

MEMORANDUM

To: All PTAB Judges

From: John A. Squires 
Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Subject: Director Institution of AIA Trial Proceedings

Date: October 17, 2025

To improve efficiency, consistency, and adherence to the statutory requirements for institution of trial, effective October 20, 2025, the Director will determine whether to institute trial for *inter partes* review (“IPR”) and post-grant review (“PGR”) proceedings.¹ This process will maintain PTAB’s capacity to conduct IPR and PGR trials and promote consistent application of considerations for institution of trial proceedings before the PTAB. This approach to institution flows from the processes outlined in the March 26, 2025 memorandum entitled “Interim Processes for PTAB Workload Management” (“Interim Processes”),² under which the Director determines whether or not to deny a petition based on discretionary considerations.

Similar to the discretionary considerations process, the Director, in consultation with at least three PTAB judges, will determine whether to institute trials in all IPR and PGR proceedings. Upon review of discretionary considerations, the merits, and non-discretionary

¹ Congress provided that the Director determines whether to institute trials under the America Invents Act. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) (“The Director may not authorize an inter partes review to be instituted unless the Director determines that the information presented in the petition filed under section 311 and any response filed under section 313 shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.”); *id.* § 314(b) (“The Director shall determine whether to institute an inter partes review under this chapter pursuant to a petition . . .”); *id.* § 314(c) (“The Director shall notify the petitioner and patent owner, in writing, of the Director’s determination under subsection (a), and shall make such notice available to the public as soon as is practicable.”); *see also id.* § 324(a), (c), (d) (similar).

² Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/InterimProcesses-PTABWorkloadMgmt-20250326.pdf>.

considerations, if the Director determines that institution is appropriate on at least one ground for one challenged claim, the Director will issue a summary notice to the parties granting institution. *See* 35 U.S.C. §§ 314(c), 324(d). Similarly, if the Director determines that institution is not appropriate, whether based on discretionary considerations, the merits, or other non-discretionary considerations, the Director will issue a summary notice denying institution. In proceedings involving novel or important factual or legal issues, the Director may issue a decision on institution addressing those issues. Additionally, where the Director determines detailed treatment of issues raised in a petition is appropriate (e.g., complex claim construction issues, priority analysis, or real party in interest determination), the Director may refer the decision on institution to one or more members of the PTAB. The Office has issued more than 580 decisions under the Interim Processes, providing substantial guidance on how the Director will handle discretionary considerations. Any instituted IPR or PGR proceeding will be referred to a three-member panel of the PTAB to conduct the trial and that panel will be assigned according to PTAB Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 1 (Rev. 16).³

This Memorandum supersedes the Interim Processes to the extent that (1) routine decisions on institution will be limited to summary notices, and (2) merit-based decisions on whether to institute petitions will not be referred to a three-member panel of the PTAB. The process for briefing discretionary considerations, as outlined in the Interim Processes and the Discretionary Decisions webpage,⁴ and the process for briefing the merits and non-statutory considerations will remain the same. Further, all petitions referred to the PTAB for consideration of the merits and non-discretionary considerations under the Interim Processes prior to October 20, 2025 will remain with a three-member panel.

³ Available at https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/sop1_r16_final.pdf.

⁴ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/patents/ptab/interim-director-discretionary-process>.