

REQUEST FOR REFUND

Reference # (Patent #, Application #, Trademark Serial #, Registration #, etc.)		Title of Invention or Mark Information	
IPR2025-01533		ACTIVE PEN WITH BIDIRECTIONAL COMMUNICATION	
Attorney Docket # (if applicable)	Payment Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Refund Request Amount	
P331098.US.01	09/09/2025	\$ 28,125.00	
Refund Option (Select one)			
☒ If approved, issue the refund to the account associated with the original payment.			
☐ If approved, and the original payment was a check, issue the refund to deposit account # _____.			
☐ If approved, and the original payment was a check, issue the refund as a U.S. Treasury check.			
Reason for Refund Request Refund requests must generally be filed within 2 years of payment date (37 CFR 1.26 and 2.209)			
☐ Duplicate Payment	☐ No Fee Due	☐ Office Error	☒ Other: Discretionary Denial
☐ Small Entity Later Established* *(Must be filed within 3 months of payment date, 37 CFR 1.28. There is no refund when micro entity is later established.)			
Rationale (Supporting documentation may be submitted with this form)			
IPR Petition was denied due to a Discretionary Denial decision.			

Requester's Information

Company or Firm Name (if applicable)		
Dorsey & Whitney LLP		
Address Line 1	City	State/Region
111 South Main Street, Suite 2100	Salt Lake City	UT
Address Line 2 (if applicable)	Country	Zip/Postal Code
	USA	84111-2176
Email Address (You will receive an acknowledgment of receipt only if you provide a valid email address)		Phone Number
miller.mark@dorsey.com		18019334068
Requester's Name		Registration Number (if applicable)
Mark Miller		44,944
Signature		Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
/Mark Miller/		02/02/2026

Submit online via Patent Center (Patents customers who are registered users only): <https://patentcenter.uspto.gov>, or

Fax to: 571-273-6500, or

Mail to: Mail Stop 16, Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE
FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NOTICE OF DECISIONS ON INSTITUTION

Before JOHN A. SQUIRES, *Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual
Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.*

NOTICE

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), after review of discretionary
considerations, institution of *inter partes* review is denied in the following
proceedings:

IPR2025-01399	IPR2025-01533	IPR2025-01583
IPR2025-01400	IPR2025-01537	IPR2025-01584
IPR2025-01483	IPR2025-01538	IPR2025-01585
IPR2025-01526	IPR2025-01556	

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) and § 324(a), after review of discretionary considerations, the following proceedings will be reviewed for merits and non-discretionary considerations:

IPR2025-01482 ¹	IPR2025-01529	IPR2025-01546
IPR2025-01512	IPR2025-01530	IPR2025-01562
IPR2025-01524	IPR2025-01531	PGR2025-00085

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), after review of the merits, the petitioner has failed to show a reasonable likelihood of prevailing with respect to at least one of the claims challenged in the petition. Accordingly, institution of *inter partes* review is denied in the following proceedings:

IPR2025-01185	IPR2025-01238	IPR2025-01355
IPR2025-01237	IPR2025-01353	

¹ Patent Owner's arguments regarding real parties-in-interest have been considered, but were not found to be persuasive.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), after review of the merits, the petitioner has shown a reasonable likelihood of prevailing with respect to at least one of the claims challenged in the petition, and no other non-discretionary considerations warrant denial of institution. Accordingly, institution of *inter partes* review is granted in the following proceedings:

IPR2025-01169 ²	IPR2025-01245 ⁴	IPR2025-01342
IPR2025-01180	IPR2025-01246 ⁵	IPR2025-01383
IPR2025-01217 ³	IPR2025-01328	

² This proceeding is stayed until further notice in view of the pending Director Review of the institution decision in IPR2025-00145.

³ This proceeding is stayed until further notice in view of the pending Director Review of the institution decision in IPR2025-00145.

⁴ Patent Owner's arguments regarding real parties-in-interest have been considered, but were not found to be persuasive.

⁵ Patent Owner's arguments regarding real parties-in-interest have been considered, but were not found to be persuasive.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, including exhibits thereto, was served via email to Patent Owner by serving the correspondence email addresses of record as follows:

Wayne M. Helge (Reg. No. 56,905)
whelge@bdiplaw.com

James T. Wilson (Reg. No. 41,439)
jwilson@bdiplaw.com

Richard C. Lin (Reg. No. 56,682)
rlin@bdiplaw.com

erobinson@bdiplaw.com

BDIP-Wacom_Maxeye@bdiplaw.com

Dated: February 2, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/Emma L. Hutton/
Emma L. Hutton
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP