

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN INTEGRATED CIRCUITS,
ELECTRONIC DEVICES CONTAINING
THE SAME, AND COMPONENTS
THEREOF**

Inv. No. 337-TA-1450

ORDER NO. 15: AMENDING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

(December 1, 2025)

Pursuant to Order No. 13 (Nov. 19, 2025), Onesta IP LLC (“Onesta” or “Complainant”), Respondents NVIDIA Corporation (“NVIDIA”), Qualcomm Incorporated (“Qualcomm”), OnePlus Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. (“OnePlus”), Nothing Technology Limited (“Nothing”), and the Commission Investigative Staff (“Staff”) (collectively, the “Parties”) submitted a Joint Proposal for Amended Procedural Schedule (“Joint Proposal”; EDIS Doc. ID 864772).

Prior to Order No. 13, the Parties had proposed amendments to the procedural schedule and proposed extending certain deadlines, including the target date, by approximately twelve weeks. *See* Order No. 13 at 1. The Parties explain the length of their previously requested extensions and state that “the shutdown prevented significant fact discovery from proceeding.” Joint Proposal at 1-2. While the recent approximate six-week lapse in appropriations may have caused certain disruptions to the procedural schedule, the undersigned finds that scheduling the evidentiary hearing for the week of May 4-8, 2026 is appropriate under the circumstances.

The Parties' Joint Proposal presents a dispute on the deadline for attendance at a one-day mediation session. *See* Joint Proposal at 2. Onesta proposes a deadline of January 16, 2026 and states that it "is consistent with the mediation deadline contained in the original Procedural Schedule when compared to other relative deadlines." *Id.* Respondents propose a deadline of February 6, 2026, after the close of fact discovery, stating that "will allow the parties to have the benefit of the complete factual record." *Id.* In consideration of the parties' positions, the mediation deadline shall be set for February 6, 2026. The undersigned agrees with Respondents that, under the circumstances here, it would be beneficial to set that deadline after the close of fact discovery.¹

The Parties' agreed-upon deadlines generally comply with the framework set forth in Ground Rule 2. However, the parties' post-hearing briefing schedule has been somewhat modified.

Accordingly, the investigation shall proceed with the deadlines set forth below:

Event	Date
Rebuttal <i>Markman</i> Briefs	Wednesday, December 3, 2025
File Joint Technology Stipulation	Friday, December 5, 2025
Technology Tutorial and <i>Markman</i> Hearing	Wednesday, December 10, 2025
File updated joint proposed claim construction chart	Tuesday, December 16, 2025
File notice of prior art	Wednesday, January 21, 2026

¹ While Onesta states that its proposed deadline is consistent with the original Procedural Schedule, that was a deadline agreed upon by the parties, which is not the case here. *See* Order No. 7 at 3 (July 14, 2025).

Event	Date
Cut-off date for supplements to contention interrogatories on issues for which the responding party bears the burden of proof, and on public interest issues	Wednesday, January 21, 2026
File tentative list of witnesses a party will call to testify at the hearing, with an identification of each witness' relationship to the party	Friday, January 23, 2026
Cut-off date for supplements to contention interrogatories on issues for which the responding party does not bear the burden of proof	Wednesday, February 4, 2026
Fact discovery cutoff and completion	Wednesday, February 4, 2026
Attendance at one-day mediation session	Friday, February 6, 2026
Submission of joint report on mediation	Within five (5) business days of mediation session
Deadline for motions to compel fact discovery	Friday, February 13, 2026
Exchange of initial expert reports (identify tests/surveys/data)	Wednesday, February 18, 2026
Deadline to file requests for remote witness testimony	Friday, February 27, 2026
Deadline for filing summary determination motions	Thursday, March 5, 2026
Deadline to file responses to requests for remote witness testimony	Friday, March 6, 2026
Exchange of rebuttal expert reports (identify tests/surveys/data)	Monday, March 9, 2026
Expert discovery cutoff and completion	Monday, March 23, 2026
Exchange of exhibit lists among the parties	Wednesday, March 25, 2026
Deadline for motions to compel expert discovery	Wednesday, March 25, 2026
Exchange proposed direct exhibits, with physical exhibits available – Private Parties	Tuesday, March 31, 2026
File pre-hearing statements and briefs – Private Parties	Friday, April 3, 2026

Event	Date
File requests for receipt of evidence without a sponsoring witness	Friday, April 3, 2026
Exchange proposed direct exhibits, with physical exhibits available – Staff	Monday, April 6, 2026
Deadline to file motions <i>in limine</i>	Monday, April 13, 2026
Deadline to file high priority objections statement	Monday, April 13, 2026
File pre-hearing statement and brief – Staff	Tuesday, April 14, 2026
Exchange proposed rebuttal exhibits, with physical exhibits available – all parties	Wednesday, April 15, 2026
File responses to requests for receipt of evidence without a sponsoring witness	Wednesday, April 15, 2026
File responses to motions <i>in limine</i>	Monday, April 20, 2026
File responses to high priority objections statement	Monday, April 20, 2026
Submit proposed exhibits to Administrative Law Judge	Wednesday, April 22, 2026
Pre-hearing conference	Friday, May 1, 2026
Hearing	Monday, May 4, 2026 – Friday, May 8, 2026²
File initial post-hearing briefs and exhibit lists and submit final exhibits	Thursday, May 28, 2026
File reply post-hearing briefs	Friday, June 12, 2026

² On November 18, 2025, NVIDIA filed a Motion for Separate Hearings, or in the Alternative, an Extended Hearing (EDIS Doc. ID 864200). *See* EDIS Doc. ID 864200; *see also* Joint Proposal, Attachment A at 4 n.1. In addition, Qualcomm indicates that it believes a 7-day evidentiary hearing is appropriate in view of the number of asserted claims and public interest issues. *See* EDIS Doc. ID 864119, Attachment A at 4 n.1. At this time, the evidentiary hearing will be scheduled for five days. The undersigned will consider NVIDIA’s motion upon completion of all briefing. If Qualcomm independently seeks additional hearing time, it should file a formal motion which should include, with as much specificity as possible, the anticipated number of witnesses on public interest and length of testimony, as well as the parties’ efforts to date to meet and confer on reducing the number of disputed claims.

Event	Date
Initial Determination	Thursday, July 30, 2026
Target date for completion of investigation	Monday, November 30, 2026 ³

SO ORDERED.


 Monica Bhattacharyya
 Administrative Law Judge

³ The undersigned recognizes that some extension of the target date may be needed and anticipates making that determination prior to the evidentiary hearing based *inter alia* on the scope of the investigation and the status of the undersigned's other investigations.