

Docket Entries

35 Results

VIEW IN BROWSER

Case Numbers: EDTX-2-25-cv-00558

	Date ▲	#	Description	
⊖	May 19, 2025	1	COMPLAINT against Micron Semiconductor Products Inc, Micron Technology Texas LLC, Micron Technology, Inc. (Filing fee \$ 405 receipt number ATXEDC-10848567.), filed by Netlist Inc. (Attachments: # 1 Civil Cover Sheet)(Baxter, Samuel) (Entered: 05/19/2025)	
			Complaint -- Infringement	N/A
	May 20, 2025		Case assigned to District Judge Rodney Gilstrap. (NKL) (Entered: 05/20/2025)	
	May 20, 2025		In accordance with the provisions of 28 USC Section 636(c), you are hereby notified that a U.S. Magistrate Judge of this district court is available to conduct any or all proceedings in this case including a jury or non-jury trial and to order the entry of a final judgment. The form Consent to Proceed Before Magistrate Judge is available on our website. All signed consent forms, excluding pro se parties, should be filed electronically using the event Notice Regarding Consent to Proceed Before Magistrate Judge. (NKL) (Entered: 05/20/2025)	
	May 23, 2025	2	SUMMONS Issued as to Micron Semiconductor Products Inc, Micron Technology Texas LLC, Micron Technology, Inc.. (Attachments: # 1 Additional Attachment(s), # 2 Additional Attachment(s))(NKL) (Entered: 05/23/2025)	
	May 28, 2025	3	SUMMONS Returned Executed by Netlist Inc. Micron Semiconductor Products Inc served on 5/27/2025. (Baxter, Samuel) (Entered: 05/28/2025)	
	May 28, 2025	4	SUMMONS Returned Executed by Netlist Inc. Micron Technology Texas LLC served on 5/27/2025. (Baxter, Samuel) (Entered: 05/28/2025)	
	May 28, 2025	5	SUMMONS Returned Executed by Netlist Inc. Micron Technology, Inc. served on 5/27/2025. (Baxter, Samuel) (Entered: 05/28/2025)	
	Jun. 11, 2025	6	Notice of Filing of Patent/Trademark Form (AO 120). AO 120 emailed to the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. (Truelove, Jennifer) (Entered: 06/11/2025)	
⊖	Jun. 11, 2025	7	Fed. R. Civ. P. 7.1(a)(1) Disclosure Statement filed by Netlist Inc (Baxter, Samuel) (Entered: 06/11/2025)	
			Corporate Disclosure Statement	N/A
	Jun. 17, 2025	8	NOTICE of Attorney Appearance by Sarah Kate Mullins on behalf of All Defendants (Mullins, Sarah) (Entered: 06/17/2025)	
	Jun. 17, 2025	9	NOTICE of Attorney Appearance by Jared B Bobrow on behalf of Micron Semiconductor Products Inc, Micron Technology Texas LLC, Micron Technology, Inc. (Bobrow, Jared) (Entered: 06/17/2025)	
	Jun. 17, 2025	10	NOTICE of Attorney Appearance by Jeremy Jason Lang on behalf of Micron Semiconductor Products Inc, Micron Technology Texas LLC, Micron Technology, Inc. (Lang, Jeremy) (Entered: 06/17/2025)	
	Jun. 17, 2025	11	MOTION to Dismiss Complaint by Micron Semiconductor Products Inc, Micron Technology Texas LLC, Micron Technology, Inc.. (Attachments: # 1 Declaration of John Becker, # 2 Exhibit 1, # 3 Exhibit 2, # 4 Exhibit 3, # 5 Exhibit 4, # 6 Proposed Order) (Thompson, Gregory) (Entered: 06/17/2025)	
	Jun. 17, 2025	12	NOTICE of Defendants' Request for Judicial Notice by Micron Semiconductor Products Inc, Micron Technology Texas LLC, Micron Technology, Inc. re 11 MOTION to Dismiss Complaint (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit 5, # 6 Exhibit 6, # 7 Exhibit 7, # 8 Exhibit 8)(Thompson, Gregory) (Entered: 06/17/2025)	
⊖	Jun. 17, 2025	13	Fed. R. Civ. P. 7.1(a)(1) Disclosure Statement filed by Micron Semiconductor Products Inc, Micron Technology Texas LLC, Micron Technology, Inc. identifying Corporate Parent Micron Technology, Inc. for Micron Semiconductor Products Inc. (Thompson, Gregory) (Entered: 06/17/2025)	
			Corporate Disclosure Statement	N/A
	Jun. 20, 2025	14	NOTICE of Readiness for Scheduling Conference by Netlist Inc (Baxter, Samuel) (Entered: 06/20/2025)	
	Jun. 23, 2025		***FILED IN ERROR. Document #15 has been not approved by the court. All pro hac applications must be approved prior to being filed on the docket.. PLEASE IGNORE.*** (KLC) (Entered: 06/23/2025)	
	Jun. 23, 2025		***FILED IN ERROR. Document # 16 has been not approved by the court. All pro hac applications must be approved prior to being filed on the docket.. PLEASE IGNORE.*** (KLC) (Entered: 06/23/2025)	

Jun. 23, 2025	15	FILED IN ERROR. PRO HAC APPLICATION HAS NOT BEEN APPROVED BY THE COURT NOTICE of Attorney Appearance - Pro Hac Vice by Jason G Sheasby on behalf of Netlist Inc. Filing fee \$ 100, receipt number ATXEDC-10915471. (Sheasby, Jason) Modified on 6/23/2025 (KLC). (Entered: 06/23/2025)	
Jun. 23, 2025	16	FILED IN ERROR. Document #16 has been not approved by the court. All pro hac applications must be approved prior to being filed on the docket NOTICE of Attorney Appearance - Pro Hac Vice by Jennifer Leigh Truelove on behalf of Netlist Inc. Filing fee \$ 100, receipt number ATXEDC-10915508. (Truelove, Jennifer) Modified on 6/23/2025 (KLC). (Entered: 06/23/2025)	
Jun. 23, 2025	17	NOTICE of Attorney Appearance - Pro Hac Vice by Jason G Sheasby on behalf of Netlist Inc. Filing fee \$ 100, receipt number ATXEDC-10916928. (Sheasby, Jason) (Entered: 06/23/2025)	
Jun. 23, 2025	18	NOTICE of Attorney Appearance - Pro Hac Vice by Andrew J. Strabone on behalf of Netlist Inc. Filing fee \$ 100, receipt number ATXEDC-10916942. (Strabone, Andrew) (Entered: 06/23/2025)	
Jun. 23, 2025	19	NOTICE of Attorney Appearance - Pro Hac Vice by Michael William Tezyan on behalf of Netlist Inc. Filing fee \$ 100, receipt number ATXEDC-10916940. (Tezyan, Michael) (Entered: 06/23/2025)	
Jun. 23, 2025	20	NOTICE of Attorney Appearance - Pro Hac Vice by Andrew Henderson on behalf of Netlist Inc. Filing fee \$ 100, receipt number ATXEDC-10916954. (Henderson, Andrew) (Entered: 06/23/2025)	
Jun. 24, 2025	21	NOTICE of Attorney Appearance by Blair A Silver on behalf of Netlist Inc (Silver, Blair) (Entered: 06/24/2025)	
Jun. 27, 2025	22	ORDER- The above-captioned cases are hereby ORDERED to be CONSOLIDATED for all pretrial issues with the LEAD CASE, Case No. 2:25-cv-00557. All parties are instructed to file any future filings in the LEAD CASE. Signed by District Judge Rodney Gilstrap on 06/26/2025. (mdj) (Entered: 06/27/2025)	
Sua Sponte - Motion to Consolidate		Granted	
Jul. 08, 2025		FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT against SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., Avnet, Inc., filed by Netlist Inc. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit 5, # 6 Exhibit 6, # 7 Exhibit 7, # 8 Exhibit 8, # 9 Exhibit 9, # 10 Exhibit 10, # 11 Exhibit 11, # 12 Exhibit 12, # 13 Exhibit 13)(Sheasby, Jason) (Entered: 07/08/2025) [This docket entry was only filed in the lead case.]	
Amended Complaint		N/A	
Jul. 08, 2025		FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT against Avnet, Inc., Micron Semiconductor Products Inc, Micron Technology Texas LLC, Micron Technology, Inc., filed by Netlist Inc. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit 5, # 6 Exhibit 6, # 7 Exhibit 7, # 8 Exhibit 8, # 9 Exhibit 9, # 10 Exhibit 10, # 11 Exhibit 11, # 12 Exhibit 12, # 13 Exhibit 13, # 14 Exhibit 14, # 15 Exhibit 15)(Sheasby, Jason) (Entered: 07/08/2025) [This docket entry was only filed in the lead case.]	
Amended Complaint		N/A	
Sep. 15, 2025	23	FILED IN ERROR MOTION to Dismiss First Amended Complaint by Avnet, Inc.. (Attachments: # 1 Declaration of Steve Foley, # 2 Exhibit A-G, # 3 Declaration of Sarah K. Mullins, # 4 Exhibit 1, # 5 Exhibit 2, # 6 Declaration of Mark Wysolmierski, # 7 Proposed Order)(Thompson, Gregory) Modified on 9/16/2025 (NKL). (Entered: 09/15/2025)	
Sep. 15, 2025	24	FILED IN ERROR SEALED ADDITIONAL ATTACHMENTS to Declaration of Steve Foley in Support of Avnet's Partial Motion to Dismiss Netlist's Complaint: 23 MOTION to Dismiss First Amended Complaint. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Exhibit C, # 4 Exhibit D, # 5 Exhibit E, # 6 Exhibit F, # 7 Exhibit G)(Thompson, Gregory) Modified on 9/16/2025 (NKL). (Entered: 09/15/2025)	
Sep. 15, 2025	25	FILED IN ERROR Fed. R. Civ. P. 7.1(a)(1) Disclosure Statement filed by Avnet, Inc. (Thompson, Gregory) Modified on 9/16/2025 (NKL). (Entered: 09/15/2025)	
Corporate Disclosure Statement		N/A	
Sep. 16, 2025		***FILED IN ERROR - This case is consolidated, please file in the lead case. Document # 23, 24, 25,. PLEASE IGNORE.*** (NKL) (Entered: 09/16/2025)	
Mar. 06, 2026		**Case transferred to the District of Delaware** (mdj) (Entered: 03/06/2026)	

Mar. 06, 2026 26 ORDER Granting 28 Motion in Lead Case 2:25cv557 to Dismiss.. Signed by District Judge Rodney Gilstrap on 03/06/2026. (mdj) (Entered: 03/06/2026)



Motion to Transfer for Improper Venue (28 USC § 1406(a))

Granted

Venue
 ↳ Venue Rules for Patent Infringement (TC Heartland)
 ↳ Regular and Established Place of Business

The court granted one defendant's motion to transfer plaintiff's patent infringement action and rejected plaintiff's argument that the insufficient size of defendant's facility in a neighboring district in the state meant that defendant's hybrid and remote employees could establish venue. "Plaintiff relies on deposition testimony from [Defendant's executive], who stated that as a 'rough estimate,' there were 'roughly 100' cubicles available in [Defendant's] new Richardson Office, and [a second executive], who stated that during the 2024 move-out of the previous Allen facility, there was only space for 'approximately 110 employees,' to show that there was not space for [Defendant's] 176 employees at the Richardson Office (in the [neighboring district]) many months later, in May 2025. . . . Such is insufficient to meet [Plaintiff's] burden to establish that [Defendant] has 'a business model whereby many employees' homes are used as its place of business' under *Cray*."

Motion to Transfer for Improper Venue (28 USC § 1406(a))

Granted

Venue
 ↳ Venue Rules for Patent Infringement (TC Heartland)
 ↳ Regular and Established Place of Business

The court granted one defendant's motion to transfer plaintiff's patent infringement action and concluded that defendant's hybrid and remote employees could not establish venue. "[Plaintiff] asserts that because [Defendant's] remote and hybrid employees are given company-paid laptops, office equipment (keyboard, mouse, headset, and monitor), phones with data plans, and internet reimbursement, [Defendant] has established a regular and established place of business by ratifying these employees' homes as places of its business. . . . Plaintiff offers no evidence that the [Defendant has] any property interest in their employees' homes, played a part in selecting their locations, required the employees to store inventory at their homes, condition employment on maintaining a home specifically in this District, or advertising employees' homes as their places of business, as the Federal Circuit considered in *Cray*."

Motion to Transfer for Improper Venue (28 USC § 1406(a))

Granted

Venue
 ↳ Venue Rules for Patent Infringement (TC Heartland)
 ↳ Regular and Established Place of Business

The court granted one defendant's motion to transfer plaintiff's patent infringement action and concluded that defendant's furnished but unoccupied office could not establish venue. "Plaintiff asserts that '[Defendant] could have just unlocked the door' and resumed operations there, despite other steps [Defendant] took to move out (such as 'removing signage and networking hardware, updating the website, and disabling badge readers'). . . . Plaintiff does not cite (and the Court is not aware of) any cases with similar facts wherein a court held that venue was established over a defendant due to it still holding a lease over a furnished but unoccupied workspace, despite taking concrete steps to disavow the location and ceasing the conduct of business there. . . . Plaintiff does not dispute that [Defendant] has not conducted business from the Bethany Office since July 2024, in advance of this suit being filed; it merely alleges that [Defendant] *could* have come back and chosen to work there ten months later when suit was filed if it had wanted to."

Motion to Transfer for Improper Venue (28 USC § 1406(a))

Granted

Venue
 ↳ Venue Rules for Patent Infringement (TC Heartland)
 ↳ Regular and Established Place of Business

The court granted one defendant's motion to transfer plaintiff's patent infringement action and concluded that defendant's relationships with a distributor and a local sales representative could not establish venue. "To assert that '[Defendant] stores its marketing and technical materials and product samples' at Avnet and Ion's in-District offices, Plaintiff relies on a the 'Master Distributor Agreement' [Defendant] responds that '[Plaintiff] cites no evidence that there are any such materials actually stored at Avnet's in-District office,' and further that 'the record shows that [Defendant's] local field applications engineer shares only electronic files with Ion and Avnet.' . . . [Defendant] asserts that 'Avnet and Ion are not, in fact, required to refer to themselves as "authorized" by [Defendant], but are permitted to do so' On these facts, the Court finds that Plaintiff has not met its burden under the third *Cray* factor to demonstrate that either Avnet or Ion's in-District office is a place of [Defendant]."

Motion to Transfer for Improper Venue (28 USC § 1406(a))

Granted

District Court Procedural Issues
 ↳ Venue
 ↳ Transfer in Lieu of Dismissal

After finding that venue was inappropriate in the Eastern District of Texas, the court transferred to the District of Delaware rather than dismiss. "[U]nder these facts, venue is not proper within this District, the Court is bound to consider the interests of justice. Having done so, the Court is persuaded that the interests of justice dictate that rather than dismissal, this action should be transferred to the District of Delaware, which is familiar with these parties, their counsel, and their claims. The District of Delaware is a district where this action could have properly been brought initially."

Mar. 09, 2026

Case transferred from Texas Eastern has been opened in District of Delaware as case 1:26-cv-00246, filed 03/09/2026. (mdj) (Entered: 03/09/2026)