

Case No. 5:24-cv-505-D-BM

Defendant.

Whereas, on September 25, 2025, the parties submitted a Joint Rule 26(f) report wherein the parties proposed certain deadlines (Dkt. 41);

Whereas, the Court thereafter entered a Scheduling Order (Dkt. 44);

The parties agree and jointly request that based on Defendant Competitive Access Systems, Inc.'s concurrently-filed amended answer and counterclaim, the remaining deadlines in the case schedule be modified as follows:

	<u>Current deadline</u>	<u>Proposed deadline</u>
Deadline to amend pleadings	December 16, 2025	December 16, 2025
Deadline for Red Hat to respond to or otherwise answer CAS's Amended Answer and Counterclaim		December 1, 2025
Deadline for CAS to file opposition to any motion to dismiss		December 22, 2025
Deadline for Red Hat to file reply in support of any motion to dismiss		January 12, 2025
Disclosure of Preliminary Invalidity Contentions	December 8, 2025	January 20, 2026
Exchange of Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction	January 9, 2026	February 20, 2026
Exchange of Preliminary Claim Construction and Extrinsic Evidence	January 26, 2026	March 9, 2026
Deadline to File Joint Claim Construction Statement	February 13, 2026	March 27, 2026
Completion of Claim Construction Discovery	March 16, 2026	April 27, 2026
Deadline for Parties to Simultaneously File Opening Claim Construction Briefs	March 30, 2026	May 18, 2026

Deadline for parties to simultaneously file Responsive Claim Construction Briefs	April 20, 2026	June 8, 2026
--	----------------	--------------

DATED: October 31, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Yimeng Dou

Todd M. Friedman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4800
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900
tfriedman@kirkland.com
Local Civil Rule 83.1(e) Attorney for Plaintiff

Brandon H. Brown (admitted *pro hac vice*)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 439-1400
Facsimile: (415) 439-1500
bhbrown@kirkland.com
Local Civil Rule 83.1(e) Attorney for Plaintiff

Yimeng Dou (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Yvonne Beeler (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
Yungmoon Chang (admitted *pro hac vice*)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
695 Town Center Dr, Suite 1700
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Telephone: (714) 982-8822
yimeng.dou@kirkland.com
yvonne.beeler@kirkland.com
yungmoon.chang@kirkland.com
Local Civil Rule 83.1(e) Attorney for Plaintiff

Richard T. Matthews (N.C. #32817)
WILLIAMS MULLEN
P.O. Drawer 1000
Raleigh, NC 27602-1000
Telephone: +1 919 981 4000
Facsimile: +1 919 981 4300

rmatthews@williamsmullen.com
Local Civil Rule 83.1(d) Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Red Hat Technology Limited

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing **CONSENT MOTION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER** with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF, which will give notice of such filing to all attorneys currently of record in the above-captioned case.

WARD AND SMITH, P.A.
Gavin B. Parsons
NC State Bar No. 28013
Post Office Box 33009
Raleigh, NC 27636-3009
Telephone: 919.277.9127
Facsimile: 919.277.9177
Email: gparsons@wardandsmith.com

STINSON LLP
B. Scott Eidson, #57757MO
Timothy D. Krieger, #57832MO
Julie C. Scheipeter, #65978MO
David S. Kim, #67274MO
Zachary T. Buchheit, #71816MO
7700 Forsyth Blvd., Suite 1100
St. Louis, MO 63105
Tel: (314) 863-0800
Fax: (314) 259-3924
Email: scott.eidson@stinson.com
Email: tim.krieger@stinson.com
Email: julie.scheipeter@stinson.com
Email: david.kim@stinson.com
Email: zachary.buchheit@stinson.com

Dated: October 31, 2025

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

By: /s/ Yimeng Dou

Yimeng Dou (admitted *pro hac vice*)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
695 Town Center Dr, Suite 1700
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Telephone: +1 714-982-8822
Facsimile: +1 714-982-8844
yimeng.dou@kirkland.com
Local Civil Rule 83.1(e) Attorney for
Plaintiff

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
WESTERN DIVISION**

Case No. 5:24-cv-505-D-BM

RED HAT, INC.,	)	
	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	[PROPOSED] AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER
	)	
COMPETITIVE ACCESS SYSTEMS, INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF RED HAT, INC.’S
CONSENT MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER**

This matter having come before the Court on Plaintiff Red Hat, Inc.’s (“Plaintiff”) Consent Motion to Modify Scheduling Order (“Motion”), this Court having considered the Motion, and appearing based on the aforementioned that good cause exists for granting the Motion, the Court hereby orders the Scheduling Order (Dkt. 44) be amended as jointly requested in the Motion.

SO ORDERED, this ___ day of _____, 2025.

The Honorable James C. Dever, III
United States District Judge