

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING CO. LTD.,

Petitioner

v.

ADVANCED INTEGRATED CIRCUIT PROCESS LLC,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2025-01302

Patent 8,884,373

**JOINT MOTION TERMINATE PROCEEDING
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317 AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72
AND 42.74**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72 and 42.74, Petitioner Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co. Ltd. and Patent Owner Advanced Integrated Circuit Process LLC (“the Parties”) jointly request termination of this *inter partes* review, Case No. IPR2025-01302, of U.S. Patent No. 8,884,373 (the “’373 patent”) without rendering a final written decision. The Board authorized the filing of this motion in an email to the parties on April 1, 2026.

Petitioner filed its petition on July 15, 2025. The Director ordered institution on January 9, 2026. Patent Owner has not yet filed its Patent Owner Response. There has not been a hearing in the case. Accordingly, the Board has not already decided the merits of the proceeding.

The Parties have settled their dispute with respect to the ’373 patent, including this proceeding and Patent Owner’s infringement claim asserting the ’373 patent in proceedings before the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas (Case No. 2:25-cv-00324). The settlement agreement has been made in writing and a true and correct copy of the settlement agreement is being filed as Exhibit 2028 concurrently with the present motion as business confidential information pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b). As directed by 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), a joint request to file the parties’ settlement agreement as business confidential information has been concurrently submitted herewith. The undersigned certify that there are no other collateral agreements or understandings, oral or written, between the parties made in

connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of the present proceeding, and that Exhibit 2028 represents a true and accurate copy of the agreement between the parties that resolves the present proceeding.

Public policy also favors termination because private parties should be encouraged to resolve private disputes without the involvement of courts and public agencies.

Good cause exists to terminate this proceeding. “The Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding.” Patent Office Trial Practice Guide (Nov. 2019); *see also* 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) (“An *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed”). Here, the parties respectfully submit that termination is appropriate because they have reached an agreement resolving the dispute involving the patent at issue in the above-captioned *inter partes* review, briefing has not been completed on the issues raised in the above-captioned *inter partes* review, and the Board has not issued a final written decision or decided the merits of the proceeding. Moreover, the parties have agreed to execute and file a joint voluntary dismissal of claims related to the ’373 patent in the district court proceedings.

For the foregoing reasons, the parties jointly and respectfully request termination of the present proceeding (Case No. IPR2025-01302).

Dated: April 2, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Russell A. Chorush /
Russell A. Chorush (Reg. No. 55,869)
Attorney for Patent Owner
Advanced Integrated Circuit Process,
LLC

/Lori A. Gordon/
Lori A. Gordon (Reg. No. 50,633)
Attorney for Petitioner
Taiwan Semiconductor
Manufacturing Co. Ltd.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), a copy of the foregoing Joint Motion to Terminate Proceeding Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72 and 42.74 was served to the following counsel of record for Petitioner addressed as follows:

Lead Counsel for Petitioner	Back-up Counsel for Petitioner
Lori A. Gordon (Reg. No. 50,633) Goodwin Procter LLP 1900 N. Street NW Washington, DC 20036 Phone: (202) 346-4000 Fax: (202) 346-4444 Gordon-PTAB@goodwinlaw.com	Theodoros Konstantakopoulos, Ph.D. (Reg. No. 74,155) Goodwin Procter LLP 620 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10018 Phone: (212) 813-8800 Fax: (212) 355-3333 TKonstantakopoulos@goodwinlaw.com Filippos Papadatos (Reg. No. 78,834) Goodwin Procter LLP 620 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10018 Phone: (212) 813-8800 Fax: (212) 355-3333 FPapadatos@goodwinlaw.com

Dated: April 2, 2026

By: /Russell A. Chorush /
Russell A. Chorush (Reg. No. 55,869)
Attorney for Patent Owner
Advanced Integrated Circuit Process,
LLC