IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

ADVANCED MEMORY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-cv-01078-JRG-RSP
v.	§	
	§	
SK HYNIX INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review. **Dkt. No. 78.** In the Motion, Defendant requests a stay of this case pending the PTAB's institution decisions on IPRs covering the asserted patents. *See generally id.* Plaintiff opposes. *Id.* at certificate page.

The "universal practice" in this District, as well as the practice of most district courts, is to deny a motion for stay when the Patent Trial and Appeal Board has not yet acted on a petition for inter partes review. *Trover Grp., Inc. v. Dedicated Micros* USA, No. 2:13-CV-1047-WCB, 2015 WL 1069179, at *6 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2015*)* (collecting cases). Here, no IPRs have yet been instituted. Dkt. No. 78 at 3.

Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Defendants' Motion as premature.

SIGNED this 3rd day of September, 2025.

ROY S. PAYNE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE