IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

Alexandria Division

DIALECT, LLC,
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil No. 1:23cv581 (DJN)

AMAZON.COM INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.

ORDER
(Construing Claim Terms)

For the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, the Court hereby FINDS and ORDERS as follows:

1. The following constructions shall govern:

Term	Patent(s)	Claim(s)	Construction
context	'720 Patent	1, 31	"the subject matter area to which a particular user input is directed and which is used to determine the meaning of the user input"
	'006 Patent	1, 2, 4, 5, 10	
	'468 Patent	19, 28	
	'039 Patent	17, 18	
context stack	'006 Patent	2	"a data structure that stores or references contexts in a manner that can be ordered"
	'468 Patent	19, 28	
	'039 Patent	17	
	'957 Patent	1, 3, 4, 7	
entries in a context stack	'468 Patent	19	"elements of a context stack that store or reference contexts"
context entries	'957 Patent	1, 3, 4, 5, 7	"elements of a context stack that store or reference contexts"

MICROSOFT CORP.
EXHIBIT 1012

grammar	'720 Patent	1, 31	"a set of rules governing how inputs and requests to a domain agent should be structured"
	'006 Patent	1, 5, 10	
context description grammar	'039 Patent	13	"a data structure containing entries constituting or referencing sets of rules, wherein each of those sets describes the structure of natural language in a particular context"
domain agent	'720 Patent	1, 31	"executables associated with a specific domain that receive, process and respond to user questions, queries and commands"
	'006 Patent	1, 2, 5, 10	
	'468 Patent	19, 30, 32	"software with domain-specific behavior and information"
	'039 Patent	13, 14	
procedures sensitive to the determined context	'006 Patent	5	"procedures that differ based on which context has been determined"
parser	'720 Patent	1, 35	"software that analyzes a string of words"
	'006 Patent	1, 5, 10	

2. Amazon has not carried its burden to establish that Claim 5 of the '006 Patent fails for indefiniteness pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2 and 35 U.S.C. § 282(b)(3)(A).

3. Amazon has not demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 governs the construction of the "parser" limitations recited in Claims 1 and 35 of the '720 Patent and Claims 1, 5 and 10 of the '006 Patent.

4. The following standard appropriately represents the level of knowledge and experience possessed by a person of ordinary skill in the art:

> At least a bachelor's degree (or the equivalent) in computer science, computer engineering, electrical engineering (or a substantially similar discipline), as well as at least two years of experience in the field of automatic speech recognition or

natural language understanding (or equivalent educational or research experience).

Let the Clerk file a copy of this Order electronically and notify all counsel of record.

It is so ORDERED.

/s/
David J. Novak
United States District Judge

Alexandria, Virginia
Date: April 29, 2024