**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**Washington, D.C.**

| **In the Matter of** | |
|---|---|
| **CERTAIN INTEGRATED CIRCUITS, ELECTRONIC DEVICES CONTAINING THE SAME, AND COMPONENTS THEREOF** | **Inv. No. 337-TA-1450** |

**ORDER NO. 11: INITIAL DETERMINATION GRANTING ONESTA IP, LLC'S CORRECTED UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PARTIAL TERMINATION OF THE INVESTIGATION WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS**

(September 25, 2025)

On September 23, 2025, Complainant Onesta IP, LLC ("Onesta" or "Complainant") filed a corrected unopposed motion (1450-006) for partial termination of the investigation based on withdrawal of the allegations in its Complaint against Respondents NVIDIA Corporation ("NVIDIA"), Qualcomm Incorporated ("Qualcomm"), OnePlus Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. ("OnePlus"), and Nothing Technology Limited ("Nothing") (collectively, "Respondents") as to claims 5-8 and 19-20 of U.S. Patent No. 8,854,381 ("the '381 patent"). Mot. at 1. Pursuant to Ground Rule 3.2, Onesta certifies that Respondents and the Commission Investigative Staff do not oppose the motion. *Id*. at 2.

Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1) provides that "[a]ny party may move at any time prior to the issuance of an initial determination on violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 to terminate an investigation in whole or in part as to any or all respondents, on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint or certain allegations contained therein . . . ." 19 C.F.R. § 210.21(a)(1). The Commission has held that "in the absence of extraordinary circumstances, termination of an investigation will be readily granted to a complainant during the prehearing

NVIDIA 1061
NVIDIA v. ONESTA
IPR2026-00011

stage of an investigation." *Certain Ultrafiltration Membrane Sys., & Components Thereof, Including Ultrafiltration Membranes*, Inv. No. 337- TA-107, Comm'n Action & Order, 1982 WL 1034896, at *2 (Mar. 11, 1982); *Certain Vaginal Ring Birth Control Devices*, Inv No. 337-TA-768, Order No. 30, EDIS Doc. ID 469294, at 2 (Jan. 20, 2017) ("Femina filed its motion prior to the start of the evidentiary hearing in this investigation. Thus, according to Commission precedent, termination of the investigation will be readily granted absence [*sic*] extraordinary circumstances."), *unreviewed*, Comm'n Notice, EDIS Doc. ID 471540, at 2 (Feb. 9, 2012).

Onesta filed its motion prior to the start of the evidentiary hearing in this investigation. Onesta contends that "[w]ithdrawal of the allegations based on claims 5-8 and 19-20 of the '381 Patent will simplify the Investigation, streamline the hearing, and conserve resources of the Administrative Law Judge, the private parties, and the Staff." Mot. at 3. Additionally, the motion states that "there are no agreements, written or oral, express or implied between the parties concerning the subject matter of the Investigation." *Id*. at 4-5. The undersigned finds that the motion complies with Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1), and that there are no extraordinary circumstances that prevent terminating the withdrawn claims from this investigation. Further, the termination of the investigation as to the withdrawn claims is in the public interest, as public and private resources will be conserved. *See Certain Power Supplies*, Inv. No. 337-TA-646, Order No. 18, EDIS Doc. ID 316902, at 2 (Jan. 5, 2009), *unreviewed*, Comm'n Notice, EDIS Doc. ID 318163, at 2 (Jan. 29, 2009).

Accordingly, it is the undersigned's initial determination that Onesta's corrected motion (1450-006) is GRANTED as to claims 5-8 and 19-20 of the '381 patent, which are hereby

terminated from this investigation.[1] This initial determination is hereby certified to the Commission.

Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.42(h), this initial determination shall become the determination of the Commission unless a party files a petition for review of the initial determination pursuant to Commission Rule 210.43(a), or the Commission, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.44, orders, on its own motion, a review of the initial determination or certain issues contained herein. 19 C.F.R. § 210.42(d).

**SO ORDERED.**



Monica Bhattacharyya
Administrative Law Judge

[1] Claims 1-9, 11-12, and 14-24 of U.S. Patent No. 9,519,943, claims 1-12 and 15-25 of U.S. Patent No. 7,717,350, claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 11,741,019, claims 1-3 and 7-10 of U.S. Patent No. 11,841,803, and claims 1-14, 16-20, and 22-25 of U.S. Patent No. 9,116,809 remain asserted in this investigation. *See* Notice of Investigation, 90 Fed. Reg. 22325-26, EDIS Doc. ID 852014; Order No. 9.