

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MAXELL, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2025-01310 (Patent 12,160,681 B2)
IPR2025-01311 (Patent 11,812,091 B2)
IPR2025-01314 (Patent 8,180,198 B2)^{1,2}

Before JAMESON LEE, JEFFREY S. SMITH, KRISTINA M. KALAN,
TERRENCE W. McMILLIN, GARTH D. BAER, and
DONALD E. DAYBELL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

ORDER

Conditionally Granting Patent Owner's Motions for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Tariq Javed
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ The combined caption is for administrative convenience only and does not indicate that IPR2025-01310, IPR2025-01311, and IPR2025-01314 have been joined. Parties are not authorized to use this caption without express Board permission.

² Each listed judge is on a three-judge panel for one or more of the proceedings to which this Order applies.

IPR2025-01310 (Patent 12,160,681 B2)
IPR2025-01311 (Patent 11,812,091 B2)
IPR2025-01314 (Patent 8,180,198 B2)

On April 16, 2026, Patent Owner filed motions requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Tariq Javed in each of the above-identified proceedings (collectively “Motions”). Paper 16.³ Patent Owner also submitted declarations from Tariq Javed in support of the Motions (collectively “Declarations”). Ex. 2017.⁴ Patent Owner attests that Petitioner does not oppose the Motions. Paper 16, 1.

For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner’s Motions are *conditionally granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1); *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Javed has sufficient qualifications to represent Patent Owner in these proceedings, has demonstrated sufficient

³ For purposes of expediency, we cite to Papers filed in IPR2025-01310. Patent Owner filed similar Motions in IPR2025-01311 (Paper 19) and IPR2025-01314 (Paper 17).

⁴ We cite to Exhibits filed in IPR2025-01310. Patent Owner filed similar Declarations in IPR2025-01311 (Ex. 2018) and IPR2025-01314 (Ex. 2016).

IPR2025-01310 (Patent 12,160,681 B2)
IPR2025-01311 (Patent 11,812,091 B2)
IPR2025-01314 (Patent 8,180,198 B2)

litigation experience and legal familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. See Ex. 2017. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Javed. Mr. Javed will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1).

Upon review of the record before us, we note that Powers of Attorney in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b) have not been filed for Mr. Javed in these proceedings.⁵ Accordingly, Patent Owner's Motions are *conditionally granted*, effective upon Patent Owner filing updated Powers of Attorney for Mr. Javed.

Further, Patent Owner has not filed updated Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Javed as back-up counsel in each proceeding. Patent Owner must file updated Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Javed as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Tariq Javed are *conditionally granted*, effective upon Patent Owner filing an updated Power of Attorney identifying Mr. Javed in each of the above-identified proceedings, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b);

⁵ In each of the proceedings, Patent Owner has provided a Power of Attorney for "Practitioner(s) at Mayer Brown LLP associated with Customer Number 26565." See IPR2025-01310, Paper 5. Mr. Javed, however, is not associated with Customer Number 26565.

IPR2025-01310 (Patent 12,160,681 B2)
IPR2025-01311 (Patent 11,812,091 B2)
IPR2025-01314 (Patent 8,180,198 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner must file updated Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Javed as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3);

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Javed is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Javed be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide⁶ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Javed is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

⁶ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2025-01310 (Patent 12,160,681 B2)
IPR2025-01311 (Patent 11,812,091 B2)
IPR2025-01314 (Patent 8,180,198 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Blake Jackson
James Heintz
Matthew Middleton
DLA PIPER LLP
blake.jackson@dlapiper.com
jim.heintz@dlapiper.com
matthew.middleton@us.dlapiper.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Robert Pluta
Amanda Bonner
Saqib Siddiqui
Dongwook Kim
MAYER BROWN LLP
rpluta@mayerbrown.com
astreff@mayerbrown.com
ssiddiqui@mayerbrown.com
dkim@mayerbrown.com