Royalty Base**

Infringement Remedies
└ Damages
└ **Apportionment**

Evidence
└ FRE 702 -- Expert Evidence
└ **Expert's Methodology**

Royalty Rate
└ **Infringer's Cost Savings**

The court granted in part defendant's motion to exclude the opinions of plaintiff's damages expert and excluded his reasonable royalty analysis for ignoring the smallest salable component. "[Plaintiff] tries to defend [its expert's] analysis by claiming that he used a cost savings approach. While [he] might have used a cost savings approach to apportion the value of the various components, he was not permitted to use the [accused product] as the base for his cost-savings analysis. . . . The more non-patented features at play, the higher the risk. Narrowing the focus to the smallest patent-practicing unit mitigates that risk. [The expert's] use of a cost-savings approach did not excuse him from that obligation. . . . [T]he absence of data is not an excuse."

Sep. 01, 2020	255	ORDER - Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment and Motion to Exclude Testimony Under Rule 702 For Damages-Related Issues (D.I. 184) is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. It is FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Expert Stephen Becker, Ph.D., may not testify about a reasonable royalty calculation at trial in this action. The Motion is otherwise DENIED. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 9/1/2020. (amf) (Entered: 09/01/2020)
Sep. 08, 2020		Pro Hac Vice Attorneys Daralyn J. Durie, Timothy C. Saulsbury, Eric C. Wiener, Andrew T. Jones for Aptiv Services US LLC added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (kmd) (Entered: 09/08/2020)
Sep. 15, 2020	256	[SEALED] MOTION for Reargument re 254 Memorandum Opinion, 255 Order, - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order, # 2 Exhibit 22, # 3 Exhibit 23, # 4 Exhibit 24)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 09/15/2020)
Sep. 22, 2020	257	ORDER - Defendant Aptiv Services US LLC shall file a response to Plaintiff Microchip Technology, Inc.'s Motion for Reconsideration of Order Excluding Dr. Becker's Reasonable Royalty Testimony (D.I. 256) on or before October 5, 2020. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 9/22/2020. (amf) (Entered: 09/22/2020)
Sep. 22, 2020	258	REDACTED VERSION of 256 MOTION for Reargument re 254 Memorandum Opinion, 255 Order, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 09/22/2020)
Sep. 22, 2020	259	ORDER - Defendant's Motion for Redaction of Electronic Transcript of April 17, 2020, Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment and accompanying documents (D.I. 238) is GRANTED. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 9/22/2020. (amf) (Entered: 09/22/2020)
Oct. 05, 2020	260	[SEALED] ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 256 MOTION for Reargument re 254 Memorandum Opinion, 255 Order, filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 10/13/2020. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/05/2020)
Oct. 05, 2020	261	[SEALED] DECLARATION re 260 Answering Brief in Opposition Declaration of Eric C. Weiner by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-5)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/05/2020)
Oct. 13, 2020		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Telephone Conference held on 10/13/2020. (kmd) (Entered: 10/13/2020)

Oct. 13, 2020	262	ORDER denying 256 Motion for Reconsideration. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 10/13/2020. (kmd) (Entered: 10/13/2020)	

Motion to Reconsider - Motion in Limine -- Expert Testimony (Daubert) Denied

Oct. 13, 2020	263	ORDER: The pending dates in the Amended Scheduling Order (D.I. 240) are VACATED. The Court will set a new pretrial schedule when it is able to schedule a trial in this action. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 10/13/2020. (kmd) (Entered: 10/13/2020)	
Oct. 14, 2020	264	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Joseph D. Gray - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 10/14/2020)	
Oct. 15, 2020		Pro Hac Vice Attorney Joseph D. Gray for Microchip Technology Incorporated added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (mal) (Entered: 10/15/2020)	
Oct. 15, 2020	265	ORDER granting 264 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Joseph D. Gray. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 10/15/2020. (nmg) (Entered: 10/15/2020)	
Oct. 15, 2020	266	REDACTED VERSION of 260 Answering Brief in Opposition by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/15/2020)	
Oct. 15, 2020	267	REDACTED VERSION of 261 Declaration of Eric C. Weiner by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-5)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/15/2020)	
Jan. 20, 2021	268	NOTICE requesting Clerk to remove Hanna Chandoo as co-counsel. Reason for request: no longer with firm. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 01/20/2021)	
Feb. 26, 2021	269	[SEALED] MOTION For Leave to Serve a Supplemental Damages Expert Report (Unopposed) - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order, # 2 Exhibit A, # 3 Exhibit B)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 02/26/2021)	
Feb. 26, 2021	270	ORDER granting 269 Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporateds Unopposed Motion for Leave to Serve a Supplemental Damages Expert Report. (See Order for further details.) Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 2/26/2021. (kmd) (Entered: 02/26/2021)	
Mar. 08, 2021	271	REDACTED VERSION of 269 MOTION For Leave to Serve a Supplemental Damages Expert Report (Unopposed) by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 03/08/2021)	
Apr. 09, 2021	272	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Supplemental Rebuttal Expert Report of Michael H. Chase, CPA [Restricted - Attorneys Eyes Only - Confidential] filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 04/09/2021)	
May. 24, 2021	273	NOTICE OF SERVICE of (1) Reply Report of Stephen L. Becker, Ph.D. to Supplemental Rebuttal Expert Report of Michael H. Chase, CPA filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 05/24/2021)	
Jun. 03, 2021	274	SCHEDULING ORDER. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 6/3/2021. (amf) (Entered: 06/03/2021)	
Jul. 08, 2021	275	NOTICE requesting Clerk to remove Elizabeth R. Brannen, Kenneth J. Halpern and Justin M. Barnes as co-counsel. Reason for request: no longer involved in case. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/08/2021)	
Jul. 26, 2021	276	MOTION to Amend Scheduling Order to Reschedule Trial and All Pre-Trial Deadlines re 274 Scheduling Order (Unopposed) - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/26/2021)	
Jul. 26, 2021	277	DECLARATION re 276 MOTION to Amend Scheduling Order to Reschedule Trial and All Pre-Trial Deadlines re 274 Scheduling Order (Unopposed) (Declaration of Brian C. Banner) by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/26/2021)	
Jul. 27, 2021	278	ORDER, a telephone conference is scheduled with counsel for the Parties on August 3, 2021 at 3:00 p.m., regarding the Unopposed Motion to Amend Scheduling Order to Reschedule Trial and All Pre-Trial Deadlines (D.I. 276). The Parties shall use the Court's dial-in conference number by dialing 1-888-363-4735 and entering in Access Code 6910175. During the call, each Party shall have at its disposal the calendars for all of its attorneys, key party witnesses, and experts through the end of 2022. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 7/27/2021. (nmg) (Entered: 07/27/2021)	
Jul. 30, 2021	279	MOTION To Exclude Opinions Disclosed in Mr. Michael Chase's Supplemental Expert Report - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/30/2021)	
Jul. 30, 2021	280	[SEALED] OPENING BRIEF in Support re 279 MOTION To Exclude Opinions Disclosed in Mr. Michael Chase's Supplemental Expert Report filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 8/13/2021. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Exhibit C, # 4 Exhibit D, # 5 Exhibit E)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/30/2021)	
Jul. 30, 2021	281	MOTION to Preclude Motion to Exclude the Expert Testimony of Dr. Stephen L. Becker regarding reasonable royalty damages - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/30/2021)	
Jul. 30, 2021	282	[SEALED] OPENING BRIEF in Support re 281 MOTION to Preclude Motion to Exclude the Expert Testimony of Dr. Stephen L. Becker regarding reasonable royalty damages filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 8/13/2021. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/30/2021)	
Jul. 30, 2021	283	[SEALED] DECLARATION re 282 Opening Brief in Support, Declaration of Eric C. Wiener by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-3)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 07/30/2021)	

Aug. 03, 2021		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Telephone Conference regarding the Unopposed Motion to Amend Scheduling Order to Reschedule Trial and All Pre-Trial Deadlines (D.I. 276) held on 8/3/2021. (Court Reporter N/A.) (Total Time: 12 minutes) (myr) (Entered: 08/03/2021)	
Aug. 04, 2021	284	SCHEDULING ORDER: The deadlines set forth in the Court's previous Scheduling Order (D.I. 274) are VACATED. Motions in Limine due by 2/4/2022. Responses to Motions in Limine due by 2/25/2022. A Final Pretrial Conference is set for 4/4/2022 at 10:00 AM before Judge Joshua D. Wolson in Room 3809, at United States District Court, 601 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106. A Jury Trial is set for 4/6/2022 at 09:30 AM before Judge Joshua D. Wolson at the J. Caleb Boggs Federal Building, 844 North King Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, in a Courtroom to be determined. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 8/3/2021. (nmg) (Entered: 08/04/2021)	
Aug. 05, 2021	285	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME to file briefs in opposition to a party's Daubert motion and to file Reply briefs in support of a party's Daubert motion to August 27, 2021 and September 10, 2021 respectively - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/05/2021)	
Aug. 06, 2021	286	STIPULATION AND ORDER, The parties hereby stipulate and agree, subject to the approval of the Court, that the Court's February 26, 2021 Order (D.I. 270) is amended as follows: Briefs in opposition to a party's Daubert motion (paragraph 5.a.), currently due by August 20, 2021, shall be filed by August 27, 2021; Reply briefs in support of a party's Daubert motion (paragraph 5.b.), currently due by September 3, 2021, shall be filed by September 10, 2021. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 8/6/2021. (nmg) (Entered: 08/06/2021)	
Aug. 06, 2021	287	REDACTED VERSION of 280 Opening Brief in Support, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Shaw, John) (Entered: 08/06/2021)	
Aug. 06, 2021	288	REDACTED VERSION of 282 Opening Brief in Support, by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/06/2021)	
Aug. 06, 2021	289	REDACTED VERSION of 283 Declaration of Eric C. Wiener by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-3)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/06/2021)	
Aug. 27, 2021	290	[SEALED] ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 279 MOTION To Exclude Opinions Disclosed in Mr. Michael Chase's Supplemental Expert Report filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 9/3/2021. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/27/2021)	
Aug. 27, 2021	291	[SEALED] DECLARATION re 290 Answering Brief in Opposition, Declaration of Eric C. Wiener by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-8)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 08/27/2021)	
Aug. 27, 2021	292	[SEALED] ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 281 MOTION to Preclude Motion to Exclude the Expert Testimony of Dr. Stephen L. Becker regarding reasonable royalty damages filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 9/3/2021. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Exhibit C, # 4 Exhibit D, # 5 Exhibit E, # 6 Exhibit F, # 7 Exhibit G, # 8 Exhibit H, # 9 Exhibit I, # 10 Exhibit J)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 08/27/2021)	
Sep. 03, 2021	293	REDACTED VERSION of 292 Answering Brief in Opposition, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 09/03/2021)	
Sep. 03, 2021	294	REDACTED VERSION of 290 Answering Brief in Opposition, by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 09/03/2021)	
Sep. 03, 2021	295	REDACTED VERSION of 291 Declaration of Eric C. Wiener by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-8)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 09/03/2021)	
Sep. 10, 2021	296	[SEALED] REPLY BRIEF re 279 MOTION To Exclude Opinions Disclosed in Mr. Michael Chase's Supplemental Expert Report filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit F, # 2 Exhibit G, # 3 Exhibit H)(Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 09/10/2021)	
Sep. 10, 2021	297	[SEALED] REPLY BRIEF re 281 MOTION to Preclude Motion to Exclude the Expert Testimony of Dr. Stephen L. Becker regarding reasonable royalty damages filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 09/10/2021)	
Sep. 17, 2021	298	REDACTED VERSION of 297 Reply Brief by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 09/17/2021)	
Sep. 17, 2021	299	REDACTED VERSION of 296 Reply Brief, by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 09/17/2021)	
Sep. 17, 2021	300	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Jeff Castellano regarding request for Oral Argument - re 281 MOTION to Preclude Motion to Exclude the Expert Testimony of Dr. Stephen L. Becker regarding reasonable royalty damages, 279 MOTION To Exclude Opinions Disclosed in Mr. Michael Chase's Supplemental Expert Report . (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 09/17/2021)	
Oct. 28, 2021	301	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Joyce C. Li - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/28/2021)	
Oct. 28, 2021	302	ORDER Setting Oral Argument, an Oral Argument is set for 11/19/2021 at 10:00 AM before Judge Joshua D. Wolson. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 10/28/2021. (smg) (Entered: 10/28/2021)	
Oct. 28, 2021	303	SO ORDERED, re 301 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Joyce C. Li filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 10/28/2021. (myr) (Entered: 10/28/2021)	
Oct. 29, 2021		Pro Hac Vice Attorney Joyce C. Li for Aptiv Services US LLC added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (twk) (Entered: 10/29/2021)	

Nov. 19, 2021		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Oral Argument held on 11/19/2021. (Total Time in court: 2 hours, 35 minutes) (Court Reporter Shannan Gagliardi.) (myr) (Entered: 11/19/2021)	
Nov. 19, 2021	304	ORDER: On or before November 23, 2021, counsel for the Parties shall submit to the Court a joint proposal for next steps with respect to settlement. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 11/19/2021. (myr) (Entered: 11/19/2021)	
Nov. 29, 2021	305	Official Transcript of Daubert Motion Hearing held on November 19, 2021 before Judge Joshua D. Wolson. Court Reporter/ Transcriber Shannan Gagliardi, Phone: (267) 299-7254. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or order/ purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date, it may be obtained through PACER Redaction Request due 12/20/2021. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 1/3/2022. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 2/28/2022. (nmg) (Entered: 11/29/2021)	
Dec. 01, 2021	306	ORDER, Settlement Conference will be held on January 5, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., before the undersigned, in Chambers, Room 3809, 3rd Floor, of the James A. Byrne U.S. Courthouse, 601 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106. On or before December 23, 2021, each party shall submit via email to Chambers_of_Judge_Wolson@paed.uscourts.gov a confidential mediation statement. (See Order for further details) Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 12/01/2021. (smg) (Entered: 12/01/2021)	
Jan. 05, 2022		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Settlement Conference held on 1/5/2022 (Total Time in Court : 5 minutes). (myr) (Entered: 01/06/2022)	
Jan. 05, 2022		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Settlement Conference held on 1/5/2022. (Court Reporter N/A.)(Total Time in Court: 5 hours) (myr) (Entered: 01/06/2022)	
Jan. 06, 2022	307	ORDER, a Settlement Conference will be held on February 9, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., before the undersigned, in Courtroom 3B of the James A. Byrne U.S. Courthouse, 601 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106. (See Order for further details) Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 01/06/2022. (smg) (Entered: 01/06/2022)	
Jan. 13, 2022	308	STIPULATION [and Proposed Order] to modify pretrial deadlines by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Castellano, Jeffrey) (Entered: 01/13/2022)	
Jan. 13, 2022	309	STIPULATION AND ORDER regarding pretrial deadlines set forth in D.I. 284 . Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 1/13/2022. (nmg) (Entered: 01/13/2022)	
Jan. 26, 2022	310	ORDER: The Court's Order scheduling a settlement conference (D.I. 307) is VACATED. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 1/26/2022. (myr) (Entered: 01/26/2022)	

Feb. 09, 2022	311	ORDER: Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Motion To Exclude The Expert Testimony of Dr. Stephen L. Becker Regarding Reasonable Royalty Damages (D.I. 281) is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. Dr. Becker may testify as to his reasonable royalty calculation, but he may not testify as to any calculation based on the Entire Market Value rule. Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Motion To Exclude Opinions Disclosed In Mr. Michael Chase's Supplemental Expert Report (D.I. 279) is DENIED. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 2/9/2022. (nmg) (Entered: 02/09/2022)	

Motion in Limine -- Expert Testimony (Daubert) — Denied in part granted in part

Evidence
└ FRE 702 -- Expert Evidence
└ **Expert's Methodology**

Royalty Rate
└ **Infringer's Cost Savings**

The court denied defendant's motion to exclude the testimony of plaintiff's damages expert regarding a reasonable royalty for using a proxy to calculate cost savings attributable to the patented technology. "[The expert] permissibly uses the average Sandia sales price data as a proxy for the Boston 2 sales price data. The Court is cognizant of the difficulty in assigning value to the Boston 2 chip, for which no sales data exists, and notes that '[c]ourts have never required absolute precision in [applying the principles of apportionment]; on the contrary, it is well-understood that this process may involve some degree of approximation and uncertainty.' [Plaintiff's] Sandia chip is the only other patent-practicing chip, and [the expert] may utilize it to the extent he accounts for non-patented features. . . . [Defendant] complains that [the expert] failed to account for generational improvements in the Sandia chip. But [defendant] only points to one generational difference, certain integrated switches. And [plaintiff] has produced evidence that the switching technology is attributable to the patented technology. . . . A jury might criticize his choices and conclusions, but his testimony is admissible."

Motion in Limine -- Expert Testimony (Daubert) — Denied in part granted in part

Infringement Remedies
└ Damages
└ **Apportionment**

Evidence
└ FRE 702 -- Expert Evidence
└ **Expert's Methodology**

The court denied defendant's motion to exclude the testimony of plaintiff's damages expert regarding a reasonable royalty for failing to apportion. "[Defendant] complains that [the expert] did not apportion the cost savings from different features on the chip. But [he] points to evidence demonstrating that the host-to-host bridge technology was the only technological change between the chip generations that he compared. That evidence gives him a basis to conclude that the host-to-host bridge was responsible for all cost savings he identified. At trial, [defendant] can attack [the expert's] assumption and, if the jury believes [defendant], then it will have reason to disregard [his] testimony. But the Court has no basis to exclude it, given that there is at least some factual predicate for the opinion."

Motion in Limine -- Expert Testimony (Daubert) — Denied in part granted in part

Evidence
└ FRE 702 -- Expert Evidence
└ **Expert's Methodology**

Baseline Royalty
└ Royalty Base
└ **Entire Market Value Rule**

The court granted defendant's motion to exclude the testimony of plaintiff's damages expert regarding the entire market value rule. "Use of the entire market value rule is the exception, not the rule. [The expert] has not demonstrated that the entire market value of the Dual Role Hub is 'properly and legally attributable to the patented feature.' Without such evidence, the entire market value rule does not apply."

Motion in Limine -- Expert Testimony (Daubert) — Denied

District Court Procedural Issues
└ Motion Practice in General
└ **Untimely Arguments or Evidence**

The court denied as untimely plaintiff's motion to exclude the testimony of defendant's damages expert regarding the "next best" chip. "After excluding [plaintiff's] expert . . . the Court permitted [plaintiff] to submit a supplemental expert report. [Plaintiff] has used this as an opportunity to argue that [defendant's expert's] opinions on the 'next best Boston chip' should be excluded. However, [plaintiff] missed its opportunity to raise this issue. . . . [Plaintiff] did not object to references to the next best Boston chip in its initial round of briefing. It cannot use the striking of its own expert as an opportunity to brief the issue now. [Defendant's expert] may testify to the next best Boston chip if [defendant] provides the appropriate foundation."

Motion in Limine -- Expert Testimony (Daubert) — Denied

Infringement Remedies
└ Damages
└ **Apportionment**

Evidence
└ FRE 702 -- Expert Evidence
└ **Expert's Methodology**

The court denied plaintiff's motion to exclude the testimony of defendant's damages expert for improper apportionment. "[The expert] may identify and raise for a jury's consideration non-patented features of the Boston 2 chip. He may not, however, arbitrarily apportion value based on the quantity of non-patented features without evaluating their value. But [defendant] represented to the Court that [its expert] has not based his apportionment calculation on the quantity of non-patented features, nor does he intend to do so at trial. Therefore, he may testify as to non-patented features."

Feb. 14, 2022	312	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney W. Henry Huttinger - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 02/14/2022)	
Feb. 15, 2022	313	ORDER granting 312 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney W. Henry Huttinger. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 2/15/22. (mal) (Entered: 02/15/2022)	
Feb. 16, 2022	314	ORDER, each party shall file a maximum of five (5) motions in limine. Each party may devote a total of 20 pages to motions in limine and 20 pages to responses, divided as it sees fit among the motions and responses respectively. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 2/16/2022. (nmg) (Entered: 02/17/2022)	
Feb. 17, 2022		Pro Hac Vice Attorney W. Henry Huttinger for Aptiv Services US LLC added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (apk) (Entered: 02/17/2022)	
Feb. 18, 2022	315	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Supplemental Rebuttal Expert Report Using Updated Data of Michael H. Chase, CPA [Restricted - Attorney's Eyes Only - Confidential] filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 02/18/2022)	

Feb. 18, 2022	316	Proposed Pretrial Order by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1- Plaintiff Microchip's Statement of the Facts, # 2 Exhibit 2- Defendant Aptiv's Statement of the Facts, # 3 Exhibit 3- Plaintiff Microchip's Statement of Issues of Law that Remain to be Litigated, # 4 Exhibit 4- Defendant Aptiv's Statement of Issues of Law that Remain to be Litigated)(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 02/18/2022)	
Feb. 22, 2022	317	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME for the parties to submit their Motions in Limine to February 23, 2022 - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 02/22/2022)	
Feb. 23, 2022	318	ORDER, The parties hereby stipulate and agree, subject to the approval of the Court, that the deadline for the parties to submit their Motions in Limine (D.I. 309) is extended through and including February 23, 2022. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 2/23/2022. (cna,) (Entered: 02/23/2022)	
Feb. 23, 2022	319	MOTION in Limine - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 02/23/2022)	
Feb. 23, 2022	320	OPENING BRIEF in Support re 319 MOTION in Limine filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 3/9/2022. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A- IPR2017-00864 Final Written Decision, # 2 Exhibit B- Excerpts of 11/14/2019 J. Garney Deposition Transcript)(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 02/23/2022)	
Feb. 23, 2022	321	MOTION in Limine No. 1 to Preclude Microchip from Presenting Evidence or Argument Relating to Aptiv's Company-Wide Revenue, Profit, or Valuation or Relating to the Revenue, Profit, or Price for the Dual Role Hub - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-2, # 2 Text of Proposed Order)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 02/23/2022)	
Feb. 23, 2022	322	MOTION in Limine No. 2 to Preclude Microchip's Expert Witnesses, Mr. Ivan Zatkovitch and Dr. Stephen Becker, and Fact Witness Mr. Mitch Obolsky, from Offering or Relying on Undisclosed Opinions regarding Alleged Costs Savings - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-6, # 2 Text of Proposed Order)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 02/23/2022)	
Feb. 23, 2022	323	MOTION for Leave to File Under Seal - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 02/23/2022)	
Feb. 24, 2022	324	DECLARATION re 323 MOTION for Leave to File Under Seal [Declaration of Jared Crop] by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 02/24/2022)	
Feb. 24, 2022	325	MOTION to Seal - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 02/24/2022)	
Mar. 01, 2022	326	ORDER, Microchip Technology Incorporated's Motion To Seal (D.I. 325) is GRANTED. Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC's Motion For Leave To File Under Seal (D.I. 323) is DENIED AS MOOT. (See Order for further details) Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 03/01/2022. (smg) (Entered: 03/01/2022)	
Mar. 04, 2022	327	NOTICE requesting Clerk to remove Jeffrey T. Castellano as co-counsel.. (Shaw, John) (Entered: 03/04/2022)	
Mar. 04, 2022	328	Proposed Jury Instructions by Microchip Technology Incorporated (Preliminary). (Shaw, John) (Entered: 03/04/2022)	
Mar. 04, 2022	329	Proposed Jury Instructions by Microchip Technology Incorporated (Final). (Shaw, John) (Entered: 03/04/2022)	
Mar. 04, 2022	330	VERDICT SHEET by Microchip Technology Incorporated (Proposed). (Shaw, John) (Entered: 03/04/2022)	
Mar. 07, 2022	331	NOTICE OF SERVICE of Defendant Aptiv Services US LLC's Notice of Prior Art Under 35 U.S.C. § 282 filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 03/07/2022)	
Mar. 09, 2022	332	[SEALED] EXHIBIT re 321 MOTION in Limine No. 1 to Preclude Microchip from Presenting Evidence or Argument Relating to Aptiv's Company-Wide Revenue, Profit, or Valuation or Relating to the Revenue, Profit, or Price for the Dual Role Hub (Exhibits 1-2) by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Choa, Jonathan) (Entered: 03/09/2022)	
Mar. 09, 2022	333	[SEALED] EXHIBIT re 322 MOTION in Limine No. 2 to Preclude Microchip's Expert Witnesses, Mr. Ivan Zatkovitch and Dr. Stephen Becker, and Fact Witness Mr. Mitch Obolsky, from Offering or Relying on Undisclosed Opinions regarding Alleged Costs Savings (Exhibits 1-6) by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Choa, Jonathan) (Entered: 03/09/2022)	
Mar. 15, 2022	334	ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 321 MOTION in Limine No. 1 to Preclude Microchip from Presenting Evidence or Argument Relating to Aptiv's Company-Wide Revenue, Profit, or Valuation or Relating to the Revenue, Profit, or Price for the Dual Role Hub filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 3/22/2022. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 03/15/2022)	
Mar. 15, 2022	335	ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 322 MOTION in Limine No. 2 to Preclude Microchip's Expert Witnesses, Mr. Ivan Zatkovitch and Dr. Stephen Becker, and Fact Witness Mr. Mitch Obolsky, from Offering or Relying on Undisclosed Opinions regarding Alleged Costs Savings filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 3/22/2022. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 03/15/2022)	
Mar. 15, 2022	336	ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 319 MOTION in Limine Nos. 1-5 filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 3/22/2022. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-6)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 03/15/2022)	
Mar. 21, 2022	337	MOTION for Leave to File an Additional Motion in Limine, and for Expedited Briefing - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order, # 2 Exhibit 1, # 3 Exhibit 2, # 4 Exhibit 3)(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 03/21/2022)	
Mar. 22, 2022	338	RESPONSE to Motion re 337 MOTION for Leave to File an Additional Motion in Limine, and for Expedited Briefing filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-3)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 03/22/2022)	

Mar. 22, 2022	339	ORDER granting 337 MOTION for Leave to File an Additional Motion in Limine, and for Expedited Briefing. By March 23, 2022, Microchip shall file its additional motion in limine. Defendant Aptiv Services US, LLC shall file its response by 5 p.m. EDT on March 31, 2022. Each party's brief shall not exceed five pages. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 3/22/2022. (nmg) (Entered: 03/22/2022)	
Mar. 22, 2022	340	MOTION in Limine - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B)(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 03/22/2022)	
Mar. 24, 2022	341	Joint STIPULATION Regarding Briefing on Motion by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 03/24/2022)	
Mar. 24, 2022	342	MOTION re 246 Order, - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order, # 2 Exhibit A § 282 Notice, # 3 Exhibit B, # 4 Exhibit C, # 5 Exhibit D, # 6 Exhibit E, # 7 Exhibit F)(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 03/24/2022)	
Mar. 31, 2022	343	RESPONSE to Motion re 340 MOTION in Limine No. 6 filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 03/31/2022)	
Mar. 31, 2022	344	RESPONSE to Motion re 342 MOTION re 246 Order, filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order) (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 03/31/2022)	
Mar. 31, 2022	345	EXHIBIT re 343 Response to Motion Exhibits 1 and 2 by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 03/31/2022)	
Apr. 03, 2022	346	NOTICE of Withdrawal of Plaintiff's Motions In Limine 1 and 4 by Microchip Technology Incorporated (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 04/03/2022)	
Apr. 03, 2022	347	Joint STIPULATION Regarding Motions In Limine by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 04/03/2022)	
Apr. 04, 2022		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - (Total Time: 3 hours 40 minutes) Final Pretrial Conference held on 4/4/2022. (mal) (Entered: 04/04/2022)	
Apr. 04, 2022	348	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Philip A. Rovner regarding claim construction dispute. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 04/04/2022)	
Apr. 04, 2022	349	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Andrew E. Russell regarding claim construction. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 04/04/2022)	
Apr. 05, 2022	350	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Philip A. Rovner regarding response to - re 349 Letter. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 04/05/2022)	

Apr. 05, 2022	351	ORDER regarding Motions in Limine (See Order for details). Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 4/5/2022. (nmg) (Main Document 351 replaced on 4/6/2022) (nmg). (Entered: 04/05/2022)	

Motion in Limine -- Evidence Supporting Claim/Defense — Denied

District Court Procedural Issues
└ Evidence
└ **FRE 403 - Prejudice/Confusion/ Delay**

The court denied plaintiff's motion in limine to exclude evidence of defendant's patents. "The Court cannot determine from the Motion how [defendant] intends to use evidence of its patents at trial. However, those patents do bear on [plaintiff's] damages claim, at a minimum. Georgia-Pacific factor No. 13 directs the consideration of, among other things, any 'significant features or improvements added by the infringer.' [Defendant] can present evidence about its patents to bear on this issue. The Court does not find that the prejudice from the presentation of that evidence is so great that it substantially outweighs the relevance, and the Court can cure any prejudice to [plaintiff] via a limiting instruction."

Motion in Limine -- Evidence Supporting Claim/Defense — Granted

District Court Procedural Issues
└ Evidence
└ **FRE 403 - Prejudice/Confusion/ Delay**

The court granted defendant's motion in limine to exclude evidence of the revenue, profit, or price of one of its products. "[Plaintiff] has not demonstrated what value or relevance, if any, evidence of [the product's] revenue, profit, or price would have on its claims. Such evidence is not tied to the smallest salable unit or Plaintiff's expert opinion regarding a reasonable royalty calculation. At the same time, the unfair prejudice of injecting such evidence would substantially outweigh any minimal probative value. A disclosure of [defendant's] revenue and profits from the [product] is likely to 'skew the damages horizon for the jury, regardless of the contribution of the patented component to this revenue.' In addition, [plaintiff] can demonstrate commercial success by offering evidence other than revenue and profit information, such as unit sales, market share, and consumer demand, among other things."

Motion in Limine -- Expert Testimony (Daubert) — Denied

District Court Procedural Issues
└ Evidence
└ **Untimely Disclosure**

Failure To Disclose in Expert Report
└ Exclusion Of Expert Testimony
└ **Harmless/No Prejudice**

The court denied defendant's motion in limine to exclude previously undisclosed opinions from plaintiff's experts regarding cost savings. "[Defendant] has known [one of plaintiff's experts] relied on [another expert's] opinion on chip integration since [11 months ago] when [defendant] received [the expert's] supplemental report. . . . [Defendant] had months to cure any prejudice by deposing [the other expert] or serving written discovery about his opinion. [Defendant] chose not to do so. Instead it seeks to exclude [the other expert's] opinion on the eve of trial. Any surprise or prejudice is therefore minimal."

Stipulated/Agreed - Motion in Limine -- Evidence Supporting Claim/Defense — Granted

Motion in Limine -- Expert Testimony (Daubert) — Denied as moot

Motion in Limine -- Evidence Supporting Claim/Defense — Denied as moot

Motion in Limine -- Fact Witness / Evidence / Exhibit — Denied

Apr. 05, 2022	352	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Andrew E. Russell regarding claim construction. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 04/05/2022)	
Apr. 05, 2022	353	FINAL PRETRIAL ORDER. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 4/5/2022. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1 - Plaintiff Microchip's Statement of Facts, # 2 Exhibit 2 - Defendant Aptiv's Statement of the Facts, # 3 Exhibit 3 - Plaintiff Microchip's Statement of Issues of Law, # 4 Exhibit 4 - Defendant Aptiv's Statement of Issues of Law, # 5 Exhibit 5 - Depo Designations, # 6 Exhibit 6 - Aptiv's Deposition Designation, # 7 Exhibit 7 - Exhibit List) (nmg) (Entered: 04/05/2022)	

Pretrial Order — N/A

Apr. 06, 2022		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Jury Trial held on 4/6/2022. (Court Reporter: Rose Tamburri.) (twk) (Entered: 04/07/2022)	
Apr. 07, 2022		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Jury Trial held on 4/7/2022. (Court Reporter: Rose Tamburri.) (twk) (Entered: 04/07/2022)	
Apr. 08, 2022		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Jury Trial held on 4/8/2022. (Court Reporter: Rose Tamburri.) (twk) (Entered: 04/08/2022)	
Apr. 10, 2022	354	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Andrew E. Russell regarding Microchip's Requested Edits to the Court's Proposed Final Jury Instructions. (Attachments: # 1 Microchip's Requested Edits to the Proposed Final Jury Instructions)(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 04/10/2022)	
Apr. 10, 2022	355	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Philip A. Rovner regarding Jury Instructions. (Attachments: # 1 Aptiv's Requested Edits to the Court's Proposed Final Jury Instructions)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 04/10/2022)	
Apr. 11, 2022		CASE NO LONGER REFERRED to Magistrate Judge Burke for the purpose of exploring ADR. Please see the Court's Standing Order No. 2022-2 dated March 14, 2022. (dlb) (Entered: 04/11/2022)	

Apr. 11, 2022		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Jury Trial held on 4/11/2022. (Court Reporter: Rose Tamburri.) (twk) (Entered: 04/11/2022)	
Apr. 12, 2022		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Jury Trial held on 4/12/2022. (Court Reporter: Rose Tamburri.) (twk) (Entered: 04/12/2022)	
Apr. 13, 2022		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Joshua D. Wolson - Jury Trial completed on 4/13/2022. (Court Reporter: Rose Tamburri.) (twk) (Entered: 04/13/2022)	
Apr. 13, 2022	356	[SEALED] JURY VERDICT. (twk) (Entered: 04/13/2022)	
Apr. 13, 2022	357	REDACTED VERSION of 356 JURY VERDICT. (twk) (Entered: 04/13/2022)	

Verdict N/A

DETERMINATIONS

Not infringed

Patent	Patent Name
7523243	MULTI-HOST USB DEVICE CONTROLLER

Not invalid

Patent	Patent Name
7523243	MULTI-HOST USB DEVICE CONTROLLER

Apr. 13, 2022	358	[SEALED] Jury Attendance List. (twk) (Entered: 04/13/2022)	
Apr. 13, 2022	359	[SEALED] Jury Notes. (twk) (Entered: 04/13/2022)	
Apr. 13, 2022	360	JOINT Admitted Exhibit List. (twk) (Entered: 04/18/2022)	
Apr. 20, 2022	361	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Andrew E. Russell regarding request for an extension to provide a joint post-trial status report and proposed form of order to enter judgment. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 04/20/2022)	
Apr. 20, 2022	362	ORDER: The Parties shall have until April 27, 2022, to file a joint post-trial status report and proposed form of order to enter judgment. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 4/20/2022.(Entered: 4/20/2022) (ja) (Entered: 04/20/2022)	
Apr. 27, 2022	363	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Andrew E. Russell regarding request for an extension to provide a joint post-trial status report and proposed form of order to enter judgment. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 04/27/2022)	
Apr. 28, 2022	364	ORDER, upon review of the Parties' letter requesting an extension of the deadline to file a joint post-trial status report and proposed form of order to enter judgment (D.I. 363), it is ORDERED that the Parties shall have until April 29, 2022, to file a joint post-trial status report and proposed form of order to enter judgment. The Court will not grant further extensions of this deadline. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 4/28/2022. (nmg) (Entered: 04/28/2022)	
Apr. 29, 2022	365	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Andrew E. Russell regarding joint post-trial status report and proposed form of order for judgment. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A: Microchip's proposed form of order, # 2 Exhibit B: Aptiv's proposed form of order)(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 04/29/2022)	
May. 02, 2022	366	ORDER, By May 4, 2022, each Party shall file a letter brief in support of its positions on the form of order to enter judgment. Each Party's brief shall not exceed three pages, including signature block. Motions under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 50 and 59, if any, shall be filed by May 20, 2022. Answering briefs shall be filed by June 10, 2022, and replies shall be filed by June 24, 2022. The deadline for any party to move for costs and attorneys fees under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d) or 35 U.S.C. § 285 is extended to within fourteen (14) days after the time for appeal has expired or within fourteen (14) days after issuance of the mandate from the appellate court, and no Party shall file any such motion before that time. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 5/2/2022. (nmg) (Entered: 05/02/2022)	
May. 04, 2022	367	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Andrew E. Russell regarding proposed form of judgment. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A. Email, # 2 Exhibit B. Email, # 3 Exhibit C. Verdict Form, # 4 Exhibit D. Judgment, # 5 Exhibit E. Verdict Form, # 6 Exhibit F. Judgment)(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 05/04/2022)	
May. 04, 2022	368	Letter to The Honorable Joshua D. Wolson from Philip A. Rovner regarding proposed form of judgment. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-6)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 05/04/2022)	

May. 06, 2022	369	MEMORANDUM. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 5/6/2022. (cna,) (Entered: 05/06/2022)	

Motion for Judgment — Denied

District Court Procedural Issues
└ Post-Trial Procedure
└ **Judgment**

The court denied defendant's motion for judgment on one patent-in-suit that plaintiff dropped prior to trial and the claims of a second patent-in-suit that were not presented to the jury. "During trial, neither Party presented any evidence on the [first] Patent. . . . The Court provided the Parties with a verdict form that only referenced [three claims] of the [second] Patent. The Parties had an opportunity to object and did not do so. . . .The nub of this dispute is that [defendant] wants to maximize the estoppel effect of this judgment. But the effect of [plaintiff] abandoning its claims with respect to the [first] Patent and certain [second] Patent claims is an issue that the Court need not decide. . . . Should [plaintiff] assert claims against [defendant] that [plaintiff] elected not to present to the jury, the Parties can present these same arguments at that time."

May. 06, 2022	370	JUDGMENT (CASE CLOSED). Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 5/6/2022. (cna,) (Entered: 05/06/2022)	

Judgment (Judge) — N/A

DETERMINATIONS

Not infringed

Patent	Patent Name
7523243	MULTI-HOST USB DEVICE CONTROLLER

Not invalid

Patent	Patent Name
7523243	MULTI-HOST USB DEVICE CONTROLLER

May. 20, 2022	371	MOTION for New Trial pursuant to Rule 59 - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 05/20/2022)	
May. 20, 2022	372	MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law or Alternatively for a New Trial - filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 05/20/2022)	
May. 20, 2022	373	OPENING BRIEF in Support re 372 MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law or Alternatively for a New Trial filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 6/3/2022. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 05/20/2022)	
May. 20, 2022	374	DECLARATION re 373 Opening Brief in Support (Declaration of Andrew T. Jones) by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 05/20/2022)	
May. 20, 2022	375	OPENING BRIEF in Support re 371 MOTION for New Trial pursuant to Rule 59 filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Answering Brief/Response due date per Local Rules is 6/3/2022. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A - Trial Tr. Vol. 6, # 2 Exhibit B - Trial Tr. Vol. 3, # 3 Exhibit C - Aptiv's Closing Demonstratives, # 4 Exhibit D - Aptiv's Interrogatory Responses, # 5 Exhibit E - U.S. 7,478,191, # 6 Exhibit F - Trial Ex. 1, # 7 Exhibit G - Trial Tr. Vol. 5, # 8 Exhibit H - Trial Ex. 370, # 9 Exhibit I - Trial Tr. Vol. 2)(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 05/20/2022)	
May. 23, 2022	376	EXHIBIT re 375 Opening Brief in Support,, Exhibit D - Aptiv's Interrogatory Responses by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 05/23/2022)	
Jun. 10, 2022	377	ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 372 MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law or Alternatively for a New Trial filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 6/17/2022. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 06/10/2022)	
Jun. 10, 2022	378	ANSWERING BRIEF in Opposition re 371 MOTION for New Trial pursuant to Rule 59 filed by Aptiv Services US LLC.Reply Brief due date per Local Rules is 6/17/2022. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 06/10/2022)	
Jun. 10, 2022	379	DECLARATION re 378 Answering Brief in Opposition Declaration of Joyce C. Li by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-4)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 06/10/2022)	
Jun. 24, 2022	380	REPLY BRIEF [Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Reply Brief In Support of its Motion for New Trial Pursuant to Rule 59 (D.I. 371)] filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit J - '708 Patent)(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 06/24/2022)	
Jun. 24, 2022	381	REPLY BRIEF re 372 MOTION for Judgment as a Matter of Law or Alternatively for a New Trial filed by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 06/24/2022)	
Jul. 12, 2022	382	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney John Neukom - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 07/12/2022)	
Jul. 13, 2022	383	SO ORDERED, re 382 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney John Neukom filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 7/13/2022. (twk) (Entered: 07/13/2022)	
Jul. 18, 2022		Pro Hac Vice Attorney John Neukom for Microchip Technology Incorporated added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (apk) (Entered: 07/18/2022)	
Jul. 19, 2022	384	MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Edward Tulin - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 07/19/2022)	

Jul. 20, 2022		Pro Hac Vice Attorney Edward Tulin for Microchip Technology Incorporated added for electronic noticing. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5 (d)., Delaware counsel shall be the registered users of CM/ECF and shall be required to file all papers. (mpb) (Entered: 07/20/2022)	
Jul. 20, 2022	385	SO ORDERED, re 384 MOTION for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Attorney Edward Tulin filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 7/20/2022. (twk) (Entered: 07/20/2022)	
Aug. 16, 2022	386	Official Transcript of Jury Trial Day 1 held on 04/06/2022 before Judge Joshua D. Wolson. Court Reporter/Transcriber Veritext Legal Solutions,Email: 302-571-0510. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or order/purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date, it may be obtained through PACER Redaction Request due 9/6/2022. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 9/16/2022. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 11/14/2022. (smg) (Entered: 08/16/2022)	
Aug. 16, 2022	387	Official Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2 held on 04/07/2022 before Judge Joshua D. Wolson. Court Reporter/Transcriber Veritext Legal Solutions, Contact: 302-571-0510. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or order/purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date, it may be obtained through PACER Redaction Request due 9/6/2022. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 9/16/2022. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 11/14/2022. (smg) (Entered: 08/16/2022)	
Aug. 16, 2022	388	Official Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3 held on 04/08/2022 before Judge Joshua D. Wolson. Court Reporter/Transcriber Veritext Legal Solutions, Contact: 302-571-0510. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or order/purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date, it may be obtained through PACER Redaction Request due 9/6/2022. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 9/16/2022. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 11/14/2022. (smg) (Entered: 08/16/2022)	
Aug. 16, 2022	389	Official Transcript of Jury Trial day 4 held on 04/11/2022 before Judge Joshua D. Wolson. Court Reporter/Transcriber Veritext Legal Solutions, Contact: 302-571-0510. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or order/purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date, it may be obtained through PACER Redaction Request due 9/6/2022. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 9/16/2022. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 11/14/2022. (smg) (Entered: 08/16/2022)	
Aug. 16, 2022	390	Official Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5 held on 04/12/2022 before Judge Joshua D. Wolson. Court Reporter/Transcriber Veritext Legal Solutions, Contact: 302-571-0510. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or order/purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date, it may be obtained through PACER Redaction Request due 9/6/2022. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 9/16/2022. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 11/14/2022. (smg) (Entered: 08/16/2022)	
Aug. 16, 2022	391	Official Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6 held on 04/13/2022 before Judge Joshua D. Wolson. Court Reporter/Transcriber Veritext Legal Solutions, Contact: 302-571-0510. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or order/purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date, it may be obtained through PACER Redaction Request due 9/6/2022. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 9/16/2022. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 11/14/2022. (smg) (Entered: 08/16/2022)	
Aug. 23, 2022	392	NOTICE of Intent to Request Redaction by Emily DiBenedetto re 388 Transcript,, 391 Transcript,, 390 Transcript,,. (DiBenedetto, Emily) (Entered: 08/23/2022)	
Sep. 09, 2022	393	MEMORANDUM. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 9/9/2022. (srs) (Entered: 09/09/2022)	

Motion for New Trial — Denied

District Court Procedural Issues
└ Post-Trial Procedure
└ **New Trial**

Following a jury verdict of noninfringement, the court denied plaintiff's motion for a new trial due to untimely arguments, juror confusion, and improper expert testimony from the inventor. "[Defendant] disclosed the factual predicate for its theory -- that the Dual Role Hub is not configured at the same time so it cannot infringe -- during discovery. It could, therefore, present it to the jury. . . . . [Defendant] could have been more precise in its responses, but its disclosure stands in contrast to the types of limited (or absent) disclosures that warrant exclusion at trial. . . . [Plaintiff] forfeited its claim construction argument because it did not request construction of the term 'simultaneously configured' before or during trial. . . . [Plaintiff] pressed a claim construction broad enough to encompass a multi-host device with host-to-host communication. Because it did so, [defendant's] arguments as to whether the patent enabled such host-to-host communication were fair game. . . . [The inventor] explained what claims 1 and 23 were intended to cover. He just happened to think they were largely co-extensive. Though [plaintiff] now argues this was improper, [plaintiff] itself spent a large portion of time on a similar line of questioning. . . . [Plaintiff] had a chance to try its infringement case and lost. It cannot now get a do over."

Sep. 09, 2022	394	ORDER, upon consideration of Plaintiff Microchip Technology Incorporated's Motion For New Trial Pursuant To Rule 59 (D.I. 371), for the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum, it is ORDERED that the Motion is DENIED. Aptiv Services US, LLC's Motion For Judgment As A Matter Of Law Or Alternatively For A New Trial (D.I. 372), is DENIED AS MOOT. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 9/9/2022. (srs) (Entered: 09/09/2022)
Sep. 16, 2022	395	MOTION to Seal [Microchip Technology Incorporated's Unopposed Motion to Seal and Redact Limited Portions of the Trial Transcript] - filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1. Redacted Trial Transcript Vol. 3, # 2 Exhibit 2. Redacted Trial Transcript Vol. 5, # 3 Exhibit 3. Redacted Trial Transcript Vol. 6)(Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 09/16/2022)
Sep. 16, 2022	396	DECLARATION re 395 MOTION to Seal [Microchip Technology Incorporated's Unopposed Motion to Seal and Redact Limited Portions of the Trial Transcript] [Declaration of Jared Crop In Support of Motion to Seal and Redact Portions of the Trial Transcript] by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 09/16/2022)

	Oct. 14, 2022	397	ORDER granting 395 Motion to Seal. Signed by Judge Joshua D. Wolson on 10/14/2022. (srs) (Entered: 10/14/2022)	
	Oct. 25, 2022	398	BILL OF COSTS by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/25/2022)	
	Oct. 25, 2022	399	DECLARATION re 398 Bill of Costs Declaration of Eric C. Wiener by Aptiv Services US LLC. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1-4)(Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 10/25/2022)	
	Nov. 08, 2022	400	OBJECTIONS by Microchip Technology Incorporated to 398 Bill of Costs . (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 11/08/2022)	
	Nov. 08, 2022	401	DECLARATION re 400 Objections (Declaration of Brian C. Banner) by Microchip Technology Incorporated. (Russell, Andrew) (Entered: 11/08/2022)	
	Jan. 17, 2023	402	NOTICE of Change of Firm Affiliation by Aptiv Services US LLC (Rovner, Philip) (Entered: 01/17/2023)	
⊖	May. 25, 2023	403	Clerk's Taxation of Costs. Defendant's Bill of Costs 398 GRANTED IN PART/DENIED IN PART. Granted in amount of $1,603.75 against plaintiff, Microchip Technology Inc.. (dmp,) (Entered: 05/25/2023)	

Clerk's Order / Memo Re Costs — Denied in part granted in part

REMEDIES

Remedy	Against	In Favor Of	Amount
Costs	Microchip Technology Inc.	Aptiv Services US, LLC	$1,603