IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

BISHOP DISPLAY TECH LLC, *Plaintiff*, v. BOE TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LTD., *Defendant.*	§ § § § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:25-CV-310-JRG (Lead Case)
BISHOP DISPLAY TECH LLC, *Plaintiff*, v. BOE TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LTD., *Defendant.*	§ § § § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:25-CV-309-JRG (Member Case)

ORDER

Before the Court is the Joint Motion to Consolidate (Dkt. No. 38 in Case No. 2:25-cv-310-JRG; Dkt. No. 39 in Case No. 2:25-cv-309-JRG) filed by Plaintiff Bishop Display Tech LLC and Defendant BOE Technology Group Co., Ltd. In the Motion, the parties ask the Court to consolidate the above-captioned cases because they "involve the same parties," "relate to the same area of technology," "involve overlapping accused products," and "share common issues of law and fact." (*Id.* at 2).

Having considered the Motion, and noting that it was filed jointly, the Court finds that it should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the above-captioned cases shall be **CONSOLIDATED** as shown by the above caption for all pretrial purposes. Case No. 2:25-cv-310-JRG shall be the Lead Case, and Case No. 2:25-cv-309-JRG shall be consolidated therein as a member case. The parties are instructed to file any and all future filings in the lead case. The individual cases shall remain active for trial.

The Clerk of Court is instructed to add the consolidated Defendant into the Lead Case. The Clerk of Court is further instructed that no action need be taken regarding Defendant's counsel as the same attorneys represent Defendant in both cases.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 27th day of August, 2025.

RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IPR2025-01331
BOE Technology v. Bishop Display Tech
Bishop Display's Exhibit 2003
Ex. 2003, Page 2